

PĀLI LITERATURE AND LANGUAGE

PĀLI LITERATURE AND LANGUAGE

BY

WILHELM GEIGER

EMERITUS PROFESSOR OF INDO-IRANIAN PHILOLOGY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH

AUTHORISED ENGLISH TRANSLATION

BY

BATAKRISHNA GHOSH, DR. PHIL (MUNICH),
D. LITT. (PARIS)

LECTURER IN SANSKRIT AND GERMAN AND COMPARATIVE PHILOLOGY, CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY,
PROFESSOR OF GERMAN AND FRENCH, VIDYASAGAR COLLEGE; PRABODH BASU
MALICK PROFESSOR OF INDIAN PHILOSOPHY, NATIONAL COUNCIL OF
EDUCATION, BENGAL, JOINT-EDITOR, "INDIAN CULTURE"



Oriental Books Reprint Corporation
Book Publishers, 10-B, Subhash Marg, Delhi-6.

Second Edition November 1968
First published 1943 by Calcutta University

PRICE RUPEES THIRTY

**PUBLISHED BY MRS. NIRMAL D. JAIN FOR ORIENTAL BOOKS REPRINT CORPORATION,
POST-BOX 1165, 10-B SUBHASH MARG, DELHI-6 AND PRINTED BY S.K. MEHRA,
AT TAJ OFFSET PRESS, DELHI-6.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PREFACE	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS	xv
INTRODUCTION	1
The notion of Pāli; linguistic strata inside P. (I-II)—P. as artificial language; its land of origin (III-VII).—Basis of P. a Māgadhi (VIII-X)	
PART I. PĀLI LITERATURE	
I. The Canonical Literature	9
1. Origin and Authenticity of the Canon (1-4)	9
2. Classification of the Pāli Canon, Editions, Translations (5-6)	13
3. Vinaya-Piṭaka (7)	15
4. Sutta-Piṭaka (8)	16
Dīgha-Nikāya and Majjhima-N. (9).—Saṃyutta and Aṅguttara N. (10).—Khuddakapāṭha, Dhammapada, Udāna, Itivuttaka (11).—Suttanipāta, Vimāna- and Petavatthu (12).—Thera- and Therī-gāthā, Jātaka (13).—Niddesa, Paṭisambhidāmagga, Apadāna, Buddhavaṃsa (14).	
5. Abhidhamma-Piṭaka (15)	22
Individual works of the Abh.-P. (16)	23
Supplement: The Paritta (17)	24
II. The Non-Canonical Literature	25
I. Period: From the Completion of the Canon to the 5th century A.D.	25
The Aṭṭhakathā (18).—Nettipakaraṇa, Peṭakopadesa, Suttasamgaha (19).—Milindapañhā (20).—Dīpavaṃsa (21)	
II Period: From the 5th century to the 11th century	28
Works of Buddhaghosa (22).—Jātakaṭṭhavaṇṇanā (23).—Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā (24).—Buddhadatta, Ānanda, Dhammapāla (25).—Culla-Dhammapāla, Upasena, Mahānāma, Kassapa, Vajirabuddhi, Khema, Anuruddha (26).—Khudda- and Mūla-sikkhā (27).—The Mahāvaṃsa (28).—Anāgata-vaṃsa and Bodhivaṃsa (29).—Kaccāyana (30).	

	PAGE
III Period: From the 12th century to the Modern Age	38
Sāriputta and the Tīkās (31).—Sāriputta's pupils (32) —Chapada (33).—Dāṭhāvamsa, Thūpavamsa, Jināḷampūra, Jinacarita (34).—Mahāvamsa-Tīkā (35).—Vedebathera (36).—Buddhappiya (37).—Continuation of the Mahāvamsa (38).—Sārasaṃgaha, Saddhammasaṃguha (39).—Lokappadīpasāra, Pañcagatidīpana, Buddhaghosapattī (40).—Saddhammopāyana, Telakāṭhagāthā (41).—Burmese authors (42).—Authors of the 17th Century (43).—Modern works (44) —Linguistic literature (45).—Works of the school of Kaccāyana (46).—Continuation of same (47).—Moggallāna (48) —Moggallāna's pupils (49).—Saddanīti (50).—Dictionaries (51).—Root lists (52).—Miscellaneous (53).	
PART II GRAMMAR OF PĀLI	
Literature	59
A. Phonology	
1. Sound-system and Accent	61
Scripts (§ 1).—Sound-system (§ 2, 3).—Accent (§ 4).	
2. The Law of Mora	63
Law of Mora (§ 5) —Character of Syllables (§ 6).—Long before double-consonance (§ 7).—Shortening as result of Svabhakti (§ 8).	
3. The Vowels ā ī ū	65
e for a before double-consonance (§ 9).—i and u (§ 10).—e, o from ī, ū (§ 11).	
4. Representation of the Vowels ṛ, ḷ	66
a, ī, u from ṛ (§ 12).—ṛ becomes consonantal (§ 13).—u from ḷ (§ 14).	
5. Diphthongs and their Representation	68
e, o from e, o, ai, au; i, u from e, o (§ 15).	
6. Influence of Neighbouring Vowels or Consonants on the Vowels	69
Influence of following vowels (§ 16).—Influence of preceding vowels (§ 17).—Influence of consonants on vowels (§ 18).	
7. Influence of Accent on Vocalism	70
Reduction of vowel of the second syllable (§ 19).—Syncope (§ 20).—Weakening of pre-tonic syllable (§ 21).—Shortening of unstressed final syllables (§ 22).—Shortening of the second syllable (§ 20).—Effect of the expiratory accent (§ 24).	

	PAGE
8 *Samprasāraṇa and the loss of syllable through Contraction	73
Samprasāraṇa (§ 25).— <i>e, o</i> , from <i>aya, ava</i> (§ 26).—Contraction (§ 27).—The prepositions <i>upa</i> and <i>apa</i> (§ 28).	
9. Increase of Syllables through Svarabhakti ...	76
Generalities (§ 29).—Svarabhakti <i>i</i> (§ 30).—Svarabhaktis <i>a, u</i> (§ 31)	
10. Quantitative Changes in Composition and under stress of Metre	78
Influence of Metre (§ 32).—Protraction and Contraction of vowels in Compounds (§ 33).	
11. Irregularities of Vocalism (§ 34)	80
12. Consonants in Free Position	81
Intervocalic mutes (§ 35) —Drop of intervocalic mutes (§ 36).— <i>h</i> for Aspirates (§ 37).—Softening of surds (§ 38).—Hardening of sonants (§ 39).—Aspiration and loss thereof (§ 40) —Change in place of Articulation (§ 41).—Cerebrals for Dentals (§ 42).— <i>r, l, ḷ</i> for <i>d, n, ṇ</i> (§ 43).— <i>l</i> for <i>r</i> (§ 44).— <i>r</i> for <i>l</i> (§ 45).—Alternation between <i>y</i> and <i>v</i> (§ 46).	
13. Dissimilation and Metathesis (§ 47)	91
14. Consonant-groups	91
Combination of two Consonants	91
Generalities (§ 48) —Consonant-groups containing <i>h</i> (§ 49).—Combination of Sibilant with Nasal (§ 50).—Laws of Assimilation (§ 51).—Progressive Assimilation (§ 52).—Regressive Assimilation : mute before nasal, liquid, semi-vowel (§ 53).—Regressive Assimilation : sibilant before liquid or semi-vowel; nasal or <i>l</i> before semi-vowel; the groups <i>vy, vr</i> (§ 54).—Dentals and <i>n</i> before <i>y</i> (§ 55).—The group <i>kṣ</i> (§ 56).—The groups <i>ts, ps</i> (§ 57).	
Combination of more than two Consonants	101
Generalities (§ 58).—Details (§ 59).	
15. Sporadical Aberrations in Sound-groups	103
<i>h</i> for sonant aspirates (§ 60).—Softening of Tenuēs. hardening of Mediae (§ 61).—Aspiration and Loss thereof (§ 62).—Changes of Consonant-classes (§ 63).—Cerebrals for Dentals (§ 64).	
16. Metathesis in sound-groups and Loss of Syllable through Haplogy (§ 65)	106

	PAGE
17. Sandhi	107
Initial and final (§ 66).—Compositional Sandhi (§ 67).—External Sandhi: Generalities (§ 68).—Similar Vowels in Sandhi (§ 69).—Dissimilar Vowels in Sandhi (§ 70).— <i>e</i> , <i>o</i> and nasal vowels before vowels (§ 71).—Filling hiatus by consonants (§ 72).—Inorganic Sandhi-consonants (§ 73).—Confrontation of vowel and consonant (§ 74).	
B. Word-formation	
I. Noun (Substantive and Adjective)	115
1 Generalities	115
Nominal stems (§ 75).—Gender (§ 76).—Number and Case (§ 77).	
2. <i>a</i> -declension	118
Masculines and Neuters in <i>a</i> (§ 78).—Individual forms (§ 79).—Māgadhisms (§ 80).—Feminines in <i>ā</i> (§ 81).	
3. <i>i</i> - and <i>u</i> -declension	122
Masculines in <i>i</i> , <i>u</i> (§ 82).—Individual forms (§ 83).—Stem <i>sakhr</i> (§ 84).—Neuters in <i>i</i> , <i>u</i> (§ 85).—Feminines in <i>i</i> (<i>ī</i>), <i>u</i> (<i>ū</i>) (§ 86).—Stems <i>sirī</i> , <i>hirī</i> , <i>itthī</i> (§ 87).	
4. Diphthong-stems (§ 88)	127
5. Radical stems (§ 89)	127
6. <i>r</i> -declension	128
Agent nouns (§ 90).—Words of relationship (§ 91).	
7. <i>n</i> -declension	130
Masculines in <i>an</i> (§ 92).—Stems <i>san</i> , <i>yuvan</i> , <i>maghavan</i> , <i>puman</i> (§ 93).—Neuters in <i>an</i> (§ 94).—Subst. and Adj. in <i>in</i> (§ 95).	
8. <i>nt</i> -declension	134
Adjectives in <i>ant</i> (§ 96).—Present Participles in <i>nt</i> (§ 97).—Stems <i>arahant</i> , <i>sant</i> , <i>bhavant</i> (§ 98).	
9. <i>s</i> -declension	138
Neuters in <i>as</i> (§ 99).—Masculines and Feminines in <i>as</i> (§ 100).—Neuters and Masculines in <i>is</i> , <i>us</i> (§ 101).	
10. Adverbs and Comparison	140
Adverbs (§ 102).—Comparison (§ 103).	
II. Pronoun	142
Personal Pronouns of first and second person (§ 104).—Pronoun of the third person (§ 105).—Strengthening of pronouns by other pronouns (§ 106).—Pronouns <i>esa</i> , <i>ena</i> , <i>tya</i> , <i>tuma</i> (§ 107).—Pronoun <i>ayam</i> (§ 108).—Pronoun <i>asu</i> (§ 109).—	

	PAGE
Relative Pronoun (§ 110).—Interrogative Pronouns (§ 111).— Other Pronouns (§ 112).—Pronominal Adjectives (§ 113).	
III. Numerals 152	152
1. Cardinal Numbers 152	152
The numbers 1 and 2 (§ 114).—The numbers 3 to 10 (§ 115).— The tens, hundreds, etc (§ 116).—Application of Numerals (§ 117).	
2. Ordinals, Distributives, Fractional Numbers, Numeral Adverbs, Numeral Adjectives and Numeral Substan- tives.	
Ordinals (§ 118).—Distributives, etc (§ 119).	
IV. Verbal System 158	158
1. Generalities (§ 120) 158	158
2. Present-system with Indicative, Subjunctive, Imperative and Optative 159	159
A. Present Indicative 159	159
Flexion (§ 121).—Remarks thereon (§ 122)	
B. Subjunctive (§ 123) 160	160
C. Imperative 161	161
Flexion (§ 124).—Remarks on Active (§ 125).—Remarks on Medium (§ 126).	
D. Optative 164	164
Flexion (§ 127).—Remarks on Active (§ 128).—Remarks on Medium (§ 129).	
E. The Verbal Classes of Sanskrit in Pali 166	166
1. Thematic Conjugation 166	166
Class I (§ 130).—Roots in <i>i</i> , <i>ī</i> , root <i>bhū</i> (§ 131).—Redupl. roots of Cl. I (§ 132).—Roots <i>gam</i> , <i>yam</i> , <i>ruh</i> (§ 133).—Class VI (§ 134, 135).—Class IV (§ 136).—Roots <i>jar</i> , <i>śar</i> , <i>mar</i> (§ 137).— Root <i>jan</i> , Roots in <i>ā</i> (§ 138).—Class X, Causatives, <i>e</i> -flexion (§ 139).	
2. Athematic Conjugation 175	175
Class II (§ 140).—Roots <i>as</i> , <i>brū</i> (§ 141).—Class III; Root <i>dhā</i> (§ 142).—Root <i>dā</i> (§ 143).—Class VII (§ 144).—Class IX (§ 145).—Roots <i>gūh</i> , <i>mā</i> , <i>bandh</i> (§ 146).—Class V (§ 147).—Roots <i>śak</i> , <i>āp</i> (§ 148).—Class VIII, with root <i>kar</i> (§ 149).	
3. Future with Conditional 183	183

Two Types. Paradigms (§ 150).—Type Ia (§ 151).—Type Ib (§ 152).—Roots in <i>r</i> (<i>kar</i> , <i>har</i>) and root <i>han</i> (§ 153).—Type II (§ 154).—New formations from Present stems of the Thematic Conjugation (§ 155).—New formations from Present stems of the Athematic Conjugation (§ 156).—Conditional (§ 157).	
4. Aorist	190
Generalities (§ 158).—Four Types: Paradigms (§ 159).—Type I (§ 160).—Type II (§ 161).—Roots <i>kar</i> , <i>bhū</i> , <i>vac</i> (§ 162).—Type III (§ 163).—Historical forms from roots in mutes and sibilants (§ 164).—New formations after Type III (§ 165).—Type IV (§ 166).—New formations after Type IV from Present Stems of the Thematic Conjugation Cl. I and VI (§ 167).—Cl. IV and X (§ 168).—New formations from Present Stems of the Athematic Conjugation (§ 169).—Details (§ 170).	
5. Perfect (§ 171)	202
6. Periphrastic Constructions	202
Periphrastic Future (§ 172).—Periphrastic Perfect (§ 173).—Periphrastic Constructions with Present Participle and Gerund (§ 174).	
7. Passive	204
Passive formation with <i>ya</i> (§ 175).—Passive formation with <i>iya</i> (§ 176).—Flexion; Passive Aorist in <i>i</i> (§ 177).	
8. Causative	207
Unmodified roots and <i>a</i> -roots (§ 178).—Roots with internal <i>i</i> , <i>u</i> and with final <i>ī</i> , <i>ū</i> ; details (§ 179).—Roots in <i>ā</i> (§ 180).—New formations with <i>āpaya</i> , <i>āpe</i> (§ 181).—Double-causatives (§ 182).—Flexion (§ 183).	
9. Desiderative (§ 184)	211
10. Intensive (§ 185)	211
11. Denominative	212
Formations with <i>āya</i> (§ 186).—Formations with <i>aya</i> (<i>e</i>) and <i>āpaya</i> (<i>āpe</i>) (§ 187).—Formations with <i>a</i> , <i>ya</i> , <i>iya</i> (§ 188).—Flexion (§ 189).	
12. Verbal Nouns	214
1. Participles of Present and Future—Active	214
Participles in <i>nt</i> , <i>nta</i> (§ 190).—Participles in <i>māna</i> (§ 191).—Participles in <i>āna</i> (§ 192).—Participles from Futural Stem (§ 193).	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xi

	PAGE
2. Participles of the Preterite	217
Participles in <i>ta</i> (§ 194).—Participles in <i>ita</i> (§ 195).—New formations in <i>ita</i> from Present Stems (§ 196).—Participles in <i>na</i> (§ 197).—Active Participles of Preterite (§ 198).	
3. Participles of Future—Passive	221
Participles in <i>tabba</i> ; New formations (§ 199).—Root <i>bhū</i> and <i>e</i> -stems (§ 200).—Participles in <i>anīya</i> , <i>aneyya</i> (§ 201).—Participles in <i>ya</i> (§ 202).—Participles in <i>tāya</i> , <i>tayya</i> , <i>teyya</i> (§ 203).	
4. Infinitives	224
Infinitives in <i>tave</i> , <i>tuye</i> , <i>tāye</i> , <i>tasc</i> . Datives of Verbal Nouns used as Inf. (§ 204).—Infinitives in <i>tun</i> (§ 205).—New formations in <i>tun</i> from Present Stems (§ 206).—Inf. in compounds with <i>kāma</i> (§ 207).	
5. Gerunds	226
Generalities (§ 208).—Historical forms in <i>tvā</i> , <i>tvāna</i> , <i>itvā</i> , <i>itvāna</i> (§ 209).—New formations from Present Stems (§ 210).—Gerunds in <i>tūna</i> (§ 211).—Gerunds in <i>ya</i> (§ 212).—Gerunds in <i>iya</i> and New formations (§ 213).—Gerunds in <i>yāna</i> .	
INDEX	233
ERRATA	251

PREFACE

The following English version of Professor Geiger's "Pāli Literatur und Sprache" offers more than the German original, for much new material, supplied by Professor Geiger himself, has been incorporated into it. Professor Geiger also secured the permission of the German Publishers.

I distinctly remember that at the first lecture on Pāli I attended at the Munich University I was taught the Law of Mora, the cornerstone of Pāli Phonology. My astonishment, therefore, can be well imagined when I discovered that many of the Czars of Pāli in India have never even *heard* of this law! It is all the more remarkable, because Professor Geiger's work is well known in our University and is in fact one of the text-books prescribed for M.A. students in Pāli. On account of the language difficulty, however, our students have not hitherto been able to make full use of it. There was thus a clear case for translating Professor Geiger's work into English.

The translation was completed on 29th June, 1937. But the Calcutta University Press, always busy with a thousand things, could not pay undivided attention to printing it. Hence the inordinate delay in bringing out this translation, which at least some students of Pāli are anxiously waiting for.

In references to Prose texts, line too has been given throughout; thus A.I.2³ = *Āṅguttara-Nikāya*, vol. I, p. 2, l. 3.

My thanks are due first of all to Professor Geiger, my honoured teacher and my teacher's teacher. I am grateful also to Professors Suniti Kumar Chatterji, Prabodh Chandra Bagchi, Sailendra Nath Mitra and Beni Madhab Barua for the interest they evinced in this translation.

31st December, 1942.

BATAKRISHNA GHOSH

ABBREVIATIONS

A.	denotes	Āṅguttara-Nikāya, ed. by Morris and Hardy, 5 vols.
AbhKM.	„	Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes.
AbhP.	„	Abhidhamma-Piṭaka
AIC	„	E. Müller, Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon, London 1888.
Ak.	„	Aṭṭhakathā.
AMāg.	„	Ardha-Māgadhi.
Ap	„	Apabhraṃśa
BB.	„	Beiträge zur Kunde der Indogermanischen Sprachen, ed. by Bezzenberger.
Beitr.	„	E. Kuhn, Beiträge zur Pāli-Grammatik.
Bodh.	„	Mahābodhiyaṃsa, ed. by Strong.
BR.	„	Sanskrit-Wörterbuch von O. Bohtlingk und R. Roth, 7 vols., St. Petersburg 1855 ff.
Bu	„	Buddhavaṃsa, ed. by Morris.
Catal.	„	Catalogue.
Co.	„	Commentary.
Cp	„	Cariyāpiṭaka, ed. by Morris
CV.	„	Cullavagga.
D.	„	Dīgha-Nikāya, ed. by Rhys Davids and Carpenter, 8 vols. Parts translated by R. O. Franke.
DCo.	„	Sumaṅgala-Vilāsini, Comm. on D., I, ed. by Rhys Davids and Carpenter.
Dh.	„	Dhammapada, ed. by Fausboll.
DhCo.	„	The Commentary on the Dhammapada (Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā), ed. by Norman, 4 vols.
Dhk.	„	Dhātukathā, ed. by Gooneratne
Dhs.	„	Dhammasaṅgāsi, ed. by E. Müller — Trans. by Mrs. Rhys Davids.
DhsCo.	„	Atthasālinī, Comm. on Dhs., ed. by E. Müller.
Dial.	„	Dialogues of the Buddha, Trans. by Mr. and Mrs. Rhys Davids, 2 vols (SBB. II, III).
Dpvs.	„	Dīpavaṃsa, ed. and trans. by Oldenberg.
D. und M.	„	Geiger, Dīpavaṃsa und Mahāvaṃsa.
Gdhvs.	„	Gandhavaṃsa, ed. by Minayeff.

GGA.	denotes	Göttingische Gelehrte Anzeigen
GN.	„	Nachrichten der Kgl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen.
IA.	„	Indian Antiquary.
IF.	„	Indogermanische Forschungen, ed. by Streitberg.
Iv.	„	Itivuttaka, ed. by Windisch.—Trans. by Moore.
Jā.	„	Jātaka (quotations from the Canonical Gāthās).
JāCo.	„	Jātaka Commentary (quotations from the prose parts of the Jātakatthavaṇṇanā, ed. by Fausbøll, 7 vols.)
JAs.	„	Journal Asiatique.
JMāh.	„	Jaina-Māhārāṣṭrī.
JPTS.	„	Journal of the Pāli Text Society.
JRAS.	„	Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society
Kacc.	„	Kaccāyana, ed. and trans. by Senart.
Kh.	„	Khuddakapāṭha, ed. by Childers.—Trans. by Seidenstücker.
Kvu.	„	Kathāvatthupparakāṇa, ed. by Taylor.
KZ.	„	Kuhns Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung.
LSprS.	„	Geiger, Literatur und Sprache der Singhalesen, Strassburg 1900.
M.	„	Majjhima-Nikāya, ed. by Trenckner and Chalmers, 3 vols.
Māg.	„	Māgadhi.
Māh.	„	Māhārāṣṭrī.
Mhvs.	„	Mahāvamsa, ed. by Geiger.—Trans. by Geiger.
Mulp.	„	Milindapañha, ed. by Trenckner —Trans. by Rhys Davids, 2 vols. (= SBE. XXXV, XXXVI).
MV.	„	Mahāvagga.
Nām.	„	Subhūti, Nāma-mālā.
Nett.	„	Nettipakaraṇa, ed. by Hardy.
Notes	„	Trenckner, Notes to the Milindapañha (JPTS. 1908, 102 ff.).
OB.	„	Orientalische Bibliographie.
P.	„	Pāli.
Paśā.	„	Paśācī.
PD.	„	Childers, Dictionary of the Pāli Language.
PGL	„	Andersen, Pāli Reader, II. Pāli Glossary.

PGr.	denotes	Pāli Grammar; 1 Minayeff, Pāli Grammar; 2. E. Müller, Simplified Grammar of the Pāli Language, 3. R. O. Franke, Gesch. und Krit. der einheim Pāli-Grammatik.
Pkr.	„	Prākṛit.
PkrGr.	„	Pischel, Gramm. der Prākṛit-Sprachen, Strassburg 1900
PLB	„	Bode, Pāli Literature of Burma.
PR.	„	Andersen, Pāli Reader.
Ps.	„	Paṭisambhidāmagga, ed. by Taylor.
PTS	„	Pāli Text Society
Pu.	„	Puggalapaññatti, ed. by Morris.
Pv.	„	Petavatthu, ed. by Minayeff.
PvCo.	„	Paramatthadīpanī III., Co. on Pv., ed. by Hardy
Rasav	„	Rasavāhinī, ed. by Saranatiṣṣa.
S.	„	Samyutta-Nikāya, ed. by Feer, 5 vols.
S.	„	Saurasenī.
Sāras.	„	Sārasaṃgaha, ed. by Somananda.
Sāsvs.	„	Sāsanavaṃsa, ed. by Bode.
SBB.	„	Sacred Books of the Buddhists, ed. by Rhys Davids.
SBE.	„	Sacred Books of the East, ed. by Max Muller.
Sdhs.	„	Saddhammasaṃgaha, ed. by Saddhānanda.
Skr.	„	Sanskrit
Smp.	„	The Historical Introduction to Buddhaghosa's Samanta Pāsādikā, ed. by Oldenberg in Vin III. 283 ff.
Sn.	„	Sutta-Nipāta, ed. by Andersen and Smith.
SP.	„	Sutta-Pitaka.
SV.	„	Sutta-Vibhaṅga.
Th1.	„	Theragāthā, ed. by Oldenberg.
Th2.	„	Therīgāthā, ed. by Pischel.
Th2Co.	„	Paramatthadīpanī, Co. on Th2., ed. by J. E. Müller.
Ti.	„	Ṭīkā.
Ud.	„	Udāna, ed. by Steinthal.—Trans. by Strong.
Vbh.	„	Vibhaṅga, ed. by Mrs. Rhys Davids.
Vin.	„	Vinaya-Pitakam, ed. by Oldenberg, 5 vols
VP.	„	Vinaya-Piṭaka.
-vs.	„	-vaṃsa.
VT.	„	Vinaya Texts, trans. by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, 3 vols. (= SBE. XIII. XVII. XX.),

Vv.	denotes	Vimānavatthu, ed. by Gooneratne.
VvCo.	„	Paramatthadīpanī IV, Co. on Vv., ed. by Hardy.
WZKM.	„	Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes.
ZDMG	„	Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft

PĀLI LITERATURE AND LANGUAGE

INTRODUCTION

I. By Pāli is understood the language in which is composed the Tipiṭaka, the sacred scriptures of Ceylon and Hinter India, and its ancillary literature. The word *Pāli* however signifies only "text," "sacred text."¹ If we use this word to designate the language, it is merely a convenient abbreviation for *pālibhāsā*. Synonymous with *pālibhāsā* is *tantibhāsā*.

Pāli is an archaic Prākṛit a Middle-Indian idiom, which is characterised by the same peculiarities which distinguish the Middle-Indian from the Old-Indian.² Pāli however cannot be directly derived from Sanskrit; for it shows a number of characteristic features which suggest its closer relation to Vedic. Thus the *g* in *-tvāna* (beside *-tvā*), the forms *tehi*, *yehi* = Ved. *tebhis*, *yebhis* (as opposed to Skr. *tais*, *yais*), etc. This has always to be borne in mind when in the following Pāli forms are compared with Sanskrit forms. The former cannot be derived from the latter but stand beside them as later formations.

II. Pāli is not a homogeneous language. Numerous double forms reveal it to be a mixed dialect. Dialectical particularisms are found in it in large numbers. Yet, however, stages of development associated with periods following one after another can be clearly distinguished in the history of the Pāli language. Four different stages can be distinguished:

1. The language of the Gāthās, i.e., the metrical pieces. It is of a very heterogeneous character. On the one hand, it contains many archaic speech-forms which are distinguished from the Old-Indian forms only phonologically; on the other hand, there are also used in it in large numbers such new formations as are wholly characteristic of Pāli, and they are often crossed by the archaic forms

¹ Cf. the expression *iti pi pāli*, e.g., Th2Co. 61⁸, where *pāli* = *pāṭho*. Further, *pāli* "sacred text" as distinct from *aṭṭhakathā*, Dpvs. 20. 20; Mhvs. 33. 100; Sdhs. JPTS. 1890, p. 53⁵.

² R. O. Franke, Strassburg 1902, Pāli und Sanskrit, p. 90 ff.

which may occur side by side with them, not seldom even in one and the same verse. In some cases the exigencies of metre might have determined the choice of the forms to be used. Particularly in those cases where verses out of an older language were translated into a later one, the use of archaic forms was liberal, because it afforded a closer approximation to the original.

2. The language of the canonical prose. It is more homogeneous and uniform than the language of the Gāthās. The archaic forms diminish more and more in number and partly disappear altogether. The use of new formations is no longer accidental or arbitrary as in the oldest period of the language, but is governed by more rigid rules.

3. The later prose of the post-canonical literature, as of the Milinda-book, the great commentaries, etc. It is based on the canonical prose and reflects its artificial and erudite usage. The difference between the first and the second period is therefore much greater than that between the second and the third. The latter is further characterised by a still more restricted use of the archaic forms.

4. The language of later artificial poetry, which no longer possesses a homogeneous character. The authors derived their knowledge of the language and borrowed the speech-forms indiscriminately from older and later literature, and their propensity to archaism and Sanskritism is more pronounced or less in different cases.

III. There is now on the whole a consensus of opinion that Pāli bears the clear stamp of a "Kunstsprache," i.e., it is a compromise of various dialects. This has been most emphatically declared by H. Kern.¹ Minayeff's² opinion stands close to his. But already E. Kuhn³ rightly pointed out that the problem is not solved merely by defining Pāli as an artificial language—its solution is only deferred by it. "Even an artificial and literary language, which on occasions draws materials from all possible dialects, must have had as its foundation a particular dialect." For Pāli now arises the question, which region of India was the home of that language which was the basis of Pāli

¹ Over de Jaartelling der zuidelijke Buddhisten en de Gedenkstukken van Açoka den Buddhist, Amsterdam 1873, p. 13.

² Pāli Grammar, p. XLII.

³ Beiträge zur Pāli-Grammatik, Berlin 1875, p. 9.

IV. According to the tradition current in Ceylon, Pāli is Māgadhi, *Māgadhinirutti*, *Māgadhiabhāsā*, that is to say, the language of the region in which Buddhism had arisen. This is very important, for strengthened by this argument the Buddhist tradition makes the further claim that the Pāli-Tiṭṭaka is composed in the language used by Buddha himself ¹ and therefore in contrast to all other collections it alone represents the original canon. For this reason Māgadhi is also called *Mūlabhāsā* ² as the basic language in which the words of Buddha were originally fixed, whereas the other versions are regarded as secondary variations.

V. Weighty arguments have however been urged against the view that Pāli is a dialectical form of Māgadhi or is based on it. Precisely the chief distinguishing features of Māgadhi, as we know them from the grammarians and from the inscriptions and the dramas, are unknown to Pāli. These features are: 1. the mutation of every *r* into *l* and every *s* into *ś*, and 2. the ending *-e* in N. Sg. Masc. and Neut. of *a*-stems and of consonantal stems inflected like them. Pāli however retains the *r* (its change into *l* is indeed frequent but not the rule), and possesses no *ś* at all, but only *s*, and the nominal forms mentioned above end in it with *-o*, or *-am*. For this reason already Burnouf and Lassen ³ contested the theory that Pāli is a Magadha-dialect.

VI. Westergaard, ⁴ and after him E. Kuhn, ⁵ consider Pāli to be the dialect of Ujjayinī, because it stands closest to the language of the Aśoka-inscriptions of Girnar (Guzerat), and also because the dialect of Ujjayinī is said to have been the mother-tongue of Mahinda who preached Buddhism in Ceylon. R. O. Franke reached a similar conclusion by altogether different means. ⁶ In his attempt to locate Pāli by eliminating all those Indian popular dialects which on account of their peculiar linguistic features cannot be regarded as the source of Pāli, he finally reached the conclusion that its original home was "a territory,

¹ Cf. Buddhaghosa: *ettha sakā nirutti nāma sammāsamibuddhena vuttappakāro Māgadhiḥko vohāro*, comm. to Culla-Vagga V. 38. 1. See Samantapāsādikā, ed. S. u. Pye, IV. 416 ¹⁰.

² Sdhs., JPTS. 1890, pp. 55 ²³, 56 ²¹, 57 ¹⁹.

³ Essai sur le Pāli, Paris 1826.

⁴ Über den ältesten Zeitraum der indischen Geschichte, p. 87

⁵ Beitr., p. 6 ff. Cf. Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, II², p. 356.

⁶ Pāli und Sanskrit, p. 131 ff. By Pāli I of course always understand what has been called "literary Pāli" by Franke.

which could not have been too narrow, situated about the region from the middle to the western Vindhya ranges." Thus it is not improbable that Ujjayinī was the centre of its region of expansion. Sten Konow¹ too has decided in favour of the Vindhya region as the home of Pāli. In his opinion there is a closer relationship between Pāli and Paisācī, and differing from his predecessors in the field, particularly from Grierson,² he seeks the original home of Paisācī not in North-West India but in the region of Ujjayinī.

VII. Oldenberg³ considers Pāli to be the language of the Kālīṅga country. He considers the legend of Mahinda and his mission to be unhistorical. In his opinion Buddhism, and with it the Tipiṭaka, was introduced into Ceylon rather in course of an intercourse between the island and the neighbouring continent extending over a long period. As regards the character of the language, he compares it above all with that of the inscription of Khandagiri, which in his opinion agrees with Pāli on essential points. Also E. Muller⁴ considers the Kālīṅga country to be the home of Pāli. He bases his conclusion on the observation that the oldest settlements in Ceylon could have been founded only from the opposite mainland and not by people from Bengal or thereabout.

VIII. A consensus of opinion regarding the home of the dialect on which Pāli is based has therefore not been achieved.⁵ Windisch⁶ therefore falls back on the old tradition—and I am also inclined to do the same—according to which Pāli should be regarded as a form of Māgadhi, the language in which Buddha himself had preached. This language of Buddha was however surely no purely popular dialect, but a language of the higher and cultured classes which had been brought into being already in pre-Buddhist times through the needs of inter-

¹ The home of Paisācī, ZDMG. 64, 95 ff., particularly 108 f., 114 f., 118.

² The Paisācī Languages of North-Western India, Asiatic Society MonographC, Vol. VIII, 1906; Pischel, Gramm. der Prākṛit-Sprachen, § 27.

³ The Vinaya Piṭaka I, London 1879, p. L ff.

⁴ Simplified Grammar of the Pāli language, London 1884, p. III.

⁵ I refer particularly to H. Lüders, Bruchstücke buddhistischer Dramen, Berlin 1911, p. 40 ff.; A. Berriedale Keith, Pāli, the Language of the Southern Buddhists, Ind. Hist. Qu. I, 1925, p. 501 ff.; P. V. Bapat, The Relation between Pāli and Ardhamāgadhi, Ibid., IV, 1928, p. 23 ff.

⁶ Über den sprachlichen Charakter des Pali, in the Actes du XIV^e Congrès International des Orientalistes, Algèrè 1905, prem. partie, Paris 1906, p. 252 ff. Windisch's opinion is similar to that of Winternitz, A History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, p. 13.

communication in India.¹ Such a *lingua franca* naturally contained elements of all the dialects, but was surely free from the most obtrusive dialectical characteristics. It was surely not altogether homogeneous. A man from the Magadha country must have spoken it in one way, and a man from the districts of Kosala and Avanti in another, just as in Germany the high German of a cultured person from Württemberg, Saxony or Hamburg shows in each case peculiar characteristic features. Now, as Buddha, although he was no Magadhan himself, displayed his activities mainly in Magadha and the neighbouring countries, the Māgadhi dialect might have imprinted on his language its own characteristic stamp. This language could have therefore been well called Māgadhi even if it avoided the grossest dialectal peculiarities of this language. As Windisch has rightly pointed out, after the death of the master, a new artificial language must have been evolved out of the language of Buddha. Attempts were made to retain the teachings of Buddha in authentic form, and to impose this form also upon those portions which, although derived from the monastic organisations of the various provinces, were gradually incorporated into the canon. In connection with the designation of the canonical language as Māgadhi, Windisch also refers to the Ārṣa, the language of the Jaina-suttas. It is called Ardha-Māgadhi, i.e., "half-Māgadhi." Now it is surely significant that the Ardha-Māgadhi differs from Māgadhi proper on similar points as Pāli.² For Ardha-Māgadhi too does not change the *r* into *l*, and in the noun inflexion it shows the ending -o instead of Māgadhiic -e at least in many metrical pieces. On the other hand, as I believe to have myself observed, there are many remarkable analogies precisely between Ārṣa and Pāli in vocabulary and morphology. Pāli therefore might be regarded as a kind of Ardha-Māgadhi. I am unable to endorse the view, which has apparently gained much currency at present, that the Pāli canon is translated from some other dialect (according to Lüders, from old Ardha-Māgadhi). The peculiarities of its language may be fully explained on the hypothesis of (a) a gradual development and integration of various elements from different parts of India, (b) a long oral tradition extending over several centuries, and (c) the fact that the texts were written down in a different country.

IX. I consider it wiser not to hastily reject the tradition altogether but rather to understand it to mean that Pāli was

¹ For a graphic description, see Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, p. 140 ff.

² Pischel, *Gramm. d. Pkr. Spr.*, p. 15.

indeed no pure Māgadhī, but was yet a form of the popular speech which was based on Māgadhī and which was used by Buddha himself. It would appear therefore that the Pāli canon represents an effort¹ to reflect the *Buddhavacanam* in its original form. This theory would have been refuted if it could be proved that the Pāli canon must have been translated from some other dialect. Sylvain Lévi² has tried to prove this. He points out a number of termini such as *ekodi*, *saṃghādisesa*, etc., in which a sonant appears in the place of a surd. From these data he infers the existence of a pre-canonical language in which the softening of intervocalic surds was the rule. I do not consider Lévi's arguments to be convincing. Firstly, because all these etymologies given by Lévi are uncertain. Secondly, because the softening of surds takes place not only in the "termini" but also in a large number of other words.³ Moreover, in my opinion, no special case should be made out of this phonological phenomenon. For they merely represent one of the various dialectical peculiarities which are met with in Pāli. Thus, for instance, we find equally frequent cases of the opposite process (hardening of a sonant) as well as various other features which considered together prove the mixed character of the Pāli language.

X. If Pāli is the form of the Māgadhī used by Buddha, then the Pāli canon would have to be regarded as the most authentic form of the *Buddhavacanam*, even though the teachings of the master might have been preached and learnt from the very beginning in the various provinces of India in the respective local dialects. This conclusion has been drawn—wrongly, in my opinion—from Cullavagga V. 33.1 = Vin. II.139. Here it is related, how two Bhikkhus complained to the master that the members of the order were of various origins, and that they distorted the words of Buddha by their own dialect (*sakāya niruttiyā*). They therefore proposed that the words of Buddha should be translated into Sanskrit verses (*chandaso*). Buddha however refused to grant the request and added: *anuṣānāmi*

¹ I say this intentionally ; for, as the Pāli canon is the result of a long development extending over more than one century, it would naturally contain much that is unauthentic. It may have also lost much that is authentic and is preserved in other canons.

² Journal Asiatique, sér. 10, t. XX, p. 495 ff

³ Cf. below, § 38 f.

bhikkhāve sakāya niruttiyā buddhavacanam pariyāpunitum. Rhys Davids and Oldenberg¹ translate this passage by "I allow you,² oh brethren, to learn the words of the Buddhas each in his own dialect." This interpretation however is not in harmony with that of Buddhaghosa, according to whom it has to be translated by "I ordain the words of Buddha to be learnt in *his* own language (*i.e.*, in Māgadhi, the language used by Buddha himself)."³ After repeated examinations of this passage I have come to the conclusion that we have to stick to the explanation given by Buddhaghosa. Neither the two monks nor Buddha himself could have thought of preaching in different dialects in different cases. Here the question is merely whether the words of Buddha might be translated into Sanskrit or not. This is however clearly forbidden by the Master, at first negatively and then positively by the injunction beginning with *anujānāmi*. The real meaning of this injunction is, as is also best in consonance with Indian spirit, that there can be no other form of the words of Buddha than in which the Master himself had preached. Thus even in the life-time of Buddha people were concerned about the way in which his teaching might be handed down as accurately as possible, both in form and in content. How much more must have been the anxiety of the disciples after his death ! The external form was however Māgadhi, though according to tradition it is Pāli.⁴

¹ Vinaya Texts III = Sacred Books of the East, XX, p. 151.

² In the text there is no *vo* ! But I think this word was indispensable for the interpretation given by the English translators in order to get something with which to connect *sakāya niruttiyā*. According to the actual text *saka* may be connected only with *buddhavacanam*. For the meaning "ordains, decides" for *anujānāti*, cf. Vin., I. 45²⁵, 83³¹, 85¹⁹, 24, 94¹³, etc.

³ Cf. above, p. 3, f. n. 1.

⁴ See Fr. Weller, Zeitschr. für Buddhismus, 1922, pp. 211-13 and my reply, *Ibid.*, pp. 213-14.

PART I

PĀLI LITERATURE

Preliminary notice 1. Previous works : J. d' Alwis, A descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit, Pāli, and Sinhalese Literary Works of Ceylon, Colombo 1870.—H. Oldenberg, Catalogue of Pāli MSS. in the India Office Library, London 1882 (App. to JPTS. 1882).—L. de Zoysa, A Catalogue of Pāli, Sinhalese and Sanskrit MSS. in the Temple Libraries of Ceylon, Colombo 1885 —Catalogues of MSS. : JPTS 1882, 50 ff.; 1883, 133 ff.; 1885, 1 ff.; 1888, 108 ff. Also Fausbøll, Catal. of the Mandalay MSS. in the Ind. Off. Libr., JPTS. 1896 1 ff.

2. Short surveys and descriptions of particular aspects : H. Kern, Manual of Indian Buddhism, Strassburg 1896, pp. 1-11.—Rhys Davids, Buddhism, London 1910, p. 18 ff. ; Buddhist India, London 1903 p. 140 ff.—E. Hardy, Der Buddhismus, Münster i. W. 1890, p. 159 f.—Winternitz, Die Religionen Indiens; Der Buddhismus in Bertholet's Religionsgeschichtliches Lesebuch, 1911, p. 214 ff. Wickremasinghe, Catal. of the Sinhalese MSS. in the Brit. Mus., London 1900, Introd.—M. Bode, The Pāli Literature of Burma, London 1909.—S. Z. Aung, Abhidhamma Literature in Burma, JPTS. 1910-12, p. 112 ff.

3. Comprehensive treatment in Winternitz, A History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, pp. 1-423, Calcutta 1933.—G. P. Malalasekera, The Pāli Literature of Ceylon, London 1928.—B. C. Law, History of Pāli Literature, 2 vols., London 1933. In view of these special treatises it is permissible for me to be very brief with the contents and the historical importance of the chief works. They are therefore* a necessary supplement to the present work.

An important source book is the Gandhavamsa, edited by Minayeff, JPTS. 1886, p. 54 ff. Index to it by M. Bode, Ibid. 1896, p. 53 ff.

I. THE CANONICAL LITERATURE

1. *Origin and Authenticity of the Canon*

1. The Pāli canon is known under the name *Tipiṭaka* (Skr. *Tripiṭaka*), i.e., "Threefold basket," because it consists of three main parts: *Vinaya-Piṭaka*, *Sutta-Piṭaka* and *Abhidhamma-Piṭaka*. It is the canon of the Theravāda school which itself belongs to the *Vibhajjavādins*.¹ According to tradition, which on essential points is probably quite dependable,² its compilation began immediately after the death of Buddha about 483 B.C.,³ at the council of Rājagaha. It was further developed a hundred years later at the council of Vesālī, the chief cause of which was the cropping up of certain wrong views which were threatening to undermine monastic discipline. At the third council under king Asoka (264 to 227 B.C.)⁴ the canon in all its essential parts seems to have been brought to a formal completion.

¹ On the relation between the two designations, see Oldenberg, *Vin. I*, p. XLI ff.; Geiger, *Mahāvamsa* transl., App. B, 14b.

² The history of the councils is based mainly on CV. XI, LII = *Vin. II*, 284 ff.; *Oppvs. Chap. 4-5*, 54, 734 ff.; *Mhvs. Chap. 3-5*. Also Buddhaghosa's *Samantapāsādikā*, *Introd.* (Oldenberg, *Vin. III*, 283 ff.) and *Sumaṅgalavilāsini* (ed. Rhys Davids and Carpenter, PTS, 1886 p. 2 ff.). Further Geiger, *Mhvs. transl.*, p. LI ff. For the history of the Pāli canon, cf. Oldenberg, *Vin. I*, p. XL ff.; *Buddhistische Studien*, ZDMG. 52, 1898, p. 613 ff.; *Buddha*², p. 84 ff.; Oldenberg and Rhys Davids, *Vin. Texts I* = SBE. XIII, *Introd.*; Rhys Davids, *Dialogues of the Buddha I* = SBB. II, *Preface*; Winternitz, *A History of Indian Literature*, Vol. II, 1 ff. (cf. literature given under f.n. 1). More sceptical about the tradition are Minayeff, *Recherches sur le Bouddhisme*, trad. par Pompignan, Paris 1894; L. de La Vallée Poussin, *Conciles Bouddhiques I*, *Le Muséon N. S.* 6, 1905, p. 213 ff. (cf. I.A. 37, 1 ff., 81 ff.); Barth, *Rev. de l'hist. des religions* 5, 1882, p. 237 ff.; 28, 1893, p. 277 ff.; 42, 1900, p. 74 ff.; Sylv. Lévi, *Les saintes écritures du Bouddhisme*, Paris 1909, and particularly R. O. Franke, *The Buddhist Councils*, JPTS. 1908, p. 1 ff.; *Dighanikāya* transl., 1913, p. XLII ff.

³ For the sake of brevity I only refer to Winternitz, *Ibid.*, p. 4, as well as the data given by me in *Mhvs. transl.*, p. XXII ff.

⁴ Kashi-Prasad Jayaswal (*Journ. As. Soc. Bengal*, N.S. IX, Nr. 8 and 9, p. 317 ff.) has calculated the year of Asoka's accession to the throne to be 276 B.C., and his coronation at 272 B.C..

This council is specially associated with the formation of the Abhidhamma, for according to tradition, the Thera Tissa Moggaliputta is said to have recited at it the Kathāvatthupakkaraṇa. This book contains the refutation of 252 different wrong teachings and is included among the works of Abhidhamma.¹ The third council was also of importance on account of the resolution to send missions to neighbouring countries. The tradition is here supported in an interesting manner by epigraphical discoveries.² Mahinda (Skr. Mahendra), the son of king Asoka,³ went to Ceylon as the messenger of the teachings of Buddha. He brought to Ceylon the canon in its Theravāda form.

2. The gradual formation of the canon may be imagined to have come about in the way, that in particular monastic orders the memories of the speeches and dialogues of the master were kept alive so far as they were known at all. Hence the introductory words *evaṃ me sutaṃ* "so have I heard." At larger gatherings, as at the councils, these particular contributions were examined and given monastic sanction in favourable cases. In this way the material grew up continually and was classified into particular collections. Such an origin of the canon renders it understandable that already at the beginning there was given the possibility of the formation of different schools.⁴ On the occasion of the second council, therefore, there arose in the church the schism of the Theravādins and the Mahāsāṃghikas. The main body of the canon therefore should have been collected in the first two centuries after the death of Buddha. Titles such as *dharmakathika*, *peṭakin*, *suttantika*, *pañcanekāyika*⁵ occurring in inscriptions of the 3rd century B.C. prove that already at that time the canon must have been divided in the same manner as in later days. Of the seven texts which were specially recommended for study by king Asoka in Bhabra edict, four or five may be traced in the

¹ Mhvs. 5.278.

² Cf. Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, p. 299 ff.; Geiger, *Mhvs. transl.*, p. XIX f.

³ According to the tradition recorded by Hiuen-tsang in the *Si-yu-ki*, Mahinda was Asoka's brother. Cf. St. Julien, *Mém. sur les Contrées Occidentales par Hiouen-Tsang* II. 140.

⁴ The story of Purāṇa in CV.XI. 1. 11 (= Vin. II, 289 f.) is very important in this respect. Purāṇa comes to Rājagaha where Buddha's disciples had assembled after his death. He is asked to take part in the council but he courteously declines saying that he would prefer to adhere to what he had himself heard from the Master's lips.

⁵ Cf. Hultzsch, *ZDMG.* 40.58; Bühler, *Epigraphia Indica* II. 93; Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, p. 167 f.; Winternitz, *op. cit.*, p. 13 ff

Pāli canon with tolerable certainty.¹ It is also very remarkable that the name of Asoka, who is so much praised in all Buddhist orders, has never been mentioned in the canon. The assumption is justified therefore that in Asoka's time the formation of the canon had been practically completed. In the first few centuries however the canon used to be handed down orally. The Tipiṭaka along with its commentary Aṭṭhakathā was fixed in writing, according to a notice in Dpvs. and Mhvs., which gives the impression of being quite trustworthy, only under king Vaṭṭagāmanī, *i.e.*, a few decades before the beginning of the Christian era.²

3. Many peculiarities of the Pāli canon may be understood only if the way in which it came about is kept in view and it is remembered that it was handed down orally for nearly four hundred years. As the contributions to the canon came from different places at different times various contradictions could not be avoided, as has been pointed out by R. O. Franke.³ We can thus also understand the schematic character of the canon and the numerous repetitions occurring in it. It is in the nature of an oral tradition that events and situations of common occurrence should be described in stereotyped form in the same words.⁴ Much of what repeatedly occurs in the text was without doubt compulsory for the monks to learn. I consider as such the continually repeated synonymous expressions, the discussions in question and answer which almost look like formularies for the examinations which the monks had to pass,⁵ the parables and similes, and the mnemonic verses. It has to be pointed out however that apparently even from the beginning the sacred texts were used for purposes of preaching.⁶ Under such circumstances it was unavoidable

¹ *E.g.*, Ariyavāsā=D III 269 ff., Anāgatabhayāni=A. III. 106-108, Munigāthā=Sn. 207-221 (ed. D. Anderson and H. Smith), Moneyyasutta=A.I. 278, Lāghulovāda=M. I. 414-420. For literature on this point, see Winternitz, *op. cit.* p. 16 ff.

² Dpvs. 20 20-21; Mhvs. 83.100-101.

³ JPTS. 1908, p. 2 ff., WZKM. 24, 17 ff.; Dīghanikāya transl., p. XLIX.

⁴ Thus, for instance, the greetings (M. I. 16¹⁶, 46¹²; D. I. 159³, 161³, etc.), the concluding words after a religious instruction (Vin. I. 16³¹, D. I. 85⁷, M. II. 89¹², S. I. 70⁶, etc.), or the description of the various stages of the *jhāna* (Childers, P. Dict., *sub voce*), etc.

⁵ Cf., for instance, the series *taṇhā kāmā maññatha, cakkhūṃ nuccam vā amuccam vā ?* etc. M. III, 271 ff., 277 ff.; S. IV. 105 ff., etc.

⁶ Recitation of such particular pieces is regarded as something self-evident in Dpvs. 8.6 ff., 12.84 ff., 13.7 ff.; Mhvs. 12.29 ff., 14.58 and 63, 15.4 ff. (the Bāḷasapāḍita-

that those texts which were familiar to every Bhikkhu should again and again thrust themselves into the sacred tradition. Of particular importance is the fact that not at all very seldom in one and the same collection two or more pieces follow each other having absolutely the same contents and wording, distinguished merely by the names of persons and places.¹ It is clear that in these cases we have to do with differences in tradition dating from the very beginning. When the collection of individual pieces began, the redactors quite naïvely arranged the variants one after another without trying to solve the problem of their authenticity. And finally, also the influence of purely literary activity might not have been quite negligible when the collections were compiled and written down. It is clear that the main tendency was to offer the material in as full a form as possible. It is not at all surprising therefore that from collections which were already existing whole pieces were taken into new collections whenever there seemed to be a sufficient reason to do so.² It however remains unknown which of the various forces was most potent in any particular case, and it is not at all unlikely that this question will never be solved satisfactorily.

4. It will be clear from what has been said above, in what sense we can speak of the authenticity of the canon. None will claim to day that all that is contained in it is derived from Buddha himself. But without doubt it contains a mass of utterances, speeches and teachings of the Master, as they were impressed on the memory of the disciples in their more or less accurate form. It is however impossible to read, e.g., the Mahāparinibbānasutta,³ without getting the impression that here we are confronted with the actual reminiscences of the last days of the Master. Other texts, on the other hand, might be imitations of existing types, which were at least impregnated with the spirit of Buddha. Others again are purely monastic fiction. Such is the case, for instance, when in the Vinaya all the individual regulations about

suttanta mentioned in Mhvs. 15.4=Dpvs. 18.18=M.III. 163 ff.; wrongly Mhvs. trans. (p. 97, f.n. 2). Cf. further, DhCo. I. 129 ff.

¹ Thus, for instance, Sutta 124, 125, 126 in S. IV. 109 f.; also Sutta 191, 192=S. IV. 162 ff. Cf. further S.I. 220-222 with 224, etc.

² Cf. the Sāmaññaphalasuttanta in D. I 71 ff with the Mahāassapura and the Mahāsekuludāyī-suttanta in M.I. 274 ff., II. 15 ff. The Selasuttanta occurs in Sn₁, p. 102 ff. and M. II. 146—in the latter perhaps interpolated later, for the preceasing Suttanta deals with the same subject.

³ D. II. 72 ff.

monastic discipline are attributed to the Master. Here too the formulated laws were modelled after certain types. But, on the other hand, we should not be too sceptical. The main body of the canon had at all events come into being in the first two centuries after the death of Buddha—at a time when the memory of the master might have been still fresh.¹ And we have indeed no reason to doubt the honest intention of the Bhikkhus. If we always keep in view the fundamental difference between the Greek and the Indian mode of thought, then it may perhaps yet be said that the picture of Buddha stands out of the Pāli canon in the same way as that of Socrates out of the writings of Xenophon and Plato.

2. *Classification of the Pāli Canon, Editions, Translations*

5. Beside the division of the canon into three Piṭakas “baskets”² the tradition of southern Buddhism knows also other divisions of the canon.³ Thus 1. the division into five Nikāyas consisting of the first four Nikāyas of the Sutta-Piṭaka (D., M., S., A.), as well as the Khuddaka-Nikāya which in this connection comprehends also Vinaya and Abhidhamma.—2. The division into nine Aṅgas⁴ is only formal. They are Sutta, Geyya, Veyyākaraṇa, Gāthā, Udāna, Itivuttaka, Jātaka, Abbhutadhamma and Vedalla.⁵ By “Sutta” is meant all the dialogues of Buddha along with some pieces out of the Suttanipāta. All pieces composed in mixed prose and verse are called “Geyya.” The “Veyyākaraṇa” includes the

Sometimes the canonical works themselves betray the fact that they were composed long after Buddha. Thus, already in the Theragāthās, when Pārāpariya (v. 920-949) complains about the degeneration of discipline within the monastic order. In *Petavatthu* IV. 3.1 is mentioned a King of Surāṭṭha, named Piṅgalaka. According to the commentary (PvCo. p. 244) he should have lived two hundred years after the death of Buddha. This should give us however the lowest time limit, excepting of course occasional later additions.

² For the meaning of the word Piṭaka, see Winternitz, *op. cit.*, p. 8, f.n. 3.

³ DCo. I. 22 ff.; DhCo., p. 25 ff.; Sārasaṅgaha, ed. Somananda, p. 36 ff.; Dpvs. 4.15; Gdhva., JPTS. 1886, p. 55 ff. The nine Aṅgas are mentioned also in the canon itself: M.I. 183²⁴, A. II. 7², 103¹⁰, 108⁴, Vin. III. 8⁷, Pu. 43²⁰.

⁴ According to the records of northern Buddhism there are twelve Aṅgas. Wassilieff, *der Buddhismus* I, p. 109; Burnouf, *Introd. sur le Bouddhisme*, p. 51 ff.; Kern, *Manual of Ind. Buddhism*, p. 7.

⁵ Childers, PD. under these words.

Abhidhamma and some other texts. "Gāthās" are pieces composed solely in verse. The titles "Udāna," "Itivuttaka" and "Jātaka" will come up again for discussion below as parts of the Khuddaka Nikāya. The Suttas which deal with supernatural conditions and powers are called "Abbhutadhamma." The meaning of the title "Vedalla"¹ is not clear.—Finally, from quite a mechanical point of view, the canon is divided into 84,000 Dhammakkhandhas,² i.e., individual pieces or lectures.

6. Editions. 1. The edition in Siamese script printed in Bangkok at the cost of King Chulalongkorn in 39 vols. in which are still wanting the Jātakas, Avadāna, Vimāna- and Petavatthu, Thera- and Therīgāthā, Buddhavamsa, Cariyāpiṭaka. A monumental new edition of the whole Tipiṭaka in 45 vols. was executed by the late King of Siam (in Siamese script, printed in Bangkok).

2. The Rangoon Edition of the "Hanthawaddy Printing Works" in Burmese script (not available to me), 20 vols., containing Vinaya- and Abhidhamma-Piṭaka, as well as the Dīgha-Nikāya of the Sutta-Piṭaka.³

3. Editions of individual texts, printed in Sinhalese script in Ceylon: Dīgha-Nikāya published by W. A. Samarasekara, Colombo 1904 f. (see JPTS. 1912, p. 142); Majjhima-Nikāya, Colombo 1895 ff. (JPTS. 1912, p. 147); Samyutta-Nikāya, ed. B. Amarasingha, Welitara 1898 ff. (JPTS. 1912, p. 150); Aṅguttara-Nikāya, ed. Devamitta, Colombo 1893 ff. (JPTS. 1912, p. 137).

4. Individual texts published by the Pali Text Society in Roman characters comprehending most of the texts of the Sutta and Abhidhamma Piṭaka. See below. Oldenberg's edition of the Vinaya, Fausbøll's edition of the Jātakas are special supplements.

Translations of individual texts by Rhys Davids, Oldenberg, Franke, K. E. Neumann, Dutoit, etc., have been mentioned below. There are moreover: T. W. Rhys Davids, *Buddhist Suttas I*, SBE. XI.—K. E. Neumann, *Buddhistische Anthologie*, Texte a. d. Pāli-

¹ In the list of Aṅgas in northern Buddhism, Vaipulya corresponds to Vedalla. But there is also a northern Buddhist work Vaidalya. Schiefner, *Tāranātha's Gesch. des Buddhismus in Indien*, p. 302.

² Childers, PD. under the word.

³ Lanman, *Pali Book Titles*, Proc. Amer. Acad. of Arts and Sciences, XLIV No. 24, 1909, p. 667.

Kanon übers., Leiden 1892.—Warren, Buddhism in Translations (also non-canonical texts), Harvard Or. Ser. III, Cambridge Mass. 1896.—Dutoit, Leben des Buddha, eine Zusammenstellung aller Ber. a d. kanon. Schriften der südl. Buddhisten, Leipzig 1906.—Winternitz, Die Religionen der Inder: der Buddhismus (Bertholet's Religionsgeschichtl. Lesebuch, separate edition), Tübingen 1911.—H. Oldenberg, Reden des Buddha, München 1922—K. Seidenstucker, Pāli Buddhismus in Übersetzungen, München-Neubiberg 1923.

3. Vinaya-Piṭaka

7 The Vinaya-Piṭaka¹ contains the monastic rules of the order of Buddhist monks. It consists of the following parts:

1. Suttavibhaṅga: (a) Pārājika, (b) Pācittiya;
2. Khandhaka (a) Mahāvagga, (b) Cullavagga.
3. Parivāra.

•1. The Suttavibhaṅga is based on the Pātimokkha.² This is very probably one of the oldest texts, containing the formulary for the ceremony of confession, as it was performed on Uposatha-days in the monastic orders. The SV. is a commentary on this text. The individual transgressions are divided into categories in the order of their seriousness: *pārājikā dhammā* entailing excommunication from the order, *saṃghādisesā dh.*, *aniyatā dh.*, then *pācittiyā dhammā*, *pāṭidesaniyā dh.*, *sekhiyā dh.* In SV. a story is always given at first stating when the particular transgression was committed for the first time. Then follows in the words of the Pātimokkha the respective regulation for the expiation of the transgression, as well as a philological explanation of it and a casuistic discussion of individual cases and possibilities. To the Mahāvibhaṅga meant for the monks there is added at the end of the SV. also a Bhikkhunīvibhaṅga of similar

¹ The Vinaya-Piṭakam, one of the principal Buddhist holy Scriptures in the Pāli Language, ed. H. Oldenberg, 5 vols., London 1879-83.—Vinaya Texts, transl. by T. W. Rhys Davids and H. Oldenberg, part I, II, III (=SBE. XIII, XVII, XX), London 1881-83.—For the Gāthās occurring in the Vin. see R. O. Franke, WZKM. 24. 1 ff. On the whole problem, see Winternitz, *op. cit.*, pp. 21 ff.

² Minayeff, Prātimokkha Sūtra, St. Petersburg, Akad. 1869; Dickson, The Pātimokkha, JRAS 1875, p. 1 ff. Translation in Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, VT. I. 1 ff. Cf. *Ibid.*, p. X ff., as well as the discussions about the relation between the Pāt. and SV. in Oldenberg, Vin. I, p. XV ff.

character.—2. The Khandhakas represent the positive counterpart to the SV. They contain the regulations which determine the course of life within the monastic order. The Mahāvagga consists of the first ten Khandhakas. Its introductory chapter gives a history of the time, from the Sambodhi to the founding of the first Saṅgha in Benares. The Cullavagga is but the continuation of the MV. At its end are added two supplementary Khandhakas (XI and XII) which contain the story of the first two Councils. Closely connected with the Khandhakas are the *kammavācā*, which are formularies for the various acts of the Church to be undertaken by the order. Seven such formularies are known, of which the *upasampadā-kammavācā*, the formulary for the ceremony of the initiation of a member into the order, is still much used among the southern Buddhists.¹ All the *kammavācā* known to us agree with the corresponding regulations of the Khandhakas, which are themselves only a collection of such formularies with more detailed explanation and justification.—3. The Parivāra, consisting of nineteen sections, is of later origin. In all probability it was originated only in Ceylon. This is certain of the introductory verses.² It is a manual of instruction about the contents of the Vinaya—a *mātikā* (table of contents) without any value of its own.

4. Sutta-Piṭaka

8. To the Sutta-Piṭaka belong firstly the first four Nikāyas—Dīgha-, Majjhima-, Saṃyutta and Anguttara-Nikāya. They are the "collections" of Suttas or Suttantas, *i.e.*, of speeches and dialogues of Buddha, or occasionally of his first disciples with their followers. Their form is prose sprinkled with verses. The individual Suttas vary according to the place and time of their origin. Instead of *nikāya* also the term *āgama*³ is used: Dīghāgama, etc. This is the expression universally used in northern Buddhist literature. The Sutta-Piṭaka

¹ F. Spiegel, *Kammavakya Palice* et Latine ed. Cf. further Dickson, JRAS. VII, N. Ser., p. 1 ff.; Baynes, JRAS. 1892, p. 68 ff.; Bowden, JRAS. 1893, p. 159 ff. Seven *Kammavācās* have been printed by Frankfurter, *Handbook of Pāli*, p. 141 ff. and Takakusu, *Pāli Chrestomathy*, p. 40 ff. Cf. also Rhys Davids (and Clauson), JPTS. 1907, 1 ff.

² It is said in it of Mahinda and the other messengers that they came "hereto" (*sāha i.e.*, to Ceylon), and then a number of famous Theras of the island are mentioned, including even Ariṭṭha, the nephew of king Devānappiyatissa, Mhvs. 19.66.

³ Childers, PD., *sub vocs.*

is the chief source of our knowledge of the dhamma. It is therefore often directly called *dhamma* as opposed to *vmaya*.¹

9. 1. The Dīgha-Nikāya "long collection" contains the longest Suttas.² As a collection it was complete already at a very early time, the Brahmajālasutta of D. is quoted in Samyutta-Nikāya IV. 286¹². R. O. Franke³ wanted to prove that the D. is a "homogeneously conceived literary work" and "a ho is work of some literatus." This is certainly wrong.⁴ Such a w militates against the fact that also inside the D. there are four con adictions just as in the canon taken as a whole. The external relations which Franke⁵ has proved to exist between individual Suttas only explain why a particular Sutta came to occupy a particular place in the collection. Nothing more can be inferred from them. A peculiar importance attaches to the 16. Sutta of the D., the Mahāparinibbāna-sutta,⁶ a running description of the events of the last weeks of the life of Buddha.—The number of Suttas in D. is 34; it is divided into three parts (Vagga): Sīlakkhandhavagga (1-13), Mahāvagga (14-23), Pāṭikavagga (24-34).

2. The Majjhima-Nikāya "middle collection" contains Suttas of middle length.⁷ Their value is very unequal; some (e.g., Nr. 82,

¹ On the importance of the SP., cf. Rhys Davids, Buddhism (Amer. Lect. on the Hist. of Religions), p. 59.

² The Dīgha-Nikāya, ed. Rhys Davids and Carpenter, 3 vols., London, PTS. 1890, 1903, 1911.—Translations: T. W. Rhys Davids and C. A. F. Rhys Davids, Dialogues of the Buddha, transl., Parts I, II, London 1899, 1910. = SBB II, III (Suttas 1-23); K. E. Neumann, Reden Gotamo Buddhō's, aus der längeren Sammlung Dīghanikāyo des Pali-Kanons übers., Bd I, II, München 1907, 1912; R. O. Franke, Dīghanikāya, das Buch der langen Texte des Buddh. Kanons in Ausw. übers., Göttingen und Leipzig 1913.—On the Gāthās in D., cf. R. O. Franke, JPTS. 1909, pp. 311-384.

³ D. übers., pp. XXX, XLII. Cf. also by the same author: Das einheitliche Thema des Dīghanikāya, WZKM 27 198 ff.

⁴ Franke's theory has been rejected not only by me (D. Literaturztg. 1914, No. 26, col. 1637 f.) but also by C. A. F. Rhys Davids (JRAS. 1914, p. 467), as well as by H. Oldenberg (Archiv f. Religionswissenschaft. 17.627).

⁵ ZDMG. 67.409 ff.

⁶ Translated by Rhys Davids, SBE. XI, p. 1 ff., SBB. III, p. 71 ff.; Dutoit, Leben des Buddha, p. 221 ff.; K. E. Neumann, Die letzten Tage Gotamo Buddhō's, München 1911; R. O. Franke, D. übers., p. 179 ff. On the relations between this Sutta and the Avadānaśataka of the Nepalese tradition, see Speyer, ZDMG. 53. 121 ff.

⁷ The Majjhima-Nikāya, Vol. I ed. Trenckner, Vols. II, III ed. Chalmers, London, PTS. 1886, 1898, 1899.—Translation: K. E. Neumann, Reden Gotamo Buddhō's aus der mittl. Samml. Majjhimanikāyo des Pali-Kanons übers., 3 vols., Leipzig 1896-1902.—On the Gāthās in M., cf. R. O. Franke, WZKM. 26. 171 ff.

83, 93) belong to the most beautiful pieces in the canon.—The number of Suttas in M. is 152, divided into three groups of fifty (*pañṇāsa*): *Mūlapañṇāsa* (1-50), *Majjhimapañṇāsa* (51-100), *Uparipañṇāsa* (101-152). As in the case of D, in M. too R. O. Franke would recognise no collection but an artificial literary work (*schriftstellerisch verfasstes Werk*), to which the *Suttanipāta* stands in relation of dependence.¹

10. The third and fourth *Nikāyas* are more pronouncedly later and supplementary collections. In extent they considerably exceed D. and M.—3. The *Samyutta-Nikāya*² gets its designation from the fact that its Suttas are grouped together (*samyutta*) according to their contents. The *Sakka-Samyutta*, for instance, contains those Suttas in which the god *Sakka* plays a rôle, the *Bojjhaṅga-Samyutta* is composed of those pieces in which the seven “elements of the highest knowledge” are discussed,³ etc. The most famous Sutta in S. is the *Dhammacakkapavattanasutta*, which contains the first sermon of Buddha with which he began his career as a world teacher⁴—The number of *Samyuttas* is 56, and that of the Suttas 2,889; the whole is divided into 5 parts (*vagga*).

4 The *Aṅguttara-Nikāya* (literally: “by-one-limb-more-collection”)⁵ is divided into 11 sections (*nipāta*). They are called *Eka-Nipāta* “section of one,” *Duka-Nipāta* “section of two,” etc., up to *Ekādasa-Nipāta* “section of eleven.” Every section contains Suttas dealing with subjects which are in some way or other connected with the number of the corresponding section. The first Sutta in the *Eka-Nipāta*, for instance, deals with the *one*, which more than anything else darkens the mind of man, that is, woman. The section of five begins with Suttas⁶ which deal with the *pañca sekkhabalāni*, etc. Various

¹ R. O. Franke, *Die Zusammenhänge der Majjhimanikāya-Suttas*, ZDMG. 68. 473 ff.; *Majjhimanikāya und Suttanipāta*, WZKM. 28. 261 ff.

² The *Samyutta-Nikāya* of the *Sutta-Piṭaka*, ed. L. Feer, 5 vols., London, PTS. 1884-98, Vol. VI *Indexes* by Mrs. Rhys Davids, 1904.—German translation by Wilhelm Geiger, München-Neubiberg, I, 1930; II, 1925.—The Book of the Kindred Sayings (*Samyutta N.*) trans. by Mrs. Rhys Davids and F. Woodward, 5 vols., London 1917-30.

³ S. I. 216 ff.; V. 63 ff.

⁴ S. V. 420 ff. = Vin. I. 10 ff.

⁵ The *Aṅguttara-Nikāya*, Vols. I, II, ed. Morris, London, PTS 1885, 1888; Vols. III-V, ed. E. Hardy, *Ibid.*, 1896-1900; Vol. VI, *Indexes* by M. Hunt, 1910. Cf. Leumann, GGA. 1899. Nr. 8, p. 585 ff.—Translations: B. Nyāpātīloka, *Reden des Buddha*, a. d. *Aṅguttara-Nikāya*, übers. und erl., 5 vols., München-Neubiberg 1923 ff.

⁶ A. III. 1 ff.

combinations are resorted to in the Nipātas of higher designations for which no corresponding subject could be found. Thus Sutta 28 of the "section of nine" is made of 5+4, Sutta 11 of the "section of eleven" of 3+3+3+2, etc.¹ In this scholastic method of grouping of subjects the A. resembles the Abhidhamma.—The number of Suttas is at least 2,308; the Nipātas are divided into *vaggas* containing as a rule 10 Suttas each. The largest number of Suttas contained in a *vagga* is 262; the lowest number is 7.

11. The 5th Nikāya of the Sutta-Piṭaka is the Khuddaka-Nikāya "the collection of short pieces." It contains texts of the most diverse characters, and it is significant that among the Buddhists of Ceylon, Burma and Siam there is no complete agreement as to the pieces belonging to it. In Ceylon Khuddaka-Nikāya is considered to consist of: 1 the Khuddakapāṭha,² a collection composed of only 9 short Sutta-like pieces. It is clearly a prayer book of daily use. Three of the Suttas (Nrs 5, 6, 9) occur also in Suttanipāta. Quite popular in character is the Tirokuddasutta (Nr 7) which deals with the *Petas*, the departed souls. It bears the character of a magic hymn. Particular verses out of it are still recited in Ceylon and Siam on the occasion of the cremation of dead bodies, as is reported by Seidenstücker.—2 The Dhammapada³ is a collection of 423 memorial verses—a famous anthology of Indian Spruchweisheit. According to contents it is divided into 26 parts (*vaggas*). Sometimes several consecutive verses form one close group—a small poem in itself. More than half the verses may be found also in other canonical texts. The compiler of the Dh. however certainly did not depend solely on these canonical texts but also made use of the great mass of pithy sayings which formed a vast floating literature in India.—3. The Udāna⁴ is a collection of solemn sayings of Buddha, mostly in metrical form. Accompanying stories in prose give the occasions on which they

¹ A. IV. 407, V. 326.

² Khuddaka Pāṭha, by R. A. Childers, JRAS., N.S. IV, 1870, p. 309ff.; Khuddaka-Pāṭho, Kurze Texte ... übers. u. erl. von Seidenstücker, Breslau 1910. Cf. 17.

³ Dhammapadam .. Palice ed., Latine vert. ... V. Fausboll, 1855; new edition by same, London 1900. Of translations I mention the English one by M. Müller (SBE. X, Part I, Oxford 1881, new edition 1898) and the German ones by L. von Schroeder ("Worte der Wahrheit"), Leipzig 1892, and Dhamma-Worte, verdeutschte von R. O. Franke, Jena 1923. Further literature in Winternitz, pp. 80-84.

⁴ Udānam, ed. P. Steinthal, London PTS. 1885. The Udāna .. transl. by Strong, London 1903. Udāna, German translation by K. Seidenstücker, Augsburg 1920.

were uttered. Altogether we have 82 stories divided into 8 parts (vagga).—4. The Itivuttaka¹ "Thus-has-been-said" closely resembles the Udāna. It contains the Master's sayings on morality. The number of the individual pieces, which are composed in a mixture of prose and verse, is 112. They are called Suttas and they either repeat the same thoughts at first in prose and then in verse, or in such a manner that the portions in prose and verse supplement each other. Like A. the Iv. is divided into Nipātas (Eka-, .Duka-, Tika-, Catukka-Nipāta), and the latter are again divided into vaggas.

12. 5. The Suttanipāta² of the Khuddaka-Nikāya is very archaic in character. Its first four parts (vagga) consist of 51 pieces; the 5th, called Pārāyanavagga, is a running poem in 18 sub-sections, and it contains the questions which the 16 disciples of Bāvarī ask Buddha, as well as their answers. Some pieces of the Sn. are like Akhyāna-poems in character. Narrative stanzas sometimes alternate in them with dialogue-stanzas, as for instance in the Nālakasutta (III. 11), or, as in the Selasutta (III. 7), the speeches are in verse and the introductory or connecting portions in prose.—6. Vimānavatthu³ and 7. Petavatthu⁴ belong to the later and the least happy parts of the canon. Their later origin—perhaps a short time before the third council—is proved less by their contents than by their language which is not always impeccable, the mention of Piṅgalakaḥ⁵ etc. The Vimānavatthu describes the grandeur of celestial palaces, in which the Devas live in reward of some good act performed in lifetime. It consists of 88 stories in seven parts (vagga). The Petavatthu consists of 51 stories in four vaggas, and it describes the sorrowful fate of the spirits or restless souls (peta), which have to expiate for the sins committed in lifetime.

¹ Iti-Vuttaka, ed. E. Windisch, London, PTS 1889; Sayings of the Buddha, the Iti-Vuttaka, transl. by J. H. Moore, New-York 1908; Itivuttaka, German translation by K. Seidenstücker, Leipzig 1921. On the text, cf. Moore, JPTS 1906-7, p. 176 ff.

² The Sutta-Nipāta, ed. V. Fausbøll, I. Text, II. Glossary. London; The Sutta-Nipāta, new ed by D. Andersen and H. Smith, London, PTS. 1913. Translation by V. Fausbøll, The Sutta-Nipāta transl., SBE. X, Part 2, Oxford 1881. A concordance of the Gāthās of Sn. by B. O. Franke, ZDMG. 63. 1 ff., 255 ff., 64. 1 ff.

³ The Vimāna-Vatthu, ed. Gooneratne, London, PTS. 1886 (uncritical). On the commentary on Vv., see below, 23. 3.

⁴ Petavatthu, ed. Minayeff, London, PTS. 1888. W. Stede, Die Gespenstergeschichten des Peta Vatthu, Leipzig 1914.

⁵ Cf. above, p. 13, f.-n. 1.

13. Like Vv. and Pv. also 8. the Theragāthā and 9. Therīgāthā¹ are metrical in form. These are collections of strophes which are attributed to renowned monks (Thera) and nuns (Therī). Often several strophes together form short poems. There is no doubt that these strophes contain much that belongs to the authentic Buddhistic literature of the earliest times. Many verses however might have been fabricated by the collectors or the redactors on the basis of fragmentary reminiscences. As for the authors, the ascription of verses to a particular monk or a particular nun might in most cases be quite arbitrary. It is however not impossible that in some cases the ascription of verses to these authors is based on a dependable tradition. The Thera- and the Therīgāthās can on no account be regarded as a "homogeneous work of a single intellect," even if due concession is made in this respect for the notorious utilisation of existing Gāthās.² The number of Theragāthās is 1,279, and that of Therīgāthās 522; the former are divided into 21 and the latter into 16 Nīpātas, called Eka-, Dukanipāta, etc., according as they contain one strophe or more attributed to one and the same author.—10. The Jātakas³ are a collection of strophes which from the beginning presuppose accompanying prose narratives. Each of the latter contains the story of the Buddha in one of his earlier existences. Only the verses however were regarded as canonical; the prose narrative was left more or less to the discretion of the reciters. The strophes are very different in character. Sometimes they give the morals of the story, sometimes the questions and answers of the characters in the story form the subject of the verses, and sometimes the verses represent both the dialogue and the narrative. In the later Jātakas the narrative verses are predominant and they often combine to form ballads or epic poems. In the face of such diversity I cannot understand how "the mass of the Jātaka-Gāthās as a whole" may be regarded as "the personal product of a single author."⁴ The Jātaka-verses are doubtless a "collection." This is suggested also by its quite

¹ The Thera- and Therī-Gāthā, ed. H. Oldenberg and R. Pischel, London, PTS. 1883.—Translations: K. E. Neumann, *Die Lieder der Mönche und Nonnen Gotamo Buddho's*, Berlin 1899; Mrs. Rhys Davids, *Psalms of the Early Buddhists*, I, II, London PTS, 1909, 1913

² R. O. Franke, ZDMG. 63.16¹¹.

³ Cf. below in 23 for literature.

⁴ R. O. Franke, WZKM. 20. 318. For the contrary view, cf. Winternitz, *op. cit.*, pp. 122-23. Moreover, Franke himself modifies his statement to such an extent that it is quite doubtful whether in his own opinion too the expression "author" should not be replaced by "redactor."

artificial division into Nipātas as in the case of Thera- and Therīgāthās. The "section of ones" (Ekanipāta) consists of verses of which only one at a time belongs to a particular story; in the "section of twos" two verses belong to each story, etc

14. The last pieces of the Kh. N are 11 the Niddesa, a commentary to a part of the Suttanipāta, traditionally ascribed to Sāriputta. This text has not yet been edited.—12. The Paṭisambhidāmagga,¹ dealing with the knowledge attained by the Arahant, belongs rather to the Abhidhamma literature both in form and contents.—13. The Apadāna,² most parts of which are still unedited, is a collection of legends in verse, in which are glorified the noble deeds (Apadāna) of Buddhistic saints in previous existences. This work is certainly one of the youngest in the canon, but hardly younger than the Avadānas of the Sanskrit Buddhist literature.—14. The Buddhavaṃsa³ is also metrical in form; in 28 cantos it delineates the story of 24 former Buddhas as well as of Gotama Buddha, recited by the latter himself.—15. The Cariyāpiṭaka⁴ is a selection of 25 metrical Jātakas. Buddha himself explains in them how in previous existences he had fulfilled the ten Pāramitās "perfections," which are the pre-condition of Buddhahood. In the first four Nikāyas the doctrine of the Pāramitās is still unknown. Winterintz⁵ rightly characterises the Cp. as the artificial production of "an excellent monk who was anything but a poet" and who manufactured edifying stories for the elucidation of the doctrine on the basis of the existing Jātakas. There were various recensions of the Cp.⁶ One of them is mentioned in the Nīlānakathā of the Jātaka-book, but only two-thirds of the stories agree with ours.

5. Abhidhamma-Piṭaka

15. The Abhidhamma is not a systematic philosophy, but merely a supplement to the *dharmma*.⁶ The works belonging to it mostly

¹ Paṭisambhidāmagga, ed. A. C. Taylor I, II, London, PTS. 1905, 1907. Index by M. Hunt, JPTS. 1908, p. 152 ff.

² Texts out of the Apadāna in E. Müller's edition of Th2Co. See below, 25.2. Cf. further, E. Müller, Verhandlungen des X. Orientalisten-Kongr. in Genf, 1894, I 165 ff.; L. Feer, JAs. 1893, s. 8, t. I, p. 403, 433 ff.

³ The Buddhavaṃsa and the Cariyāpiṭaka, ed. R. Morris, London, PTS. 1882.

⁴ Buddh. Lit., p. 164.

⁵ Charpentier, Zur Gesch. des Cp., WZKM. 24, 1910, p. 351 ff.

⁶ Rhys Davids, Buddhism (Amer. Lect.), p. 62.

contain merely detailed elucidations of various topics dealing with ethics, psychology or theory of knowledge which are mentioned in the canon. Its form is throughout scholastic. The themes are schematically classified; they are not properly defined but rather described by multiplying synonyms, and they are brought into all possible combinations considered as they are from the most different points of view. The Abhidhamma is highly venerated particularly in Burma. The first suggestions of the Abhidhamma are found already in the dry and schematic enumerations in the *Āṅguttara-Nikāya* of the *Sutta-Piṭaka*, the last two Suttantas of the *Dīgha-Nikāya*¹ and similar pieces.

16. The following seven works belong to the Abhidhamma: 1. *Dhammasaṅgani*² "enumeration of psychical phenomena,"—a psychological work—2. *Vibhaṅga*³ "differentiation," a supplement and continuation of the preceding.—3. *Kathāvatthu*,⁴ which has been already referred to in 1. It is perhaps historically the most important book in the Abhidhamma. I consider the tradition about its origin to be quite trustworthy.—4. The *Puggalapaññatti*⁵ "description of individuals" deals with the various personalities and characters in the form of questions and answers.—5. The *Dhātukathā* or the *Dhātukathāpakaraṇa*⁶ "discussion of the elements" deals with the various psychic phenomena and their relation to the categories.—6. The *Yamaka*⁷ "book of pairs" is a work on applied logic, and it derives its name apparently from the fact that all psychic phenomena are considered in it in the light of a particular thesis and its opposite antithesis.—7. The *Paṭṭhānappakarāṇa* or *Mahāpaṭṭhāna*⁸ is a voluminous work. It deals with causality, but is very difficult to understand. The best European expert in the Abhidhamma, Mrs. Rhys Davids, says of this

¹ The *Saṃgīti* and the *Dasuttarasuttanta* D III. 207 ff., 272 ff

² The *Dhammasaṅgani*, ed. E. Muller, London, PTS. 1885; C. Rhys Davids, *A Buddhist Manual of Psychological Ethics*, being a translation of the *Dhammasaṅgani*, London 1900.

³ The *Vibhaṅga*, ed. Mrs. Rhys Davids, London, PTS 1904

⁴ *Kathāvatthu*, ed. A. C. Taylor I, II. London PTS 1894, 1897.

⁵ The *Puggala-Paññatti*, ed. R. Morris, London, PTS 1883, *Nvānatiloka*, *Puggala Paññatti*, das Buch der Charaktere übers., Breslau 1910.

⁶ The *Dhātu Kathā Pakaraṇa* and its commentary, ed. E. R. Gooneratne, London, PTS. 1892.

⁷ The *Yamaka*, ed. C. Rhys Davids I, II, London, PTS. 1911, 1913.

⁸ Only the first part of the *Dukapattthāna* has been edited by Mrs. Rhys Davids London, PTS 1906. Cf. JPTS 1896, 33-34.

work¹: "the text remains very difficult and obscure to the uninitiated Western mind, and I am far from pretending to solve any one of its problems"

Supplement : The Paritta

17. The Paritta or Mahāparitta² is a collection of canonical texts for popular magical use. In Ceylon such Paritta-ceremonies (Sgh. *pirit*) are still in vogue. As Seidenstücker says, such ceremonies are performed on various occasions, such as the building of a new house, death, illness, etc.³ On these occasions the texts collected in the Paritta are recited. There are altogether 28 pieces, of which 7 are taken from the Khuddakapāṭha (cf 11. 1), which seems to have been a predecessor of the Paritta. Also in Burma the Paritta is held in high esteem by the laity. It is not known when the present Paritta was compiled. Magical rites are, however, known in Buddhism from the earliest times. It is said of Buddha himself,⁴ that he taught a "snake charm" to his disciples: it is significant that the purpose of the charm is to completely envelop the snakes with one's own spirit of benevolence (*mettena cittena pharitum*), so that it may not do any harm to anybody. It is also said in the book of Milinda that the *parittā* were taught by Buddha.⁵ Of the six texts which are then cited as examples, five are found in our Paritta. The commentary of the Dhammapada relates⁶ of a great exorcism, which was performed by Ānanda during the life-time of Buddha by means of the Ratanasutta of the Suttanipāta.

¹ Duka Pp̄h. I, Preface, p. XIV.

² The text in Frankfurter, Handbook of Pali, pp. 81-139. Cf. Seidenstücker, Khuddaka-Pāṭho, p. 29 ff. M. Bode, Pali Lit. of Burma, p. 3 f. The word *parittā* signifies "Protection (from evil spirits)"; *parittāsutta* "magical cord (tied round the wrist as an amulet)" JāCo. I, 396¹³ (here also *parittāvālikā*), Mhvs 7 14; *parittam karoti* "performs a magical ceremony," JāCo. II 34¹⁵.

³ Cf. also JRAS., Ceylon Branch, VII, Nr. 23, p. 38; VIII. Nr. 29, p. 321ff

⁴ Vin II. 109-110; A. II. 72.

⁵ Milp. p. 150f. Cf. M. Bode, ibid.

⁶ DhCo. III. 441 ff.

II. THE NON-CANONICAL LITERATURE

I Period

From the Completion of the Canon to the 5th Century A.D.

18. According to the Indian tradition it was Mahinda who brought to Ceylon along with the canon also an *Aṭṭhakathā*, a commentary on it. The authenticity of this tradition however cannot be proved. It is at all events certain that in Ceylon already at an early time there was a commentary literature of considerable magnitude and multifarious contents. On this commentary literature is based not only the later commentaries such as those of Buddhaghosa, but also the historical literature beginning with the *Dipavaṃsa*. This *Aṭṭhakathā* of Ceylon seems to have been still existing and accessible even in the 12th century.¹ In the opening verses of his *Vinaya*-commentary, the *Samantapāsādikā*, Buddhaghosa mentions the *Aṭṭhakathā* as the source of his own work.² Buddhaghosa says that the *Aṭṭhakathā* was composed in the (old) Sinhalese language. In order to make it accessible to the Bhikkhus, and at the suggestion of the Thera Buddhāsiri, he translated it into Pāli. The chief source was the *Mahā-Aṭṭhakathā*; but he turned into account also the materials of other commentaries, such as the *Mahāpaccarī* and the *Kurundī-Aṭṭhakathā*. The two *Tīkā*s on *Smp.*, the *Vajirabuddhi* and the *Sāratthadīpanī*,³ mention moreover the *Cullapaccarī*, the *Anlhaṭṭhakathā* the *Pannavāra*, and the *Samkhepaṭṭhakathā*. According to the *Saddhammasaṃgaha* (14th century) the *Mahā-Aṭṭhakathā* was the commentary on the *Sutta-Piṭaka*, the *Mahāpaccarī* on the *Abhidhamma*, and the *Kurundī* on *Vinaya*.⁴ Also the *Gandhavaṃsa*⁵ mentions the same three *Aṭṭha-*

¹ This is quite evident from the data of the *Mahāvamsa-Tīkā*, ZDMG 63 549-550. R. O. Franke's objection (D. XLV³⁵) may be met simply by consulting the contents of the passages of the *Mhvs. Tī.* quoted by me.

² Edited by Saya U Pye I 2¹ ff. Cf. also the introductions to the *Sumaṅgala-vīlāsini*, *Manorathapūraṇī*, etc.

³ In Minayeff, *Prātimoksha*, p. VII, note 10. Look there for the meaning of the title.

⁴ *Sdhs.*, JPTS 1890, p. 55f. Cf. also Minayeff, *Recherches sur le Bouddhisme*, p. 284. It is however remarkable that Buddhaghosa does not mention the *Kurundī* as the chief source of his *Smp.*

⁵ *Gnvs.*, JPTS. 1886, pp. 59 and 68.

kathās, and in fact the Aṭṭhakathā par excellence (*i.e.* , the Mahā-A.) is ascribed to the Porāṇācariyā, and the two others are regarded as works of the Gandhācariyā—which clearly shows that they are later. Nothing has been directly preserved of all these old Ceylonese Aṭṭhakathās.

19. There are preserved from the time before Buddhaghosa: 1. The Nettippakaraṇa “book of guidance,” also called simply Netti, and 2. the Peṭakopadesa “instruction on the Piṭaka” or the Petaka.¹ In Burma these two works are regarded as canonical.² As the titles show, they serve as introduction to the teachings of Buddhism. According to tradition they were composed by Mahākaccāyana, one of the most prominent disciples of Buddha.³ This is however certainly not true. The author was probably Kaccāyana by name and was hence identified with the renowned disciple of Buddha. The same was the case also with the grammarian Kaccāyana. The problem of the time of origin of these two works has been discussed by E. Hardy⁴ in the light of both internal and external evidences. He assigns to them a date about the beginning of the Christian era. In connection with the Netti and the Petaka let us also mention 3. the Suttasamgaha⁵ “collection of the Suttas.” It is the only comprehensive anthology of Suttas as well as of texts such as Vimānavatthu, etc. We know nothing about the time of its origin or about its author. This work has however to be mentioned here because, along with Netti, Peṭaka and the book of Milinda, it is considered in Burma to belong to the “canonical” Khuddaka-Nikāya.⁶

20. The Milindapañhā⁷ “Questions of Milinda,” contains in the style of Suttas a dialogue between King Milinda and the Thera Nāgasena about the most important problems of Buddhism.⁸ In contents

¹ The Nettī-Pakarana with extracts from Dhammapāla's commentary, ed. E. Hardy, London, PTS. 1902 (Of. J. d'Alwis, Catal., p. 70 ff.); Specimen des Peṭakopadesa (Dissertation) by R. Fuchs, Berlin 1908.

² M. Bode, Pali Lit. of Burma, p. 4 f.

³ Gns. p. 49. The authorship of Mahākaccāyana is claimed for the Netti both in the introductory verses and at the end.

⁴ Netti, Introd., p. VIII ff.

⁵ Oldenberg, Catal., p. 80, Nr. 44; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 31, Nr. 92.

⁶ Cf. f.-n. 2.

⁷ This is probably the correct title.

⁸ The Milindapañho...ed. V. Trenckner, London 1880.—Translations; Rhys. Davids, The Questions of King Milinda, transl., I, II=SBE XXXV, XXXVI, London 1890, 1894. Cf. R. Garbe, ein histor. Roman aus Altindien. Rodenbergs Deutsche Rundschau,

the book represents the orthodox point of view of the Theravādins. As the quotations occurring in it show, the canon known to the author does not differ in anything from the Pāli canon.¹ Milinda is the Indian form of the name of the Graeco-Bactrian king Menander (end of the 2nd century B.C.), who was evidently well disposed towards Buddhism, but of whom it remains unknown whether he actually became a Buddhist.² Our Milindapañhā is very probably based on a Buddhist Sanskrit work, composed in North-West India about the beginning of the Christian era.³ The translation into Pāli took place in Ceylon, already before Buddhaghosa who quotes it repeatedly. The original work was much shorter as the Chinese translations show.⁴ The real contents of the work ended with Chapter 2, at the end of which we find in our Pāli text the remark: *Nāgasena-Milindarāja-pañhā niṭṭhitā*. Its introduction too was much shorter in the original than in the Pāli version. It was considerably extended in Ceylon by various additions after existing models.⁵ It is not improbable that there were various versions of it in Pāli; also the fragments of a metrical version are found in the Pāli text

21. To the works of the age before Buddhaghosa belong also the 'Dīpavaṃsa' "the island chronicle,"⁶ a history of Ceylon up to the end of the reign of Mahāsena (325-352 A.D.). As Buddhaghosa knows the Dpvs., it must have been composed between 352 and about 450 A.D. Regarded from the literary point of view it is certainly a very weak performance. The author possessed only a very imperfect knowledge of Pāli and in language he is wholly dependent on his sources by

Vol 112, p 261 ff., 1902; F. O. Schrader, *Die Fragen des Königs Menandros I*, Berlin 1907; Winternitz, *Buddhist Lit.*, p. 174 ff. A translation of the Milp was begun also by Nyānatiloka, fascicles 1-3, Leipzig 1914.

¹ Rhys Davids, *Questions I*, p. XIV ff

² See Rhys Davids, *Questions I*, p. XIX ff., V. Smith, *Early History of India*, pp. 187, 226; von Gutschmid, *Gesch. Irans*, p. 104 ff.

³ Rhys Davids, *Questions I*, p. XLV ff.

⁴ B. Nanjio, *Catal. of the Buddhist Tripiṭaka*, Nr. 1858; E. Specht, *Deux Traductions Chinoises du Milindapañho* (with Introduction by Sylv. Lévi), *Transact. IXth Congress of Or.*, London 1893, p. 520; Takakusu, *JRAS.* 1896, p. 1 ff.; E. Specht, *JAs. sér.* 9, t. VII, 1896, p. 155.

⁵ Thus, as Rhys Davids, *Ibid*, I, p. 8, f.-n. 2 has noticed, the story of the dispute with Purāṇa-Kassapa and Makkhali-Gosāla is only an echo of the introduction to the *Sāmaññaphalasuttanta*, D.I. 47. The story of Nāgasena's relation with Rohaṇa (Milp. 8th ff) corresponds, as I have to point out, exactly to the story related in Mbvs. 5. 181 ff.

⁶ The *Dīpavaṃsa*...ed. and transl. H. Oldenberg, London 1879; W. Geiger, *Dīpavaṃsa und Mahāvaṃsa*, Leipzig 1905. Cf. *IA.* 35, 1906, p. 153 ff.

studying which he collected his materials. R. O. Franke was quite right in all this.¹ But he is quite wrong when, confusing form with contents, he refuses to recognise in Dpvs any historical value. In contents the Chronicle is based on the historical portions of the old Aṭṭhakathā. That the informations given in it are not quite imaginary² is proved by its frequent agreement with the tradition current in continental India. The Dpvs is therefore the vehicle of an old historical tradition, and it is the duty of historical criticism to examine it minutely and assess its worth.

II Period

From the 5th to the 11th Century

22. The beginning of the 2nd period is characterised by commentaries which were composed on the Tipiṭaka and which are based on the Ceylonese Aṭṭhakathā. Buddhaghosa is the greatest figure in this commentary literature. He was born in a Brahmin family of Northern India and came to Ceylon during the reign of king Mahānāma (458-480). He studied the Tipiṭaka and the Aṭṭhakathā in the Mahāvihāra of Anurādhapura and afterwards showed a very fruitful literary activity. The details about his life as handed down by tradition are probably nothing but legends.³ The commentaries composed by him on the Tipiṭaka are the following⁴ :

- | | |
|---|-----------------------|
| I. Vin. 1. Samantapāsādikā ⁵ | =Co. on Vinaya-Piṭaka |
| 2. Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī ⁶ | =Co. on Pātimokkha |

¹ WZKM. 21. 203 ff., 317 ff. My reply in ZDMG. 63. 540 ff., and again Franke, D., Introduction, p. XLIV f. Winternitz, Buddh. Lit., p. 210 ff. has accepted my view.

² When Franke, JPTS. 1908, p. 1 says "that in the absence of any sources, the last-named work—namely, precisely this same Dpvs.—must be considered as standing unsupported on its own tottering feet," he is expressing as clearly as possible that the author of the Chronicle had freely invented his data! Who can however say that seriously?

³ Buddhaghosuppatti, ed. Gray; Mhvs. 37. 165 ff. (Colombo edition); Sdhs. 51 ff.; Sāsvs. 28 ff. Cf. Minayeff, Recherches sur le Bouddhisme, p. 189 ff.; Winternitz, Buddh. Lit., p. 190 ff. Cf. B. C. Law, The Life and Work of Buddhaghosa, Calcutta and Simla 1923. Of the commentaries on the Tipiṭaka, many have been published in Bangkok (Siamese script), Rangoon (Burmese script), Colombo (S. Hewavitana Request, 1917 ff., Ceylonese script). The editions of the PTS. are given in the regular reports of the Society. Edition of the Visuddhinagga by C. A. F. Rhys Davids, 2 vols., PTS. 1920-21.

⁴ Gnvs., p. 59; de Zoysa, Catal., p. 2-3.

⁵ Edited in Burmese script by Saya u Pye, 4 vols., Rangoon 1902, 1903. The historical Introduction in Oldenberg, Vin Piṭ. III. 283 ff. For a Chinese version of it, see Takakusu, JPTS. 1896, p. 415 ff.

⁶ Unpublished.

- II. SP. 3. *Sumaṅgalavilāsini* ¹ = Co. on *Digha-Nikāya*.
 4. *Papañcasūdanī* ² = „ „ *Majjhima-Nikāya*
 5. *Sāratthapakāsinī* ³ = „ „ *Saṃyutta-Nikāya*
 6. *Manorathapūraṇī* ⁴ = „ „ *Anguttara-Nikāya*
 7. *Paramatthajotikā* = „ „ *Khuddaka-Nikāya*, Nr. 1, 5
- III. Abh P. 8. *Atthasālinī* ⁵ = „ „ *Dhammasaṅgaṇi*
 9. *Samṃohavinodanī* ⁶ = „ „ *Vibhaṅga*
 10. *Pañcappakaraṇaṭṭhakathā* ⁷ = „ „ AbhP., Nr. 5, 4,
 3, 6, 7.

The commentaries of the Abhidhamma seem to have borne the general designation *Paramatthakathā* ⁸. Also the commentaries on the *Jāta* and the *Dhammapada* are ascribed to Buddhaghosa, about which further below. Also the *Apadānas* are said to have been commented upon by him. ⁹ While still in India he is said to have composed a work called *Nānodaya*, as well as an *Atthasālinī* ¹⁰ which was probably a first sketch of the later commentary on *Dhammasaṅgaṇi*.

Along with the commentaries of Buddhaghosa should be mentioned also his *Visuddhimagga* "Path of purification." ¹¹ It is a kind

¹ Edited by Saya u Pye, 3 vols., Rangoon 1903. Further the *Sumaṅgala-Vilāsini*, Part I, ed. Rhys Davids and Carpenter, London, PTS., 1886. Of a Sinhalese edition (by Saranaṅkara) in Ceylon 3 parts only have appeared.

² 5 fascicles have appeared of a Sinhalese edition from Colombo (1898-1911).

³ Colombo edition, Parts 1-7 (1900-11).

⁴ Edition by Dharmārāma (Singh. script), Peliyagoda 1898-1907; second edition 1904-13.

⁵ *Atthasālinī*, ed. E. Müller, London, PTS., 1897. Further edition by Saya u Pye, Rangoon 1902.

⁶ Edition by Saya u Pye, Rangoon 1902.

⁷ Edition by Saya u Pye, Rangoon 1902. The commentary on *Kyu.* was edited by Minayeff, JPTS. 1889, p. 1 ff.; that on *Dhk.* by Gooneratne as appendix to the edition of this text, London, PTS., 1892, p. 114 ff.; that on *Yam.* by Mrs. Rhys Davids, JPTS. 1912, p. 51 ff.

⁸ Gnvs. 59²⁵.

⁹ Gnvs. 59²⁷⁻³⁰. Cf. de Zoysa, Catal., p. 2. Nr. 13; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 31, Nr. 91.

¹⁰ Sāsvs. 315-6.

¹¹ *Visuddhi-Magga* with Sinhalese paraphrase, Colombo 1890 ff. (JPTS. 1912, p. 154). Cf. de Zoysa, Catal., p. 16. An analysis of the *Vism.* by Warren, JPTS. 1893, p. 76 ff. Translations by same, *Buddhism in Translations*, pp. 145, 150, 155, etc. Cf. also Carpenter, JPTS. 1890, p. 14 ff.

of encyclopaedia of Buddhist doctrines divided into three parts: *śīla*, *samādhi*, *paññā*. The origin of this work, which is held in high esteem by the Southern Buddhists of the present day, is shrouded in legends of all kinds.¹

23. Whether the *Jātakatṭhavaṇṇanā*,² the commentary on the *Jātaka*-verses mentioned above in 13 under Nr. 10, was also composed by Buddhaghosa, is not certain. The *Jātaka*-commentary³ as it appears in Fausbøll's edition, contains in all 547 stories, which are referred to former births of the Buddha and which are therefore called *Jātakas* "birth stories." Every story consists of four parts: 1. the canonical *Gāthās*, 2. the *Atitavattṭhūni*, "the stories of the past," i.e., the prose narratives belonging to the *Gāthās*; 3. the *Paccuppannavattṭhūni* "stories of the present," in which is described the occasion on which the particular *Jātaka* was told, along with the appended *samodhānāni*, in which is mentioned what characters have been assumed at present by the persons in the *Jātaka*; 4. the *Veyyākaraṇāni*, the "commentaries," in which the verses are explained word by word.⁴ The whole work is preceded

¹ Cf. *Mhvs.* 87, 184 ff. (Columbo edition), *Sāsvs* 306, 311³.

² The *Jātaka* together with its Commentary ed. by V. Fausbøll, 6 vols., London 1877-96 (7th vol. contains the indices by D. Andersen). Preliminary to this edition the author published the following three studies. (a) Five *Jātakas*, Copenhagen 1861, (b) The *Dasaratha-Jātaka*, Copenhagen 1871, (c) Ten *Jātakas*, Copenhagen 1872.—Translations: Rhys Davids, *Buddhist Birth Stories or Jātaka Tales I*, London 1880; The *Jātaka*, transl. by various scholars under the editorship of E. B. Cowell, 7 vols., Cambridge 1895-1913; Dutoit, *Jātakam, das Buch der Erzählungen aus früheren Existenzen Buddhas*, übers., Leipzig 1908 ff.

³ See L. Feer, *JAs.*, sér. 7, t. V, 357 ff. and VI. 243 ff. (1875); sér. 9, t. V. 31 ff., 189 ff. and t. IX. 288 ff. (1895, 1897). See von Oldenburg, *JRAS.* 1893, p. 301 ff.; L. Feer, *Proceedings of the XI Oriental Congress, Paris 1899*, Sect. 1, p. 151 ff.; R. O. Franke, *BB.* 22. 289 ff. (1897) as well as *WZKM.* 20. 317 ff. (1906); T. W. Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, pp. 189 ff. (1903); H. Oldenberg, *Lit. des a. Ind.*, p. 103 ff. (1903); Charpentier, *ZDMG.* 66.38 ff., particularly p. 41, f-n 2 (1912). An excellent treatment of the subject is given by Winternitz, *Buddh. Lit.*, pp. 113-56 (1933). I am inclined to attach more importance to the *Jātakas* as a source of knowledge about Indian culture about Buddha's time than Winternitz is prepared to do. I agree with the judgment given by Bühler, *Indian Studies III* (*Sitzungsber. d. Wiener A. d. W.*, phil.-hist. Cl., Vol. CXXXII), p. 18 ff.

⁴ The various portions are not as sharply divided as may be suggested by the typographical contrivances in Fausbøll's edition. The hand of the redactor may be perceived often and again in the relations between them. Thus, e.g., in II. 295¹³, as Franke has pointed out, the phrase *tam eva udapānam* of the *Atitavattṭhu* belongs to the *Paccuppannavattṭhu*.

by an introduction called the *Nidānakathā*, which contains the story of the Buddha in his earlier existences and in his last birth up to the dedication of the *Jetavanāvihāra* in *Sāvattthi*.

In external form the *Jātaka*-commentary is in my opinion the work of a priest of Ceylon,¹ be he *Buddhaghosa*² or some other scholar not far from him in time. But the author has taken his material from the old *Atthakathā*.³ The *Atthakathā* again is based on oral tradition. There is no doubt that from the very beginning the *Gāthās* were handed down together with the stories—without the latter the former often give no sense at all. But the two portions have been handed down in different manners. The verse portion was fixed and unchangeable; but the prose portion containing the story was left more or less to the discretion of the rhapsodists. This also explains the contradictions which are sometimes found between the verses and the prose, and which was certainly present already in the *Atthakathā*.⁴ In short, the *Jātakas* are of the type of *Ākhyānas*,⁵ but it is far from the truth that all the *Jātakas* are of this type. Also stories without any verse were incorporated into the collection. Such are, for instance, the *Jātakas* with the *Abhisambuddhagāthās*,⁶ in which the *Gāthās* are not placed in the story itself but are fathered on Buddha at the end in the *samodhāna*. On the other hand, particularly in the *Jātakas* of the last books, in which the connecting prose shrinks almost into nothing in comparison with the verses, we see how the epic poetry is being gradually developed out of the older *Ākhyānas*. The *Jātakas* were utilised at a very early time for the purpose of didactic sermons. This explains their extraordinary popularity from the early days of the Buddhist church⁷ to the

¹ Cf. *JāCo.* IV. 490²⁰, V. 254¹.

² According to E. Müller, *Gurupūjākanmudī*, p. 54 ff., *Buddhaghosa* himself was the author.

³ The *Atthakathā* is often mentioned also in the *Jātaka Thesaurus*, and that in contradistinction from *Pāli*, the canonical text consisting of the *Gāthās*, *JāCo.* II. 294³, ⁹; 299⁴, ⁵; VI. 279²⁸ etc.

⁴ Cf. H. Luders, *NGGW.* 1897, p. 40 ff.; Hertel, *ZDMG.* 60. 399 ff. (also 68. 64 ff.); Charpentier, *ZDMG.* 62. 725 ff.; Winternitz, *Ibid.*, p. 119, f. n. 2

⁵ Oldenberg, *JPTS.* 1912, p. 19 ff. against A. B. Keith, *JRAS.* 1911, p. 985.

⁶ Senart, *JAs.*, sér. 9, t. XVII. 385 ff.

⁷ Hence pictures of scenes out of the *Jātakas* already in the Indian art of the 3rd century B.C.; Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, p. 198 ff. See, von Oldenburg, *JAm-Or. Soc.* XVIII. 1897, p. 183 ff.; Hultzsch, *JRAS.* 1912, p. 406; A. Foucher, *L'art gréco-bouddhique du Gandhāra*, I. 1905, p. 270 ff.

present day. The contents of the Jātakas are not however specifically Buddhistic, but the common property of the Indian people as is proved, *inter alia*, by the numerous points of similarity and agreement between the Jātakas and the epics.¹ But the Buddhists have adapted these folk-tales and drawn them into their own sphere. Examples are not wanting which show how such folk-tales became Jātakas.² The process of incorporation takes place in the Paccuppannavatthūni. They are therefore later elements than the Atitavatthūni, although I believe that they too belonged to the Atṭhakathā. The differences between the scenes of action is very striking.³ The "stories of the past" are mostly referred to Western and Northern India (Gandhāraratṭha, etc.), but the "stories of the present" to the East (Kosalaratṭha, Magadharatṭha, etc.).

24. The Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā⁴ is later than the Jātaka-Commentary. According to the introductory verses, which are however quite stereotyped, it is the Pāli translation of an original Singhalese Atṭhakathā. In my opinion it is quite improbable that Buddhaghosa⁵ himself was the author of this commentary. But it is quite probable that this later work was greatly influenced by older prototypes. The Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā gives to every Gāthā or every group of Gāthās of the Dh. a particular story supposed to be a sermon (Dhammadesanā) of Buddha himself, at the end of which he recites the respective verses. These stories are more specifically Buddhistic than the Jātakas. Buddhistic priests and saints are the main characters in them. Not infrequently even Jātakas proper, the Atitavatthūni, are introduced: legends of a previous birth of one of the characters in the main story. Several of these interpolated stories may be traced in the Jātaka-Commentary,⁶ and, on the other hand,

¹ Cf. Winternitz, *Buddh. Lit.*, p. 122, f.-n. 3.

² Thus, for instance, the story of the quail in S. V. 146 ff. had become the Jātaka II. 59 f., the story of Dīghāvu in Vin. I. 342 ff. has become Jāt. III 211 ff.; Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, p. 194; Winternitz *Ibid.* p. 115. On the other hand the Sutta in M. II. 45 ff. is a true Jātaka although it is not contained in our collection; Rhys Davids, p. 196.

³ Fausbøll, *Jāt.* VII, postscript, p. VI ff.

⁴ The Commentary of the Dhammapada, ed. H. C. Norman, London, PTS., 4 vols. 1906-14; Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā, ed. Nāṇissara, Colombo 1891-1906.

⁵ *Gnvs.*, p. 597, 887.

⁶ Cf., e.g., DhCo. I. 265 ff. with JāCo. I. 199 ff.; DhCo. III. 124 ff. with JāCo. II. 165 ff.; DhCo. III. 141 ff. with JāCo. III. 383 ff.

many of its main stories correspond to the Paccuppannavatthūni of the Jātakas.¹ The literary style is largely influenced by the numerous quotations from the Jātakas, the Nikāyas, from Vimāna- and Peta-vaithu, and from Suttanipāta and Vinaya.² The numerous cases of agreement in contents between the DhCo. and other works³ can naturally be explained also on the hypothesis of common borrowing from a third source.

25. Along with Buddhaghosa should be mentioned:—1. Buddhadatta, who was his contemporary according to tradition.⁴ He is reputed to be the author of the *Madhuratthavilāsini* (or *Madhuratthapakāsani*) a commentary on the Buddhavaṃsa, as well as of the *Vinayavinicchaya*, a compendium of the Vinaya in Pāli verse, the *Uttaravinicchaya* of similar contents,⁵ the *Abhidhammāvatāra*,⁶ a handbook of Buddhist metaphysics, as well as a *Jinālamkāra*, which is certainly not the work of the same name which will be described below (34.8) With the possible exception of the first named work, everything is problematic about them. There might have been a scholar named Buddhadatta at the time of Buddhaghosa, but it appears to me that the tradition has ascribed to him also the work of a namesake of his who lived at a later age.—2. Ānanda, of continental India,⁷ is the author of the *Mūlaṭīkā* or *Abhidhamma-Mūlaṭīkā*,⁸ the oldest sub-commentary to the Aṭṭhakathās of Abhidhamma. He is said to have composed it at the instance of Buddhāmitta, who moved also Buddhaghosa to write his Papañcasūdanī.⁹ If that is true, then

¹ Compare, e.g., DhCo. I 239 ff. with Jāco. I. 114 ff.; DhCo. III. 178 ff. with Jāco. IV. 167 ff.

² Cf. the indices in Norman's edition.

³ Thus the stories DhCo. III. 104 ff. and 290 ff. = VvCo. 75 ff. and 220 ff.; the story II. 112 ff. occurs in Buddhaghosa's *Manorāthapūraṇī*; the motif of the story I. 120 ff. reappears in Mhvs 32.63 ff.

⁴ Sāsvs. 292²⁸, 73³¹; Gnvs. 66²⁷. Of the works attributed to Buddhadatta there have now been edited *Abhidhammāvatāra*, *Rūpāṇipavibhāga*, *Vinayavinicchaya*, and *Uttaravinicchaya* by A. P. Buddhadatta, PTS. 1915 and 1927.

⁵ Gnvs. 59³¹, 69⁵ ff.; de Zoysa, Catal., p. 2; Fausböll, JPTS. 1896, p. 18. Nr. 31. For the last two works, see below, p. 40, f.-n 1.

⁶ Sdhs. IX. 13 (JPTS. 1890, p. 62); de Zoysa, p. 5; Fausböll, p. 35; S. Z. Aung, JPTS. 1910-12, p. 123.

⁷ Gnvs. 66²⁷.

⁸ De Zoysa, p. 3; S. Z. Aung, p. 120 f.; Gnvs. 60³; Sāsvs. 33¹⁶.

⁹ Gnvs. 68¹³, 30, 69¹⁸; Sāsvs. 33¹⁶.

Ānanda and Buddhaghosa were contemporaries.—3. Dhammapāla of Padaratiṭṭha¹ composed a commentary called *Paramatthādīpanī*² on those parts of the Khuddaka-Nikāya, which had not been commented upon by Buddhaghosa: Udāna, Itivuttaka, Vimāna- and Petavatthu, Thera- and Therīgāthā and Cariyāpiṭaka. Moreover he is supposed to be the author of a commentary on the Visuddhimagga called *Mahāṭikā* or *Paramatthamañjūsā* as well as a commentary on the Netti, the *Nettipakaraṇassa Atthasaṃvaṇṇanā*, a *Ṭikā* to this his own work, called *Linatthavaṇṇanā*, and also a *Ṭikā Linatthapakāsaṇī* on the Aṭṭhakathās of the first four Nikāyas, a *Ṭikā* on the Jātakatṭhakathā as well as on Buddhaddatta's Madhurattavilāsinī, and finally an Anuṭikā on the *Ṭikā* of Abhidhammatṭhakathā.³ The four last named works seem to be lost to-day. It seems that in this case too the tradition has fathered on Dhammapāla, who might have been a younger contemporary of Buddhaghosa,⁴ the works of various Theras⁵ of the same name, as he had made it his life's task to supplement the commentaries of Buddhaghosa. Dhammapāla's date would be one century later if he is identical with the Dhammapāla of the Nālandā-Monastery who was Hsüen-tsang's teacher's teacher.⁶ Yet however, like E. Hardy,⁷ I consider this identity to be yet unproved.

26. The number of the old commentators may be completed by adding the following names: 1. Culla-Dhammapāla, a pupil of Ānanda, author of *Saccasaṃkhepa* "Elements of Truth;"⁸ 2. Upasena,

¹ Sāvs. 33¹¹.

² De Zoysa, p. 2; Fausbøll, p. 29 f., Nr. 87, 88. The commentary on the Therīgāthās has been edited by E. Müller and that on Peta- and Vimānavatthu by E. Hardy. London, PTS., 1893, 1894, 1901.

³ Gnvs. 60. On the Netti-commentary and its *Ṭikā*, cf. Fausbøll, p. 41 f., Nr. 182, 183.

⁴ The (Sinhalese) Nikāyasamgraha (ed. Wickremasinghe), p. 24 mentions one after another Buddhaghosa, Buddhaddatta, Dhammapāla. Similarly Gnvs. 60, Sāvs. 83.

⁵ The Gnvs. knows four different Dhammapālas. See E. Hardy, Netti, Introd., p. XII.

⁶ Thus according to Steinthal, Udāna, pref. p. VII; Rhys Davids and Carpenter, DCo I, pref. p. VIII. On the traditions about Dhammapāla recorded by Hsüen-tsang in Si-yu-ki, see St. Julien, Mém. sur les Contrées Occidentales I. 267 ff., 452 ff., II, 119 f.; see further Schiefner, Tāranātha, p. 160 ff.; Takakusu, I-tsing, p. LVII, 179, 181; B. Nanjio, Catal. of the Chinese Tripiṭaka, App. I, p. 347, Nr. 16.

⁷ ZDMG. 51. 103 ff.

⁸ Gnvs. 60¹⁰; Sāvs. 34¹², Sdhs. IX. 16 De Zoysa, Catal., p. 11; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 35, Nr. 120; S. Z. Aung, *ibid.*, 1910-12, p. 123.

author of a commentary on the Niddesa ¹ called the *Saddhammappa-jotikā* or *Saddhammatthitika*; 3. Mahānāma, author of the *Saddham-mappakāsinī*, a commentary on the Paṭisambhidāmagga²; 4. Kaṣṣapa, author of *Mohavicchedanī* and *Vimaticchedanī*³; 5. Vajirabuddhi, author of the *Vajirabuddhi*, a *Ṭikā* on the Samantapāsādikā.⁴ In Gnvs. a Mahā- and a Culla-Vajirabuddhi are distinguished, both from Jambudīpa, the continental India. The former is reputed to have written a work called *Vinayagaṇḍhi*. 6. Khema, author of the *Khemappakarāṇa*,⁵ who is mentioned with Culla Dhammapāla, as well as with 7. Anuruddha, the author of the *Abhidhammattha-saṅgaha*, the most read handbook on Abhidhamma,⁶ on which the greatest theas of the 12th century have written *Ṭikās*. Anuruddha is also the author of two other works in the field of Abhidhamma, namely, *Paramatthavinicchaya* and *Nāmarūpapariccheda*. There are two *Ṭikās* on each of them.⁷

27. Two other short but important texts have still to be mentioned, which belong to the Vinaya: the *Khuddasikkhā* of Dhammasiri and the *Mūlasikkhā* of Mahāsāmin.⁸ They are short compendiums on monastic discipline, for the most part in verse and evidently meant to be learnt by heart. There are various commentaries on them, as well as Singhalese translations. Judging by

¹ Gnvs. 61¹¹, 70²³; Sāsvs. 33¹⁵. De Zoysa, p. 2, Nr. 11.

² Gnvs. 61¹³, 70¹⁶, Sāsvs. 33¹⁴. De Zoysa, p. 2, Nr. 12. Wickremasinghe (Catal. of Singh. MSS., p. XII) would identify Mahānāma with the author of the Mahāvamsa. In Gnvs. both are however clearly distinguished and in 61¹³ the latter is called Nava-Mahānāma.

³ Gnvs. 60-61, Sāsvs. 33¹⁴. The first work is mentioned in S.Z. Aung, JPTS. 1910-12, p. 124, and the second work is perhaps identical with the *Vimativinodanī*, a *Ṭikā* on Smgs., de Zoysa, p. 3; Fausböll, p. 13, Nr. 17.

⁴ Gnvs 60²¹, 25, 66²⁸, 29. Fausböll, p. 19, Nr. 35.

⁵ Gnvs 61²⁸; Sāsvs. 34³; Sdhs. IX. 17; Nikāyasamgraha 24¹¹. De Zoysa, pp. 7-8 (where Vācissara has been mentioned as author); Fausböll, p. 36, Nr. 120.

⁶ Abhidhammattha-Saṅgaha (ed. Rhys Davids), JPTS. 1884, p. 1 ff. On Ceylon editions, see de Silva, JPTS. 1912, p. 136; Compendium of Philosophy, being a translation of the Abhidhammattha-Saṅgaha by S.Z. Aung, ed. by Mrs. Rhys Davids, London, PTS., 1910.

⁷ Gnvs. 61²⁴; Sāsvs. 34¹, Sdhs. IX. 14. De Zoysa, pp. 9, 10; Fausböll, p. 36, Nr. 120; M. Bode, Pali Lit. in Burma, p. 104 with foot-notes 5, 6; S. Z. Aung, JPTS. 1910-12, p. 123.

⁸ Khuddasikkhā and Mūlasikkhā, ed. E. Müller, JPTS. 1883, p. 86 ff. De Zoysa, Catal., pp. 8, 9; Sāsvs. 33³⁸, Sdhs. IX. 12. On their use in Burma, see M. Bode, PLB., p. 6.

their language, they can be hardly placed before the 11th century. A lower limit is furnished by their mention in the Galvihāra-inscription of king Parakkamabāhu I (second half of the 12th century) in Polonnaruwa.¹ These texts were held in high esteem already at that time.

28. The chronicle-literature of Ceylon owes to the second period its most important work, the *Mahāvamsa* of Mahānāma.² It covers the same period of history as the *Dipavamsa* in almost the same order. The dry Chronicle has been here made into an artificial epic poem by drawing much new material from the *Aṭṭhakathā*. The kings Devānampiyatissa (247-207 B.C.) and Duṭṭhagāmaṇi (101-77 B.C.) are the central figures of the first and the second parts respectively of the *Mahāvamsa*.³ According to Indian notions the *Mahāvamsa* is a commentary on *Dipavamsa*. For this reason the commentary of the former is called *Tikā*. I think Fleet⁴ was right when he connected the passage Mhvs. 38.59 with the origin of our poem. In that case the date of its composition would fall in the beginning of the 6th century A.D. (under king Dhātusena). The original *Mahāvamsa* ends abruptly in 37.50 with the words *Mahāvamsa niṭṭhito*. The later continuers have evidently destroyed the final portion in order to be able to impose their additions.

29. To the Buddha-legend belong—1. the *Anāgatavamsa* of Kassapa,⁵ a prophecy on the future Buddha Metteyya in metrical form fathered on Gotama Buddha. According to tradition⁶ the author is identical with Thera mentioned in 26.4.—2. the *Boddhivamsa* or *Mahāboddhivamsa* of Upatissa,⁷ a story of the sacred tree in Anurādhapura with a long introduction reaching back to the Buddha Dipamkara. The work is composed in prose and presents, with the

¹ E. Müller, AIC., pp. 88, 122.

² The *Mahāvamsa* in Roman characters with the trans. subjoined...Vol. I, by G. Turnour, Ceylon 1837; The *Mahāvamsa*...rev. and ed. by H. Sumangala and DAdS Batuwantudawa, Colombo 1883; The *Mahāvamsa*, ed. by W. Geiger, London, PTS., 1908.—Translation: The *Mahāvamsa*, or the Great Chronicle of Ceylon, transl. by W. Geiger, assist. by M. Bode, London, PTS., 1912.

³ For details, see Geiger, *Dipavamsa und Mahāvamsa*. Cf. above, 24.

⁴ JRAS. 1909, p. 5, l. n. 1.

⁵ *Anāgata-vamsa*, ed. Minayeff, JPTS. 1886, p. 33 ff.

⁶ Gnvs. 60-61.

⁷ The *Mahāboddhivamsa*, ed. S. A. Strong, London, PTS., 1891; *Mahāboddhivamsa* by Upatissa.....rev. by Sarananda, Colombo 1891.

exception of a few independent notices, a compilation out of older sources such as Nidānakathā, Mahāvamsa, etc. As I have tried to prove,¹ it was composed probably in the first half of the 11th century.

30. The grammarian Kaccāyana belongs to the age posterior to Buddhaghosa. His work *Kaccāyanavyākaraṇa* or *Kaccāyanagandha*² is considered to be the oldest Pāli grammar. R. O. Franke³ has, however, shown that even before Buddhaghosa and Dhammapāla there must have been a fixed grammatical system, which was different from that of Kaccāyana and was perhaps based on the grammar of Bodhisatta.⁴ The chief weakness of Kaccāyana's system lies in the fact that it ignores the historical relation of Pāli with Sanskrit. He gives an exposition of Pāli wholly out of itself. Moreover it does not at all give an exhaustive treatment of the linguistic material. Kaccāyana has naturally nothing to do with Mahākaccāyana, the disciple of Buddha.⁵ He is also different from the Kūṭyāyana who in the 3rd century B.C. wrote the Vārttikas on Pāṇini's grammar. He is moreover to be distinguished from the author of the *Netti* and the *Peṭṭaka*. Without doubt he is later than Buddhaghosa, for otherwise the latter would have followed him in his grammatical terminology as the author of the classical Pāli grammar. A higher limit for the date of Kaccāyana may be obtained from the fact that he utilised, besides the *Kātantra* of Śarvavarman and Pāṇini along with his commentators, also the *Kāśikā* (7th century).⁶ Besides this *magnum*

¹ *Dīpavamsa und Mahāvamsa*, p. 84 ff; Wickremesinghe, *Catal. of Sinh. MSS.*, p. XIV. The view of Strong, that Upatissa was a contemporary of Buddhaghosa, is of course untenable.

² d' Alwis, *An Introduction to Kaccāyana's Grammar of the Pāli Language*, Colombo 1868, d' Alwis, *Catal.*, p. 39 ff.; *Kaccāyana's Pāli Grammar*... by Fr. Mason, Toulon, 1868-70; *Kaccāyanappakaraṇam*... par E. Senart, *JAs.*, sér. 6, t. XVII, 1871, p. 193-544; *Kaccāyana*, ed and transl. by Vidyabhusana, Calcutta 1891; E. Kuhn, *Kaccāyanappakaraṇae Specimen*, Halle, 1869, and *Specimen alterum*, 1871. On the whole problem of Kaccāyana's grammar, see R. O. Franke, *Gesch. und Krit. der einheim. Pāl-Grammatik und-Lexikographie*, Strassburg 1902; Subhūti, *Nāma-mālā*, p. V ff.

³ *PGr.*, p. 3. Cf. d' Alwis, *Catal.*, p. 67 ff.

⁴ Franke, *PGr.*, p. 2.

⁵ It is doubted also in Ceylon. See de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 23; Subhūti, *Nām.*, p. VI.

⁶ Franke, *PGr.*, p. 18. Already Windisch, *Ber. d. K. Sächs. Ges. d. W.* 1893, p. 244 f. pointed out that K.'s Grammar must at all events be later than the 4th century.

opus two other grammatical works, *Mahāniruttigandha* and *Cullaniruttigandha*, are ascribed to him.¹ Out of the numerous commentaries on Kaccāyana I mention here only the *Nyāsa* of Vimalabuddhi, which is also called *Mukhamattadīpanī*.² He seems to belong to the period we are dealing with because already towards the end of the 12th century Chapada wrote a commentary on it called *Nyāsapradīpa*.³

III Period

From the 12th Century to the Modern Age

31. There was a great upward swing in the of literary activity of Ceylon during the glorious reign of Parakkamabāhu I (1153-1186). Under his auspices the Thera Mahākassapa is said to have held a council⁴ with the purpose of providing *Tikās* in the Magadha-language to the *Aṭṭhakathās*, particularly of Buddhaghosa. The following is the list of the *Tikās*:

1. Sāratthadīpanī	Ṭī on Samantapāsādikā (Vin.)
2. Pathama-Sāratthamañjūsā	„ „ Sumaṅgalavilāsini (D.)
3. Dutiya-Sāratthamañjūsā	„ „ Papañcasūdanī (M.)
4. Tatiya-Sāratthamañjūsā	„ „ Sāratthappakāsini (S.)
5. Catuttha-Sāratthamañjūsā	„ „ Manorathapūraṇī (A.)
6. Paṭhama-Paramatthappakāsini	„ „ Atthasūlmi (Dhs.)
7. Dutiya-Paramatthappakāsini	„ „ Saṃmohavinodanī (Vbh.)
8. Tatiya Paramatthappakāsini	„ „ Pañcappakaraṇaṭṭhakathā (Dhk., etc.).

Of these *Tikās* the *Sāratthadīpanī* by Sāriputta⁵ has been preserved. The same Thera is the author of another *Tikā* on *Papañcasūdanī*, named *Īmatthappakāsana*.⁶

¹ Gnva. 59¹². De Zoysa, p. 22 mentions a *Cullanirutti*, said to be one of the oldest Pāli-grammars. Subhūti, Nām., p. XXVIII calls their author Yamaka. -

² Gnva. 60¹³; Subhūti, p. IX; de Zoysa, p. 25; Franke, p. 22 f. Cf. Fausböll, JPTS. 1896, p. 47 Nr. 149. The *Porāṇa-Kārikā* is said to be still older. It has nothing to do with the *Kārikā* of Dhammasenāpati (Gnva. 63³⁴, 73²¹). Cf. Fausböll, p. 47, Nr. 148, 13; de Zoysa, p. 24; Subhūti, p. LXIX.

³ A very late commentary on the *Nyāsa* is the *Niruttiśāramañjūsā* of the Burmese monk Dāṭhānāga (middle of the 17th century). Cf. Subhūti, p. X; de Zoysa, p. 25; Franke, p. 23; M. Bode, PLB., p. 55.

⁴ Sdhs. VIII (JPTS. 1890, p. 58 ff.). That the council had been actually convened is epigraphically attested, E. Müller, AIC., pp. 87, 120 ff. Cf. Mbva. 78, 84.

⁵ De Zoysa, Catal., p. 3; Fausböll, JPTS. 1896, p. 12, Nr. 14, 15, 16.

⁶ Fausböll, p. 28 f., Nr. 83, 84.

The account of the council of Mahākassapa closely follows the stories of older councils. It is probable that the council gave the impetus for the composition of such *Ṭikās* and therewith initiated an era of remarkable literary activity, carried on mainly by Sāriputta and his pupils. As a work of Sāriputta is mentioned, beside the two already referred to above, the *Vinayasamgaha* "Compendium of the Vinaya." ¹ According to the Gnvs. he is said to have composed also the *Sāratthamañjūsā* on the Manorathapūraṇī. ²

32. Of Sāriputta's pupils are to be mentioned: 1. Saṃgharakkhiṭṭa as the author of a *Khuddasikkhā-Ṭikā* (see 27). It is called "new *Ṭikā*," and was therefore probably later than the Porāṇa-*Ṭikā* attributed to Mahāyasa. Both the *Ṭikās* have been preserved in MSS. ³— 2. Buddhanāga, the author of a *Ṭikā* on the Kaṅkhāvitaraṇī (22, Nr. 2) called *Vinayatthamañjūsā* ⁴ which likewise exists only in manuscript.— 3. The Gnvs. (62, 66) mentions 18 works of Vācissara. The following commentary-works of this author still exist in manuscript: (a) *Mūlasikkhā-Abhinava-Ṭikā* (see 27), which is evidently later than the Porāṇa-*Ṭikā* of Vimalasāra. ⁵ (b) *Simālaṃkārasamgaha*, belonging to the sphere of Vinaya, on the boundaries of sacred districts,—the monks living in them had to jointly perform the ecclesiastical duties. ⁶ (c) *Khemappakarāṇa-Ṭikā* on the work mentioned in 26.6. ⁷ (d) *Nāmarūpapariccheda-Ṭikā* on the work of Anuruddha ⁸ mentioned in 26.7. (e) *Saccasaṃkhepa-Ṭikā* (26.1), older than Sumaṅgala's *Ṭikā* on the same work. ⁹ (f) *Abhidhammāvatāra-Ṭikā* ¹⁰ on the well-known work of Buddhadatta (25.1). (g) *Rūpārūpavibhāga*, ¹¹ like the works from c to f, belonging to the

¹ Fausbøll, p. 17, Nr. 30; Subhūti, Nām., p. 7 f. The work was also called *Pālimuttakavīṇayasamgaha* or *Mahāvīṇayasamgahappakarāṇa*. Cf. the works mentioned in de Zoysa, pp. 11 and 15. Cf. 43 1.

² Gnvs. 61 30-34, 71 10-14. A grammatical work of Sāriputta will be mentioned below.

³ De Zoysa, Catal., p. 8; Wickremasinghe, Catal., p. XVI. Cf. also 46.2, 53.1.

⁴ Gnvs. 61-62; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 17, Nr. 28. Cf. de Zoysa, p. 15, where however no author is mentioned.

⁵ De Zoysa, p. 9.

⁶ De Zoysa, p. 13. Also other works on the same subjects are mentioned here.

⁷ De Zoysa, p. 8.

⁸ De Zoysa, p. 9.

⁹ De Zoysa, p. 12; Fausbøll, p. 37, Nr. 121.

¹⁰ De Zoysa, p. 5.

¹¹ De Zoysa, p. 11 (without mentioning the author); Fausbøll, p. 36, Nr. 120.

Abhidhamma. There are mentioned, besides, *Vinayavinicchaya-Tīkā* and an *Uttaravinicchaya-Tīkā* (25.1) ¹; a *Tīkā* called *Sumaṅgala ppaṣādani* on the *Khuddasāṅgikā*; a *Yogavinicchaya*, a *Paccayasamgaha* etc. It is quite possible that these works were composed by different Theras of the same name. There were at all events several *Vācissaras* ²—4. *Sumaṅgala* composed a *Tīkā* on *Anuruddha's* *Abhidhammatthasamgaha* (26.7) which was titled *Abhidhammatthavibhāvanī* ³ and another *Tīkā* on the *Abhidhammāvatāra* (25.1) called the *Abhidhammatthavikāsaṇī*, ⁴ as well as a *Saccasaṃkhepa-Tīkā*, ⁵ which is also called the *Abhinavatīkā* (cf. above 3 e). All the three works exist in MSS.

33. *Saddhammajotipāla* or *Chapada* ⁶ belongs to the circle of *Sāriputta's* disciples. He was a native of Burma, but he received his education in Ceylon, where he stayed from 1170 to 1180 according to tradition. Of his works (cf. also 30) the following belong to the sphere of *Vinaya*. (a) *Vinayasamutthānadīpanī*, (b) *Pātimokkhamisodhanī*, ⁷ (c) *Vinayagūḥhatthadīpanī*, ⁸ in which the difficult passages of the *Vinaya* have been discussed, as well as (d) *Sīmāluṅkārasamgaha-Tīkā* ⁹ on 32.3 b. To the *Abhidhamma* belong (e) *Mātīkatthadīpanī*, (f) *Paṭṭhānagaṇanānāya*, (g) *Nāmacārādīpa*, ¹⁰ as well as his best-known work (h) *Abhidhammatthasamgahasamkhepa-Tīkā*, ¹¹ a commentary on the work of *Anuruddha* mentioned in 26.7. Finally

¹ The *Tīkā*s mentioned by de Zoysa, p. 15, 14 probably belong hereto. As author of the first work he mentions *Revata*, but he does not mention the name of the author of the second. In *Fausböll*, p. 19, Nr. 32 and 33 an anonymous *Tīkā* on the *Vinayavinicchaya*, called the *Linatthappakāsinī*, has been mentioned, which however should not be confounded with the work of the same name referred to in 25.3

² Should not the *Vācissara* mentioned in *Mhvs* 81.17 ff. have been the pupil of *Sāriputta*?

³ De Zoysa, p. 5; Oldenberg, *Catal.*, p. 84, Nr. 53; *Fausböll*, p. 38 f., Nr. 123; cf. also 42.1.

⁴ De Zoysa, p. 5.

⁵ De Zoysa, p. 12.

⁶ *Gnvs.* 64¹⁹, *Sāvs.* 39, 40, 65, 74. Cf. *M. Bode*, *PLB.*, p. 17 ff.; *S. Z. Aung*, *JPTS.* 1912, p. 124 f. Cf. also 46.1.

⁷ De Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 11.

⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 15.

⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 13.

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 9; *S. Z. Aung*, p. 124.

¹¹ De Zoysa, p. 12; Oldenberg, *Catal.*, p. 85, Nr. 54; *Fausböll*, *JPTS.* 1896, p. 39, Nr. 123; *S. Z. Aung*, p. 125. According to the introductory verses the work was composed at the instance of King *Vijayabāhu* (1186-87). Not so in *Gnvs.* 74¹⁴.

there is still to mention (†) the *Gandhasāra*, apparently an anthology of sacred texts.—In connection with Chapada let us also mention the Burmese monk Sāriputta or Dhammavilāsa, who died in the year 1246. He received his ordination from Ānanda, one of the four Theras who accompanied Chapada on his journey back to Burma from Ceylon. Dhammavilāsa is the author of the oldest Burmese law-book *Dhammavilāsa-Dhammasattha*, which is the basis of the later legal literature of the Burmese.¹

34. The pupils of Sāriputta displayed their activity also in the field of Buddhistic legends and ecclesiastical history. In this connection are to be mentioned 1. The *Dāṭhāvamsa* of Dhammakitti,² who in the concluding verses declares himself to be a pupil of Sāritānuja. It is clear from the introductory verses that the poem was composed at the beginning of the 13th century.³ It deals with the story of Buddha's tooth-relic and adds to the tradition recorded in the *Mahāvamsa* a few notices which were very probably culled from the local tradition of Ceylon.—2. The *Thūpavamsa* of Vācissara,⁴ who was probably Sāriputta's pupil. It is written in prose and is merely a compilation of pieces from *Nidānakathā*, *Samantapāsādikā* and *Mahāvamsa* with its *Ṭikā*. It was composed in the first half of the 13th century. The later Singhalese version may be ascertained to have been composed between 1250 and 1260.—3. The *Jinālaṅkāra* of Buddharakkhita,⁵ a poem composed in a very ornate language and in very artificial metres, which already bears all the signs of the artificial poetry of India.⁶ It describes the life of Buddha till the Saṃbodhi. In the concluding verses (271 ff.) the author gives his own

¹ M. Bode, PLB, p. 31 ff.

² *Dāṭhāvanso* by Dhammakitti...ed. Asabha Tissa, Kelaniya 1883. The *Dāṭhāvamsa* (ed. Rhys Davids), JPTS. 1884, p. 108 ff. A *Ṭikā* on *Dāṭhāvs.* is mentioned by Fausboll, JPTS. 1896, p. 45, Nr. 142.

³ Geiger, *Dipavamsa und Mahāvamsa*, p. 88 ff. According to Wickremasinghe, JRAS. 1896, p. 200 ff., there were five different *Dhammakittis*. The first of them is the author of *Dāṭhāvs*.

⁴ Pāli *Thūpavamsaya* ed. Dhammaratana, Ceylon 1896. Cf. Geiger, *ibid.*, p. 92. The *Gnvs* 70¹⁸ of course mentions the *Thūpav.*, but not among the works of Vācissara and without giving the name of the author.

⁵ *Jinālaṅkāra*...by Buddharakkhita, ed. Gray, London 1894. The *Jinālaṅkāra* by Buddharakkhita, transl. into Sinh. and ed. by W. Dīpaṅkara and B. Dhaminapāla, Galle 1900.

⁶ The author is said to have himself composed a *Ṭikā* on his work. Cf. also Gray, *Introd.*, p. 8 f.

name and mentions the year 1700 (after Buddha=1156 A.D.) as the time of its composition.¹ With the *Jināṇṇakāra* ascribed to Buddhaddatta (25.1) our poem has therefore nothing to do, even if any real value attaches to this datum.—4. The *Jinacarita* of Medhāṇṇakara² is likewise a highly artificial poem which in conventional form deals with a subject similar to that of the *Jināṇṇakāra*. The *Gnvs.* 62²⁴, 72⁷ mentions this author immediately after Vācissara,³ Sumaṅgala and Dhammakitti, and thus evidently takes him to be the pupil of Sāriputta. The author says in the concluding verses that he had composed his work in a Pariveṇa erected by King Vijayabāhu. This seems to be a calculated and intentional homage, which however could have a point in it only if the prince was still living. It is therefore permissible to think that Vijayabāhu III (1225-29) is here referred to by the author, and Medhāṇṇakara would thus be a contemporary of Vācissara.

35. The *Tikā* on the *Mahāvamsa*⁴ is of great importance for information about the Ceylonese tradition. It is called *Vamsatthappakāsinī*.⁵ Its author is unknown. As for its date, an upper limit is furnished by the reference to Dāṭṭhaputissa II (about 670 A.D.), and a lower limit by the fact that the *Pāli-Thūpavamsa* (34.2) quotes it profusely. If the *Mahābodhivamsakathā* quoted in it is identical with our *Bodhivamsa* (29.2), then we get a still closer upper limit for it. The *MhvsṬi* is certainly older than the first supplement to the *Mahāvamsa* by Dhammakitti (38), because it breaks off at the same place where the original *Mahāvamsa* (28) ends. It is probable therefore that the *MhvsṬi* was composed in the 12th century. Its importance lies in the fact that it offers a mass of supplementary notices to the *Mahāvamsa* culled from the *Aṭṭhakathā*. In this way it reveals to us the richness of the contents of the *Aṭṭhakathā*, which was still available at the time.⁶ The *MhvsṬi* is therefore a fruitful

¹ See also *Gnvs.* 72³; *Sdhs.* IX.21.

² *Jinacarita*, ed. Rouse, JPTS. 1904-05, p. 1 ff. *Jinacarita* ed. and transl. by Ch. Duroiselle, Rangoon 1906. On the various *Medhāṇṇakaras*, see Rouse, p. 2; Wickremasinghe, *Catal.*, p. 21a, 35b, 119a.

³ Rouse has evidently misunderstood the construction: *kārite* in 460 belongs to *pariveṇavare* in 470.

⁴ *Mahāvamsa Tikā* or *Vamsatthappakāsinī*, rev. and ed. by Baṭṭawantudāwe and Nāṇissara Bhikkhu, Colombo 1895; *Vamsatthappakāsinī* ed. by G. P. Malalasekera, 2 vols., PTS. 1935.

⁵ On what follows, cf. Geiger, *Dipavamsa und Mahāvamsa*, p. 34 ff.

⁶ Cf. above, p. 25, f. n. 1.

source of information about the indigenous tradition, the historicity of which however has naturally to be tested separately in each case.

36. Vedehathera belongs to the 18th century, as has been proved by the researches of d'Alwis and Sten Konow.¹ According to the notices in his works, he belonged to the Brahmin family of Vippagāma. He was a "forest-dweller" and a pupil of Thera Ānanda also called *araññāyatana*. His works are: (a) *The Samantakūṭavapaṇṇā* "description of the Adam's Peak."² The poem deals with the story of Buddha's life and particularly the legends of his three visits to the island of Ceylon. On the occasion of his third visit he left on the summit of the Samantakūṭa his *śrīpada*, the print of his left foot. The verses 722-46 give a description of the sacred hill, which has given the name to the whole poem consisting of 796 strophes.—(b) *The Rasavāhinī*,³ a collection of prose stories, which, according to the introductory words, are based on a Ceylonese original. This original was translated into Pāli by Raṭṭhapāla in the Mahāvihāra, and the translation was revised by Vedehathera. Altogether the *Rasav.* contains 103 stories, of which 40 are derived from the Jambudīpa, the continent of India, and 63 from Lankādīpa, Ceylon. These are fables, legends, sagas and religious tales. The original work had very probably drawn the material from the *Aṭṭhakathā*. Hence the frequent quotations with *tenāhu porāṇā*. In the present Pāli version the Mahāvamsa has been drawn upon profusely; some of the stories are based on the Apadānas and the Jātaka-book. The *Sahasavattuppakarapa* "the book of thousand stories" was probably in contents connected with the *Rasav.* and it is said to have been reintroduced into Ceylon from Burma.⁴

• ¹ D'Alwis, Catal., pp. 221-25; Sten Konow, Vedehathera, Skrifter udgivet af Videnskabselskabet i Christiania, hist.-filos. Kl. 1895, Nr. 4.

² *Samanta Kūṭa Warpaṇā*...transl. into Sinh. and ed. by W. Dhammananda and M. Nāṇissara, Colombo 1890.

³ *Rasavahini*...by Vedeha Maha Thera, ed. Saraṇatissa, 2 parts, Colombo 1901 and 1899. Selected pieces have been edited and translated by Spiegel, *Anecdota Palica*, Leipzig 1845, p. 15 ff.; Sten Konow, ZDMG. 43, 1889, p. 297 ff.; D. Andersen, *Studier fra Sprog- og Oldtidsforskning*, Nr. 6, Copenhagen 1891 (not available to me); Pavolini, *Giornale Soc. As. Ital.* VIII, 179 ff., XI, 175 ff. An analysis of the work has been given by Pavolini, *La Materia e la Forma della Rasavāhinī*, *ibid.*, XI, 35 ff.

⁴ De Zoysa, Catal., p. 20. On a *Sahasavattuppaṭṭhakathā* four times mentioned in the *Mhv* Ti, see Geiger, *Dīpavamsa und Mahāvamsa*, p. 52.

37. A contemporary of Vedehathera was Buddhappiya, the author of the *Pajjamadhu*,¹ a poem which in 104 artistic stanzas glorifies the external beauty of Buddha and also his wisdom. In the last stanza but one, the author gives his own name and says that he is a pupil of Ānanda, who is doubtless the same person as Vedehathera's teacher.—The *Attanagaluvihāravaṃsa*² was composed probably about the same time as the *Pajjamadhu*,—in the second half of the 13th century. It is written in a mixture of prose and verse and gives the story of the death of Sīrasaṅghabodhi (Mhvs. 36.91 ff) and his wife, as well as of the founding of the Attanagalu monastery on the spot where they died. As the anonymous author (v. 3) himself admits, he was induced to compose this poem by the priest Anomadassin, who is probably the person to whom, according to Mhvs. 86.37 f., in the reign of Parakkamabāhu II (1229-1246), was entrusted the Attanagalu monastery, furnished with new buildings by the minister Paṭirāja.

38. The Mahāvamsa of Mahānāma (28) was continued under the special title *Uḷavaṃsa* "Short Chronicle" and made into a narrative covering the whole history of Ceylon.³ According to tradition the first author to continue the work was Thera Dhammakitti, who according to Mhvs. 84.12 ff. came to Ceylon from Burma in the reign of Parakkamabāhu II (first half of the 13th century). An evident supplement to the later Mhvs. begins with Chap. 90.104 after the close of the reign of Parakkamabāhu IV which began in the year 1284. The second part of the Mhvs. (Chaps. 37-90) which ends here describes above all the glorious age of Parakkamabāhu I (1153-1186).⁴ In order to round off the concluding portion of the Mhvs., let us mention here that in the second half of the 18th century, according to Mhvs. 99.78 ff., the king Kittisiri had the chronicle extended up to his own time. This third part is made up of the Chapters 90 (from verse 105) to 100. In Chapter 101 are then given notices up to the arrival of the English in Ceylon.

¹ Edited by Gooneratne, JPTS. 1887, pp. 1-16. Also *The Pajja Madhu...by Buddhappiya*, ed. Devamitta, Colombo 1887. Cf. 46.4.

² *The Pali Text of the Attanagaluvaṃsa and its ancient translation...by d'Alwis*, Colombo 1887. Cf. d'Alwis, Catal., p. 11 ff., particularly 32 f.; de Zoysa, Catal., p. 17.

³ *The Mahāvamsa*, from the thirty-seventh chapter, ed. H. Sumangala and DAdS. Batuwantudawa, Colombo 1877. Translation: *The Mahāvamsa*, Part II... transl. by L. C. Wijesinha, Colombo 1889; *Cūlavamsa*, Text (2 vols.) and Translation (2 vols.), by Wilhelm Geiger, PTS. 1935.

⁴ Chaps. 67-79. See Copleston, JRAS., Ceylon Branch, Nr. 44, 1893, p. 60 ff.

39. . To the transition period from the 13th to the 14th century belongs 1. the *Sārasaṃgaha* of Siddhattha,¹ a work on Buddhism in prose mixed with verses. The author gives his own name in the concluding verses of the work and also says that he was a pupil of Buddhappiya. If thereby the author of the Pajjamadhu is meant, then we have for the *Sārasaṃgaha* the date suggested above. A lower limit is at all events furnished by the reference to it in the *Moggallānapañcika* composed in 1457 A.D. Some idea of the contents of the *Sāras* is furnished by its chapter-headings: the Chaps. 1-3, for instance, deal with *buddhānaṃ abhinihāra*, *tathāgatassa acchariyānaṃ* and *pañca antaradhānānaṃ*, Chaps. 13-15 with *sīlānaṃ*, *kammaṭṭhānānaṃ*, *nubbāṇa*, and Chaps. 30-34 with the *Nāgā*, *Supaṇṇā*, *Petā*, *Asurā*, *Devā*²; the last chapter contains a *lokaśaṃṭhiti* (cosmology).—2. Somewhat later is the *Saddhammasaṃgaha* of Dhammakitti Mahāsāmin.³ This is probably the last of the Dhammakittis known to us, who was active towards the end of the 14th century.⁴ In the 9th Chapter various authors and works are enumerated, the latest of them belonging of the 13th century. The Sdhs. in 40 chapters gives a history of the Buddhist church (without furnishing much new information) from the Councils in India to the period mentioned just above, and ends with a hymn in praise of the doctrine and a blessing for its study.

40. To the 14th century belongs: 1. the *Lokappadīpasāra*. According to Sāsyas. 48 it was composed by a Burmese monk named Medhamkara, who had prosecuted his studies in Ceylon.⁵ The work deals with various forms of existence in the *saṃkhāraloka*, in the hell, among the Petas, in the animal world, in human life, in the *sattaloka*, and in the *okāsaloka*. The different topics are further elucidated by various legends. The 5th chapter, for instance, which deals with the forms of human existence, has drawn many stories from the Mahāvamsa.—Allied with it in content is 2. the *Pañcagatīdīpana*,⁶ a poem in 114 strophes, which describes the five possible

¹ Edited by Somananda, Brendiawatta 1898. Cf. Oldenberg, Catal., p. 125, Nr. 108.

² For the *termiṇi*, see Childers PD.

³ *Saddhamma Saṃgaho*, ed. N. Saddhānanda, JPTS. 1890, p. 21 ff.; de Zuyssa, Catal., p. 19 f. Cf. 46.5.

⁴ See above, p. 41, f.-n. 3.

⁵ Oldenberg, Catal., p. 126, Nr. 109; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 42, Nr. 134; M. Bode, PLB., p. 35 f.

⁶ Edited by L. Feer, JPTS. 1884, p. 152 ff.

forms of rebirth in hell, as animal, as Peta, as man, or as god. Nothing is known about its author or the time of its origin.—3. The *Buddhaghosuppatti*¹ should belong to the 14th century if its author Mahāmaṅgala is the same man as the grammarian Maṅgala (53.2 b). This short work is a biography of Buddhaghosa, and it is referred to also in the Sasvs. 30²⁰, where this famous commentator is dealt with. The author has made use of the data left by the *pubbācariyā* “the former teachers.”

41. By way of appendix I would like to mention here two poems of which the authors and the time of origin are unknown: 1. The *Saddhammopāyana*, a collection of 621 (including the concluding verses 629) strophes in 9 chapters in praise of the law of Buddha.² It begins with a description of the 8 *akkhaṇā*, the miseries of the *dasa akusulāni* and the sorrowful lot of the Petas, and then goes over to the conceptions of *puñña* and *phala* and describes the individual meritorious acts (*dāna*, *sīla*, etc.), and ends with *appamāda*.—2. The *Telukaṭāhagāthā*³ the “oil-cauldron-verses.” These 98 strophes are ascribed to a Thera who was condemned to be thrown into a vessel full of boiling oil. He had been falsely accused of indirectly rendering help in an intrigue of the wife of King Tissa of Kalyāṇī. This story belongs to the cycle of sagas centering round Rohaṇa, and it is touched in the Mahāvamsa (22.12 ff.), and is related at greater length in later sources.⁴ The boiling oil cannot injure the Thera and he pronounces those strophes in which certain fundamental conceptions of the teaching of Buddha are elucidated. They deal with death and the thought of death, of transience, of suffering, and of the unreality of the soul, etc.

42. From the 15th century onwards the activity of the Burmese monks comes to the forefront. The special subject of their study is Abhidhamma. I mention here 1. Ariyavamsa,⁵ who lived in Ava during the reign of Narapati (1442-68). He wrote (a) *Maṇisāramañjūsā*, a commentary to Sumaṅgala's Abhidhammatthavibhāvanī (32.4);⁶ (b) *Maṇidīpa*, Tīkā on Buddhaghosa's Atthasālinī (23.8)⁶; (c) *Jātakavi-*

¹ Buddhaghosuppatti...ed. J. Gray, London 1892.

² Edited by R. Morris, JPTS. 1897, p. 35 ff.

³ Edited by E. R. Gooneratne, JPTS. 1894, p. 49 ff.

⁴ Sasv. II. 57 ff.; Rājāvali 21¹⁴

⁵ M. Bode, PLB., p. 42 f.; Gns. 65¹, 75⁵.

⁶ De Zoysa, Catal., pp. 8, 9; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 40, Nr. 124.

sodhana, a work on the Jātakas.—2. Saddhammapālasiri, a contemporary of the former and author of *Nettibhāvanī*,¹ a Tīkā on the *Netti* (19).—3. Silavaṃsa,² somewhat later than the above mentioned writers, was the author of the *Buddhālamkāra*, a poetical version of the story of Sumedha in the *Nidānakathā*.—4. Raṭṭhasāra,³ who versified various Jātakas.—The poem *Kāyaviratigāthā*,⁴ also belonging to the 15th century, is anonymous; it deals with the ways of overcoming sensuality.—To the 16th century⁵ belong 5. Saddhammālamkāra, the author of a *Paṭṭhānadīpanī* on the *Abhidhamma*-work mentioned in 16.7, and 6. Mahānāma, the author of the *Madhusārattadīpanī*, a sub-commentary on the *Mūla-Tīkā* (25.2).—In the same century, the *Wagaru-Dhammasattha* composed in the Talaing language was translated into Pāli⁶ by Buddhaghosa under the title *Manusāra* (the original work was composed towards the end of the 18th century, somewhat later than the *Dhammavilāsa-Dhammasattha* referred to in 33). The *Manusāra* is the basis of the whole legal literature of Burma, composed partly in the native language, and partly both in Burmese and Pāli, as for instance the *Manuvaṇṇanā* (18th century) and the *Mohavicchedanī* (19th century)

43. In the 17th century we have to mention 1. *Tipiṭakālamkāra*,⁷ the author of (a) *Vīsativaṇṇanā*, a commentary on the 20 introductory verses of the *Athasālinī* (22.8), and (b) *Yasavaḍḍhanavattthu* and (c) *Vinayālaṅkāra* a commentary on Śāriputta's *Vinayasamgaḥa* (31).—2. Tilokaguru,⁸ author of (a) *Dhātukathā-Tīkāvaṇṇanā* and (b) *Dhātukathā-Anuṭīkāvaṇṇanā*, the two commentaries on the *Dhātukathā* (16.5), and (c) *Yamakavaṇṇanā* and (d) *Paṭṭhānavāṇṇanā* on the corresponding books of the *Abhidhamma* (16.6, 7)—3. Sāraddassin,⁹ author of a *Dhātukathāyojanā* (on 16.5).—4. Mahākassapa,¹⁰ author of the *Abhidhammatthaganṭhipada* which deals with the difficult termini of the *Abhidhamma*.—To the 18th century belongs 5. *Nāṇābhivamsa*,¹¹

¹ S. Z. Aung, JPTS. 1910-12, p. 121

² M. Bode, p. 43.

³ *Ibid.*

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 44.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 47; S. Z. Aung, p. 122.

⁶ About the literature of *Dhammasatthas*, see M. Bode, p. 85 ff.

⁷ M. Bode, PLB., p. 53 f.; S. Z. Aung, JPTS 1910-12, p. 122.

M. Bode, p. 54; S. Z. Aung, p. 122.

⁹ S. Z. Aung, p. 122.

Ibid.

¹¹ M. Bode, p. 78 ff.; de Zoysa, Catal., p. 12; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 28, Nr. 82.

the Saṃgharāṇḍya of Burma, author of (a) *Peṭṭakālaṃkāra*, a commentary on the Nettī (19), (b) the *Sādhuvilāsinī* on a part of the D. (9.1), and (c) of several devotional stories such as *Calusāmaṇeravatthu*, *Rājavāḍavatthu*. His (d) *Rājādhivāṇavilāsinī* deserves special mention. It is a prose work devoted to the eulogy of the then king Bodōpayā. It is a masterpiece of learned literary work, full of references to legends and history and teeming with quotations from various provinces of the literature, particularly from the Jātakas.—Somewhat older is the anonymous Buddha-biography *Mālālaṃkāra*,¹ which has become well known through Bigandet's translation.

44. Last of all I shall mention a number of modern works which cannot be dated accurately, or were composed in the 19th century. Both the time and the author of 1. the *Nalāṭṭhādhatuvam̐sa* are unknown. The work gives the story of the frontal bone relic of the Buddha. It is evidently the Pāli counterpart, or perhaps the original, of the Sinhalese Dhātuvam̐sa, which contains exactly the same number of chapters as this work.²—Also 2. the *Chakesadhātuvam̐sa*³ is devoted to the sacred relics. It is the work of a modern Burmese author and it gives in prose the story of six hairs of Buddha, which the latter had distributed as relics among his disciples, and for which Thūpas have been erected at various places.—Two modern works throw interesting sidelight on the relation between Ceylon and Burma: they are 3. the *Sam̐dcsakathā*⁴ and 4. the *Simāvvāḍavinic-chayakathā*⁵ In them are found the dates 2344 and 2345 after Buddha, equal to 1800 and 1801 A.D.

Quite a peculiar importance attaches to 5. the *Gandhavam̐sa*.⁶ This work was composed in Burma. It is a sort of library catalogue, a list of authors and works. After the usual introductory votive verses it begins at first with an analysis of the Tipiṭaka. Then it deals with the *porāṇācariyā*, the Theras of the three councils who compiled the words of the Buddha, with the exception of Mahākaccāyana. The same Theras are also the *atṭhakathācariyā*. Mahākaccāyana, who is mentioned in the *Gandhavam̐sa* as the author of the famous grammar,

¹ Bigandet, The life or Legend of Gautama the Buddha, Rangoon 1866.

² Geiger, *Dipavam̐sa und Mahāvam̐sa*, pp. 102-05; de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 19.

³ Edited by Minayeff, JPTS. 1885, p. 5 ff.

⁴ Edited by Minayeff, JPTS. 1885, p. 17 ff.

⁵ Edited by Minayeff, JPTS. 1887, p. 17 ff.

⁶ Edited by Minayeff, JPTS. 1886, p. 54 ff. Cf. M. Bode, *Index to the Gandhavam̐sa*, *ibid.*, 1896, p. 53 ff.

Mahā- and Culla-nirutti, and Netti, Peṭakopadesa and the Vappanīti, is mentioned as the only example of the *tividhanāmakācariyā*. Then follows the long list of *gandhakācariyā*, headed by the authors of the Kurundi and the Mahāpaccari, who are followed by Buddhaghosa, Buddhadatta, Ānanda, Dhammapāla, etc. Last of all are mentioned Ariyavaṃsa (see 42.1) and Udumbara. Then follows a list of anonymous works. After that the authors are grouped together according as they were active in Laṅkā or Jambudīpa (including Farther India). Last of all is recorded which works were composed at the instance of other persons, and which out of the authors' own initiative (*attano matiyā*).—6. The *Sāsanavaṃsa* of Paññasāmin¹ is dated in the year 1223 of the Burmese era, equal to 1861 A.D. In spite of the very recent origin of this work it is of great importance in various ways, because it is based on older literature. In ten chapters it gives a complete history of the Buddhist church in India till the third council under Asoka, and then in Ceylon and other countries where Buddhist missions had been sent. The history of Buddhism in Aparantarāṭṭha, i.e., Burma itself, is dealt with very exhaustively in Chap. 6. It has to be mentioned however that in the Burmese tradition, no less than 5 out of the 9 countries, to which missions were sent according to Dpvs. 8.1 ff., Mhvs. 12.1 ff., are assigned to Farther India, namely, Suvannabhūmi, Vanavāsi, Aparanta, Yonaka and Mahārāṭṭha. The chief sources of the *Sāsanavaṃsa* are Samantapāsādikā, Dipavaṃsa, Mahāvaṃsa, as well as the Burmese chronicles. The Aṭṭhakathā too is mentioned, but naturally it could have been utilised only indirectly.

45. There was an extraordinary literary activity both in Ceylon and Burma in the field of philology for which I may rely on the description of indigenous Pāli grammar and lexicography given by R. O. Franke.² W. Subhūti's Introduction to the Nānamālā too is very useful.³

The grammatical works are divided into three groups: 1. Those which belong to the school of Kaccāyana (*Bālāvatāra*, *Rūpasiddhi*); 2. the grammar of Moggallāna with the ancillary works belonging to it, such as *Payogasiddhi*, *Padasādhana*, etc.; 3. Saddanīti with the

¹ *Sāsanavaṃsa*, ed. M. Bode, PTS., London 1897. The *Sāsanavaṃsādīpa* which appeared in Ceylon is not available to me.

² Cf. above, p. 37, f.-n. 2.

³ Subhūti, *Nānamālā or a Work on Pāli Grammar (Ceylonese)*, Ceylon 1871, with English foreword.

Cullasaddanīti.¹ To each of these three schools belongs a list of roots—the three lists being the *Dhātumañjūsā*, the *Dhātupāṭha* and the *Dhātuvatthadīpanī*. For dictionary we have the *Abhulhānappadīpikā*. Finally there are also some works on prosody, etc. The value which these works possess as accessories for the study of Pāli has been rightly judged by Franke.² They are not based on the direct knowledge of Pāli as a living and spoken language. The authors have drawn their material from the literature just as we too have to do to-day. Their method also is not based on any homogeneous tradition reaching back to the days when Pāli was actually spoken. Moreover they slavishly imitate the model works of Sanskrit grammar and lexicography and take over their system mechanically into Pāli. Grammatical forms and words of Pāli which are found in the text-books have therefore to be treated with the greatest caution so long as they are not proved actually to occur in literature. In all these cases the possibility is ever there that we have before us merely artificial constructions in imitation of Sanskrit.

46. Of the works belonging to the school of Kaccāyana, the commentary called *Nyāsa* has been already dealt with in 30, for it belongs to the preceding age. The next oldest work is 1. the *Suttaniddesa* of Chapada.³ Subhūti assigns it to the Buddha-year 1715=1181 A.D. This also is a commentary on Kaccāyana. Approximately to the same age belongs 2. the *Sambandhacintā* of Saṅgharakkhita,⁴ who has been mentioned in 32.1. It deals with Pāli syntax and there is an anonymous *Ṭikā* on it.—There is further 3. the *Saddatthabhedacintā* of the Thera Saddhammasiri⁵ from Arimaddana in Burma. This work too is furnished with an anonymous *Ṭikā*.—4. The *Rūpasiddhi* or *Padarūpasiddhi*⁶ is but a reshuffling of Kaccāyana's grammar. In the colophon the author gives his

¹ Subhūti, Preface, p. 10.

² PGr., p. 83.

³ Subhūti, *Nām*, p. XV; de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 29; M. Bode, *PLB.*, p. 17.

⁴ *Sambandha-Cintā*, the grammar of Śrī Saṅgharakkhita, ed. K. Sarānanda, Colombo 1891 (JPTS. 1912, p. 149). Cf. de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 27; Fausböll, JPTS. 1896, p. 47, Nr. 148.11 and p. 48, Nr. 152.2.

⁵ *Saddatthabhedacintā*: Grammar by Saddhamma Siri, ed. Suṣāta and Nānānanda, Colombo 1901 (JPTS. 1912, p. 149). Cf. de Zoysa, p. 27; Fausböll, p. 47, Nr. 148.12 and p. 48, Nr. 152.3.

⁶ Grünwedel, *das sechste Kapitel der Rūpasiddhi*, Berlin 1888. Cf. Turnour, *Mhvs.*, p. XXV f.; d'Alwis, *Catal.*, p. 179 ff.; Subhūti, p. XXI; de Zoysa, p. 26; Fausböll, p. 49, Nr. 155; Franke, PGr., pp. 25-29.

name as Buddhappiya with the surname Dīpaṅkara, a pupil of the Thera Ānanda. He is therefore probably the author of the *Pajjamadhu* (see 37), and the *Rūpasiddhi* therefore belong to the second half of the 13th century. The work is divided into seven chapters, and the arrangement of the material is exactly like that of *Kaccāyana*, the only difference being that *Kitaka* and *Upādi* have been stuffed in one chapter which is the seventh. There is a *Ṭikā*¹ on the *Rūpasiddhi*, as well as a Sinhalese adaptation (*sannaya*) of it. The latter is mentioned already in *Rāhula's Moggallāyanapañcīkāpāḍīpa*, a work of the year 1456 A.D.—5. The *Bālāvatāra*² is a handbook very much in use in Burma and Siam. This also is an adaptation of *Kaccāyana's* grammar, giving the contents of the basic work in a more concise form and a slightly different order. According to tradition its author is *Dhammakitti*³ who composed also the *Saddhammasaṅgaha* (see 39.2). The *Bālāvatāra* should accordingly have been composed towards the end of the 14th century. In *Gnvs.* 62⁹, 71²⁶ it is however ascribed to *Vācissara*, in which case the work would be more than a century older. There is also a *Bālāvatāra-Ṭikā*⁴ by an anonymous author—6. The *Saddasārattahajālīnī*⁵ of the Burmese monk *Kaṇṭhakakhipanāgita*, usually called simply *Nāgita*, was composed in 1900 after Buddha (= 1356 A.D.), i.e., about the same time as the *Ṭikā* on *Abhidhānappadīpikā*. The arrangement of the material is again very much as in *Kaccāyana's* grammar. The chapters 3-9 of the former correspond to the chapters 1-7 of the latter.

47. To the school of *Kaccāyana* further belongs—7. the *Kaccāyanabheda*, a commentary by Thera *Mahāyasa*⁶ who is supposed to have

¹ *Subhūti*, p. XXII ; de Zoysa, p. 26.

² *Bālāvatāra* : Grammar by *Dharmakīrti*, ed. DAdS. Batuvantudave. Colombo 1869 ; *Bālāvatāra* : Pāli Grammar, ed. Sri *Dharmārāma*, Paliyagoda 1902 ; *Bālāvatāra* with *Ṭikā* : Pāli Grammar, ed. H. Sumanāgala, Colombo 1898 (JPTS. 1912, p. 139). The *Bālāvatāra* was edited with English translation by L. Lee in "The Orientalist" II. 1892. Cf. d'Alwis, p. 78 ff. ; *Subhūti*, p. XXIV ; de Zoysa, p. 21 f. ; *Fausbøll*, p. 45, Nr. 144.2 ; *Franke*, PGr., p. 24 f.

³ *Subhūti*, p. XXV ; *Wickremasinghe*, *Catal. of Sinh. MSS.*, p. XIX.

⁴ *Subhūti*, p. XXVI ; de Zoysa, p. 22.

⁵ *Saddasārattahajālīnī*, a Pāli work compiled by *Nāgita*, ed. and transl. by *Silvananda*, Colombo 1902. Cf. de Zoysa, p. 27 ; *Fausbøll*, p. 47, Nr. 148.16 and p. 48, Nr. 152.5.

⁶ *M. Bode*, PLB, p. 36 f. ; *Subhūti* Nām., p. LXIII f. ; de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 23 ; *Fausbøll*, JPTS. 1896, p. 47, Nr. 148.17 ; p. 48, Nr. 152.1 ; *Franke*, PGr., p. 29. In *Gnvs.* 74¹ *Dhammānanda* is mentioned as the author both of *Kacc.-bheda* and *Kacc.-sāra*.

lived in the second half of the 14th century. Fausböll however mentions Rassathera as the author of this work. There are two *Ṭikās*¹ on this work which consists of 400 strophes and is divided into seven chapters. One of them was composed by the Burmese monk Ariyālaṃkāra about the year 2152 after Buddha (=1608 A.D.), and is titled *Sāratthvikāsinī*. The other is the *Kaccāyanabheda-Mahāṭikā* of Uttamasikkha. Mahāyasa is also the author of a *Kaccāyanasāra*,² on which the author himself is said to have written a *Ṭikā*. This is perhaps the *Kaccāyanasāra-Purāṇaṭikā*,³ of which however Subhūti expressly says that the author and the time of origin are unknown. A *Kaccāyanasāra-Abhinavaṭikā*, also called *Samṃohavināsinī*, was composed by the Burmese monk Saddhāmmavilāsa of Pagan.—8. The *Saddabindu*,⁴ consisting of 21 strophes, probably belongs to the second half of the 15th century. According to Sās. 76²⁵, King Kyacvā of Arimaddana (Burma) was the author of this work. Subhūti gives for it the year 2025 after Buddha (=1481 A.D.). A *Saddabindu-Ṭikā*⁵ titled *Linatthasūdanī* was composed by Nāṇavilāsa towards the end of the 16th century.—9. Neither the author nor the time of origin of the *Bālappabodhana*⁶ is known. The editor Sudhammalāṃkāra gives the approximate date 2100 after Buddha (=1556 A.D.). It is at all events later than *Kaccāyanabheda* and *Saddatthabhedacintā*. Also the *Ṭikā* on *Bālappabodhana* is anonymous.—I am unable to give any date for 10. the *Abhinava-Cūlanirutti* of Sirisaddhammalāṃkāra.⁷ It deals with the exceptions to the rules of *Kaccāyana*.—11. Finally I shall mention the *Kaccāyanavaṇṇanā* of the Burmese Thera Mahāvijitāvin,⁸ who lived about 1600 A.D. The work is a commentary on the

¹ Subhūti, pp. XLVI and XLIV ; Oldenberg, Catal., p. 90, Nr. 65 ; de Zoysa, pp. 28, 23.

² Subhūti, pp. LXXXIII ; Fausböll, p. 47, Nr. 148, 14 ; M. Bode, de Zoysa, Franke, *Ibid.*

³ Subhūti, p. LXXXIV, LXXXV ; de Zoysa, p. 23.

⁴ De Zoysa, p. 27 ; Subhūti, p. XCI f.

⁵ Subhūti, p. XCII f ; de Zoysa, p. 27.

⁶ *Bālappabodhana*, a Pali grammar ed. with a Sinhalese paraphrase by R. Sudhammalāṃkāra, Colombo 1913. Subhūti, p. XCI ; de Zoysa, p. 21.

⁷ Subhūti, p. XXVIII f. ; de Zoysa, p. 22. The introductory verses show that the *Cūlanirutti* mentioned in Oldenberg, p. 102, Nr. 77 is the same work.

⁸ M. Bode, p. 46 ; Subhūti, p. XVIII ff. ; de Zoysa 24 ; Fausböll, p. 47, Nr. 150 and 151.

saṃdhikappa of Kaccāyana. The authors of the most important earlier works, such as Nyāsa, Rūpasiddhi, Saddaniti. etc., are mentioned in the introductory verses. This *Kaccāyanavaṇṇanā* however must not be confused with a much earlier work of the same name, which has been mentioned already in the introductory verses of the *Rūpasiddhi*.¹ Mahāvijitāvin is also the author of the *Vācakopadesa*,² in which—according to Oldenberg—the grammatical categories have been considered from a logical point of view.

48. Besides Kaccāyana, the Thera Moggallāna or Moggallāyana³ was the founder of a new grammatical school. His works are 1. the *Moggallāyanavyākaraṇa*, also called *Saddalakkhaṇa*, with the *Vutti* belonging to it, and 2. the *Moggallāyanapañcikā*, which was the author's own commentary on his grammar. This commentary is now lost. For the contents of the grammatical work of Moggallāna I refer to the researches of R. O. Franke. It is doubtless superior to the work of Kaccāyana. Moggallāyana's grammar too is of course not free from the defects of indigenous Pāli grammatical literature, but Moggallāna deals with the linguistic material more exhaustively and with greater understanding of the essence and character of Pāli. There are considerable differences in the arrangement and grouping of the rules, as well as in the terminology. Besides the older Pāli grammars, the Kātantra and the work of Pāṇini, Moggallāna has drawn most upon Candragomin. As for the age of Moggallāna, he himself says in the concluding verses of the *Vutti* that he composed the work in the reign of Parakkamabhūja. Therewith is surely meant Parakkamabhū I (1158-1186 A.D.). Moggallāna was an inhabitant of Anurādhapura, where he was a member of the Thūpārāma. According to the *Gvs.* 62⁹, 71²⁶ Vācissara is said to have composed a *Ṭikā* on his grammar; but it appears that this Vācissara was not the pupil of Sāriputta mentioned in 32.3. There was evidently a confusion with the Thera Rāhula, the author of the *Moggallāyanapañcikāpadīpa*, who likewise bore the not unusual surname Vācissara.

49. As in the case of Kaccāyana's grammar, an extensive literature belongs also to the grammar of Moggallāna.

¹ See d'Alwis, *Catal.*, p. 179; *Subhūti*, p. XXIII.

² *Subhūti*, p. LXXIII; de Zoysa, p. 29.

³ *Moggallāyana-Vyākaraṇa*: Grammar, ed. H. Devamitta, Colombo 1890 (JPTS. 1912, p. 147). Cf. d'Alwis, *Catal.*, p. 183 ff.; *Subhūti*, *Nām.*, p. XXX; de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 24; Oldenberg, *Catal.*, p. 94, Nr. 74; R. O. Franke, *PGr.*, p. 34 ff.

First of all there is to mention 1. Piyadassin's *Padasādhana*.¹ The author was one of the immediate pupils of Moggallāna, and therefore probably belonged to the end of the 12th century. His work is an abridged version of the grammar of his master. De Zoysa says that the relation between Piyadassin and Moggallāna is analogous to that between Bālāvatūra and Kaccāyana. A commentary called *Padasādhana-ṭikā* or *Buddhippasādanī*² on the *Padasādhana* was composed in the year 1472 by the Thera Sri Rāhula of Tittthagāma, with the surname Vācissara, who is well known also in the Sinhalese literature.³—2. The *Payogasiddhi* of Vanaratana Medhampkara⁴ is considered to be one of the best grammars of Moggallāna's school. and, according to de Zoysa, its position with regard to the Moggallāna-vyākaraṇa is similar to that of Rūpasiddhi with regard to Kaccāyana's grammar. The author lived in the reign of Bhuvanekabāhu, the son of Parakkabāhu. Perhaps it is Bhuvanekabāhu III who is meant, in which case Medhampkara should have lived about 1300. He is different from the two Medhampkaras mentioned in 34.4 and 40.1.—3. The *Moggallānapaṇcikāpadīpa*⁵ is a commentary on the lost *Pañcikā* of Moggallāna. The author is the same Rāhula who composed also the *Ṭikā* on *Padasādhana*. The *Pañcikā*-commentary is written partly in Pāli and partly in Sinhalese. De Zoysa considers it to be one of the most learned works on Pāli grammar that we possess. Very rich material has at all events been collected and discussed in it. Subhūti mentions no less than 50 grammatical works which have been referred to in it, including Candra's Sanskrit grammar. The date of the work is given as the year 1379 of Śaka era = 1457 A.D.

50. Aggavamsa's *Saddanīti*⁶ is of peculiar importance for determining the value of indigenous tradition. The author was a native of Arimaddana in Burma, and his work is the fruit of grammatical

¹ Cf. Subhūti, Nām., p. XXXVIII, de Zoysa, Catal., p. 25 f.; Oldenberg, Catal., p. 99, Nr. 76; R. O. Franke, PGr., p. 44 f. The edition of *Padasādhana* by Dhammānanda and Nāṇissara, Colombo 1887, is not known to me.

² *Buddhippasādanī*, a commentary on *Padasādhana* by Sri Rāhula, ed. Dhirananda and Vachissara, Colombo 1908. Cf. Subhūti, p. XLI, de Zoysa, p. 26.

³ Geiger, LSpRS., p. 10.

⁴ Subhūti, p. XLIV; de Zoysa, p. 26; Oldenberg, p. 94, Nr. 75; Franke, p. 45.

⁵ *Moggallāna-Pañcakapradīpa*: Grammar, ed. Sri Dharmārāma, Colombo 1896 (JPTS. 1912, p. 147). Cf. Subhūti, p. XXXIV, de Zoysa, p. 24; Franke, p. 44.

⁶ Subhūti Nām., p. XLVIII ff.; de Zoysa, Catal., p. 27; Fausböhl, JPTS. 1896, p. 49, Nr. 153; R. O. Franke, PGr., p. 45 ff.; *Saddanīti*, la grammaire Pāli d'Aggavamsa, ed. by Helmer Smith, 3 parts, Lund 1923-30.

studies as carried on in that country independently of Ceylon. The news of the erudition of the Burmese monks in the field of grammar is said to have been brought to Ceylon¹ by the mission of Uttarājīva. In order to test the truth of these rumours Ceylonese monks went themselves to Arimaddana. They were shown the *Saddanīti*, and they had to admit that in Ceylon there was no grammatical work which was so good as this. The year 1154 A.D. is given as the date of the *Saddanīti*. Its author Aggavamsa was also called Aggapaṇḍita the third and was the nephew of the second Aggapaṇḍita, who himself was a pupil of the first Aggapaṇḍita. Afterwards Aggavamsa became the teacher of King Narapaṭisithu (1167-1202).—On the whole, as R. O. Franke has shown, the *Saddanīti* is based on Kaccāyana. From the point of view of contents it is hardly justifiable to separate the *Saddanīti* from the school of Kaccāyana. But Aggavamsa utilised for his work also the Sanskrit grammars such as those of Pāṇini, etc. The work of Moggallāna could have been hardly known to him, it was composed probably after the *Saddanīti*. The *Saddanīti* consists of 27 chapters; the first eighteen are called Mahāsaddanīti and the remaining nine Cullasaddanīti. It is expressly mentioned in the colophon that this work is based on the writings of the Ācariyā, as well as on the canonical literature, from which therefore it has drawn its material.

51. Of the lexicons only one has been handed down to us from olden times, and that is the *Abhidhānappadīpikā* of Moggallāna.² It is generally accepted from the time of d'Alwis that this Moggallāna is not identical with the grammarian of the same name (see 48). As is mentioned in its concluding verses, he was a member of the Jetavana-monastery in Pulatthipura (Polonnaruwa), whereas, as we have seen, the grammarian Moggallāna lived in the Thūpūrāma in Anurādhapura. Also in Gnvs. 62³ he is called *Nava-Moggallāna* to distinguish him from the grammarian. The difference in age between the two however could not have been very great. From the manner

¹ Sāsvs. 40, 74. Cf. M. Bode, PLB., p. 16 f.

² *Abhidhānappadīpikā* or Dictionary of the Pali Language by Moggallāna Thero ... by W. Subhūti, 2nd ed., Colombo 1898. Further: A Complete Index to the *Abhidhānappadīpikā* by W. Subhūti, Colombo 1898 (Pāli-title: *Abhidhānappadīpikāśūci*; athavā ... Pāli-Akārāḍigantho). Cf. d'Alwis, Catal., p. 1 f.; de Zoysa, Catal., p. 21; Fausbøll, JPTS. 1896, p. 46, Nr. 147.2, 148.3; p. 51, Nr. 166 (*ibid.*, Nr. 167, as well as de Zoysa, p. 21 where the Abhp.-Tīkā is mentioned; Oldenberg, Catal., p. 104, Nr. 82, 83 and p. 105, Nr. 85; R. O. Franke, PGR., p. 65 ff,

in which the king Parakkamabhuja (Parakkamabāhu I) has been spoken of in the concluding verses it is clear that the *Abhidhānappadīpikā* must have been composed shortly after his reign (1153-1186), that is to say, towards the end of the 12th century.—The work is divided into 3 parts: synonyms, homonyms and indeclinables. It consists of 1203 strophes. The model after which this work was composed was the *Amarakośa*. Large portions, particularly in the part on synonyms, have been taken *in toto* from the *Amarakośa*, and not infrequently Moggallāna gives as Pāli words straight away those forms which he himself constructed from Sanskrit according to the phonological laws of Pāli. R. O. Franke has shown that beside Amara also another Sanskrit *Kośa* might have been used. It does not seem to me to be conclusively proved however that another Pāli synonyms-dictionary must have existed before the *Abhidhānappadīpikā*. A *Ṭikā* on the *Abhidhānappadīpikā* (see 46.6) was composed about the middle of the 14th century.—We have still to mention the *Ekakkharakośa* of the Burmese monk Saddhammakitti,¹ a metrical list of monosyllabic words closely on the model of similar works in Sanskrit. The date of the work is the year 2009 after Buddha = 1465 A.D.

52. So far as the lists of roots are concerned, 1 *Dhātumañjūsā*² (cf. 46) belongs to the school of Kaccāyana. It is therefore also called Kaccāyana-Dhātumañjūsā. According to the colophon, its author was the Thera Silavaṃsa of the Yakkhaddilena monastery, now Yakdassāgala near Kurunāgala. It is metrical and consists of 150 strophes. According to Subhūti, the work is planned after Vopadeva's *Kavikalpadruma*. Its relation with the school of Kaccāyana is further proved by the agreement which is found in the arrangement of root-classes. According to Franke, Silavaṃsa used both the Pāṇini-Dhātupāṭha and the following work.—2 The *Dhātupāṭha*³ belonging to Moggallāna's system, as indicated by the order of the root-classes, is shorter and not metrical. The author and the time of origin are unknown. Probably however the *Dhātupāṭha* is older than the *Dhātumañjūsā*.—3. The

¹ Edited by Subhūti as appendix to his edition of *Abhp.* Cf. *Nām*, p. LXXX. Here (p. LXXXI) is also mentioned a *Ṭikā* on it composed by a Burmese monk. On the Sanskrit *Ekākṣarakośas*, see Zachariae, *die ind. Wörterbücher*, p. 37.

² Subhūti, *Nām*, XCV (here is also mentioned an edition of the work by Baṇu-wantudāwā); de Zoysa, *Catal.*, p. 23; Oldenberg, *Catal.*, p. 106, Nr. 87; R. O. Franke, *PGr.*, pp. 57 f., 60 ff.

³ Probably the *Dhātumañjūsā* mentioned in de Zoysa, p. 22. Cf. Oldenberg, p. 106, Nr. 86; Franke, pp. 58, 62 f.

Dhātuvatthadīpanī,¹ according to Franke, "is a versified form of the roots recounted in a particular chapter of the *Saddanīti*." The order of the root-classes agrees with that of the *Saddanīti*. The author of the *Dhātuvatthadīpanī* had made use of the Pāṇini-Dhātupāṭha, like the authors of the works discussed above in 1 and 2.

53: 1. Pāli poetics is dealt with in the *Subodhālaṃkāra* of Saṃgha-rakkhita² who has been mentioned frequently above (32.1, 46.2). There is also a *Ṭikā* on it. The same Thera wrote a work on Pāli prosody of the title *Vuttodaya*³ on which there is likewise a *Ṭikā* named *Vacanatthajotikā*.—2. Lastly I mention here a number of works of grammatical contents which have been discussed by Subhūti⁴ but which I am not in a position to classify accurately: (a) *Vaccavācaka* of the Sāmaṇera Dhammaḍassin⁵ of Arimaddana in Burma, consisting of 100 strophes, dating probably from the end of the 14th century. A *Ṭikā* on it was composed in the year 2312 after Buddha (= 1768 A.D.) by the monk Saddhammanandin of the Khemāvātāra-monastery in Burma.—(b) *Gandhatthi* of Maṅgala,⁶ a work on particles, perhaps belonging to the 14th century, and probably older than the work mentioned next. Cf. 40.3.—(c) *Gandhābharana* of Ariyavaṃsa,⁷ likewise dealing with particles, composed about the year 1980 after Buddha (= 1436 A.D.). A *Ṭikā* on it was composed by Suvannarāsi of Burma (2128 after Buddha = 1584 A.D.).—(d) *Vibhattyatthappakarana*⁸ on the use of cases, in 37 Slokas, is ascribed to a daughter of the King Kyacvā of Burma. Its date is 2025 after Buddha (= 1481 A.D.) according to Subhūti. To it belongs a *Vibhattyattha-Ṭikā*, which is perhaps identical with the *Vibhattyatthadīpanī* mentioned by de Zoysa and Fausböll. At least in the introductory verse the *Ṭikā* ascribes to itself the same title. De Zoysa mentions also a *Vibhattikathāvannanā*.—(e) *Samvannanāyadīpanī*⁹ composed by Jambudhaja in 2195 after Buddha (= 1651 A.D.).

¹ De Zoysa, p. 22; Franke, p. 58 f., 63 f. Is the work mentioned in JPTS. 1912, p. 142, Nr. 82 an adaptation of this list of roots?

² Grvs. 61¹⁵, 70²⁸. De Zoysa, Catal., p. 28; Fausböll, JPTS. 1896, p. 46, Nr. 148.4, p. 48, Nr. 152.7 and p. 51, Nr. 172.2

³ Grvs. 70²⁸. De Zoysa, p. 29; Oldenberg, Catal., p. 106, Nr. 88 and p. 107, Nr. 89; Fausböll, p. 46, Nr. 148.5, p. 48, Nr. 152.6 and p. 51, Nr. 170-172.

⁴ See Nām. p. LXXXVII, LXXXVI, LV etc.

⁵ M. Bode, PLB. p. 22; de Zoysa, p. 29; Fausböll, p. 50, Nr. 163 and 164.

⁶ M. Bode, p. 26; de Zoysa, p. 23.

⁷ M. Bode, p. 43; de Zoysa, p. 23; Fausböll, p. 50, Nr. 164.

⁸ Edited by Subhūti as Appendix to the Abhp., p. XIII ff. Cf. de Zoysa, p. 29; Fausböll, p. 50, Nr. 163.

⁹ M. Bode, p. 55.

The same author has written also the *Niruttisaṅgaha* and the *Sarvajñānyāyadīpanī*.¹—(f) *Saddavutti* of *Saddhammaguru* ² composed before 2200 after Buddha (= 1656 A.D.), with a *Ṭikā* by the Burmese monk *Sāriputta*.—(g) *Kārapupphamañjari*,³ composed by *Attara-gama Baṇḍāra Rājaguru* of Kandy, dealing with syntax, was written in the reign of *Kirtiśrī Rājasimha* (1747-1780 A.D.). The same author composed also the work *Sudhīramukhaṇḍana* ⁴ dealing with Pāli compounds.—(h) The *Nayalakkhaṇavibhāvanī* by the Burmese monk *Vicittācāra* ⁵ belongs to the second half of the 18th century. .

¹ De Zoysa, p. 25, 28.

² De Zoysa, p. 27 ; Fausbøll, p. 47, Nr. 148.15. According to M. Bode, p. 29 *Saddavutti* was composed in the 14th century.

³ De Zoysa, p. 24.

⁴ De Zoysa, p. 28.

⁵ De Zoysa, p. 25.

PART II

Grammar of Pāli

Literature (general, grammars, dictionaries, chrestomathies).

E. Burnouf et Chr. Lassen, *Essai sur le Pāli*. Paris 1826.

E. Burnouf, *Observations grammaticales sur quelques passages de l'Essai sur le Pāli de Burnouf et Lassen*. Paris 1827.

B. Clough, *Compendious Pali Grammar with a copious Vocabulary in the same Language*. Colombo 1824.

J. Alwis, *Lecture on the Buddhist Scriptures and their Language, the Pali* (1861). Reprinted in JPTS. 1883, p. 39 ff.

J. Minayeff, *Grammaire Pālie, traduite par St. Guyard*. Paris 1874.—Pāli Grammar, a phonetic and morphological Sketch of the Pāli Language, with an introductory Essay on its Form and Character by J. M., 1872 ; transl. from Russian into French by M. St. Guyard, 1874. Rendered into English by Ch. G. Adams, 1882.

Fr. Müller, *Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Pāli-Sprache*, I. II. III. Stzber. d. Wiener Ak. d. W., phil.-hist. Cl. Vol. 57, 1867, p. 7 ff. ; p. 243 ff. ; Vol. 60, 1868, p. 533 ff.

R. C. Childers, *A Dictionary of the Pali Language*. London 1875 (4. ed. 1909).

E. Kuhn, *Beiträge zur Pāli-Grammatik*. Berlin 1875.

V. Trenckner, *Pāli Miscellany*, Vol. I. London 1879. Cf. also Trenckner's *Notes to the Milinda-pañha*, revised and edited by D. Andersen, JPTS. 1908, p. 102 ff.

A. Torp, *Die Flexion des Pāli in ihrem Verhältnis zum Sanskrit*. Christiania 1881.

O. Frankfurter, *Handbook of Pāli, being an elementary Grammar, a Chrestomathy, and a Glossary*. London and Edinburgh 1883.

E. Müller, *A simplified Grammar of the Pali Language*. London 1884.

Morris, *Notes and Queries*, JPTS. 1884, p. 69 ff. ; 1885, p. 29 ff. ; 1886, p. 94 ff. ; 1887, p. 99 ff. ; 1889, p. 200 ff. ; 1891/3, p. 1 ff.

E. Müller, *A Glossary of Pāli Proper Names*, JPTS. 1888, p. 1 ff.

Morris, *Contributions to Pali Lexicography*, Academy 1890 91.

V. Henry, *Précis de Grammaire Pālie, accompagné d'un choix de textes gradués*. Paris 1894.

Tha Do Oung, *Grammar of the Pali Language (after Kaccâyana)*. Vol. I: Sandhi, Nāma and Kāraka, and Samāsa ; Vol. II: Taddhita, Kita, Uṇādi, Ākhyāta, Upasagga and Nipāta particles ; Vol. III: Dictionary of Pali word-roots ; Vol. IV: Chandam etc. Akyab 1899-1902.

M. Bode, Index to Pāli Words discussed in translations, JPTS. 1897-1901, p. 1 ff.

H. H. Tilbe, Pāli Grammar. Rangoon 1899 (Student's Pāli Series).

J. Takakusu, A Pāli Chrestomathy, with Notes and Glossary giving Sanskrit and Chinese Equivalents. Tokyo 1900.

D. Andersen, A Pāli Reader with Notes and Glossary. Part I: Text and Notes ; Part II: a Pāli Glossary including the words of the Pāli Reader and of the Dhammapada. Copenhagen, London and Leipzig 1901, 1907.

R. O. Franke, Pāli und Sanskrit, in ihrem histor. und geogr. Verhältnis auf Grund der Inschriften und Münzen. Strassburg 1902.

H. H. Tilbe, Pāli First Lessons. Rangoon 1902 (Student's Pāli Series).

J. Gray, Elementary Pāli Grammar or Second Pāli Course. Calcutta 1905.

J. Gray, First Pāli Delectus or Companion Reader to the Pāli Course. Calcutta 1905.

E. Windisch, Über den sprachlichen Charakter des Pāli. Actes du XIV^e Congrès Internat. des Orientalistes 1, Sect. I, p. 252 ff. Paris 1906.

Ch. Duroiselle, A Practical Grammar of the Pāli Language. Rangoon 1906.

Ch. Duroiselle, School Pāli Series. I : Reader ; II : Vocabulary. Rangoon 1907.

K. P. Johansson, Pāli-Miszellen; Le Monde Oriental 1907-08, p. 85 ff.

Nyānatiloka, Kleine systematische Pāli Grammatik. Breslau 1911 (Veröffentlichungen d. D. Pāligesellschaft 5).

K. Seidenstücker, Elementargrammatik der Pāli Sprache. Leipzig 1916.

Sri Buddhatta Sthavira, The New Pāli Course, Part 1. Colombo 1937

Valuable preliminary work for a Pāli dictionary is contained also in: St. Konow, Lexicographical Notes, Words beginning with H, JPTS. 1907, p. 152 ff. ; St. Konow and D. Andersen, Lexicography, Words beginning with S, JPTS. 1909, p. 1 ff. ; T. W. Davids and W. Stede, The Pāli Text Society's Pāli-English Dictionary, London, PTS. 1921 ; Dines Andersen and Helmer Smith, A Critical Pāli Dictionary.

Other monographs on Pāli Grammar and Vocabulary will be mentioned in proper places in the following.

A. PHONOLOGY

1. Sound-system and Accent

§ 1. For the writing of Pāli there are used in the Orient various scripts : in Ceylon the Sinhalese, in Burma the Burmese, in Siam the Kamboja script. The Bangkok edition of the Tipiṭaka is printed in Siamese letters.

§ 2. The sound-system of Pāli consists of the following :

1. Vowels : *a, ā, i, ī, u, ū, e, o*, as well as the nasal vowels *am, im, um*.

2. Consonants : Gutturals : *k, kh, g, gn, n*
Palatals : *c, ch, j, jh, ñ*.
Cerebrals : *ṭ, th, ḍ, ḍh, ṇ*.
Dentals : *t, th, d, dh, n*.
Labials : *p, ph, b, bh, m*.
Liquids : *r, l, ḷ, ḷh*.¹
Semi-vowels : *y, v*.
Sibilant : *s*.
Aspiration : *h*.

Here should be noticed : 1. The vowels *e, o* are of middle length, in closed syllables they are short and in open syllables they are long.—2. The sign of nasalisation (*ṃ*) corresponding to the anusvāra and anunāsika of Sanskrit is called Niggahīta by Pāli grammarians. In Ceylon at present the Niggahīta is pronounced as a guttural nasal.—3. The consonant *ḷ* stands for intervocalic *ḍ*, likewise *ḷh* for *ḍh*. In the MSS. *l* and *ḷ* are always confused. Some spellings seem to be arbitrary. Thus *kāḷa* 'black' is mostly written with *ḷ*, although it is equivalent to Sanskrit *kāla*, probably to distinguish it from *kāla* 'time.' Surely *ḷ* should be written everywhere where an etymological connection with cerebrals is apparent.—4. The *h* is, where it stands alone, a consonant. In combination with *y, r, l, v*, or with the nasals, it appears to have been pronounced in a particular manner. The grammarians in this case call it *orasa* 'spoken in the breast.'²

¹ There is no special sign for this sound. It is usually indicated by the ligature *ḷ+h*.

² Minayeff, P Gr. p. 2.

§ 3. In its sound-system Pāli stands in Prākritic stage when compared with Old Indian. Also Prākritic has given up the vowels *ṛ*, *ḷ*, as well as the diphthongs *ai*, *au*, in all the dialects, and the vowel *ṛ* in most of the dialects excepting Apabhraṃśa. Moreover Prākritic like Pāli possesses the cerebral consonant *ḷ* and the middle-length vowels *e*, *o*.¹ Most Prākritic dialects have like Pāli only the dental *s*; the cerebral *ṣ* is found in none of them, and the palatal *ś* is absent in most.¹

The original diphthongical character of *e*, *o* is brought to light by the circumstance that in Sandhi *a + i* becomes *e* and *a + u* becomes *o*. Cf. *macchassevodoke gataṃ = macchassa iva udāke* *g*⁰ 'like the course of fish in water' Jā. I. 295⁸. There was even a tendency to use them (instead of *ai*, *au*) as *vṛddhi*-vowels. Thus are found forms originated inside Pāli, such as *tepiṭaka* 'devoted to the *Tipiṭaka*' DhCo. III. 384,¹⁸ Mhvs. 5.84 from *tipiṭaka*, and *opadhika* 'relating to the substratum of existence' Vv. 34.21, 24 = S. I. 233^{15, 21} from *upadhi*. This strengthening into *e*, *o* may take place even in those cases where originally there was no *i*, *u*. Thus *pothujjanika* 'relating to common people' Vin. I. 10¹² from *puthujjana*, where the *u* corresponds to Skr. *ṛ* (*pṛthagjana*). Cf. *soṣānika* 'relating to cemetery' Pu. 69²⁷, DhCo. I. 69⁴ from *susāna = śmaśāna*. In *gelaṇṇa* 'illness' D. II. 99¹⁶, JāCo. II. 31²⁰ from *gilāna = Skr. glāna*, and in *sovatthika* 'bringing prosperity' Vv. 18.7 from *suvaṭṭhi = Skr. svasti*, *e* and *o* are the strengthened forms of the svarabhakti-vowels *i*, *u* originated within Pāli. Cf. also *veyyāvacca* 'rendering service' Vin. I. 23²⁰ from **viyāvata = Skr. vyāpṛta*; *veyyākaraṇa* 'answering' D. I. 51⁵ from *viyākaroti = Skr. vyākaroti*.

In the same way *a* has been strengthened into *ā* within Pāli: *sākhalya*, *lā* 'friendliness' M. I. 446¹⁹, Jā. IV. 57⁵ from *sakhila*; *bhākuṭṭika* 'with frowning eye-brows' Vin. III. 181⁶ from *bhakuṭṭi = Skr. bhrakuṭṭi* (or *bhṛkuṭṭi*).

§ 4. Nothing has been handed down to us about the nature of Pāli accent. It is, however, improbable that the ancient Indian accent was still in force. Rather, as Jacobi has suggested also for Prākritic,² the Sanskrit accent was the rule in Pāli.³ This is suggested by the

¹ Pischel, PkrGr. § 45.

² Different view of Pischel, KZ. 34.568 ff., 35.40 ff.; PkrGr. § 46. Against him Jacobi, ZDMG. 47.574 ff., KZ. 35.578 ff. Cf. Grierson, ZDMG. 49.395 ff.; Michelson, IF. 28.281.

³ On this cf. Jacobi, ZDMG. 47.574.

changes of vocalism in Pāli, such as the weakening of a vowel after the accented syllable (in the Skr. form) or its strengthening in the main tonic syllable. Cf. § 19 ff.

2. The Law of Mora

§ 5. In Pāli, as generally in Middle Indian,¹ a syllable can contain only one mora or two moras but never more. The syllable is thus either (1) open with short vowel (one mora), or (2) open with long vowel (2 moras), or (3) closed with short vowel (2 moras). Every syllable with a nasal vowel is considered as closed. Long nasal vowels do not occur. Due to this law, where Skr. has long vowel before double-consonance (*i.e.* in closed syllable), Pāli has there either (a) short vowel before double-consonance² or (b) long vowel before the following double-consonance simplified.

Examples of (a) : *jiṇṇa* 'old, exhausted' = *ḥiṇṇa*. Likewise *māṃsa* 'flesh' = *māṃsa*, and the final in *nadiṃ* 'the river' (acc.) = *nadī*. The vowels *e*, *o* are short in such cases: *sēmha* 'catarrh' = *ślēṣman*; *ōṭṭha* 'lip' = *oṣṭha*.—Examples of (b) : *lākhā* 'lac' = *lākṣā*; *ḍigha* 'long' = *dirgha*. In case of the vowels *e*, *o*, the orthography in the mss. varies not infrequently, such as *apēkkhā* and *apēkhā* 'expectation' = *apekṣā*; *upēkkhā* and *upēkhā* 'indifference' = *upekṣā*; *vīmōkkha* and *vīmōkha* 'deliverance' D. II. 70²⁸, A. IV. 306¹¹ = *vimokṣa*.

§ 6. The Law of Mora has led to various changes.³ Due to it: 1. there can be in Pāli long vowel before single consonant where Skr. has short vowel before double-consonance: *sāsapa* 'mustard seed' (instead of **sassa*.) Dh. 401, S. II. 182¹⁷, DhCo. I. 107³ = *saṇṇapa*; *vāka* 'bark' (instead of **vakka*) D. I. 167², Vin. III. 34²⁸, JāCo. I. 304² = *valka*; *nīyāti* 'goes away' = *nīryāti*.⁴—2. Pāli shows short vowel before double-consonance where originally there was long vowel before a single consonant: *abbahati* 'draws out' Th. 1. 162, 1007, Sn. 334, S. II. 95⁵ = *ābḥati*; *niḍḍa* (sic!) 'nest' Dh. 148 (AMāg. *nīḍḍa*) = *nīḍa*; *udukkhala* 'mortar and pestle' Vin. III. 6²⁶, D. II. 341⁶, JāCo. I. 502²⁰ (AMāg. *udukkhala* beside *udūhala*) = *udūkhala*; *kubbāra*

¹ R. O. Franke, P. und Skr. p. 90 f.

² In P. *sutti*, therefore, there have coincided, *e.g.*, Skr. *śukti* 'oyster-shell' (Vin. II. 106^{11,12}) and *sūkti* 'good speech' (Saddhammapāyana 340, 617).

³ For analogous phenomena in Pkr. see Pischel, PkrGr. § 62-65, 90, 74-76.

⁴ Cf. also *svātānāya* 'for next day' (in Buddhistic Skr. *svetanāya* etc.) from Skr. *svastana*. Johansson, Monde Oriental, 1907-08, p. 106 ff.

'pole of a carriage' Vv. 64.2, A. IV. 191⁶, VvCo. 269⁵=*kūbara*, *kūvara*; *pēttika* 'fatherly' D. II. 232¹⁰, Vin. III. 163⁵, JāCo. II. 59¹³ for **pētika*=*paiṭṭika* (after which has been latterly formed *mettika*). Cf. also *maṇabbala*, *mahapphala*=*maḥābala*, *maḥāphala*.—3. As the short nasal vowel has two moras like the long, a nasal not infrequently appears in the place of a pure long vowel¹ and vice versa: *maṃkuṇa* 'bug' JāCo. III. 423¹³ instead of **māk*-, **makk*=*matkuṇa*; *saṃvārī* 'night' D.III. 196²² (verse), Jā. IV. 441⁶ instead of **sāv*-, **sabb*=*śarvārī*; *saṃka* 'toll' (AMāg. *ussuṃka*) instead of **sūka*, **sukka*=Skr. *śulka*; *ghaṃsati* 'rubs' instead of **ghās*-, **ghass*=*gharṣati*; *vidaṃsentī* 'she who shows' Th2. 74 instead of **vidās*-, **vidass*=*vidarṣayanti*. On the other hand: *viṣati viṣaṃ* 'twenty'=*viṃśati*; *siha* 'lion'=*siṃha*; *sārambha* 'audacity' (beside *saṃrambha* Dāṭhāvs. 4.34)=*saṃrambha*, and other words with *saṃ*-.

§ 7. Sometimes a long vowel is retained before double-consonance. Thus particularly in contractions, as in *sājja*=*sā ajja* Th2.75, *yathājjhāsayaṇa* 'according to desire' JāCo. IV. 243⁸. Further, in derivatives such as *dussilya* from *dussila* Cf. *bālyā* 'stupidity' DhCo. II. 30³, variant reading of *balya*. These are evidently cases of learned orthography. There are also sporadical cases like *dābbī* (name of a plant) Abhp. 586=*dārvī*; *dātta* 'sickle' Abhp. 448=*dātra* (none of these, forms, however, is quotable from texts).² Quite frequent is *svākkhāta* 'well proclaimed' Vin. I. 12²⁴ etc.=*su-ākhyāta*.

§ 8. It is also due to the action of the Law of Mora that even in the case of the separation a consonant-group by a Svarabhakti vowel (§29 ff.) a long vowel preceding the consonant-group is regularly shortened.³ The two one-mora syllables in these cases represent one two-mora syllable: *suriya* 'sun' (instead of **sūrya*)=*sūrya*; but *sūriya* 'heroism' Jā. I.282¹⁷, purposely differentiated from the preceding;

¹ The tendency towards nasalisation is often in evidence in mss.: *naṃgara* instead of *nagara*, *gaṃchi* (*gañchi*) instead of *gacchi* etc. Cf. *uḷumpa* 'raft' D.II. 89¹⁵=*uḷupa*.

² Childers gives also *ājjava* 'straightness' beside *ajjava*. *Sākya* Bodhiva. 22¹³, 27²³ instead of *Sakya* (according to the Colombo edition), *Sakka* or *Sakya* is perhaps a wrong reading. The form *Sākiya* also is not quite correct.

³ As a rule it is different in Pkr., cf. AMāg. *sūriya viriya* etc. But still AMāg., JMāh. *veruliya*=*vaṇḍārya* (P. *veḷuriya*). In Pāli we have *sītiya*, Jā. III. 7¹ only *metri causa*,

pakiriya gerund of *pakirati* 'loosens (the hair)' = *prakirya*. In words like *cetiya* = *caitya* and *Moriya* = *Maurya* we have therefore to consider *e* and *o* as short vowels.—The insertion of the svarabhakti-vowel however does not disturb the length of a following vowel: thus *gīlāna* 'ill' = *glāna*. Only in the case of the originally monosyllabic words *itthī* 'woman' = *strī*, *sirī* 'fortune' = *śrī* and *hirī* 'modesty' = *hrī* does this law act in some measure.¹ In compounds these words have short vowel²: *itthiratana* 'jewel of a woman' D. I. 89³, *hirimana* 'of modest disposition' D. II. 78³⁴ etc. Also *sirimant*, *hirimant* and occasionally *sassirika*,³ *ahirika* etc.

3. The Vowels ǣ ī ŋ

§ 9. Occasionally *e* appears for *a* before double-consonance: *pheggu* 'empty, worthless' M. I. 194²⁵, S IV. 168² etc. = *phalgu*; *seyyā* 'bed' = *śayyā*.⁴ The word *ettha* 'here' is probably not = *atra*, but = **itra*, Av. *iθra*,⁵ and is therefore to be classed with § 10.2. In the same way *hettha* 'below' is derived not from *adhaṣṭhāt*, but from an **adheṣṭhāt*⁶ as is shown by the cerebrals *-tṭh-*.

§ 10. 1. The vowels *i*, *u* are lengthened in the flexional endings *-īhi*, *-ūhi* and *-īsu*, *-ūsu* of *i-* and *u-*declensions (§ 82).—2. Not infrequently *i* and *u* become *ǣ* and *ō* before double-consonance⁷: *Veṇhu* (JMāh. *Viṇhu*) D. II. 259²² = *Viṣṇu*⁸; *nekkha* 'gold ornament' Sn. 689, A. I. 181¹⁵ (beside *nikkha* Vin. I. 38¹⁶) = *niṣka*; *koccha* 'bundle, ball, fleshy part' Vin. II. 149³², 266²³ = *kūrca* (cf. § 62.1); *otṭha* 'camel' M. I. 80¹³, Vin. IV.

¹ On the same words in Pkr. see Pischel, PkrGr. § 98, 147.

² Lengthening takes place only *metri causa*, thus *sirimant* Thl. 94, *sirīdhara* Mhvs. 5.16.4.

³ *sassirika* JāCo. I. 504²², Smp. 300²³, *nissirika* JāCo. VI. 456¹.

⁴ There might have been intermediate forms like **phiggu*, **seyyā* (Pkr. *sejjā* with the frequent variant reading *sijjā*). Cf. *māṇjā* 'marrow' (§ 6.3) = *majjā*. For Pischel's explanation see PkrGr § 101. Jacobi, KZ. 35.573 ascribes the *i* in *sijjā* to the influence of the palatal.

⁵ So already Lassen, Instit. Linguae Pracriticae 129. Cf. E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 21. Pischel, § 107 thinks of connection with Ved. *itthā* I would however like to point out the v. l. *ubhayettha* of *ubhayattha* DhCo. I. 29¹³.

⁶ Pischel § 107. Not so Johansson, IF. 3.218; Monde or. 1907, 93 and Wackernagel, KZ. 43.293.

⁷ Frequently also in Pkr., Pischel, § 119, 122, 125.

⁸ Also in S. I. 52¹⁰ should be read *Veṇhu* instead of *Veṇḍu*.

7¹⁶=*uṣṭra* ; *vokkamati* ' gets deranged ' D. I. 230¹⁴, M. III. 117²³, JāCo. I. 23²¹ = *vyutkramati* ; *Okkāṃukha* (proper name) = *Ulkā-mukha*.¹ In words like *rāmaṇeyya* ' charming ' = *rāmaṇiya*, *dakkhuṇeyya* ' worthy of veneration ' = *dakṣiṇiya*, an intermediate stage with *-*iyya* has to be imagined.—The change into *e* takes place even in the case of such *i* as is derived from *ṛ*. Thus in the verb *gheppati* ' grasps,' if it is derived from **ghippati* < **ghṛpyati* like Pkr. *gheppai* as Pischel (PkrGr. § 197) has suggested.—The double-consonance following after *ṣ*, *ṣ* may be secondarily simplified according to the Law of Mora with concomitant protraction of *e*, *o*: *Uruvelā* (place-name) through *-*vēllā*, *-*villā* = *Uruvilvā* ; *ojā* ' strength ' D. II. 285¹⁰, M. I. 124³², DhC. I. 107¹⁶ through **ōjjā*, **ūjjā* = *ūrjā*. In *viḥsati* ' injures, insults ' Ud 44³⁰, 45⁸ (beside *viḥimsati*) the intermediate steps **viḥsati*, **viḥissati* **viḥṣsati* have to be imagined. Out of original *ṛ* this *i, e* has been developed in *paligedha* ' desire ' A. I. 66¹⁰, *paligedhin* A. III. 265⁷ through *-*gēddha*, *-*gēddhin*, *-*giddha*, *-*giddhin* = *gṛddha*, *gṛddhin*.

§ 11. Intermediate stages with double-consonance have to be imagined where in open syllable *i*, *ū* have become *e*, *o*: *edi*, *edisa* (*erisa*), *edisaka*, *edikkha* (*erikkha*) ' such a one ' Sn. 313, Vin. I. 195¹¹, Mhvs. 5.56 (beside *īdisa* etc. Mhvs. 5.93) through **iddi*, **ēddi* etc. = *īḍṣi*, *īḍṣū*, *īḍṣkṣā*² ; *āveḷā* ' garland ' Vv. 36.2, JāCo. I 444⁶, 501²⁹ (Pkr. *āmeḷā*) through **āvēḍā*, **āvēddā* **āviḍḍā*, = *āpiḍḍā*³; *gaḷocī* (a plant ; Pkr. *gaḷoi*) Abph. 581 through **gaḷōccī*, **gaḷuccī* = *gudūci* ; *jaṃbonada* ' gold ' Dh. 230, Vv. 84. 17 through *-*ōnnada*, *-*unnada* = *jaṃbūnada*. *Mahesī* ' queen ' from *mahiṣī*⁴ is remarkable.

4. Representation of the Vowels *ṛ*, *ḷ*

§ 12. The vowel *ṛ* is represented by *a*, *i*, *u* in P.—even in initial

¹ But always *ukkā* ' flame, meteor ' D. I. 49³¹, JāCo. IV. 290²³ ; D. I. 10¹⁷ = *ulkā*. The name *Okkāka* = *Ikṣvāku*, which is connected by the Buddhists with *ikṣu* ' sugarcane ' is in fact derived from **Ukkhāka* (**ukkhū* side-form of *ucchu*). The analogical influence of *Okkāṃukha* was also effective.

² Cf. A.Māg. *eddaha* beside *erisa* etc. Pischel, PkrGr. § 121, 122. Pāli has however only *kidi*, *kidisa* etc.

³ Pischel, § 122, 248.

⁴ E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 24 suspects influence of *mahesī* ' wise man.' Cf. here also *gahetvā*, *netvā* which have been wrongly explained by Minayeff, PGr. § 16, p.

position, which is not the case in Pkr.¹ The quality of the vowel is largely influenced by the neighbouring sounds (cf. § 16); *u* appears mostly after labials.—1. *a* stands for *ṛ*: *accha* 'bear' Jā. VI. 507⁵, JāCo. VI. 538²¹=*ṛkṣa*; *pasada* 'spotted antelope' Jā. VI. 537³¹=*prṣata*; *vaḥa* 'wolf' Sn. 201, JāCo. I. 336¹⁷ (verse)=*vṛḥa*; *hadaya* 'heart'=*hṛdaya*.—2. *i* stands for *ṛ*: *ikka* 'bear' Jā. VI. 538¹ (Co.=*accha*)=*ṛkṣa* (cf. § 62.2); *ṇa* 'debt' (AMāg. *ana*) Sn. 120, D. I. 71³¹, JāCo. I. 321²⁰=*ṛṇa*; *vicchika* 'scorpion' D. I. 9⁸, Vin. II. 148⁹=*vṛścika*, *śipātīkā* 'seed-house' M. I. 306²=*śṛpātīkā*.—3. *u* stands for *ṛ*: *uju* or *ujju* (Jā. VI. 518⁸) 'straight'=*ṛju*; *usabha* 'bull' Dh. 422, S. I. 75³², JāCo. I. 336²⁰=*ṛsabha* (beside *vasabha*=*vṛṣabha*); *pucchati* 'asks'=*prōchati*; *muḷāla* JāCo. I. 100⁷ and *muḷāḥ* Jā. VI. 530¹⁶ 'lotus-stalk'=*mṛṇāla*; *pāvusa* 'rainy season' Thl. 597 f., Jā. VI. 202²⁷=*prāvṛṣa*.—4. Sometimes representation varies: cf. above, beside *accha* the dialectical form *ikka* 'bear'; *vṛddhi* has been differentiated into *vaddhi* 'blessing' and *vuddhi* 'growth'; *miga* has been differentiated into *maga* 'animal' Sn. 275, Thl. 958, S. I. 199²¹ and *miga* 'gazelle' passim.² Beside *ina* there is *anana* 'debtless' Th2 2, M. II. 105¹⁶ and *sāna* (=sa-*ana*) 'indebted' M. III. 127⁷,⁹, S. II. 221¹, probably through vowel-assimilation³ (but *saṇṇa* or *saiṇa* Mhvs. 36.39). Beside *kaṇha* 'black'=*kṛṣṇa* there is found as variant reading *kiṇha* D. I. 90¹⁵, S. IV. 117⁶. Skr. *pr̥thivī* appears as *pathavi*, *paṭhavi*, *puṭhavi*, *puṭhuvī*, *puṭhuv*; here the region from where the MSS are derived is to be taken into consideration: *puṭhavi*, e.g., is the orthography of the Burmese MSS. Moreover cf. *pitughātaka*, *mātughātaka* 'parricide, matricide' Vin. I. 88²⁰ with *pitipakkhuta*, *mātīpakkha*⁴ 'from paternal side, from maternal side' etc.

§ 13. In some cases the *ṛ*-vowel becomes consonant: *brahant*, *brahā*^o 'big' Thl. 31, Jā. III. 117²³=*bṛhant*; *brūheti* 'devotes himself to a cause' Dh. 285, Ud. 72¹⁷ (verse), JāCo. I. 289²¹=*bṛṇha-yati*, *vṛṇhayati*. *Vṛ* becomes *ru* in *rukḥa* 'tree'=*vṛkṣa*⁴ and in *pāruta*

¹ In Pkr. initial *r* usually becomes *r*+vowel, Pischel PkrGr. § 56. In P. we have only *ruveda* Dpvs. 5.62. or *rubbeda* DCo. I. 247¹⁹=*ṛveda*. This word is however an artificial formation.

² The form *miga* is found in its general meaning 'animal' in *sākhāmiga* 'monkey' Jā. III. 98¹⁴, *miṇacakka* 'animal magic' D I 9¹⁰, DCo. I. 94⁶.

³ Trenckner, Notes p. 76 (JPTS. 1908, p. 129).

⁴ Pischel, PkrGr. § 320 connects *rukḥa* with Skr. *rukṣa*. Cf. Wackernagel, Altind. Gr. I, § 184 b. The side-form *rakkha* is found in Jā. III. 144¹⁵.

'covered, concealed' Thl. 153, S. I. 167²⁷, JāCo. I. 347⁸ = *prāvṛta* and *apāvṛta* 'opened up' Vin. I. 7⁴ (verse), D. II. 217¹⁵, JāCo. I. 264⁴ = *apāvṛta*.

§ 14. The vowel *ḷ* is represented by *u*: *kutta*¹ 'clipped' D. I. 105⁹, DCo. I. 274¹⁷ = *kḷpta*.² In the same way also *kuttaka* (a kind of woollen cover) D. I. 7¹⁰, Vin. I. 192⁸, II. 163²⁴, perhaps 'shorn cover'.³ Further *kutta*, *kutti* 'behaviour, procedure' = *kḷpta*, *kḷpti* in *itthikutta*, *purisakutta* A. IV. 576⁹, JāCo. I. 296²¹ etc., *saṃnatavirakutti* Jā. V. 215¹⁶ where *kutta* = *kappana*, just as *mata* = *maṇa*. In DhsCo. 321¹¹ it is explained by *kiriya*.

5. Diphthongs and their Representation

§ 15. The diphthongs *e*, *o* are as a rule preserved; *ai* and *au* have become *e* and *o*: *Erāvaṇa* (name of Indra's elephant) = *Airāvaṇa*; *metti* 'friendship' = *maitrī*; *ve* (interj.) = *vai*. *orasa* 'derived from the breast' = *aurasa*; *pora* 'urban' = *paura*; *ratto* 'at night' = *rātrau*.

Not infrequently *e* and *o* are shortened into *i* and *u* before double-consonance⁴; this shortening may take place even where the double-consonance is of secondary origin (according to § 6.2): 1. *i* from *e* = original *e*: *paṭivissaka* 'neighbour' M. I. 126⁵, DhCo. III. 155¹¹ from **vṛssaka* = *prativeśya-ka*; *pasābba* 'bag' Vin. III. 17¹⁰, JāCo. III. 10²¹ etc. through **sēbbaka* = *prasevaka*. The word *ubbilla* 'pleasant surprise' M. III. 159⁴ with its numerous derivatives belongs to the root *vell* with *ud*. Also *dvinnam*, *ubhinnaṃ* are traced by E. Kuhn⁵ to **dvenam*, **ubhenam* which are directly derived from the Nom. (**ubhe* instead of *ubho* is due to analogy with *dve*⁶).—2. *i* from *e* = original *ai*: *issariya* 'rulership' = *aiśvarya*; *sindhava* 'horse from Sind' = *saindhava*.—3. *u* from *o* = original *o*: *akuppa*, *asaṃkuppa* 'unshakable' Thl. 182, 649 = *-kopya*; *tutta* 'spur' Cp. III. 5.2, D. II. 266⁵ (verse) = *tottra*⁷; *sussaṃ* (v.l. *sossaṃ*) 'I shall hear'

¹ E. Leumann, GGA. 1899, Nr. 8, p. 594.

² Cf. Rhys Davids, Dial., I. 180, f.n. 2.

³ Differently explained by Buddhaghosa as quoted by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, VT. II. 27, f.n. 4.

⁴ Cf. for Pkr. Pischel, § 84.

⁵ Beitr. p. 28.

⁶ Cf. S. *dvenam*, *ducehi*, *duvesu*.

⁷ E. Müller, PGr. p. 12.

Sn. 694 = *śroṣyāmi* ; *gunnaṃ* Gen. Pl. of *go* 'cow' = *gonāṃ*.—4. *u* from *o*=original *au* : *ussukka* 'zeal' = *autsukya* ; *khudda* 'honey' Jā. VI. 582³⁰, D. III. 85¹⁶=*kṣaudra* ; *ludda* 'horrible, diabolical' Sn. 247, Vv. 84.5, M. II. 97²⁶ = *raudra*¹ ; *assumha* 'we heard' (§ 159. III) = *āśrauṣma*. In *ussāva* 'dew' JāCo. II. 11¹², DhCo. III. 338¹⁷ (AMāg. *ussā* and *osā*)=*avaśyāya* the *u* is derived from *o*<*ava*.

6. Influence of Neighbouring Vowels or Consonants on the Vowels

§ 16. Vowels are not infrequently influenced by neighbouring vowels. Here we have the beginnings of a 'vowel-assimilation' in Pāli.² 1. Influence of following vowels: (a) *i* becomes *u* before a following *u* : *usu* 'arrow' (also in AMāg.) = *iṣu* ; *ucchu* 'sugar-cane' (AMāg. *ucchu* beside *ikkhu*) = *iṣu* ; *kukku* (a measure of length) A. IV. 404²¹, Vin. I. 254³⁶=*kiṣku*³ ; *susu* 'young'=*śiśu* (nasalised form in *sumsumāra* 'crocodile' = *śiśumāra*). In *kukkusa* 'powder in rice-ears' Vin. II. 280²⁰ = *kiknasa*⁴ the intermediate steps are **kikkasa*, **kikkusa* (§19.2). Hereto belongs *nutṭhubhati*, *-hati* 'spits out' Vin. II. 175⁷, JāCo. I. 459², II. 105²³ (beside *niṭṭhubhati* Ud. 50¹⁸, *-hati* DhCo. II. 36⁷) from a root **stubbh* with *ni*⁵.—(b) *a* becomes *u* before following *u* : *sumugga* 'basket' JāCo. I. 265²⁸ (beside *samugga*) = *samudga* ; *usūyā*, *usuyyā* 'envy' S. I. 127⁸, JāCo. I. 444⁸, D. II. 243² (§ 6.2) = *asūyā*.—(c) *a* becomes *i* before following *i* : *siriṃsapa* 'snake' = *sariṣṣa* ; *timissā* 'moonless night' JāCo. III. 433¹⁰=*tamiṣā*.⁶—(d) *u* becomes *a* before following *a* in *kappara* (AMāg. *koppara*) 'knuckle' Vin. III. 121⁹, JāCo. I. 293¹ = *kūrpara*.

§ 17 There is further 2. the influence of the preceding vowel : (a) *a* becomes *u* after preceding *u* : *uḷuṅka* 'ladle' JāCo. I. 235²³, JII 71²²=*udaṅka* ; *kuruṅga* (a kind of gazelle) JāCo. I. 173¹⁵=*kuraṅga* ; *pukkusa* (designation of a low caste) Jā. III. 194³⁰, M. III. 169²⁸, Pu. 51²³, Milp. 5¹²=*pukkaśa* ; *puthujjana* 'ordinary

¹ H. Lüders, GN. 1898, p. 1.

² Trenckner, Notes, p. 75 f. For Pkr. see Pischel, § 117. On the vowel-assimilation in modern dialects see Grierson, ZDMG. 49. 400 ff. ; Geiger, LSpRS. p. 43ff.

³ E. Müller, PGr. p. 9.

⁴ VT. III. 367, f.-n. 4.

⁵ Pischel, PkrGr. §120.

⁶ Also *timisā* D. II. 175¹⁷, M. III. 174²⁶, which presupposes a **tamiṣā*.

(not converted) people '=*prīhagjana*.—(b) *i* becomes *u* after preceding *a*: *arañjara* 'water-pot' Abhp 456=*alinjara*, *kākaṇṭhikā* (small coin) JāCo. I. 120²⁰, DhCo. III. 108¹² = *kākaṇṭhikā*, *poḥkharañi* 'lotus-tank' = *puṣkarañi*, *sākhalla*, *-lyā* 'friendship' (§ 3) from *sakkhila*.¹—(c) *u* becomes *a* after preceding *a* *āyasmant* 'venerable' = *āyasmant*; *matthaluṅga* 'brain' Kh. 3 JāCo I. 498¹⁰ = *mastuluṅga*, *sakkhalī*, *-likā* 'ear-lobe' (JPTS. 1909, p. 17) = *śaṣkuli*.—(d) *a* becomes *i* after preceding *i*: *siṅgivera* 'ginger' = *śṅgavera*; *nisinna* 'sitting' (but *pasanna*, *samsanna*) = *niṣanna*.²

§ 18. The influence of consonants on vowels comes to light in the fact that 1. the vowel *u* appears by preference in the neighbourhood of labials, and that 2. *i* appears by preference in the neighbourhood of palatals. Ad 1: Of derivatives from the root *majj* with *ni* and *ud* we have *nimujjati* 'drowns', *ummujjati* 'floats', *nimujjā*, *ummujjā*, *nimugga* etc. Cf. further *saṇimujjanī*, *-muñjanī* 'broom' DhCo. III. 168⁶ beside *saṇmajjanī* DhCo. III. 7¹⁹ = *saṇmārjanī*. Also *mutu* 'thought' Sn. 714, 793, M.I. 3²² etc., *muti* 'thought' Sn. 846, *mutimā* Sn. 321, Jā. IV. 76¹⁰ = *matimān* should be regarded only as dialectical side-forms of *mata* etc. The existence of a root *mu* however seems to be guaranteed by the Fut. Pass. Part. *motabba* and the verbal noun *motar* A. II. 25^{16, 18}.—Ad 2: *miṇṇjā* 'marrow' (see p. 65, f.-n. 4) from *majjā*; *jigucchati* 'conceals' D. I. 213²³, JāCo. I. 422²⁰, *jigucchā* D. I. 174¹⁹ as opposed to *jugupsate*, *jugupsā*³; *ohiyyo* 'more' from *bhūyas*. On *seyyā* see § 9.

7. Influence of Accent on Vocalism

§ 19. In words of three or four syllables, which on the evidence of Skr. had the accent on the first syllable, the vowel of the second syllable is often reduced. In most cases *i* appears as the reduced vowel; after labials appears frequently, though not always, *u* instead of *i*: 1. After the accent-syllable *a* becomes *i*: *candimā* 'moon' = *caṇḍramā*⁴; *carima* 'following, last' Th1. 202 = *carama*; *parima* 'the highest' M. III. 112¹⁵ = *parama*; *puttimā* N. Sg. 'endowed with sons' Sn. 33, 34 = **putramān*; *majjhima* 'middle' =

¹ Similarly *kosajja* 'slowness' Dh 241, A. I. 11²⁹ (from *kusita*) as opposed to *kausidya*.

² Also in *pathavi* (-*ṭh-*) and *puthavi* (§ 12.4) = *prthvi* we have vowel-assimilation; *puthavi* in a cross form Not so Pischel, PkrGr. § 115.

³ Forms like *jeguccha* 'contrary' Vin. I. 58²⁸ etc. and *jegucchin* 'disgusting' Vin. III. 31, JāCo. I. 390¹⁴ etc. are new formations. Cf. § 3.

⁴ A different but very far-fetched explanation is given by Pischel, PkrGr. § 103.

*madhyama*¹; *saccika* 'true' Milp. 226¹⁷ = *satyaka*. Cf. the forms *ahimkāra*, *mamimkāra* 'self-consciousness' M III 92⁴ beside *ahamk-* *mamamk-*. In the same way should be judged the future forms like *dakkhisi* 'you will see', *kāhisi* 'you will do' (beside *dakkhasi*, *kāhasi*), *ehisi* 'you will go', etc. as opposed to Skr. *drakṣyasi*, **karṣyasi*, *eṣyasi*.—2. After the accent-syllable *a* becomes *u*: *navutī* 'ninety' (AMāg. *nauim*) = *navatī*; *pāpuraṇa* 'mantle' S. I. 175 f., DhCo. III. 1⁹ through *pāvuraṇa* M I. 359¹³ (AMāg. *pāvuraṇa*) = *prāvaruṇa*; *saṃmuti* 'consent' (beside *saṃmata*) = *saṃmati* (cf. above § 18.1). In the flexional system (§ 92.3) *brahmunā*, *brahmuno*, *kamunā*, *kammuno* (also AMāg. *kammunā*, -*ṇo*); *addhunā*, *addhuno*² = *brahmaṇā*, -*ṇas*; *karmaṇā*, -*ṇas*, *adhvaṇā*, -*nas*. After non-labials there is *u* in *ajjuka* (name of a plant) Abhp 579 = *arjaka*³; *kukkusa* (§ 16. 1a) = *kiknasa*; *pekkhūṇa* 'wing' Th1. 211, 1186, JāCo. I 207¹⁰ = *preṅkhana* 'swing'⁴; *sajjulasā* 'resin' Vin. I. 202¹ = *sarjarasa*.—3. Occasionally after the accent-syllable *i* becomes *u* and *u* becomes *i*: *rājula* (a reptile) Abhp. 651 = *rājila*; *geruka* 'reddish chalk' Vin. I. 48⁸ (AMāg. *geruya* beside Māh. *geria*) = *gairika*, *pasuta* 'intent on something' Th1. 28, D. 1. 135²⁵, JāCo. III. 26⁴ = *prasita*. Further *muditā* 'softness' M. I. 370⁸, S. V. 118²⁵ (beside *mudutā* A. I. 9²⁵) = *mṛdutā*. On *surisā* see § 31.2.

§ 20. Unstressed short vowels, particularly immediately after the accent, are sometimes syncopated. *jaggatī* 'watches' (§ 142.4) is to be traced from *jāgaratī* through **jāg^aratī*; *oka* 'water' Dh. 34, 91 from *udaka* through **ōkka*, **ukka*, **utka*, **ud^aka*; *agga* 'house' (in *uposath-agga*, *khuragga*, *bhattagga* etc.) from *agāra* through **ag^ara*, **agara*. Syncope is in evidence also in the verbal ending *-mhe* (beside *-make*).⁵ Finally, there is a number of onomatopoetic words in which syncope may be clearly traced: *ciccitāyati* 'rustles' Vin. I. 225²⁵, S. I. 169⁶, Sn. S 14, Pu. 36³² beside *citicitāyati*; *sassara*, *babbhara* M. I. 128²⁵ (JPTS. 1889, p. 209) for **sar^asara*, **bhar^abhara* beside *sarasara*, *bharabhara*. In enclitics *khalu* has become *kho* through **kh^alu*, **k^hku*.⁶

¹ This should not be regarded as "Samprasāraṇa" as E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 54 suggests, because the *i* is not derived from **ya*; the *y* is contained in *jjh*. At the most one can say that at an earlier stage of the language the *a* after *y* in *madhyama* had a pronunciation leaning towards *i*.

² The same view should be taken as in f.-n. 1.

³ Subhūti, Abhp.-Sūci under this word gives *ajjaka* beside *ajjuka*.

⁴ Pischel, § 89.

⁵ E. Kuhn, Beitr., p. 94.

⁶ In Pkr. Saurasenī and Māgadhi we have *k^hku* which causes the shortening of preceding *e*, o. Pischel § 94, 148. Whence the *o* in Pāli *kho*?

§ 21. Weakening of the syllable preceding the accented one is found in *kāhāpaṇa* (a coin) (Pkr. *kāhāvaṇa*) = *kārāpaṇa*; perhaps also in *nigrodha* 'fig indica' = *nyagrodha* and in *susāna* 'burial ground' from **śvaśāna*, a side-form of *śmaśāna*¹. Yet however similar instances of *samprasāraṇa* are found also in the syllable with main accent. It is perhaps due to the weakening of the syllable preceding the accented one that *dvi-* appears as *du-* in compounds, e.g., *dujivha* 'double-tongued' Jā. V. 82⁴. Under the accent we have the regular forms *dvi-*, *di-*, e.g. *dipada* 'biped' ². The two types having later crossed each other we have on the one hand *duvidha* 'double' = *divividha*, and on the other *dvībhūmiko* 'consisting of two stories' JāCo. II. 18⁴.—In forms like *ṭhapeti* (*utṭhāpeti* etc.) as opposed to *sthāpayati* we have analogical formations after the type *jñāpayati* etc (§ 180.1); similarly in *kiṇati* 'buys', as opposed to *krīṇāti*, after the types *mināti*, *lunāti*³.

§ 22. The effect of accent is perceptible also in the shortening of unstressed final syllables. Thus *o* becomes *u* in *asu* 'that' (§ 109) through **aso* (thus in AMāg.) = *asau*; *udāhu* 'or' = *utāho*; *sajju* 'immediately' Dh. 71 (from which *sajjukam*) through **sajjo* = *sadyas*; *hetu* (in *kissa hetu* 'what for?') through **heto* = *hetos*.⁴ With later nasalisation: *-khattum* (adv. numeral suffix) through **khattō* = *-kṛtvās* and *adam* 'that' through **ado* = *adas*. Cf. § 66. 2 b. Qualitative change (reduction) is in evidence in *saddhim* 'together with' = *sārdham*⁵, *sakkhi*(*ṇ*) or *sacchi* 'before one's eyes' (certainly not = *sākṣāt*, but) = **sākṣam* (AMāg. *sakkham*⁶); *saṇim* 'slowly' Mhvs. 25. 84 (not = *śanais*, but) = **śanam*. Reduction to *u* under the influence of an *u* of the preceding syllable (§ 17. 2 a) is found in *puthu* 'separate' Th1. 86, Milp. 4¹ = *prthak*. The enclitic *-svid* has become *-su*, *-ssu*: *kiṇ-su*, *kena-ssu* etc. S. I. 36 ff. We have moreover *-si* in *kaṇ-si* DhCo. I. 91¹⁸. Cf. § 111.1.

§ 23. In a series of words even from the beginning the long second syllable was shortened. This is evidently due to the shifting of the accent to the first syllable. Examples: *alika* 'false' Sn. 239.

¹ Pischel, PkrGr. § 104. Johansson (IF. 25. 225 ff.) separates *susāna* from *śmaśāna* and derives the former from *śavaśayana* 'burial ground'.

² Also Pkr. has *du-*, *do-* beside *dī-*, *bī-*. Pischel, § 436.

³ A different explanation is given by Michelson, IF. 23. 127.

⁴ Even new nominal stems are formed in this way; Skr. *āgas* 'sin' through **āgo* becomes *āgu*, inflected like *madhu*.

⁵ A different explanation in Pischel, PkrGr. § 103.

⁶ Pischel, § 114.

S. I. 189², Rasav. II. 83¹⁴=*alika*; *gahita* 'seized'= *gṛhita*; *pannavant* 'intelligent' Th1. 70, Vin. I. 60¹=*prajñāvant*; *pāṇiya* 'water' beside *pāṇiya* (*pāṇiyāni* D. I. 148⁴, *pāṇiyāni* JāCo. I. 450⁸) = *pāṇiya* (AMāg., JMāh. *pāṇiya*); *vammika* 'ant-hill' JāCo. I. 432⁵ beside *vammika* JāCo. III. 85⁸=*vālmika*; *sāluka* 'lotus-root' Vin. I. 246¹⁶=*śāluka*. Similarly *dutiya* 'second', *tatiya* 'third'= *dvi-tiya*, *tṛtiya*¹.—In other cases, where the vowel of the second syllable was originally short, qualitative change of the vowel took place as a result of this shifting of accent: *Pajjunna* (name of the god of rains) D. II. 260²⁵, JāCo. I. 331²¹=*Parjanya*; *muṭṭiṅga* 'drum' D. I. 79¹², Vin. I. 15¹⁰ (Pkr. *muṭṭiṅga*) = *mṛdaṅga*. Cf. *meraya* 'intoxicating drink' Dh. 247, D. I. 146²⁰=*maireya*.

§ 24. The effect of the new expiratory accent is perceptible also in the occasional lengthening of the vowel of the first syllable: *ājira* 'court-yard' Mhvs. 35.3=*ajira*; *ālinda* 'terrace in front of a house' D. I. 89³⁰, Vin. I. 248², DhCo. I. 26⁴=*alinda*; perhaps we have also to include here *ānubhāva* 'power' JāCo. I. 509²³=*anubhāva*. This explanation of the lengthening of the vowel of the initial syllable often however remains doubtful, as in *āroga* 'in good health' JāCo. I. 408¹ (reading uncertain) beside *aroga*=*aroga*; *pāṭibhoga* 'surety' Ud. 17¹⁰, Iv. 1⁶, JāCo. II. 98¹⁴, which in meaning is difficult to connect with *pratibhoga*; *pāṭiyekka* 'individually' JāCo. I. 92²⁴ beside *pacceka*=*pratyeka*.—Gemination of consonants may take the place of lengthening of vowels: *ummā* 'flax' in *ummāpuppha* (a precious stone) A. V. 61²¹=*umā*; *kummagga* 'evil path' A. III. 420²⁹, Pu. 22⁷, Milp. 390⁸, *kunnadi* 'small (intermittent) river' Th1. 145, S. I. 109⁵, JāCo. III. 221¹¹. *kussubbha* 'small pool' S. V. 63⁸ (beside *kusubbha*) = *ku* + *mārga*, *nadī*, *śvabhra*; *mukkhara* 'garrulous' Minayeff, Prātimokṣa 59 (beside *mukhara* S. I. 203²⁴, JāCo. III. 103²⁵) = *mukhara*².

8. Samprasāraṇa and the Loss of Syllable through Contraction

§ 25. Through Samprasāraṇa: 1. *yā* becomes *i* also in stressed syllable: *thina* 'sloth'= *styāna*; *dviha*, *tiha* 'period of two (three)

¹ Pischel, KZ. 35. 142, PkrGr. § 82, 91 assumes the basic forms **dotyā*, **tṛtyā*. But see Jacobi, Kalpasūtra (AbhKM. VI 1), p. 103, f. n., as well as KZ. 35. 570 f.

² The example *suddiṭṭha* quoted in JPTS. 1909, p. 198 is=*su-uddiṭṭha*; *sakkāya* is not=*svakāya*, but=*satkāya* (Frauke, D. p. 54, f. n. 4) and *cikkhalla* 'earthen,' Vin. II. 122³¹ not=*cikkhala* but=**caikkhalya* > **cēkhalla* > **cekkhalla* (E. Müller, PGr. p. 19).

days' D. I. 190^{1c}, A. I. 140¹⁵=*dvyaha*, *tryaha*; *visiveti* 'dries out at fire' JāCo. II. 68¹⁶, DhCo. I. 225²=*viśyāpayati*. Of frequent occurrence is *viti*=*vyati*-, *vi-ati*-, e.g., *vitivatta*=*vyatirvṛtta* etc. Cf. *ninka* (a kind of deer) Abhp. 619=*nyaṅku*. Instead of *i* there is *e* in (*saṃ*)*pavedhati* 'shakes' Sn. 928, D. II. 22² from root *vyath*. Often *yā* is retained: *vyasaha* 'misfortune', *vyādha* 'hunter' etc. In *cajati* 'gives up' =*tyajati*, *maṃjhantika* 'relating to noon-time' from *madhya* etc. we have the assimilation of *y* to the preceding consonant.—2. *vā* becomes *ū* in *sina* 'dog' Abhp. 519 from the stem *śvān*¹. Before double-consonance *ū* becomes *o* through *u* (§ 10): *sotthi* 'welfare' (beside *svatthi*)=*svasti*, *soppa* 'sleep' (beside *supina*) S. I. 110³² (verse)=*svapna*; *sobbha* 'tank' (cf. *kussubbha* § 24) =*śvabhra*. The form *ko* 'where?' S. I. 199¹⁶ (verse), Vin. I. 36²⁴ (beside *kvaṃ*, *kuvaṃ*, *kva-ci*) is probably a sandhi-form before double-consonance. Before single consonants there is *o* instead of *ū*, e.g., in *sopāka* (AMāg. *sovāga*) 'man of low caste' =*śvapāka* and *soṇa* 'dog'². Moreover *vā* is often retained in the assimilation of *v*, e.g., *assattha* 'figus religiosa' (AMāg. however has *assottha* etc.) =*aśvattha*.—3. Quite peculiar is *dosa* in which Skr. *doṣa* 'fault' and *dveṣa* 'hatred' have coincided. Cf. *dosaniya* 'deserving hatred' A III. 169²⁸=*dveṣaṇiya*.

§ 26. Through contraction *aya* can become *c* and *ava* can become *o*³, clearly through the intermediate stages *ayi*: *ai*, *avu*: *ai* (§ 19). 1. *aya* becomes *e* in *jeti* 'wins' (beside *jayati*)=*jayati*, etc.; *ajjhena* 'study' Sn 242, M. III. 1¹³=*adhyayana*. Facultatively also in causatives and other verbal stems in *aya*, such as *moceti*, *katheti*⁴. Further *terasa* 'thirteen' =**trayadaśa*, *tevīsa*(*ti*) =**trayaviṃśati*; *aya* is retained in *nayana* 'eye', *sayana* 'bed' etc. (but *saṇḍana* 'bedstead and seat' beside *sayanāṣana* Sn. 338 f., Dh 185).—2. *ava* becomes *o* in *odhi* 'limit' D II. 160³², JāCo. II. 18²¹=*avadhi*; *oma* 'lowly' Sn. 860, A. III. 359²⁸ (verse)=*avama*; *poṇa* 'sinking, inclined' Vin. II 237¹⁹, Ud. 53⁹ etc. =*pravāṇa*; *loṇa* 'salt' =*lavāṇa*; *hoṇi* 'is, becomes'

¹ In *turita* 'hastening' and *kuthita* 'boiled,' as opposed to *toarita* and *krathita*, we have in fact no Samprasāraṇa of the Pāli stage. We are to assume here older basic forms **turita*, **kuthita*.

² The intermediate stages seem to have been *iya* and *uva*; thus *dvyaha*: **dviyaha*: *dvīha*, *śvān*: **suoān*: *sūna*, *soṇa*. Cf. *soṇṇa* 'gold' beside *suvaṇṇa*.

³ Pischel, PkrGr. § 153 f.

⁴ In the same way may be explained also *bhāyāmi* 'I fear' and *palāyati* 'flies' beside which are found also *bhemi* and *paleti*. Cf. § 138 and 139, 1.

(beside *bhavati*) and many other forms. Also facultatively *o* = the prefix *ava-* (*orodha* 'harem' = *avarodha*) and *vo* = the prefix *vyava-*, *vi-ava*, (*vosita* 'fulfilled' Dh 423 = *vyavasita*). Cf. *uposatha* (Pkr. *posaha*) = *upavasatha*. *Ava* is retained in *lavana* 'harvest,' *savana* 'hearing' etc. But *loṇa* 'salt' = *lavana*.

§ 27. Further cases of contraction are 1. *aya* becomes *ā*: *patī-sallāna* 'meditation' D. II. 9¹⁰, JāCo. II. 77¹¹ etc. = *pratisamlayana*; *sotthāna* 'welfare' Sn. 258, A. IV. 271²⁰ (verse) = *svastyayana* (§ 25.2).—2. *āya* becomes *ā*: *vehāsa* 'atmosphere' D. I. 95¹⁰, JāCo. I. 445²⁰ etc. = *vaiḥāyasa*; *upaṭṭhāka* 'attendant' Vin. I. 72¹⁷, JāCo. I. 357⁴ etc. = *upasthāyaka* (but fem. *upaṭṭhāyikā* Thūpavs. 81²⁹); *Kaccāna* (beside *Kaccāyana*), *Moggallāna* n. pr. = *Kātyāyana*, *Maudgalyāyana* etc.¹ Very frequently at the end of a word *-āya* is contracted into *-ā*, such as *sayam abhiññā* 'on the strength of one's own knowledge' instead of *-ññāya* = *abhiññāya* Ger.; *apaṭipucchā* 'without hearing' Vin. II. 3³ instead of *-ucchāya* I. Sg. f.; *esānā* 'goes in search of' JāCo. II. 34¹⁶ instead of *-nāya* D. Sg. m.; *chamā* 'on the earth' instead of *-māya* Loc. Sg. f.² Particularly in the first syllables of words *āya* is likely to be retained: *vāyasa*, *jāyati* etc.—3. *āva* becomes *o* in *atidhona* (*cārin*) 'committing transgressions' Dh. 240 = **atidhāvana*.³ But *āva* is retained in the first syllables *pāvaka*, *sāvaka*.—4. *avā* becomes *ā* in the *yāgu* 'rice-gruel' A. III. 250¹² etc. = *yavāgū*; *avā* remains uncontracted in *kavāṭa*, *pavāḷa*, as *ayā* in *dayālu* etc.—5. *ayi* and *avi* become *e*: *acchera* 'miraculous' Vv. 84. 12 through **acchayira* (beside *acchariya*) = *āścarya*; similarly *ācera* 'teacher' Jā. IV. 248⁹ (beside *ācariya*) = *ācārya*; *macchera* 'envy' Dh 242, DhCo. III. 2¹ etc. = *mātsarya*⁴; *thera* 'venerable priest' = *sthavira*; *hessati* 'will be' (§ 154.2) = *bhaviṣyati*⁵.—6. *āyi* becomes *e* in the technical term *acceka* (*civara*) 'garment' given at an unusual hour' Vin. III. 260³³ beside *accāyika* 'pressing' M. II. 112²⁷, JāCo. I. 338³¹ = **atyāyika*. Beside this *e* we have *ī* in *pāṭihira* 'sign of miracle' D. I. 193³, Mhvs. 5.188 through **pāṭihāyira* (beside

¹ Also *pācittiya* 'transgression requiring penance' Vin. IV. 1 ff., if it is related to *prāyascittika* Sylv. Lévi, JAs. Ser. X, t. 20, p. 506 ff. derives it from a **prāk-citta*.

² In analogy with these cases an inorganic *ya* has sometimes been added to a final *ā*: *senāya caturāṅginī* Jā. V. 322¹⁹.

³ D. Andersen, P. Gl. under the word.

⁴ Thus through metathesis in the intermediate step. Cf. E. Kuhn, *Bietr.* p. 55; E. Müller, *PGr.* p. 41 f.; V. Henry, *Précis de Gramm. Pâlie* § 88.4. Pischel, *PkrGr.* § 176 however suggests epenthesis.

⁵ On *hohiti*, *hotabba*, *hotum* see § 151, 206.

pāṭihāriya)=*prātihārya*; similarly (*a*)*saṃhīrā* ' (not) to be won ' Jā. V. 81¹⁷, A. IV. 141¹¹ etc.=(*a*)*saṃhārya*.—7. *iya* is changed into *ī* (*ī*) in *kittaka* 'how much?' Smp. 304¹=**kiyattaka*. According to § 10. 2 is to be explained *ottaka* 'so much'=**iyattaka*¹.—8. Isolated cases of contraction are found moreover in *koṭṭha* in the names of birds *rukkhakoṭṭhasakuna* JāCo. III. 25²⁹ if it is = *koyaṣṭi*² and *mora* 'peacock' (the same form also in Pkr.; in Pāli also *mayūra* D. III. 201²²)=*mayūra*³.

§ 28. As in Pkr.,⁴ so also in P. the prepositions *upa-* and *apa-* (through **uva-*, **ava-*) may become *ū-* and *o-*: 1. *upa-* becomes *ū-*, as I think, in *ūhadeti* 'besmears with dung'=*upahadati* and *ūhasaṇa* 'smiling at somebody' Milp. 127²¹. Cf. Pkr. *ūhasia* in Hem.=*upahasita*⁵—2. *apa-* becomes *o-* in *ovaraka* 'inner apartment (of a house)' Vin. I. 217¹⁷, VvCo. 304¹⁴=*apavaraka*; *ottappati* 'feels shame' A. III. 21⁶ (*ottappa* 'shame', *ottappin* or *ottāpin* 'shameful') from the root *trap* with *apa*⁶. Presumably also in (*pacc*)*osakkati* 'falls back' D. I. 230²¹, JāCo. I. 388⁸, Mhvs. 25.84 (AMāg. *paccosakkaī*) from root *ṣvaṣk* with (*prati*)*apa*⁷.

9. Increase of Syllables through Svarabhakti

§ 29. Only the consonant-groups containing *r*, *l*, *y*, *v* or a nasal are separated by svarabhakti⁸. An exception is to be found in *kaṣaṭa* 'bad, false' A. I. 72⁸, JāCo. II. 96²², Milp. 119¹³ etc.=*kasta*. This is perhaps a dialectical expression. In Pkr. we have the Paisāci form *kaṣaṭa*⁹.—The added vowel appears mostly in the inside of words. In initial

¹ Not so Pischel, § 153.

² Fausböll, Five Jāt. p. 88.

³ Uncontracted *mayūkha* 'beam of light' as opposed to Pkr. Māh. *moha*.

⁴ Hem. I. 173; Pischel, PkrGr. § 155.

⁵ It may be thought that also *ūhanti* in the meaning 'defiles' is derived from *han* with *upa*. Yet this is rendered improbable by Vin. I. 78¹², where *ummiḥati* stands parallel to it. It is certain that *ūhanti*=*han* with *ud* and it means 'conquers, annihilates.' Instead of *ūhananti* M. I. 243²³ we have in fact *upahananti* (with v.l. *uh-*) in the parallel passage S. IV. 56¹⁹.

⁶ E Müller, PGr., p. 43.

⁷ In Jā. III. 83⁶ we have *avasakkati*, but with the variant reading *apa-* in the Burmese MSS. The word *oggata*. Thl. 477 (used about the sun) may be=*apagata* or *avagata*.

⁸ For Pkr. cf. Jacobi, KZ. 23.594; Pischel, PkrGr. § 131.

⁹ Vararuci X. 6, Hemacandra IV. 314; Grierson, ZDMG. 66.52²¹; Pischel, § 132; St. Konow, ZDMG. 64. 114²⁶.

position it is found in *itthi* 'woman' = *stri* and in *umhayati*, -*te* 'smiles'¹ Jā. II. 131²², JāCo. III. 44¹⁴ = *smayate*.—Beside forms with the added vowel there are often those showing assimilation of the consonant-groups. The latter are archaic and are found particularly in the *gāthās*. In the commentary they are explained by the forms with the added vowel, which therefore must have been the current forms. Thus we have in Jā. III. 151⁵ *asi tikkho va mamsamhi*; the Co. replaces *tikkha* 'sharp' = *tikṣṇa* by *tikhṇa*. Regarding the action of the law of mora on the quantity of a long vowel preceding a consonant-group separated by svarabhakti, see above § 8. In verse the svarabhakti-vowels are often ignored as also in Pkr.² Cf. Dh. 10 *arahati* = *arhati*; Dh. 25 *kayirātha* = *kayrātha*; Th1. 477 *suriyasmiṇi* = *suryasmiṇi*; Th2. 49 *puriso* = *purso* etc. The *i* of *itthi* is always metrically justified; beside it however there is found in verses the form *thi*: Sn. 76), Jā. I. 295⁸, Jā. V. 81¹⁶.

§ 30. Of all the added vowels the most frequent is *i* (both prothetic and anaptyctic): 1. In the group *ry*: *riyati* 'moves' M. I. 74⁶, A. III. 451⁶ (substantive *iriyā*) = **iriyate*, *iriyā*; *marīyādā* 'frontier' Mhvs. 34.70 = *maryādā* etc. In the same way are formed the passives like *kariyati* from *karoti* (also *kariyati* D. I. 52²⁷), *vāriyati* 'is held back' = *vāriyate*.—2. In other combinations with *y*: *kāluṣiya* 'darkening' DCo. I. 95¹⁰ = *kāluṣya*; *jīyā* 'bow-string' D II. 334²⁰, Mhvs. 14.4 = *jyā* etc. In the same category are to be included also the passives like *pucchiyati* 'is asked' = *puccchiyate*. Also in *hiyyo* (AMāg. *hiḷjo*) 'yesterday' = *hyas* we have svarabhakti with secondary reduplication of *y*.—3. In other combinations with *r*: *vajira* 'thunder, diamond' (AMāg. *vaīra*) Dh. 161, D. I. 95⁸, Milp. 118²¹ = *vajra*. On *siṛi*, *hiṛi* see § 8. Svarabhakti by *i* is in evidence also in *purisa* 'man' (cf. § 29). The basic form is **pūrṣa*. In the popular dialects the form with the svarabhakti vowel *i* was preferred to the Skr. form *purusa* with *u*³. From **pūrṣa* through **pussa*, **possa* is derived also P. *posa* Sn. 110 (and otherwise in verses).—4. In consonant-groups with *l*: *pilakkhu* (name of a tree) Jā. III. 24²⁶ = *plakṣa*; *hilāda* 'joy' Aṭṭanagaluvs. 1. 11 = *ñlāda* etc. But we have invariably *sukka* 'white' = *śukla*.—5. In consonant-groups with nasals: *sineha* 'affection' = *sneha*; *tasinā* 'thirst' Dh. 342 f. (beside *taṇhā*) = *tṛṣṇā*. On the other hand there occur only *kaṇha* 'black' = *kṛṣṇa* (Pkr. *kaṇha*, *kaṣiṇa*, *kaṣaṇa*) and *nagga* 'naked' =

¹ Wenzel, Academy 1890, II, p. 177.

² It is however not right to change the orthography for the sake of metre.

³ Wackernagel, AGr. I, § 51; Pischel PkrGr. § 124; Michelson, IF. 23.254.

nagna (AMāg. *nagiṇa*, *nigīṇa*). In flexion we have *rājīnā*, *rājīno* beside *raññā*, *rañño*=*rājñā*, *rājñas*. On *gini* from **agini*, *agni* see § 66.1. On *mihita* see § 50.6.

§ 31. 1. The svarabhakti-vowel *a* is found particularly in those cases where the *a*-vowel is much in evidence before and after: *garahā* 'abuse' JāCo. I. 372³¹, 'dishonesty' D. I. 135¹⁴, *garahati* 'abuses' etc =*garhā*, *garhati*; *palavati* 'swims' Dh. 334, Th1. 399 (beside *pilavati* Th1. 104)=*plavati*, *harāyati* 'is ashamed' (§ 186. 2) beside *hiriyati* from *hri*. Cf. *nahāyati* in § 50. 5. As link in compound: *antaradhāyati* 'disappears' from root *dhā* with *antar*.—2. The svarabhakti-vowel *u* is found before *m* and *v*: *usumā* 'heat' JāCo. III. 71¹⁸=*uṣman*; *sukhuma* 'fine'=*sūkṣma*; *duve* 'two' (more frequently *dve*) *metri causa* Sn. 48, 896=*dve*; *maruvā* (v.l. *muruvā*) (a kind of hemp) M. I. 429²³=*mūrvā*. Sometimes *u* is induced by an *u* of the following syllable: *kurūra* 'cruel' A. III. 383²⁴, Pu. 56⁷=*krūra*. In the same way originated also the form *suṇisā* 'daughter-in-law' through **sunusā* (as in Paisāci) from *snuṣā*. The *i* in this form¹ is to be explained according to § 19.3. The svarabhakti-vowel *u* is in evidence also in *sakkuṇāti* 'is able' and *pāpuṇāti* 'obtains' from Skr. *śaknoti*, *prāpnoti*. Cf. § 148.

10. Quantitative Changes in Composition and under Stress of Metre

§ 32. On account of the metre very often 1. short vowels are lengthened². *satimati* Th2. 35; *tūriyaṃ* Mhvs. 25. 74; *tatīyaṃ* Dh. 309; *anūdake* Jā. VI. 499⁵. Frequently also in final syllables: *sīho va nadati vane* Th1. 832. Due to the law of mora, the lengthening of preceding vowel is to be regarded as equivalent to the reduplication of the following consonant: *paribbasāno* for *pariv*⁰ Sn. 796; *sarati bbayo* 'life flies' (cf. § 51. 3) for *sarati vayo* Jā. III. 95¹⁸. The forms *kummiga* Mlp. 346¹⁵, *kussobbha* Sn. 720 might be due to metrical exigencies. According to § 24, they may however occur even where there is no pressure of metre.—2. Shortening of long vowels *metri causa*³ is likewise very frequent: (*bhūtāni*) *bhum māni vā yāni va* (instead of *vā*) *antalikkhe* Kh. 6. 1=Sn. 222 (cf. Kh. 9. 6, Dh. 138 f.); *paccanikā*

¹ The *ṇ* in *suṇisā* is perhaps derived from the side-form *suṇhā* (see § 50.3).

² Similarly in Pkr., Fischel, § 73.

³ Fischel, § 99.

instead of *-nikā*. Cp. II. 8. 4 etc. *o* is shortened into *a* in *oḥamokata* (instead of *-to*) Dh. 34, and *e* is shortened into *i* in **gimhisu* (instead of *-esu*) Dh. 286. Not infrequently the endings *-inaṃ*, *-unaṃ*, *ihī*, *-uhī*, *-isu*, *-usu* remain short in verse as opposed to *-inaṃ* etc. in prose. Thus Th1. 1258, 240, Jā. VI. 579²⁹, Th1. 1207 etc. Nasal vowels are denasalised: *diḥaṃ addhāna* (instead of *-naṃ*) *socati* Dh. 207. In Th2. 91 should be read *pāpuṇi* instead of *-niṃ*. In sandhi we have further very often cases like *aññā samatimaññi 'haṃ* (instead of *-ññiṃ ahaṃ*) Th2. 72. Also in the inside of words the nasal may be dropped *metri causa*. Thus *jīvato* Jā. III. 539² instead of *jīvanto*. Simplification of double-consonance is again equivalent to shortening of vowels. Thus we have, *metri causa*, *dukhaṃ* for *dukkham* Th1. 734, *ḍakkhiṣaṃ* for *-issaṃ*¹ Th2. 84 (cf. *ḍakkhiṣāma* Jā. III. 99⁷) and many similar cases.

§ 33. At the end of the first member of a compound 1. the short vowel is often lengthened² *sakkhībhāva* JāCo. III. 493⁶ (*sakkhībh-* JāCo. VI. 424²⁰), *abbhāmatta* S. I. 205⁴ (in a verse, but not *metri causa*); *raḥapatha* (see Childers, P. D. sub voce), for which there is *raḥapatha* in Pu. 57¹². Equivalent to this lengthening there is also the gemination of the initial consonant of the second member of the compound. *jātassara* 'natural lake' Vin. I. 111⁴; *navakkhattuṃ* 'nine times' DhCo. III. 377¹² and likewise in all compounds with *-khattuṃ* = *-kṛtvā*.—Lengthening of vowels or gemination of consonants is found very frequently in combinations with prepositions: *pāvacaṇa* (AMāg. *pāvayana*) 'word' Th2. 457, D. I. 88⁶ etc. = *pravacaṇa*; *pākata* (AMāg. *pāgada*) 'apparent' Th1. 109, VvCo. 267²⁷ = *prakāṭa*³. This may be partially due also to the effect of the stress accent (§ 24). Gemination of the consonant is found also in *abhikkanta* 'glorious' D. I. 85⁷ etc.: it belongs rather to the root *kaṃ* (not to *kram*⁴); further in *patikkūla* 'contrary' M. III. 301¹¹, JāCo.

¹ Different explanation by Mrs. Rhy Davids, Palams of the Sisters, p. 56, f.-n 2

² Similarly in Pkr.; Pischel, § 70

³ In *pāheti* 'sends' (beside *pahīṇati*) the *ā* is perhaps due to forms like *pāhesā* 'he sent.'

⁴ The forms *upakkilīṭṭha* 'defiled,' *upakkilesa* 'defilement' are perhaps contaminations of **upakkilīṭṭha*, **upakkilesa* = *upaklīṣṭa*, *upakleśa* with **upakilīṭṭha* and **upakilesa* (with svarabhakti-vowel according to § 30. 4). Probably a contamination of the root-forms *saṇ* and *saṇ* is at the root of *ossajjati* 'gives up', *vissajjati* 'gives away' (beside *ossajjati*, *vissajjati*). *oggata* 'gone down' (see p. 76, f.-n 7) and *okkasati* 'takes away' D. II. 74²⁹ from root *karṣ* with *ava* (intermediate steps: **ōgata* **ōkasati*) are cases of § 6. 2.

I. 393²⁴ beside *patikūla* Vin. I. 58²⁵ etc. = *pratikūla*. Perhaps we have to explain in this way also some of the compounds of the type *phalā-phala* 'fruits of every sort.'¹ In many cases however, e.g., *maggā-magga* 'paths of every description (good and evil ways),' it is not unnatural to think of the type *subhāsubha* (*subha* + *asubha*).—
2. Shortening of the vowel often takes place when stems in *ā*, *i*, *ū* form the first member of the compound²: *upāhanādāna* 'gift of shoes' JāCo. IV. 20¹³ from *upāhanā* + *d-*; *dāsigaṇa* 'troop of maid-servants' JāCo. II. 127²⁶ from *dāsi* + *g-*; *sassudevā* 'worshipping the mother-in-law as god' S. I. 86¹⁴ = Jā. IV. 322¹⁵ (in verse, but without pressure of metre) from *sassū* + *d-*.

11. Irregularities of Vocalism

§ 34. There are now still a number of "sporadical cases" to deal with. Thus from Skr. *punar* the double forms *puna* and *pana* have been developed with different meanings: *puna* means 'again, once more,' *pana* means 'but, on the contrary.'³—In many cases the vocalism of Pāli is more archaic than that of Skr. Thus in *garu* 'heavy' as opposed to Skr. *guru*, and also in *agaru*, *agalu* 'aloe' Jā. VI. 510¹⁴, VvCo. 237¹ as opposed to *aguru* (beside *agaru*). Perhaps also in *kilaṇja* 'mat' M. I. 228³³, Mhvs. 34. 54 as opposed to *kiliṇja*; *mucalinda* (name of a tree) Vin. I. 3¹² as opposed to *mucilinda*; *jhalikā* 'beetle' Abhp. 646 as opposed to *jhillikā*.—In other cases the Pāli word is derived from a basic form different from that of the Skr. word: thus *tipu* 'tin' D. II. 351⁹, Vin. I. 190²⁷ is not = *trapu*, but = **tṛpu*; *papphāsa* 'lung' Kh. 3, D. II. 293¹⁵ etc. is not = *pupphusa*; *simbala*, -*lī* 'cotton-tree' (AMāg. *simbalī*) is not = *sālmali* (AMāg. *sāmalī*) but = Ved. *śimbala* 'cotton-flower'⁴; *tekkicchā* 'healing,' *atekkiccha* 'incurable' (AMāg. *tekkicchā*) A. III. 146³², DhCo. I. 25²¹ not = *cikitsā*, but = **cekitsā*.⁵ The forms *kissa* G. Sg. and *kismim*, *kimhi* L. Sg. of the Interr. Pron. do not belong to the stem *ka*, but to the stem *ki* which appears in Skr. *kim*.⁶—Not

¹ Fausböll, *Dasaratha-Jātaka*, p. 26; Trenckner, *Notes*, p. 74; E. Kuhn, *Beitr.* p. 31; Andersen, *PGI.* sub voce *a-*.

² Cf. Pischel, § 97.

³ Michelson, *IF.* 23. 258, f.-n. 1

⁴ Pischel, *PkrGr.* § 109; Geldner in Pischel and G., *Ved. Studien* II. 159.

⁵ Pischel § 215.

⁶ Cf. in *Pkr.* Māg. *kīsa* etc. and Pischel, § 103, 428. Not so R. O. Franke, *GN.* 1895, p. 529, f.-n. 1.

infrequently, parallels to the Pāli forms are found in Pkr. Thus *pārepata* 'dove' Jā VI. 539¹⁵=AMāg. *pārevaya* as opposed to Skr. *pārāpata* =Māh. *pārāvaā*¹, *mīlakka* 'barbarian' S. V. 466²⁹, *mīlakku* Thl. 965=AMāg. *mīlakku* as opposed to Skr. *mleccha*=AMāg. *mēccha*, *mīccha*²; *timbaru* (name of a tree) Attanagaluvs. 7. 15=Pkr. *ṭimbaru*, -*rūya* as opposed to Skr. *tumburu*=Pkr. *tumburu*³—The verb *dhovati* 'washes' as opposed to Skr. *dhāvati* owes its *o* to forms like *dhota* 'washed'=*dhauta*.⁴

12. Consonants in Free Position

§ 35. On the whole, the free consonants are well preserved in Pāli. Unlike Pkr.⁵, it retains intervocalic mutes. Also *n* and *y* remain as a rule unchanged.⁶ The sibilants *ś*, *ṣ*, *s* (see § 3) have coincided in *s*.—It may be said as a general rule (see § 2) that in intervocalic position *ḍ* and *ḍh* change into *l* and *ḷh*⁷. *āvelā* 'garland' (§ 11) =*āpidā*; *peḷā* 'basket' Pv. IV. 1. 42, Mhvs 36. 20=*peḍā*; *hīleti* 'neglects' (JPTS. 1907, p. 167) from root *hiḍ*; *mīḷha* Vv. 52.11=*mīḍha* from root *mih*; *vūḷha* 'carried away' Vin. I. 32¹³=*ūḍha*. The *ḍ* is retained in *kuḍumala* 'opening bud' (*kuḍumalakajāta* A. IV. 117²¹). Here the *ḍ* originally stood in a consonant-group; (Skr. *kuḍmala*) which was separated by svarabhakti. In Abhp. 482 appears also *kuḍuba* (a certain measure)=*kudava*. The form *sahoḍha* 'together with what has been plundered' from *saha* + *ūḍha*⁸ is remarkable.

§ 36. The various phenomena of Prākṛit are met with sporadically also in Pāli. The words and forms concerned are taken from those dialects which had gone further on the path of Prākṛitisation than the literary language represented by Pāli. On the corresponding phenomena in sound-groups cf. § 60 ff

One of these sporadic phenomena is the occasional elision of an intervocalic mute which is replaced by the hiatus-filler *y* or *v*: *suva* 'parrot' (beside *suka*)=*śuka*; *khāyita* 'eaten' Jā. VI. 498¹⁹, M. I.

¹ Pischel, § 112.

² Pischel, § 105, 233, E. Kuhn, KZ 25. 327.

³ Pischel, § 124.

⁴ Johansson, IF. 8. 223 f. Not so Pischel, § 482.

⁵ Pischel, PkrGr. § 186 ff.

⁶ In contrast to Pkr.; cf. Pischel, § 224, 252.

⁷ The *ḍh* is retained in Pkr.; Pischel, § 240, 242.

⁸ JPTS 1909, p. 137.

83⁶, Vin. I. 109²⁹ = *khōdita*¹; *niya* 'own' Sn. 149 (beside *nija*) = *nija*²; *sāyati* 'tastes' D. III. 85²⁰, A. III. 163²¹ (beside *sādiyati*, *sādita*) = *svādate*. Cf. the names *Aparagoyāna* Bodhivs. 74² = *Apuragodāna*³ and *Kusmāra* through **naara* = *Kuśinagara*. The Pāli forms in these cases very probably reflect the local dialectical pronunciation. Interchange between the endings *-ikā* and *-iyā* is very frequent⁴: *āveṇika* 'particular, separate' S. IV. 239¹⁰ and *āveṇiya* Vin. I. 71³⁰; *Kosiya* (name of Indra) Jā. II. 252⁸, M. I. 252³², Milp. 126⁷ = *Kauśika*; *posāvanika* 'developed to maturity' JāCo. III. 134²⁰ and *-niya* DhCo. III. 35² from *posati*. But these are not cases of Prākritism; double forms like *lokiko* 'worldly' = *laukika* and *lokiya* = *laukya* have led to the confusion of two suffixes. In this way originated also *soṭṭhika* 'Brahman' Mhvs. 5. 105 as variant reading of *soṭṭhiya* = *śrotriya*. Similarly perhaps also *veyyattikā* 'lucidity' Smp. 323²⁸ is to be regarded only as a side-form of *veyyattiyā* M. I. 82²⁵, II. 208³³, which has been derived from *viyatta* (with svarabhakti) = *vyakta* in the same way as *veyyāvacca* from **viyāvata* (§ 3).

§ 37. It is again a phenomenon of Pkr. when sporadically a sonant aspirate in intervocalic position is represented by *h*⁵; *lahu*, *lahuka* 'light' Dh. 35, Thl. 104, A. I. 10² etc. = *laghu*; *ruhira* 'red, blood' Thl. 568, M. III. 122³⁴ (beside *rudhira* DhCo. I. 140¹⁴) = *rudhira*; *sāhu* 'good' Thl. 43, VCo. 284²⁹ (beside more frequent *sādhu*) = *sādhu*; *āyūhati* 'struggles' Sn. 210, S. I. 48¹ (verse), Jā. VI. 288², Milp. 326⁸, if, as H. Kern (IF. 25 238) suggests, it is derived from a basic form **āyodhate*; *nutṭhuhati* 'spits out' (beside *bhati* § 16. 1a) from root *stubh* with *ni*; *pahaṃsati* 'rubs' JāCo. II. 102⁶, DhCo. I. 253⁵ through **paghaṃsati* = *praghaṃsati*; *momūha* 'mad' S. I. 133³² (verse), D. I. 27⁹ (*momūhatta* A. III. 119⁹, Pu. 69⁷) = *momugha*⁷. The ending *-bhi* in Instr. Pl. has become *-hi*; *-bhi* is archaic. The Present form *dahati* 'sets, places' is to be derived from **dadhati* as Pischel has

¹ E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 56.

² Minayeff, PGr. § 41.

³ BR. under the word *aparagodāni*.

⁴ Sometimes the place of origin of the MSS. is responsible for these discrepancies. The Siamese MSS. have, e.g., *-ika*, where the other MSS. have *-iya*. Mrs. Rhys Davids, Vibhaṅga, preface, p. xiv.

⁵ For Pkr. cf. Pischel, § 183. On the *h* instead of an aspirate in sound-groups, see below § 60.

⁶ Trenckner, Notes, p. 61. Not so Johansson, Monde Oriental, 1907-8, p. 85 ff.

⁷ E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 42; E. Müller, PGr. p. 37.

suggested¹. Similarly *dadhāsi* Sn. 841 and *dadhāti* Sn. 888, Jā. V. 220² represent *dadhāsi*, *dadhāti*. In initial position *h* represents *bh* in *hoti* 'becomes' beside *bhavati* (also Pkr. *hoī*); to the same category belong further *pahoti* 'is able', *pahonaka* 'sufficient' *pahū* 'able' Sn. 98, *pahūta* 'much' = *prabhavati* etc. In secondary initial there is *h* for *dh* in *hetṭhā* 'under' = **adheṣṭhāt* (§ 9). It should be noticed here that in Pāli, as also in Pkr.², an old aspirate is sometimes preserved where the Skr. form shows only *h* *idha* 'here' as opposed to Skr. *iha* = Av. *iḍa*; *ghammati* 'goes' as opposed to Skr. *hammati*, Pkr. *hammai*; *Vebhāra* (name of a hill) (AMāg *Vebhāra*, *Vēbbhāra*, *Vibbhāra*) as opposed to *Vaihāra* (but *Vaihbhāra* with the Jains)³. Also in *pilandhati* 'decorates', *pilandhana* 'decoration' from the Skr. root *nah*, Pāli has retained the older *dh*—A surd aspirate has been replaced by *h* in *sukatā* 'happiness' Jā. III. 158²⁴ from *sukha*⁴ and in *samihati* 'moves away' Vv. 5. 1, VvCo. 35¹⁶, which is perhaps connected with Skr. *ikhate* (beside *inkh* of the Dhātupāṭha⁵).

§ 38 The softening of surds in intervocalic position is another feature of Pāli which is to be attributed to the influence of dialects.⁶ 1 Softening of *k* into *g*: *elamūga* 'deaf and dumb' Jā. I. 247²⁸, M. I. 20¹⁹ = *edamūka*; *patigacca* 'earlier' D. II. 118²⁷, DhCo. III. 305³ etc. (in S. I. 57¹⁹ variant reading *patikacca*) = *pratikṛtya*.⁷ Further, in the proper names *Sāgala* (a city) Milp. 1⁵, JāCo. IV. 23²¹ = *Sākala* and *Māgandīya* (a Brahman; JPTS. 1888, p. 71) = *Mākaṇḍika*. *kh* is softened into *gh* in *nighaṇṇasi* 'you will dig' Jā. VI. 18¹⁸.—2 Softening of *c* into *j*: *suja* 'sacrificial ladle' from Skr. *sruc*⁸.—3 Softening of *t* into *d* *udāhu* 'or' = *utāho*; *niyyādeti* 'hands over' JāCo. I. 507² (also *niyyādeti* D. II. 331²) and *paṭṭiyādeti* 'prepares' D. I. 226⁷ = *-yātayati*; *pasada* 'spotted antelope' (§ 12.1) = *prṣata*; *ruda* 'voice' Jā. I. 207²⁰ (beside *rūta* JāCo. II. 388²³) = *ruta*; *vidutthi*

¹ Pischel, BB. 15, 121; PkrGr. § 507.

² Pischel, § 266.

³ The case of *saṃgharati* (JPTS. 1909, p. 34) beside *saṇḥarati* is doubtful.

⁴ On the other hand *sukhā* 'contented' is certainly not = *sukhita* (Minayeff, PGr. § 43), but = *su-hita* (E. Müller, PGr., p. 37).

⁵ BR. under the word *inkh*.

⁶ According to Hem. IV. 396, this is characteristic also of Apebhraṃśa. Pischel, PkrGr. § 192, 202.

⁷ Trenckner, Milp., p. 421 (note on p. 48³²); E. Müller, PGr., p. 37. S. Lévi, JAs., sér. X. t. 20, p. 508 ff. (cf. above Introd. IX) connects *jalogi* Vin. II. 301¹¹ with *jalaṇka* 'leech.'

⁸ Lévi, *ibid.*, p. 505 f. derives *pārājika*, a particular kind of transgression, from **pārācika* (AMāg. *pārāñciya*).

'span' DhCo. III. 172⁴=*vitastī* S. Levi explains also *saṃghādisesa* (designation of a particular kind of transgression) from *saṃgha* + *atiśeṣa* and *ekodī* 'spiritually united' (⁰*bhāva*, ⁰*bhūta*) from *eka* + *ūti* 'consisting of a single (woven) chain.' ¹—4. Softening of *th* into *dh*: *pavedhāti* 'shivers' (§ 25.1)=*-vyathate*; *gadhita* 'greedy' Ud. 75¹⁰, Milp. 401² beside *gathita* D. I. 245²⁴, M. I. 162¹⁴=*grathita*.—5. Softening of *p* into *v* is very frequent. *avaṅga* 'corner of the eye' Vin. II. 267⁶=*apāṅga*³; *avāpuraṇa* 'key' JāCo. I. 501²⁵ (*avāpurati*, *avāpurāpeti* JāCo. I. 263³⁰, II. 22⁹ beside *apāp-* Vv. 64.27, Vin. I. 5³¹, V. 80⁴) from root *var* with *apā* (cf. § 39.6); *āveḷā* (§ 11)=*āpiḍā*; *ubbillāvita* 'unduly elated' D. I. 3²⁴ beside *ubbillāpita* JāCo. II. 10⁸; *kavi* 'monkey' Abhp. 1105 (beside the usual *kapi* Cp. III. 7.1)=*kapi*; *kaviṭṭha* (name of a tree) JāCo. V. 132^{4,7}, cf. III. 463⁷, V. 115⁵ (beside *kapittha* Jā. VI. 529³⁰, Mhvs. 29.11)=*kapittha*, *theva* 'drop' Vin. I. 50¹¹ from root *stip*, *step* of the Dhātupāṭha, *pūva* 'cake' A. III. 76¹⁴ etc.=*pūpa*; *bhindivāla* (a kind of weapon) Abhp. 394=*bhindipāla*; *vyāvaṭa* (Pkr. *vāvaḍa*) 'engaged on something' D. II. 141²⁰, JāCo. III. 129¹⁵=*vyāpṛta*⁴, *viśiveti* (§ 25.1)=*viśyāpayati*—In the same way is to be explained also 6. the change of *t* into *ḷ* which presupposes an intermediate *ḍ*: *kakkhaḷa* 'cruel'=*kakkhaṭa*; *kheḷa* 'village'=*kheṭa* (or from the root *kṣvid* of the Dhātupāṭha), *cakkavāla* 'horizon' JāCo. II. 37¹⁹, Mhvs. 31.85 through **cakkavāta* from **cakravarta* (Skr. *cakravāḍa*, -*vāla*); *phaḷika* 'crystal'=*sphaṭika*. To this category also belong the proper names. *Āḷavi* (a city)=*Āṭavi*, *Lāla* (a country and a people) Dpvs. 9. 5 (cf. *Lāḷudāyitthera* JāCo. I. 123¹²)=*Lāṭa*.

§ 39. It is again due to dialectical variations that sporadically the sonants are represented by surds⁵. 1. Instead of *g* appears *k* in: *akalu* (a perfume) Milp. 338¹³=*aguru* (§ 34); *chakala* 'goat' Abhp. 1111=

¹ S. Levi, *ibid.* 503, 502. See also R. O. Franke, D. p. 39, f.-n. 6 with p. LVIII. It is quite doubtful whether *dandha* 'slow, dull' is to be classed here. Wēber, ZDMG. 14.48 connects it with Skr. *tandra*. He is followed by Childers, Fausbøll, E. Kuhn. A different, but wrong, explanation is given by Trenckner, Notes, p. 65 (JPTS 1908 115, foot-note) and E. Müller. On the other hand Johansson, *Monde Oriental*, 1907-8, p. 108 connects the word with I.-E. **dhendhro-*.

² Similarly also in Pkr.; Pischel. § 192.

³ VT. III. 342, f.-n. 6.

⁴ Trenckner, Notes, p. 63.

⁵ See Trenckner, Notes, p. 62 f. For analogous phenomena in Pkr. cf. Pischel, PkrGr. § 191, 27; Grierson, ZDMG. 66.49 f.; St. Konow, ZDMG. 64. 108 f., 114. For similar phenomena in sound-combinations in Pāli see below § 61.2.

=*chagala*; *thaketi*- 'closes' Vin. I. 48³⁵, *thakana* Mhvs. 6.13=*sthagayati*, *sthagana*; *palikunṭhita* 'veiled' JāCo. II. 92²⁴ (beside *palig*-DhCō. I. 144¹¹, verse) from root *gunṭh* with *pari*; *laketi* 'clings', *lakanaka* 'anchor' Milp. 377^{19, 23}=*lagati*, *lagnaka*; *vākura* 'snare' Thl. 775 (*vākara* M. II. 65⁵)=*vāgurā* Hardening of consonant in initial position is found in: *kilāsu* 'indolent' Vin. III. 8⁵ (*akilāsu* Vin. III. 9² etc.), which is to be connected with *glāṣnu* 'loose' (root *glā*) according to Trenckner.—2. Instead of *gh* appears *kh* in: *palikha* Jā. VI. 276³ (beside frequent *paligha*)=*parigha* —3. Instead of *j* appears *c* in: *pāceti* 'drives' Dh. 135 (*pācanayaṭṭhi* S.I. 115⁶) beside *pājeti* JāCo. II. 122⁵ from root *aj* with *pra*.—4. Instead of *d* appears *t* in: *kusita* 'slow' Thl. 101, A. III. 3¹¹ etc.=*kusida* (but *kosajja* p. 70, f.-n. 1 from **kausadya*); *patara* 'crack' Jā. IV. 32²¹=*pradara*; *mutiṅga* 'drum' (§ 23)=*mṛdaṅga*; *pātu* 'apparent'=*prādur*; *samsati* Loc. Sg. 'at the assembly' Jā. III. 493¹=*samsadi*. To this category belong also the names of peoples *Ceti*, *Ceta*, *Cetiya* (metri causa *Cecca*) S.V. 436¹⁹, Cp. I. 9³⁸, Jā. V. 267¹⁵=*Cedi*, *Cedika* —5. Instead of *dh* appears *th* in: *upatheyya* 'pillow' Jā. VI. 490¹³=*upadheya* (cf. *upadhāna*); *piṭhīyati* 'is covered' Thl. 872, M. III. 184¹⁵ (beside *pidahati*, *pidhāna*)=*piṭṭhīyate*. —6. Instead of *b*, *v* appears *p* in: *avāpuraṇa* etc. (§ 38.5), *apāpurati* 'discloses' Vv. 64.27, Vin. I. 5³¹ (verse), II. 148¹⁹ from root. *var* with *apā*; *chāpa(ka)* 'young animal' Vin. I. 193⁵ etc. = *śāva*; *pabbaja* (a kind of grass) Thl. 27 (beside *babbaja* Vin. I. 190³)=*balbaja*; *palāpa* 'chaff' JāCo. I. 467⁶=*pralāva*; *pāpuraṇa* (§ 19.2)=*prāvuraṇa*¹; *opilāpeti* 'drowns' M. I. 18⁶, JāCo. I. 238¹², 330²³=*plāvayati*; *lāpa* (a bird) JāCo. II. 59⁶=*lāba*, *lāva*; *lāpu* JāCo. I. 341² and *alāpu* Dh. 149 'cucumber' (beside *lābu*, *alābu*)=*lābu*, *alābu*; *hāpeti* 'extinguishes (fire)' Jā. IV. 221²⁰=*hāvayati*. Also 3. Sg. Opt. *hupeyya* Vin. I. 8³⁰ from *bhavati* for *huveyya*.

§ 40. Also the sporadical appearance and disappearance of aspiration have parallels in Prakrit². 1. Unetymological aspiration, (*a*) in initial position: *khīla* (same in AMāg.) 'post' A. I. 141², Mhvs. 29.49=*kīla*; *-khattum*=*kṛtvas*, *khujja* 'humped' D. II. 333²¹=*kubja*; *thusa* 'husk' D. I. 9⁵=*tuṣa*; *pharasu* 'axe' A. III. 162¹⁹, JāCo. I. 399⁷ (beside *parasu* JāCo. III. 179¹)=*paraśu*; *pharusa* 'harsh, cruel'=*paraśa*; *phala* (a certain measure) Jā. VI. 510⁴ (beside

¹ Not so Johansson. IF. 25. 209 ff.

² Pischel, PkrGr. § 206 ff. For similar phenomena in sound-combinations see below § 62.

pala Th1 97)=*pala*, *phalagaṇḍa* 'carpenter' S. III. 154²⁹ (beside *pal-* M. I. 119¹⁴)=*palagaṇḍa*; *phalu* 'knot (of a branch)' D. I. 5³¹=*purus*; *phārusaka* (a flower) DhCo III. 316¹=*pārusaka*; *phālibhaddaka* (name of a tree) JāCo. II. 163⁵=*pāribhadra*; *phāsukā* 'rib' Dh. 154, JāCo. III. 273¹⁴ etc.=*pārśukā*; *phulaka* (a precious stone) VvCo. 111²³=*pulaka*; *phusita* (AMāg. *phusiya*) 'drop' M. III. 300²¹, DhCo. III. 243⁶=*pr̥ṣata*; *phussa* (a lunar mansion, name of a month) Vv. 53.4=*puṣya* (*phussaratha* JāCo. III. 238²⁸=*pusyaratha*; *phussarāga* 'topaz' Milp. 118²²); *bhastā* 'goat' Jā. III 278¹¹=*basta*; *bhūsa* 'lotus-sprout' Jā. VI. 516³, JāCo. I. 100⁷=*bisa*; *bhisi* 'mattress' Vin. I. 47³⁵=*bṛṣi*; *bhusa* 'chaff' Dh. 252, Ud. 78¹⁰=*busa*. According to Pischel (PkrGr. § 211) this unetymological aspiration of the initial consonant is in evidence also in words like *cha* 'six' ¹=*ṣaṭ*, *chaka*, *chakana* 'dung' Vin. I. 202²⁵=*śakṛt*; *chāpa(ka)* (AMāg. *chāva*)=*śāva* (§ 39.6); *cheppā* (AMāg. *chēppa*, *chippa*) 'tail' Vin. I. 191³, III. 21³⁷=*śepa*; the aspirated *śh*, *śh* is said to have developed into *ch* in these cases. Johansson (IF. 3.212 f.) assumes Indo-European doublets with *sḱ* and *k̂*; *ch* in his opinion is derived from *sḱ*.—(b) Unetymological aspiration in the middle of a word: *sunakha* (Pkr. *suṇaha*) 'dog'=*śunaka*; *sukhumāla* 'tender'=*sukumāra*; *kakudha* (Māh. *kaiiha*) 'hump' JāCo. 340³=*kakuda*.—2 Loss of aspiration is rare: (a) initially: *jalla* 'dirt' Sn. 249, D. I. 167³, *jallikā* Sn. 198=*jhallikā*.—(b) Medially: *kapoṇi* 'elbow' Abph. 265=*kaphoṇi*; *khudā* 'hunger' Sn. 52, Jā. VI. 529³⁰=*kṣudhā*; cf. also *katikā* 'agreement' M. I. 171²⁸, Vin. I. 9⁶ etc. beside *kathikā*, a variant reading in JāCo. I. 450¹⁶=**kathikā*.

§ 41. Dialectical influences are responsible also for sporadical changes of the place of articulation of the consonants.—1. Palatal appears for guttural in: *cunda* 'turner's lathe' *cundakāra* 'turner' JāCo. VI. 339²²=*kunda*; root *iṇj* D. I. 56²² (beside *iṅ* JāCo. II. 408¹²)=*iṅ*.—2. Dental appears for palatal in: *dighañña* 'situated behind, to the west' Jā. V. 402⁹, 403³⁰ from *jaghana*; *daddallati* 'glistens' (§ 185)=*jājvalyate*; *tikicchati* 'cures' (§ 184)=*cikitsati* (§ 34); *dugucchati* 'feels abhorrence' in Childers (AMāg. *dugucchāi*) beside *jigucchati*=*jugupsate*³. The last two are probably cases of dissimilation.—3. A dental

¹ Beside it also *sa-*, *saḱ-*, *so-* 'without aspiration.'

² Also in Pkr.; see Pischel, § 215.

³ *daddara* 'deep-sounding' A. IV 171¹⁰=*jarjara* and *dardara*. In Sinhalese the mutation of *j* into *d* is phonological

appears for a cerebral in *deṇḍima* (a drum) D. I. 79¹⁴ (beside *dindima*) = *ḍindima*, which is clearly derived from popular speech.¹

§ 42. Quite frequently cerebrals appear in the place of dentals, mostly under the influence of preceding *r*, *ṛ*, even though they may have disappeared in Pāli². Thus there is *ṭ* for *t* in *ambāṭaka* (a tree) Abhp. 554 = *āmrātaka*³. Also *vaṭaṃsa(ka)* (§ 66.1) as opposed to *avataṃsa* and *paṭaṃga* 'insect' Jā. VI. 506³⁰, Milp. 272⁴ as opposed to *paṭaṃga*⁴. Moreover sometimes in the participles of *r*-roots we have *ṭ* for *t*: *haṭa* (AMāg. JMāh. *haḍa*) 'taken away' = *hṛta*; *vyāvaṭa* (§ 38.5) = *vyāpṛta*. On the other hand we find only *mata* 'dead' *ābhata* 'brought in,' *saṃvuta* 'restrained'; mostly also *kata* 'done' (*dukkata* term. tech. for a particular kind of transgression). Instead of *prati*-, there appears sometimes *paṭi*- and sometimes *pati*-, the former particularly (but not exclusively) in those cases where other cerebrals occur in the word concerned; thus *patitṭhāti* 'stands firm'; but also *patimanteti* 'disputes' D.I. 93²³, Vin. II. 1¹² etc. On the other hand we have *pati*- in *patimā* 'image' = *pratimā* etc. Michelson⁵ would connect *paṭi*- with Skr. *prati* but *pati*- with Avest. *paiti*-, O Pers. *patiy*-.—2. *ṭh* appears for *th* in *paṭhama* 'the first' = *prathama*; *sathila* 'uncareful' Dh. 312 f = **sṛthila* (but *sithila* Th1. 277 etc.) Orthography is uncertain in the case of *paṭhavi*, *pathavi* (§ 12.4). Cf. further *kaṭhita* (AMāg. *kaḍhiya*, Māh. *kadhia*) 'made hot', *pakkathita* Thūpavs. 48³³ as against *kvathita*⁶.—3. *ḍ* appears for *d* in the two roots *damś* 'to bite' and *dah* 'to burn' and their derivatives. Thus *ḍasati*; *saṃḍāsa* 'pincers' Jā. III. 138¹², M. II. 75¹² = *saṃdamśa*; *ḍamśa* 'gnat' Th1. 31, Vin. I. 3²⁰ etc. = *damśa*. But we have invariably *daṭṭha* 'bitten' = *daṣṭa* and *dāṭhā* (Pkr. *dādhā*) 'tooth,' obviously on account of the cerebral inside these words. Further *ḍahati*; *ḍāha* 'glow' M. I. 306¹¹, JāCo. III. 153¹⁰ = *dāha*. On the other hand we have *daḍḍha*

¹ For changes in the place of articulation of consonants in sound-groups see § 63.

² Cerebralisation is much more widespread in Pkr., Pischel, § 218 ff. For cerebralisation in sound-combinations see below § 64.

³ For *apphoṭā* (a kind of jasmine) Jā. VI. 536³², the proper reading with cerebral is found also in Skr. *āspṛhoṭā*.

⁴ On the other hand *paṭaṃga* signifies 'bird' according to Abhp. 624.

⁵ IF. 28. 240.

⁶ There occurs also *pakkatṭhita* (variant reading *pakkutṭhita*) DhCo. I. 126⁸, III. 310⁹ beside *pakkuthita* Th2Co. 292⁵ and *pakkatṭhāpeti* 'causes to boil' JāCo. I. 472⁷. How to explain this *ṭṭh* here? On *kuthita* see p. 74, f. n. 1.

⁷ As also in Māh., AMāg., JMāh.; Pischel, § 222.

'burnt' = *dagdha*. Medially, between vowels, *ḍ* is then further changed into *ḷ*: *ālāhana* 'pier' D. I. 55²⁶, DhCo. I. 26¹⁸ etc. and *parilāha* 'sorrow' Dh. 90 etc from *dak*. Similarly *ulāra* 'great' Th1. 65 etc. = *udāra*; *ulūṅka* (§ 17. 2 a) = *udaṅka*; *koviḷāra* (a kind of tree) Jā. VI. 530² = *kovidāra*; *dohaḷa* 'desire during pregnancy' JāCo. III. 28³, DhCo. III. 95⁷, *dohaḷinī* = *dohada*, *dohadinī*¹; *bubbuḷa(ka)* 'bubble' Dh. 170, Mhvs. 30. 13 = *budbuda*.—4. *ḷh* appears (through *ḍh*) for *dh* in: *dveḷhaka* 'doubt' Smp. 309²¹ from *dvaidha*.—5. *ṇ* appears for *n* in: *sakuṇa* 'bird' = *śakuna*; *saṇa* 'hemp' = *śana*; *saṇiṃ*, *saṇikaṃ* (§ 22) = **śanam*. A peculiar case is offered by *ñāṇa* 'knowledge' (also *abhiññāṇa* etc.) = *jñāna*. The orthography is sometimes uncertain in Pāli thus *sanati*, *saṇati* 'sounds' from root *svan*.

§ 43. Related to the phenomenon of cereoralisation is the sporadic representation of *d* by *r*, of *n* by *l* or *r*, and also of *ṇ* by *ḷ*.—1. For *ḍ* appears (through *d*) an *r*² quite promiscuously in the compound numerals with *dasa* 'ten' such as *ekārasa* (beside *ekādasa*) 'eleven,' etc., as well as in the compounds with *-disa*, *-dikkha* = *-dṛśa*, *-dṛkṣa*: *erisa*, *erikkha* (beside *edisā*, *edikkha*) = *idṛśa*, *idṛkṣa* etc according to Kacc. IV. 6. 19 (Senart, p 525) *t* becomes (through *d*) *r* in *sattari* 'seventy' = *saptati* S. II. 59³⁴, 60¹. Cf. § 112. 3.—2 *l* appears for *n* in: *ela* 'fault' (*anelaka* 'faultless' D. III 85¹⁷ etc) = *enas*; *pīlandhati*, *pīlandhana* (§ 37) from root *nah*; *Mulinda* (proper name) = Menandros (in the last two cases *n* is perhaps due to dissimilation)³. For *n* appears *r* in *Nerañjarā* (name of a river) Vin. I. 1⁶ etc. = *Nairañjanā*.—3. For *ṇ* appear *ḷ*⁴ in: *veḷu* (also AMāg. *veḷu* beside *venu*) 'bamboo' = *veṇu*; *muḷāla* (§ 12. 3) = *mṛnāla*.

§ 44. Representation of *r* by *l* is very common in Pāli, and in Pkr. it is the rule for Māgadhī, although this substitution occurs sporadically also in other dialects⁵. Thus, initially, in *lujjati* 'falls apart', Th1. 929, S. IV. 52⁸ (*palujjati* D II. 118³¹ etc.) = *rujjate*; *ludda* (§ 15. 4) = *raudra*⁶. Sometimes double forms with *l* and *r* occur in Skr.: *lūkha* (AMāg. *lūha* beside *lukkha*) 'gross, bad' Th1. 923, Vin.

¹ Lüders, GN. 1908, p. 3.

² For Pkr. see Fischel, § 245.

³ Schulze, KZ. 33. 226, f.-n. Cf. Wackernagel, GN. 1906, p. 165, f.-n. 1.

⁴ Fausbøll, Five Jāt., p. 20.

⁵ Fischel, PkrGr. § 256.

⁶ In JāCo. IV. 416²⁵ we have *ruddarūpa* with the variant reading *luddarūpa*.

I. 55²²=*lūkṣa*, *rūkṣa*; *loddā* (name of a tree) Jā. VI. 497²³=*lodhra*, *rodhra*. In Pāli we have *loma*, *roma* (the latter in Abhp. 259, 175) 'hair' and *lohita*, *rohita* (the latter in certain compounds) 'red, blood' as in Skr. Medially *ṛ* stands for *r* in *clauḍa* 'Ricinus' M. I. 124³⁰=*eraṇḍa*; *ṭaluṇa* 'tender' A. IV. 129⁶ (beside *taruṇa* D I. 114¹⁵)=*taruṇa*; *tipukkhalā* (technical term) Nett 2⁹ etc.=*tripuṣkara*; *daddulā* (a kind of rice) D I 166²⁰, A. I. 241⁶=*dardura*; *sajjulasa* (§ 19. 2)=*sarjarasa* etc. In the case of *kumbhīla* 'crocodile' we have also in Skr. *kumbhīla* beside *-ira*. Not infrequently there appears *pali-* for *pari-*: *palikhanatī* 'exterminates' S I. 123⁶ (verse), II. 88⁷ from root *khan* with *pari*; *palissajati* 'embraces' D. II. 266¹⁰ (verse), Jā. V 204¹⁷ from root *svaj* with *pari*. For other examples see § 39. 1, 2 and Childers. A secondary *r* originating from *d* (§ 43. 1) alternates with *l* in *telasa*, *terasa* 'thirteen'¹.

§ 45. Skr. *l* is more rarely represented by Pāli *r* *arañjara* (§ 17 2b)=*aliñjara*; *ārammaṇa* 'basis, object' Sn. 474, M. I. 127⁶ etc.=*ālambana*; *kira* (particle) = *kīla*; *biḷālā* 'cat' Abhp. 461 (beside the usual *biḷāra* Jā. I. 461⁸, *biḷārikā* JāCo III. 265¹⁰)=*biḷāra*.—For *l* appears *n* (perhaps through dissimilation) in *naṅgala* (also in AMāg.) 'plough' Thl. 16, D II. 353⁵ etc.=*lāṅgala*; *naṅḡula* 'tail' in *gonāṅgula* (a kind of ape) Thl. 115=*lāṅgula*²; *valāṭa* 'forehead' D. I 106¹³, JāCo. I 388¹⁸=*lalāṭa* Medially there appears *n* for *l* in *dehani* 'threshold' Abhp. 219=*dehali*³.

§ 46. Not infrequent is the alternation between *y* and *v*⁴
1. Pāli *v* appears for Skr. *y*: *āvudha* 'weapon' Dh. 40, A. IV 107⁷, JāCo 100¹ (*āyudha* Mhvs 7. 16 etc.)=*āyudha*; *āvuso* Voc. from *āyusmant*; *ussāva* (§ 15 4)=*avaśyāya*; *kaśāva* 'dirt, sin' Sn 328, Dh. 9 f. (*kaśāyita* Attanagaluvs. 2.2)=*kaśāya*; *kāsāva* 'yellow robe of monks'=*kāsāya*; *tāvattimsā* (AMāg. *tāvattisā*) 'the 33 gods'=*trayastrimśat*; *piṇḍadāvika* 'provision-carrier' D. I. 51⁹, DCo. I. 156²⁵ for *piṇḍadāyika*; *migavā* 'chase' JāCo. I. 149²⁸=*mṛgayā* The form *kiva(m)* 'how much? how far?' (*kiva-dūraṃ* etc.) is to be compared with Ved. *kivant* as opposed to Skr. *kīyant* Beside

¹ For *r* appears *d* in *Purimḍada* (a name of Indra) D. II 260¹ (verse) instead of *Puramḍara*, perhaps through folk-etymology. E. Kuhn, Beitr. p 43.

² Cf. also *nanguṭṭha* A. II. 245², JāCo. I. 370²³ etc., which stands to *nmaula* as *aṅguṭṭha* 'thumb' to *aṅgula*.

³ In Abhp. 562 there is also *tintiṇi* 'tamarisk' instead of *tintikā*, *-ḍkā*.

⁴ For Pkr. see Pischel, § 254. In the language of Asoka's inscriptions cf. *papovā* = *prāpnuyāt*. Miche'son, IF. 28. 229.

kaṇḍuvatī 'scratches' Vin. III. 117¹⁴ = *kaṇḍūyati* there is *kaṇḍūyana* Attanagaluvs. 2. 3. After the svarabhakti-vowel *i* there appears *v* instead of *y* in *paṭṭivim̐sa*, *-visa* Vin. I. 28⁹, DhCo. III. 304¹⁰ = **pratyam̐sa*. Childers cites also *tivaṅgiha* out of **tiy* = **tryaṅgiha*, as well as *divaḍḍha* 'one and a half' = **dvyardha* (but in Smp. 285³⁵, Mhvs. 10. 92 there is *diyaḍḍha*). In case of the gemination of *v* there appears (cf. § 51. 3) *bb*: *pubba* 'pus' Sn. 671, M. I. 57¹⁹, JāCo. II. 18¹⁶ through **puvva*, **pūva* = *pūya*; *vanibbaka* 'begging' D. I. 137²⁵, DhCo. I. 105¹⁵ = *vaṇṇiyaka*. Now as in Pāli *b* occasionally appears for Skr. *v* (*kabala* 'morsel' = *kavala*, *kabalikā* 'compress' Vin. I. 205³⁵ = *kavalikā*, *buḍḍha* 'old' D. II. 162²⁶ beside *vudḍha* = *vṛddha*), so it can naturally appear also for *y* (through the intermediate stage of *v*): *jalābu* 'uterus' M. I. 73⁴, S. III. 240¹⁸ (see § 44) = *jarāyu*.—2. Pāli *y* appears for Skr. *v* in: *dāya* 'park' D. II. 40¹⁹, Vin. I. 8⁹ etc. (beside *dāva* JāCo. I. 212¹¹) = *dāva*. The Gerund *lāyitvā* Jā III. 226²⁴, Vin. III. 64³⁷, JāCo. I. 215²², III. 130⁵, and the Participle *lāyita* JāCo. III. 130² seem to stand for **lāyitvā*, **lāyita* (*lāveti* 'tears out, mows,' root *lū*); E. Kubn¹ has derived *caccara* 'crossing of roads' Milp. 1¹⁸, JāCo. I. 425¹² through **catyara* from *catvara*.—3. Occasionally *l* appears for *y* as in *laṭṭhi(kā)* 'sprout, stick' Jā. III. 161¹³, D. I. 105¹⁰ beside *yatṭhi(kā)* JāCo. II. 37⁴ = *yaṣṭi*². The mutation of *y* into *r* is however doubtful. The form *antarāraṭi* 'runs risk' cannot be quoted from texts. About the forms *sakhāram*, *sakhāro* instead of *sakhāyam*, *sakhāyas* see below § 84. In *vedhavera* 'son of a widow' Jā. IV. 184²², VI. 508¹³ and *sāmaṇera* 'novice' derived from *vaidhavya* and *śrāmaṇeya* the suffixes themselves are different. Also *nahāru* 'ligament, string' (§ 50. 5) cannot be directly equated with *snāyu*, for it is derived from a side-form with *r* as is shown by Av. *snāvarə* and Goth. *snōrjō*³.—4. *v* and *m* alternate in the people's name Pāli *Damīla* = Skr. *Draviḍa*. Cf. also *sāmi* 'porcupine' JāCo. V. 489²² = *śvāvidh* (Lüders, ZDMG. 61. 643). The forms *vīmaṃsati* 'tests,' *vīmaṃsā*, *-sana*, *-sin* as opposed to Skr. *mīmāṃsate* are to be explained through dissimilation⁴.

¹ Beitr. p. 46.

² Pischel, § 255.

³ Johansson, IF. 3. 204 f.

⁴ Against the derivation given by Fausbøll, Five Jāt., p. 37 (cf. also Andersen, Pāli Glossary, sub voce) from root *mṛś* with *vi* goes the length of *i* as Senart, Kacc. p. 494 rightly pointed out.

13. Dissimilation and Metathesis

§ 47. Some examples of 1. Dissimilation have been already mentioned in § 41.2, 43.2, 45, 46.4 To them are to be added the following isolated cases: *kipilla*, -*likā* 'ant' Sn. 602, Vin. I. 97³, DhCo. III. 206¹⁸=*pipila*, -*likā*¹; *takkola* 'bdellium' Abhp. 304=*kakkola*, but also Skr. *takkola*, Sgh. *takul*. The people's name *Takkola* Milp. 359²⁸ is perhaps=*Karkota*² —2. The liquid *r* is particularly susceptible to metathesis: *ālārika* 'cook' D I. 51¹⁰=*ārārika*; *kaṇeru*(*kā*) 'young elephant' Jā VI 497¹, JāCo. VI. 485²²=*kareṇu*; *pārupati* 'covers, dresses' D I 246¹⁰, JāCo. II. 24⁴, Mhvs. 22.67, *pārupaṇa*³ 'mantle' JāCo. I. 378⁸, III. 82⁴ beside *pāpuraṇa* (§ 19.2 and 39.6). Metathesis may take place after the insertion of a svarabhakti-vowel: *kayirā* through **kariyā*=**karyāt* from root *kar*⁴; *kayirati* (§ 175)=**karyate*; *payirudāharati* 'utters' D II. 222¹¹, JāCo. I. 454²⁸ and *payirupāsati* 'sits at the feet (of the teacher)' Th1. 1236 through **pariyud-*, **pariyupa*=*paryud-*, *paryupa-*; *raḥada* 'tank' through **harada* (§ 31.1)=*hrada*. Also *daha* (AMāg. *daha*; *draha*) Vin. I. 28³, Mhvs. 1. 18 is to be explained through **draha* derived from *hrada*. Forms like *acchera* 'wonderful' (§ 27.5) are also cases of metathesis: *āścarya*>**acchariya*>**acchayira*>*acchera*. Finally should be mentioned *makasa* 'mosquito' Sn. 20, A. II 117³³, JāCo. I. 246²³ through **masaka*=*maśaka*⁵. On *-hīrati*, *-bhīrati* see § 175.

14. Consonant-groups

Combination of Two Consonants

§ 48 Consonant-groups may be divided by svarabhakti according to § 29. They however remain undivided, 1. if they consist of similar consonants or of a mute with the corresponding aspirate, or 2. if they consist of a nasal with a homorganic mute. In the derivatives

¹ Similarly Sgh. *kubudinu* 'awake' instead of **pubudinu*.

² On these and other doubtful cases see Trenckner, Notes p. 53 f. (JPTS. 19 8, p. 108); E. Müller, PGr p. 39; JPTS. 1888, pp. 18, 37, 50.

³ Johansson (IF. 25. 222 f.) is inclined to derive *pārupati* from an extended root *varp* (I.-E. *verp*).

⁴ On *acchera*, *ācera*, *macchera*, where quite the same metathesis is in evidence, see § 27.5.

⁵ Fausbøll, Five Jāt., p. 29 derives *makasa* from *makṣa* with svarabhakti, but separation of *kṣ* does not occur anywhere else.

from *pañca* are found however also forms with *nn*, *ṇṇ*, *ññ*: *pañnarasa* 'fifteen, fifteenth' (rarely *pañṇa*-), *pañṇuvīsa* 'twenty-five' Jā. III. 138²⁰ beside *pañcadasa* Sn. 402 and *pañcavīsa* Dpvs. 3.29, and only *pañṇāsa(ṇ)* DhCo. III. 207¹² or *paññāsa(ṇ)* fifty '= *pañcāśat*.¹ Assimilation of a mute to a preceding nasal is to be found in *ārammaṇa* (§ 45) = *ālambana*.

§ 49. Consonant-groups containing *h* have to be dealt with separately: 1. Metathesis takes place in the case of groups *h* + nasal, *y* or *v*.² Thus *hn*, *ln*, *hm*, *hy*, *hv* become respectively *nh*, *nh*, *mh*, *yh*, *vh*. Examples: *pubbaṇha* 'forenoon' D. I. 109²⁹, DhCo III. 98²⁰ = *pūrvāṇha*. Similarly *aparaṇha* 'afternoon' = *aparāṇha*, and after them also *sāyanha* 'evening' = *sāyāṇha*; *cinha* 'sign' Abhp. 55 (beside *cihana* with svarabhakti Abhp. 879) = *cihna*; *jiṃha* 'crooked, false' Jā III. 111¹⁷, A. V. 239⁴ = *jihma*; *rayhā* 'movable chair' Jā. VI. 500¹³ from *vāḥya*. Similarly in Future Passive Participles: *sayha* 'that which is to be endured' Sn. 253 = *sahya*; in Gerunds: *āruhya* from root *ruh* with *ā* 'to mount' = *āruhya*; in Passives: *duyhati* 'is milked' Mulp. 41¹ = *duhyate*.³ Also *jivhā* 'tongue' D. I. 21¹⁹ etc. = *jihvā*. In compounds we have *bavhābādha* 'ill' M. II. 94²⁰ = *bahvābādha*; *bavhodaka* 'containing much water' Th1. 390 — 2 The combination *hr* undergoes various changes.⁴ Initially we find *h* in *hesati* 'neighs' Dāṭhāvs. 44, *hesā*, *hesita* 'neighing' Rasav. II. 98¹⁶, Mhvs. 23.72 = *hreṣate*, *hreṣā*, *hreṣita*, but we have *r* in *rassa* (as opposed to Māg. *hassa*) 'short' Dh. 409, JāCo. I. 356¹⁸ etc. = *hrasva*. Both svarabhakti and metathesis are in evidence in *rahada* = *hrada* (§ 47.2).

§ 50. There should further be mentioned the groups consisting of a sibilant followed by a nasal. As in Pkr.,⁵ so in Pāli too there takes place in these cases, as a rule, metathesis with concomitant mutation of the sibilant into *h*. Frequently there are found parallel forms with svarabhakti which took place in some cases already in the original sound-group and in some cases after metathesis and mutation of *s* into *h*. 1. *śn* becomes *nh* (Pkr. *ṇh*): *pañha* (AMāg. *paṇha*) 'question,'

¹ Cf. Pkr. AMāg. JMāh. *pañnarasa*, *pañṇāsam* etc. Pischel, § 273. E. Kuhn, KZ. 33.478 tried to explain this phenomenon through dissimilation.

² As in Pkr.; Pischel, § 330, 332.

³ In Pkr. *hy* becomes *jih*, initially *jh*; Pischel, § 331.

⁴ For *hl* may be quoted only *kallahāra* 'white water-lily' Dpvs. 16.19 = *kahlāra*; cf. § 30.4.

⁵ Pischel, PkrGr. § 312 ff.

=*praśna*; *pañhiparṇī* (sic! not *pañhi*-) (name of a plant) Abhp. 584 = *pr̥ṣṇiparṇī*.—2. *śm* becomes *mh*: *amhāna* 'with the stone' Sn. 443 = *aśmanā*; *amhamaya* 'stony' Dh. 161. Besides also *asmā* Jā. III. 29¹⁷. Sometimes *sm*=*śm* is retained in Pāli: *Kasmīra*=*Kīśmīra*; *rasmi* (Pkr. *rassi*) 'ray, rein' Dh. 222, M.I. 124²¹, JāCo. I. 444 (beside *raṃsu* Sn. 1016, Vv. 52.5)=*raśmi*; *vesma* 'house' Abhp. 206=*veśman*. Initially *ś* is assimilated to *m* (*m*<*mm*) in *massu* (AMāg. *maṃsu*) 'beard' D. I. 60¹⁷ etc.=*śmaśru*.—3. *ṣṇ* becomes *ṇh*: *uṇha* 'hot, heat' D. Andersen Pāli Gl. sub voce=*uṣṇa*; *unhisa* 'diadem' D. II. 179¹, Dpvs. 12.1=*uṣṇisa*; *kaṇha* 'black, demoniac' Th1. 140, Vin. III. 20³⁰ etc.=*kṣṇa*; *taṇhā* 'thirst' (beside rarer *tasiṇā* § 30.5)=*tṛṣṇā*; *tunhi* 'silent'=*tūṣṇim*; *Veṇhu* (§ 10)=*Viṣṇu*; *suṇhā* 'daughter-in-law' through **suṣṇā* from *snuṣā*,¹ beside *suṇisā* (§ 31.2).—4. *ṣm* becomes *mh*: *gimha* 'summer' Dh. 286, Vin. I. 79²⁹, JāCo. I. 390²⁸=*grīṣma*; *semha* (AMāg. *sembha*, *simbha*) 'phlegm'=*śleṣman*; *tumhe*, *tumhākam* etc.=*yusme*, *yusmākam* etc. (§ 104). *sm*=*sm* is retained in *usmā* 'warmth' D. II. 335¹⁵ (beside *usumā* § 31.2)=*uṣman*; *āyasmant* 'venerable'=*āyusmant*; *bhesma* (sic!) 'horrible' Abhp 167=*bhaisma*.—5. *sn* becomes *nh* in: *nhāyati* 'bathes,' *nhāna* 'bath' etc. mostly in verses, beside the forms appearing in prose such as *nahāyati*, *nahāna* (*sunhāta*, *sunahāta* 'well-bathed' D. I. 104²⁷, M. II. 120¹³, S. I. 71¹¹)=*snāyati*, *snāna*; *nhāru* Vin. I. 25¹ beside the more frequent *nahāru* Sn 194, M. I. 429²² etc. connected with *snāyu* (§ 46.3).—6. *sm* becomes *mh* in: *vimhaya* 'astonishment' Mhvs. 5.92, *vimhita* Mhvs. 6. 19=*vismaya*, *vismita*; *amhe*, *amhākam* etc. (§ 104)=*asmān*, *asmākam* etc. There are moreover the Pāli forms *asme* JāCo. III. 359²¹ (verse), *asmākam* Sn. 102 in which *sm* has been retained. It is retained moreover in *asmi* (beside *amhi*) 'I am', in the endings -*smā* of Abl. Sg. (beside -*mhā*) and -*smiṃ* of Loc. Sg. (beside -*mhi*), in *bhasma* 'ash' Dh. 71, S. I. 169²⁵ =*bhasman*. There is svarabhakti in initial *sm* in the case of *sumarati* 'remembers' Dh. 324¹=*smarate*; beside it also *sarati* with assimilation. Similarly *sita* 'smile' M. II. 45⁴, DhCo. III. 479⁷ beside *mihita* JāCo. VI. 504³⁰=*smita*.

§ 51. Moreover, in so far as no svarabhakti intervenes, the assimilation of consonant is characterised by the rule that the consonants of lesser power of resistance are assimilated to those of greater resisting power. The power of resistance diminishes in the order: mutes—

¹ H. Jacobi, *Erzählungen in Māhārāṣṭrī*, p. XXXII. 3 explains Pkr. *suṇhā* through metathesis out of **ṇhusā*. Not so Pischel, § 148.

sibilants—nasals—*l, v, y, r*. Thus an *r* is assimilated to a mute or a sibilant, both when it precedes or follows it. Where a mute is combined with a mute, or a nasal with a nasal, the first consonant is assimilated to the second.

The following details should also be noticed: 1. If the consonant-group contains an aspirate, the aspiration appears at the end of the new group after completed assimilation: *kh+y* becomes *k^hkh*, *k+th* becomes *t^hh*. Aspiration of the resultant group is normally caused also by the presence of a sibilant in the original group: *s+t* becomes *t^hh*.—2. In initial position, there remains only one of the assimilated consonants, which is normally the second one: thus *ṭṭh* becomes *ṭh*. In compounds however the double-consonance normally appears again, and occasionally also in external sandhi. Cf. § 67, 74.1.—3. Wherever according to the laws of assimilation the sound-group *vv* would originate, there appears in P., in contrast to the other Middle Indian dialects, always *bb*¹; initially however only *v*—4. Also certain other qualitative changes are concomitant with assimilation: dentals, as well as *n*, are palatalised by a following *y* before the effectuation of assimilation. Sometimes also *k* is palatalised in the combination *k+ṣ*.—5. Between *m* and a following liquid there is introduced in the first instance the slide-sound *b*². Only after that there takes place assimilation or separation through the svarabhakti-vowel. Examples: *amba* 'mango' Vv 81. 16, JāCo. I. 450²⁰ etc. through **ambra*=*āmra*; *ambāṭaka* (§ 42. 1)=*āmṛātaka*; *tamba* 'red, copper' Vv. 82 3, M. III. 186¹⁵, JāCo. I. 464⁷ etc. through **tambra*=*tāmra*; *Tambapaṇṇī* (Ceylon) JāCo. I. 85¹¹=*Tāmrapaṇṇī*. Svarabhakti in *ambila* 'sour, acid' JāCo. I. 349³⁰ etc. through **ambla*=*amla*. In this way is to be explained also *gumba* 'mass, bush' D. I. 84¹⁶, Thl. 23 etc.=*qulma*, with metathesis, from **gumla*, **gumb³la*.

§ 52. Progressive assimilation takes place 1. in the combination of mute with mute: *chakka* 'collection of six' M. III. 280³³=*ṣaṭka*; *saṭṭhi* 'thigh' Thl. 151, Vv. 81. 17, JāCo. II. 408⁵=*sakṭhi*; *mugga* 'bean' Jā III. 55⁴, D. II. 293²⁰, JāCo. I. 274²⁴=*mudga*;

¹ Similarly, according to § 6. 2, *yobbana* (Pkr. *jovvaṇa*) 'youth' Dh. 155 f., D. I. 115¹⁶=*yauvana*.

² Also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 295.

³ No metathesis has taken place in Pkr. *gumma*; here assimilation has been direct.

ugg āta 'blow' Vin. I. 192²=*udghāta*.—2. In the combination of sibilant with mute (with aspiration of the resultant group): *acchera* (§ 27. 5)=*āścarya*; *nikkha*, *nekkha* (§ 10)=*niṣka*¹; *apphoṭeti* 'claps the hands' JāCo. VI. 486¹⁷ (*apphoṭana*, *apphoṭita*)=*āspḥoṭayati*. Initially: *khalati* 'stumbles' Th1. 45, Milp. 187¹²=*skhalati*; *thaneti* 'thunders' D. II. 260²⁵ (verse), *thanita* JāCo. I. 470¹²=*stanayati*, *stanita*; *phassa* 'touch'=*sparśa*. There is no assimilation in *bhasta* (§ 40. 1 a)=*basta* (cf. *bhastā* 'bellows' M. I. 128²¹=*bhastrā*); *vanaspati* 'tree' Jā. I. 329⁶, S. IV. 302²³=*vanaspati*.—3. In the combination of liquid with mute, sibilant or nasal: *kakka* (a precious stone) VyCo. 111²⁵=*karṇa* (*karketana*); *kibbisa* 'sin' Jā. III. 34¹³, M. III. 165⁵=*kalbiṣa*; *vāka* (§ 6 1)=*valka*; *kassaka* 'farmer' D. I. 61¹⁶=*karṣaka*²; *ūmi* 'wave' JāCo. I. 498⁶, Milp. 3⁷ (§ 5 b)=*ūrmī*; *kammāsa* 'spotted' D. II. 80²⁴. A. II. 187²⁶=*kalmāsa*.—4. In the combination of nasal with nasal: *ninna* 'deep, low' Dh. 98, S. IV. 191¹, JāCo. II. 3⁶=*nimna*; *ummūleti* 'uproots' JāCo. I. 328⁹=*unmūlayati*.—5. In the combination of *r* with *l*, *y*, *v*: *dullabha* 'difficult to attain'=*durlabha*; *ayya* 'venerable' Vin. II. 290²³, D. I. 92¹³, JāCo. III. 61¹³ (beside *ariya* with svarabhakti according to § 30. 1)=*ārya*³; *udīyyati* 'is heard, resounds' Th1. 1232=*udīryate*⁴; *niyyāti* 'goes away' D. I. 49³¹ (*niyyāna*, *niyyānika*)=*niryāti* etc.; *niyyāma* 'sailor' JāCo. IV. 137¹⁰=*niryāma*; *niyyāsa* 'resin' Mhvs. 29. 11, Thūpavs. 57¹⁸=*nir-yāsa*; *saṃkiyyati* 'is mixed up, defiled' S. III. 71¹⁶, A. IV. 246¹³ (§ 5b) through *-*kiyyati*=*saṃkiryate*; *kubbanti* 'they make' Jā III. 118¹⁰ (§ 51.3)=*kurvanti*; *sabba* 'all'=*sarva*; *dubbutṭhi(kā)* 'drought' D. I. 11⁷, JāCo. VI. 487⁶=*durvṛṣṭi*.⁵ In verbs of the type *ṇīryati*, *pūryate* we have mostly *r* (instead of *yy*, *y*) as the result of the regressive assimilation of *ry*. Thus (*pari*)*pūراتي* 'is filled' Dh. 121 f., Jā. I. 498²², JāCo. I. 460²⁷=*pūryate*, beside the analogically formed passives *-hirati*, *-bhirati* (§ 175). Cf. the doublets *ṇīryati* 'is digested, becomes old' and *ṇirati*=*ṇīryati*, *-te* (§ 137).

§ 53. Regressive assimilation takes place 1. in the combination of mutes with nasal: *ubbigga* 'anxious' Jā. I. 486¹⁰, JāCo. III. 197¹⁴=

¹ Similarly *dukkha* 'sorrow' = *duḥkha*.

² Cf. *ghaṃsati* 'rubs' (§ 6.3) = *gharṣati*.

³ In Pkr. *ry* becomes *yy* only in Māg., otherwise *jj*; Pischel, § 287.

⁴ The similar form *miyyati* (and *miyati*) 'dies', which cannot be connected with Skr. *mriyate*, is derived from a *-*mīryate*.

⁵ In analogy with it there appears *bb* also in *subbutṭhikā*.

udvigna; *soppa* (§ 25.2) = *svapna*; *abhimatthati* 'rubs, grinds' Dh. 161, S I 127¹⁴ = *abhimatthnāti*; *chaddan* 'veil, cover' in *vivatlacchadda* (of a Buddha) D. I. 89⁹, JāCo I. 56¹⁴ = *chadman*. On the other hand *jñ* becomes *ñ*¹ through progressive assimilation: *paññā*, *paññāna* 'knowledge' Sn. 1186, Dh. I. 124⁴ = *prajñā*, *prajñāna*; *ruññā*, *ruññā* Instr and Gen. Sg. of *rājan* = *rājñā*, *rājñas*. In initial position *jñ* becomes *ñ*: *ñatti* 'request' Vin. I. 56¹⁴ etc. = *jñapti* For *āṇā* 'order' see § 63.2. The assimilation observed in the form *rummavati* = *rukma-vatī*² quoted by E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 46 from Vuttod. would also be progressive.—2. In the combination of mutes with liquids: *takka* 'whey' JāCo. II. 363¹⁰ = *takra*; *udda* 'otter' Vin. I. 186²¹, JāCo. III. 51²⁶ = *udra*; *sobbha* (§ 25.2) = *śvabhra*; *sukka* 'white' = *śukla*. In initial position there appears in these cases only a single mute: *kaya-vikkaya* 'purchase and sale' D. I. 5¹⁰ = *krayavikraya*; *tāna* 'protection' Dh. 288, M. III. 165⁵ = *trāṇa*; *bhātar* 'brother' = *bhrātar*. Sometimes the combination mute + *r* remains unchanged: *nigrodha* (§ 21) = *nyagrodha*; *tatra* 'there' Thl. 81, Vin. I. 10³², D. I. 76²³ (beside *tattha* Thl. 183) = *tatra*; *citra* 'multicoloured' Jā. VI. 497¹⁶, D. I. 7²² (beside *citta* Dh. 151) = *citra*; *bhadra* 'happy' S. I. 117²⁴ etc. (beside *bhadda* D. II. 95¹⁷ etc.) = *bhadra*; *udriyati* 'is split' S. I. 113¹⁵, D. I. 96¹⁷ (*udraya* 'fruit, reward' S. II. 29¹², A. I. 97³¹) from **uddriyate* for *uddiriyate*³. The Part. Pres. *atriccham* Jā. I. 414⁶, III. 207¹⁵ is explained in the commentary by *atra atra icchanto* 'desiring this and that'; cf. *atriccha* 'desirous' JāCo. III. 206¹⁶, *atricchatā* 'covetousness' JāCo. III. 222⁶. In *atrajā* 'son, daughter' Jā. III. 181⁴, Dpvs. 18.29 through **attajā* = *ātma-jā* the *tr* originated through folk-etymology.—3. In the combination of mutes with semi-vowels (dental + *y* will be discussed in § 55): *sakka* 'capable' = *śakya*; *vuccati* 'is said' = *ucyate*; *kuḍḍa* 'wall' D. I. 78³, S. III. 238²⁵ = *kuḍya*; *pajjalati* 'burns' D. II. 163²⁰ etc. = *prajvalati*; *labbha* 'attainable' Jā. III. 204²⁷, M. II. 220¹³ = *labhya*; *cattāro* 'four' = *catvāras*; *addhan* 'way' = *adhvan*; *saddala* 'grassy' Thl. 211, Jā. VI. 518²¹ = *śādvala*. In initial position there appears only the single mute: *kaṭṭhita* (§ 42. 2) = *kvathita*; *dija* (poetic term) 'bird'

¹ In Pkr. *jñ* mostly becomes *ṇṇ*; Pischel, § 276.

² Another *rumma* is to be found in *rummavāsi* 'irregularly dressed' Jā. IV 380¹, 384³; cf. also *rummā* Jā. IV. 322¹ (Com. = *onañjītāmaṇḍita*)

³ The verb *udrabhati*, *-bheti* 'tears off' M. I. 306^{13, 15} (in 307² we have *udraheyyum*) is derived from a root **drabh* or **drah* (Skr. *darh*) with *ud*

D. II. 258²² (verse), Jā. II. 205¹⁵ = *dviṣa*; *dhanita* 'resounding' DCo I. 177¹, Milp. 344², JPTS. 1887, p. 26¹⁸ = *dhvanita*¹. But we find initially *b* for *dv* in *bārasa* 'twelve', *bāvisati*, *battiṃsa* (§ 116. 2). Sometimes the combination mute + semi-vowel remains unchanged: *vākya* (poetic term) 'word, speech' D. II. 166⁵ (verse) etc. = *vākya*²; *ārogya* 'good health' Jā. I. 366²⁴, D. I. 11⁹, JāCo I. 367³ = *ārogya*; *kvaṃ* 'where, how', *kvaci* 'somewhere' = *kva*, *kvacit*; the gerundial suffixes *-tvā*, *tvāna*; *dve* 'two' (beside *duve*), *dvidhā* D. II. 341³, *dvedhā* Vin. I. 97⁵ etc. = *dve*, *dvidhā*, *dvedhā* etc. In compositional combination *ḍv*, *dv* become *bb*³ through *vv* due to progressive assimilation (cf. § 55, 57): *ubbigga* (see § 53. 1) = *udvigna*; *ubbilla* (§ 15. 1) from root *vell* with *ud*; *ubbāsiyati* 'becomes depopulated' Mhvs. 6. 22 (Pass. of the Caus. of root *vas* with *ud*); *ubbaṭṭeti* 'anooints' Thūpavs. 39¹¹ = *udvartayati*; *ubbinaya* 'against the Vinaya' Vin. II. 306²⁰ = **udvinaya*; *ubbejitar* 'one who causes excitement' Pu. 47¹⁷ from root *vij* with *ud*; *tabbamaṣika* 'descended from this family' Mhvs. 37. 89 (= Cūlav. 37. 39 ed. Colombo) from *tadvamaṣ-*. Similarly *chabbappa* 'six-coloured' Mhvs. 17. 48 = *ṣaḍvarṇa*; *chabbisati* 'twenty-six' = *ṣaḍviṃśati*.

§ 54. Regressive assimilation takes place 4. in the combination of sibilant with liquids or semi-vowels: *missa* 'mixed' Th1. 143, JāCo. III. 95⁴ = *miśra*; *avassaṃ* 'necessary' DhCo. III. 170²³ = *avaśyam*; *vayassa* 'friend' JāCo. II. 31⁹ = *vayasya*; *assa* 'horse' = *aśva*; *palissajati* (§ 44) = *pariṣvajate*⁴. In initial position there is only *s*: *sota* 'stream' = *srotas*; *semha* (§ 5) = *śleṣman*; *sandana* 'chariot' Jā. VI. 511³ = *syandana*; *seta* 'white' = *śveta*. Initially *sv* is retained in *sve* 'to-morrow' (beside *suve*) = *śvas*, *svātanāya* 'for next day'⁵ and in forms like *svākkhāta* 'well-proclaimed' from *su-ākhyāta*, *svāgata* 'welcome' Vv. 63. 4, D. I. 179¹⁶ (variant reading *sāg*) = *svāgata*.—The combination *sy* becomes *h* in future forms like *ehisi* 'you will go,' *ehiti* (beside *essasi*, *essati*) = *eṣyasi*, *eṣyati*. Similarly *kāhāmi* 'I shall do,' *kāhasi*, *kāhati* through **kassāmi*, **kāśāmi* from **karṣyāmi*. See § 153. 1. — 5. In the

¹ Minayeff, PGr. p. 49, § 3; Morris, Transactions Congr. of Or., London 1892, I. 482 f.

² For the proper name *Sākya* cf. p. 64, f-n. 2.

³ In Pkr. too *dv* becomes *vv* in combinations with *ud*; Fischel § 298 (towards the end).

⁴ The verbs *osakkati*, *paccosakkanti* (§ 28.2) are to be explained through **ossakkati*.

⁵ Johansson, Monde Oriental 1907/8, p. 106 f.

combination of nasal or liquid *l* with semi-vowels (*n*, *ṇ* + *y* will be discussed in § 55): *sammannati* 'agrees' Vin. I. 106⁴, II. 295¹¹ from root *man* (*manve*, not = *manye*) + *sa*m; *samannesati* 'seeks' D. I. 105²⁵, S. I. 194²⁸ from root *iṣ* with *sa*m-*anu* and similar compounds with *anu*; *kiṇṇa* 'ferment' Abhp. 538 = *kiṇva*; *ramma* 'graceful' Th1. 63, Dpvs. I. 69 = *ramya*; *kalla* 'ready, possible' Vin. I. 16¹, D. I. 157²⁶, S. IV. 25² = *kalya*; *billa* (a kind of fruit) A. V. 170²⁶ = *bilva*; *bella* (the same fruit) Jā. III. 77²⁴ (besides *beluva* M. II. 6³⁵) = *balva*; *khallāṭa* 'bald' (in *Khallāṭanāga* Mhvs 33. 29) = *khalvāṭa*; *pallaṅka* 'seat with cross-legs' = *paryaṅka* presupposes a **palyaṅka* just as *pallattha* = *paryasta* presupposes a **palyattha*. The combination *nv* is retained in *anvadeva* 'afterwards' D. II. 172²⁵, M. III. 172²⁹; *anveti* 'follows' = *anveti*; *anvaya* 'progeny' D. II. 261⁹ (verse), M. I. 69⁵ (besides *durannaya* 'difficult to follow' Dh. 92, Jā. II. 86²) = *anvaya*, etc. Similarly *my* in **kamya*, **kamyatā* 'wishing something, desire for something' Vin. IV. 12²⁴, Th1. 1241 = **kāmya*, **kāmyatā*; *ly* in *malya* 'flower' Vv. 1. 1, 2. 1 = *mālyā*, etc. In flexion we have, e.g., *pipphalyā* (Gāthā-language 1) Vv. 43. 6 (I. Sg. of) *pipphali* 'pepper'.—6. In the combinations *vy*, *vr* which become *bb* (through *vv*): *paribbaya* 'expenditure' JāCo. I. 433¹⁸ = *parivyaya*; *udayabbaya* (in composition) 'origin and decay' Th1. 10, 23 = *udaya* + *vyaya*; *tibba* 'sharp' Dh. 349, S. I. 110¹⁸ = *tivra*; *patibbatā* (in composition) 'devoted to the husband' Jā. VI. 533⁷ = *pativratā*. Initially we have *v* in: *vapayanti* 'they go away, disappear' Vin. I. 2⁵ from root *i* with *vi-apa*; *vāḷa* 'beast of prey, snake' Jā. VI. 497¹³, JāCo. I. 99¹⁴ = *vyāḷa*; *vata* 'religious observance' Vv. 84. 24, S. I. 201²⁸, JāCo. III. 75¹ = *vrata*¹; *vo-* (§ 26.2) = *vyava*. Also in composition as in *udayavaya* A. II. 45²⁶ besides *udayabbaya* (see above). Frequently however *vy* is retained as in: *vyāseka* 'mixing up' DCo. I. 188²⁴, *vyāsiṅcati* 'is mixed' S. IV. 78⁷ from root *si*c with *vi-ā*; *vyeti* 'bifurcates' Th1. 170 (*vyagā*) = *vyeti*; *vyāvaṭa* (§ 38.5) = *vyāpṭa*, etc. In manuscripts from hinter-India *by-* is written for *vy-* in these cases. Medially we have *vy* in *paṭhavayā* Dpvs. 5. 2 (Loc. Sg.) besides *paṭhaviyaṃ*, and in the composition *udayavyaya* D. II. 35¹⁵ (var. reading -*bb*).

§ 55. Palatalisation takes place in the combination of dentals

¹ The word *sorata* 'kind' and its abstract noun *soracca* should not be derived from *suorata* which has become *subbata* in Pāli, but from *saurata*, *sauratya* (the opposite view is expressed in JPTS. 1909, p. 233).

(including *n*) with *y*, as well as in the combination of *n* with *y* (cf. § 51.4): *sacca* 'true' = *satya*; *racchā* 'street' JāCo. I. 425¹² (besides *rathiyā* D. I. 83⁶) = *rathyā*; *chijjati* 'is split' = *chidyate*; *ducjja* 'falsity, uncertainty' A. III. 403¹⁰ = *dvaidhya*; *añña* 'another' = *anya*¹. In flexion: *jaccā* Jā. III. 395⁶, Sn. 136 (besides *jātiyā*) Ins. Sg. from *jāti* 'birth'; *najjā* Vin. I. 1⁶ (besides *nadiyā*) G. Sg. from *nadi*. Initially: *cajati* 'leaves' = *tyajati*; *joṭati* 'lightens up' JāCo. I. 53⁴ = *dyotate*; *ñāya* 'method' D. II. 21² etc. = *nyāya*. Examples of *ññ* from *ny*: *kammañña* 'ready for use' A. I. 9³¹, Vin. I. 182³¹ (besides *kammaṇiya* D.I. 76¹⁴, Vin. III. 4¹⁹) = *karmaṇya*; *piñṇāka* 'oil-cake' D. I. 166²², Pu. 55²⁵ = *piṇyāka*, etc. The rule seems to apply also in the case of the combination cerebral + *y*: *vekurañjā* < **vaiḥkuraṇḍya* from *vikuraṇḍa* 'without testicle' (Skr. *kuraṇḍa*). But *aḍḍha* 'rich' = *āḍhya*. When *ud* precedes a word beginning with *y*, the combination *dy* becomes *yy* through progressive assimilation (cf. § 53.3, 57): *uyyāna* 'garden' = *udyāna*; *uyyutta* 'alert' DhCo. III. 451¹⁵ = *udyukta*, etc.²

§ 56. The Skr. sound-group *kṣ* requires special treatment. 1. Where Skr. *kṣ* = Indo-Iranian *kṣ* or *śṣ*, it is represented as in Prakrit by *kkh* or *cch*. Pischel's hypothesis, according to which Pkr. *kkh* should be derived from Indo-Iranian *kṣ* = Avestan *χš*, and Pkr. *cch* from Indo-Iranian *śṣ* = Avestan *š*, although both have coincided in *kṣ* in Skr.,³ can be as little proved from the actual state of things in Pāli as from that in Pkr. Rather it seems that *kkh* and *cch* appear quite promiscuously, sometimes in accordance with, but as often in opposition to, the indication of the Avestan language. Sometimes even Pāli and Pkr. do not agree with each other, and not infrequently both forms are found side by side also in P. as in Pkr. (a) We have *kkh* in P. *dakkhiṇa* (similarly Pkr. but Avestan *daśina*) = *dakṣiṇa*; *makkhikā* 'fly' (Av. *maxši*, but Pkr. *macchiā*⁴) = *makṣikā*. Initially: */khuḍā* (§ 49.2 b) = *kṣudhā* (Av. *šuda*. Pkr. *khuhā* and *chuhā*) etc. (b) We find *cch* in *kaccha* (similarly in Māh., *kakkha* in AMāg. JMāh.: Av. *kaśa*) 'axis, arm' Sn. 449, Vin. I. 15¹⁰, JāCo. II. 88¹⁸ = *kakṣa*; *taḥcchati* (Pkr.

¹ In Pkr. *ny* becomes *ṇy*, which is also written as *ṇm* in the Jaina works; Pischel, § 282.

² In Pkr. we get *jj*, which however (in analogy with *vv* from *dv*) is not directly derived from *dy*, but from *yy*.

³ Pischel, GGA. 1881, p. 1322; PkrGr. § 316 ff.

⁴ Only Ś. *nimmakkhiā* = *nirmakṣika*.

takkhāi and *tacchāi*, Av. *tašan*) 'to shape' D. II. 341¹, JāCo. I. 247¹³ = *takṣati*, etc. Initially: *chārikā* 'ash' Ud. 93², D. II. 164⁶ = *kṣārikā*, etc. (c) Sometimes in P. *kkh* and *cch* alternate in one and the same word: *acchi* 'eye' Abhp. 149 besides the usual *akkhi* = *akṣi* (Pkr. *akkhi* and *acchi*, Av. *aṣi*); *ucchu* (§ 16.1a) = *iṣu* (Pkr. *ucchu*, in AMāg. also *ukku*) besides *Okkāka* (p. 66, f.-n. 1) through **Ukk-*, **Ukkh-* = *Ikṣvāku*; *accha* (§ 12.1) besides *ikka* (for **ikkha*, § 12.2 and § 62.2) = *ṛkṣa* (AMāg. *accha* and *riccha*, Av. *arēṣa*). A differentiation in meaning has come about in *chaṇa* 'festival' JāCo. I. 423⁹ and *khaṇa* 'moment' Th1. 231, Vin. I. 12¹² = *kṣaṇa*, as well as in *chamā* 'earth' Sn. 401, M. III. 164²⁵ and *khamā* 'forgiveness' Abhp. 161 (also *khamā* 'earth' Abhp. 994) = *kṣamā*.¹—2. Where Skr. *kṣ* corresponds to the Indo-Iranian sonant-group *ǰz* = Av. *γž*, there appears in P. *ggh*, *jjh* and in Pkr. *jjh*.² Cf. *paggharati* 'drips' Th1. 394 etc. = *prakṣarati*. Similarly *uggharati* Th1. 394, D. II. 347¹⁸. Initially we have *jh*: *jhāma* (so also in AMāg.) 'burnt' S. IV. 193¹⁷, JāCo. I. 288¹⁴, DhCo. I. 118²⁴ = *kṣāma*; *jhāyati* (AMāg. *jhiyāi*) 'burns (intrans.)' Ud. 93³, A. I. 137¹², JāCo. I. 485⁶ = *kṣāyati*; *jhāpeti* 'burns (transitive)' D. II. 159²⁶, JāCo. III. 164⁶ etc. = *kṣāpayati*.

§ 57. Finally the Skr. sound-groups *ts* and *ps* have to be mentioned. Both become *cch* in P.: *kucchita* 'despicable' VvCo. 215¹ = *kutsita*; *maccharin* 'jealous' Dh. 262, Vv. 52.26, JāCo. I. 345¹⁸ = *matsarin*; *vacchātara* 'ox' D. I. 127¹², A. IV. 41¹¹ = *vatsātara*; *accharā* 'nymph' = *apsaras*; *ḷigucchā*, *ḷigucchati* (§ 18.2) = *jugupsā*, *jugupsate*. Skr. *icchati* and *ipsate* have coincided in *icchati* 'wishes'. Through dialectical influence *ts* appears as *th* initially in *tharu* (also AMāg. *tharu*, besides *charu*) 'handle, sword' A. III. 152³², JāCo. III. 221¹ = *tsaru*. The representation of *ps* by *ch* in initial position is quite regular: *chāta* 'hungry' Jā. III. 199², JāCo. I. 345²⁹ = *psāta*.—In composition, when *t* (*d*) at the end of a word combines with an initial Skr. *ś* or *s*, the resulting sound-groups **tś* (= Skr. *cch*), *ts* become *ss* through progressive assimilation. In rare cases there appears *cch*³, mostly in combinations with *ud*. Cf. § 53.3, 55. Examples for *ts*: *ussada* 'friction' DhCo. I. 28¹⁵ (verse), JāCo. IV. 188¹³ from Skr. *utsādana*

¹ *khudda* 'small' Th1. 43, Vin. II. 287²¹ and *chuddha* 'despised' Dh. 41 are of course not both = *kudra* as Childers explains them in his dictionary; the latter rather = *kṣudha*. We have moreover P. *Khubbhati* Jā. VI. 489¹³ and *khobhati* JāCo. I. 501³¹.

² Pischel, § 326.

³ So also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 327a.

(*ucchādāna*); *ussanna* 'increased' Vin. I. 71³⁴, DhCo. III. 425¹⁰=*utsanna*; *ussava* 'festival' JāCo. III. 87³=*utsava*; *ussahati* 'exerts' D. I. 135²⁰, JāCo. II. 19²⁵, *ussāha* Vin. I. 58¹⁹, *ussoḷhi* Dhs. 13, 22, Vbh. 217⁷=*utsahate*, *utsāha*, **utsoḍhi*; *ussiñcati* 'exhausts' JāCo. I. 450¹¹=*utsiñcati*; *ussuka* 'eager' Dh. 199, *ussukha* (§ 15.4)=*utsuka*, *autsukya*; *ussūra* 'evening' DhCo. III. 305¹²=*utsūra*. Also *tassāruppa* 'corresponding to that' M. III. 163¹⁹ from *tat*+*sār*-. Examples for **tś* (Skr. *cch*): *ussaṅkin* 'coward' Vin. II. 190²³ from root *śaṅk* with *ud*; *ussisaka* (JMāh. *ūsisaa*) 'head-end (of bed)' JāCo. II. 410²⁰, Mhvs. 30.77=*ucchīrsaka* (*ud*+*śīrṣa*); *ussussati* 'dries up' S. I. 126²=*ucchuṣyati* (*śuṣ* with *ud*). On the other hand we find in P. *cch* for *ts* in *ucchaṅga* 'lap' Jā. I. 308⁵, JāCo. II. 412⁸=*utsaṅga*; *ucchādāna* 'annihilation' D. I. 76¹⁸, S. IV. 83²⁷, 'friction' (besides *ussada*, see above) D. I. 7¹⁹, DCo. I. 88¹²=*utsādāna*; *cch* appears also for **tś* in *ucchiṭṭha* 'leaving' Jā. VI. 508⁷, DhCo. III. 208²=*ucchiṣṭa* (*śiṣ* with *ud*).

Combination of more than two Consonants

§ 58. Under the influence of the general laws of assimilation, groups of more than two consonants are reduced to combinations of two.—1. Where a nasal preceding a mute stands at the beginning of a group, it remains according to § 48, and the following consonants are assimilated and simplified: *ānañca* 'infinity' is derived from *ānantya* through **ānañcca* (§ 55); *randha* 'hole' Jā. III. 192²⁹, A. IV. 25¹⁵, DhCo. III. 376¹⁰ is derived from *randhra* through **randdha*; *kaṅkhā* 'doubt' from *kāṅkṣā* through **kaṅkkhā*.—2. When a heavy consonant (mute or sibilant) stands between light consonants (nasal, liquid, semi-vowel), at first the first light consonant is assimilated to the heavy one: *macca* 'man' is derived from *martya* through **mattya*, **matya*; *paṇhi(kā)* 'heel' D. II. 17¹⁹, JāCo. I. 491¹⁰ from *pārṣṇi* through **paṣṣṇi*, **paṣṇi*; *akamha* 'we did' (§ 159. III) is likewise derived from *akārṣma*. Svarabhakti is in evidence in *vaṭuma* 'path' D. II. 8⁵, S. IV. 52²¹ through **vaṭṭma*, **vaṭma*=*vaṭman*, as well as in *pāṣani*(?) 'heel' Abhp. 277 besides *panhi*.—3. In the same manner, assimilation and simplification of the first two consonants take place at first in those cases where a light consonant stands at the end of the group, and two heavy consonants or one light and one heavy consonant stand at the beginning of the group: *oṭṭha* (§ 10) through **uṭṭhra*, **uṭhra*=*uṣṭra*; *tikkha* 'sharp' Jā. III. 151⁵, S. I. 191¹⁰, Vin. I. 6²² through **tikkhna*, **tikhna*=*tikṣṇa*; *dāṭhā* 'tooth' besides *daṭṭhā* Milp.

150⁴ = *damṣṭrā*. Svarabhakti however may take place, though mostly in the last stage: *tikkhina* 'sharp' JāCo. II. 18²¹ etc besides *tikkha*; *pakhuma* 'eyelid' D. II. 18²⁸, Th2Co 255¹⁴ = *pakṣman*; *sukhuma* 'tender' = *sūkṣma*¹. Taking into consideration also § 57, we are then in a position to understand also *ussāpeti* 'raises' Vin. III. 203¹ = *ucchrāpayati* (root *śri* with *ud*), (*sam*)*ussita* = (*sam*)*ucchrīta*, (*sam*)*ussaya* = (*sam*)*ucchraya*. The *v* is retained in Gerunds like *mutvā* = *muktuvā*, *patvā* = *āptvā* with *pra*, *vatvā* = *uktvā*. Similarly *y* is retained in forms like *ratyā* 'at night' = *rātryām*, *ratyo* 'the nights' = *rātryas*,² as well as in *agyantarāya* 'hindrance through fire' Vin. I. 112²⁷, *agyāgāra* D. I. 101²², Vin. I. 24²¹ from *aggi* + *antarāya* (*āgāra*) — 4. Groups consisting only of heavy consonants are found in composition in forms like *uggharati* (§ 56.2) from root *kṣar* with *ud* and *nicchubhati* 'thrusts out' Bu. 11.15, Jā. III. 512¹¹, Milp. 130¹⁹ from root *kṣubh* with *ni*³. In these cases *kṣ* at the beginning of the root at first became *gh* and *ch* respectively, to which then the final consonant of the preposition was assimilated.

§ 59. Some details: 1. The sound-groups *kṣṇ*, *kṣm*, *tsn* may be treated as *ṣṇ*, *ṣm*, *sn*,⁴ and thus according to § 50. 3-5 they may be changed into *ṇh*, *mh*, *nh*: *saṇha* 'tender' = *ślakṣṇa*; *tiṇha* 'sharp' D. I. 56³², JāCo. III. 89¹⁴ (besides *tikkha*, *tikkhina*) = *tikṣṇa*; *abhiṇham* 'repeatedly' Jā. I. 190⁵, A. V. 87²⁹. Pu. 48⁹, JāCo. II. 39¹¹ (besides *abhikkhaṇam*) = *abhikṣṇam*; *abhiṇhaso* 'continuously' Th1. 27 = *abhikṣṇasas*; *pamha* 'eyelid' Th2. 388, VvCo. 162²⁷ (besides *pakhuma*) = *pakṣman*; *junhā* (with cerebralisation, for **junhā*, as also Pkr. *jonhā*) 'moonlight' = *jyotsnā* (cf. § 15.8). — 2. *ts* is treated as in composition in *dosina* 'clear' D. I. 47¹⁰, JāCo. I. 509⁶ (besides *junha*) through **dossna*, **dosna* = *jyantsna* (cf. § 63.8) and in *kasina* 'whole' Abhp. 702 = *kṛtsna*. In the same manner is treated *cch* in *kasira* 'difficult' (besides *kiccha*; cf. *kicchena kasirena* 'with much labour' Vin. I. 195⁶, JāCo. I. 338²⁹ etc.) = *kṛcchra*. — 3. Besides *uddham* 'upwards' = *ūrdhvam* there is also *ubbham*⁵ Th1. 163. Here *dhv* is treated like *dv* in composition

¹ In *hammiya* 'but' Vin. I. 58²⁰, II. 146²⁹ = *harmiya*, as well as in *abhikkhaṇam* 'repeatedly' M. I. 129³⁵ = *abhikṣṇam* the Svarabhakti has taken place in the first stage.

² The sentences *ratyā rucati canḷo*, *ratyo amoghā gacchanti* are quoted by Subhāṭi, Nāro. p. 38.

³ Wrongly E. Müller, PGR. p. 9.

⁴ Also in Pkr.: Pischel, § 312.

⁵ Also in Pkr. *abbha* besides *uddha*; Pischel, § 300.

(§53. 3).—4. From Skt. *dṛṣṭvā* Gerund of root *dṛś* 'to see' we get Pāli *disvā*, as also AMāg. *dissā*.¹

15. Sporadical

Phonological Aberrations in Sound-groups

§ 60. One of these sporadical phonological phenomena in sound-groups is the representation of a sonant aspirate (cf. § 37) by *h* in the group *bhy*, which became *yh* through metathesis in *tuyhaṃ*=*tubhyam*. But it is perhaps formed in analogy with *mayhaṃ*=*māhyam*. The group *dhv* became *vh* in the ending of the 2. Pl. Pres. Med. *-vhe*=*-dhve*. Moreover in some words *h* alternates with an aspirated media after a nasal. Thus in *-sumbhati* 'strikes' Jā. VI. 549⁴, VvCo. 212²², Pv. III. 1. 7, 8, Th2. 302 and *-sumhati* Jā. III. 185², JāCo. III. 435²¹; *vambheti* 'shames,' *vambhanā* D. I. 90²⁵, M. I. 523³⁶, A. V. 150⁷, Vin. IV. 6¹, DhCo. IV. 38¹¹ and *vamheti*, *vamhanā* JāCo. I. 454²⁰, 356³. The roots underlying these forms seem to have been *sumbh*, *vambh*.² Besides *rundhati* 'encloses' JāCo. I. 409²⁰, *saṃnirundhati* M. I. 115³² we have *-rumbhati* JāCo. I. 62³¹, II. 341¹⁰ and *-rumhati* JāCo. II. 6²⁷, VvCo. 217²⁷. There was thus clearly a root *rubh* (*rumbh*) beside *rudh* (*rundh*)³. Similarly we have further (*saṃ*)*ūhanti* 'removes' D. II. 254¹⁸, M. II. 193⁸, (*saṃ*)*ūhata* Th1. 223, which stand for **(saṃ)ūdanti*, **(saṃ)uddanti*, **(saṃ)uddhata* from root *han* with *saṃ-ud*.

§ 61. 1. Softening of tenues (cf. § 38) sometimes occurs after a nasal: *nighaṇḍu* 'vocabulary' D. I. 88⁵, A. III. 223¹⁰=*nighaṇṭu*; *ganḍha* 'book' Mhvs. 34. 66 besides *ganṭha* DhCo. I. 7¹⁸=*granṭha*; the interjection *handā*=*hanta*; *aḍḍhuḍḍha* 'three and a half' Vin. I. 34¹⁰ instead of **uṭṭha*. But *puñjati* 'rubs off' JāCo. I. 318⁸ for *puñchati* JāCo. I. 392¹¹ etc.=*proñchati* is perhaps merely a graphic error. The group *kkh* was softened in *sagghasi* 'you will be able to' instead of *sakkhasi* Sn. 834=*śakṣyasi*. On *leḍḍu* see § 62. 2.—2. Hardening of media (cf. § 39) is found in *bhikkhāra* 'jug' (however with the frequent variant reading with *g*) D. II. 172²¹, Dpvs. 11. 32=*bhṛṅgāra*; *tippa* 'sharp' Jā. VI. 507⁷, M. I. 10²⁹, Milp.

¹ Pischel, § 334.

² Not so R. O. Franke, WZKM. 8. 331.

³ Cf. Māh., AMāg. *rumbhaṭ* and *rundhaṭ*; Fausbøll, Ten Jātakas, p. 93; E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 42; Pischel, PkrGr. § 507.

148¹⁶ besides *tibba* Dh. 349, S. I. 110¹⁸ = *tivra*¹; *vilāka* 'slim' Jā. IV. 19²⁰, V. 215¹⁹ through **vilakka*, **vilagga* = *vilagna*.

§ 62. Unetymological aspiration or de-aspiration of sound-groups (cf. § 40) is not rare.—1. Unetymological aspiration: *siṅghāṭaka* (also AMāg. *siṅghāḍaka*) 'road-crossing' = *śiṅghāṭaka*; *Khandhapura* (name of a city) Sāsvs. 81²⁵ from *Skanda* (name of the war-god); *pippala* 'Ficus Religiosa' Abhp. 909 = *pippala*; *pippali* 'pepper' Jā. III. 85²⁴, S. V. 79²², Vv. 43. 6 = *pippali*. Such aspiration is often caused by *r*: *acchi* 'light' S. IV. 290²⁷ besides *acci* = *arcs*; *koccha* (§ 10) = *kūrca*.² Sometimes the *r* occupies the second position in the original sound-group: *tattha* 'there' (besides *tatra*, § 53.2) = *tatra*; *sotthiya* 'Brahman' (besides *sottiya*) = *śrottriya*; *parippphoseti* 'sprinkles' M. III. 243¹⁷ from root *pruṣ* with *pari* (*parippphosaka* 'besprinkled all around' D. I. 74³, M. II. 15¹³ etc.). Initial aspiration: *khiḍḍā* 'play' (beside *kiḷā*) through **khiḍḍā* = *kriḍḍā*; *phāsu(ka)* 'comfortable,' if it is connected with Vedic *prāśu*.³—2. De-aspiration: *lōdda* (§ 44) = *lodhra*, *rodhra*; *babbu(ka)* 'cat' Jā. I. 480¹ = *babhru*; *bunda* 'root' Abhp. 549 (with concomitant metathesis) = *budhna* (cf. *bondi* 'body' Pv. IV. 3. 32); *muccati* 'coagulates' Dh. 71, DhCo. II. 67²² = *mūr-chati*.⁴ The form *milāca* 'forest-dweller' Jā. IV. 291³ is perhaps a variant of *milakkha* (§ 34) and derived from **milacca*, **milaccha*. The form *ludda(ka)* 'hunter' DhCo. III. 31⁷, Mhvs. 28. 41 = *lubḍha(ka)* is perhaps due to contamination with *ludda* 'cruel' (§ 15.4, 44). On *aṭṭa* = *artha* see § 64.1. Not infrequently the expected aspiration (according to § 51.1) does not take place in groups containing a sibilant. Thus, when the sibilant is first in the group: *saccessati* 'will interrupt (?)' A. IV. 343²⁵ from root *saśc*; *kukku* (§ 16.1 a) = *kiṣku*; *catukka* 'a collection of four, crossing of roads' Dāṭhāvs. 1. 58, JāCo. III. 44⁶, Milp. 1¹⁸ = *catuṣka*; *nippesika* 'cheat' (?) D. I. 8³⁰ = *naiṣpeṣika*; *bappa* 'tear' Abhp. 260 = *bāppa*⁵; *maṭṭa* 'polished' D. II. 133⁶ (besides *maṭṭha* Vv. 84. 17, DhCo. I. 25⁹) = *mṛṣṭa*; (*abhi*)*vaṭṭa* 'he who has

¹ On account of its meaning the form cannot be derived from *tipra*.

² Similarly to be considered perhaps also *dubbhātā* 'injures' Thl. 1129, S. I. 225¹⁰ etc. (*dubbhān* 'malignant' Jā. III. 73²³, *mittadubbhika* 'treacherous' Mhvs. 4. 1.), which I am inclined to connect not with *druh*—from it is derived *mittaddu* S. I. 225²¹ (verse), Mhvs. 4. 8—but with *duro dūrvati* (*dhuro*).

³ Not so Pischel, PkrGr. § 208.

⁴ Besides it *mucchati* 'sounds, plays (on the lyre)' JāCo. III. 188²³ = *mūrchayati*.

⁵ According to Mārkaṇḍeya in Pischel § 305 the form *bappa* in the sense of 'tears' is said to have been current also in Śaurasenī.

showered rain' JāCo. I. 487²⁸, Milp. 176¹ [(besides *vaṭṭha*, *vutṭha*) = *vr̥ṣṭa*; (*pace*)*osakkati* (p. 97, f.-n. 4) from root *ṣvaṣk*; *takkara* 'thief' Abhp. 522 = *taskara*; *saṃtatta* 'horrified' = *saṃtrasta*; as well as *Indapatta* (name of a city) (beside *-pattha*) = *Indraprastha*.¹ In *leḍḍu* 'clod of earth' M.I. 128²², JāCo. III. 16¹⁸ through **leṭṭhu*, **leṭṭu* = *leṣṭu*² there is concomitant softening of the group (§ 61.1). In composition, the expected aspiration is missed in: *niccala* 'immovable' = *nīscala*; *duccarita* 'bad deed' = *duṣcarita*; *duttara* 'difficult to cross' = *dustara*; *namakkāra* 'obeisance' JāCo. II. 35¹ = *namaskāra*; also *majjhatta* 'impartial' JāCo. I. 300¹⁸, Mhvs. 21.14 = *madhyastha*. Missing aspiration in sound-groups with the sibilant in second position: *dhaṅka* 'crane' Thl. 151, Jā. II. 208¹⁸, 'crow' S. I. 207²⁹ (verse), VvCo. 384³⁰ through **dhaṅkha* = *dhvāṅkṣa*; *ikka* (§ 12.2) = *ṛkṣa*; *Okkāka* (p. 66, f.-n. 1) from *Ikṣvāku*; *Takkasilā* (name of a city) = *Takṣasilā*. Expected aspiration missing in initial position: *kuḍḍa*³ 'small' D. II. 146¹², 169⁹, Jā. V. 102²⁴ (cf. § 64.1) besides *khudda* = *kṣudra*; *culla*, *cūla* (also AMāg., JMāh. *culla*) 'small' (for *chulla*) = *kṣulla*, which in itself is very probably a popular form of the word *kṣudra*.

§ 63. Change of consonant-classes in sound-groups (cf. § 41): 1. Guttural appears for palatal perhaps in *bhisakka* 'physician' M.I. 429⁴, A. III. 238⁴, Milp. 247¹¹ as against *bhesajja* 'medicine'.—2. Cerebral appears for palatal in *āṇā* 'order' JāCo. I. 369²³ etc. (*āṇāpeti*, *āṇatta*, *āṇatti*) = *ājñā* (*ājñāpayati*⁴). But we have also *aññā* 'highest knowledge', *aññātar* 'one who knows well', *aññāya* Gerund from root *jñā* with *ā*. Similarly to be explained also *paṇṇarasa*, *paṇṇuvisa*, *paṇṇāsa* (§ 48.2).—3. Dental appears for palatal in *uttitṭha* (Skr. *ucchiṣṭa*) 'rest of a meal' Milp. 213 f. (*uttitṭhapatta* 'alms-bowl with grains of food attaching to it'⁵), a dialectical side-form of *ucchiṭṭha* (§ 57). For initial *jy* there is *d* instead of *j* in *dosina* (§ 59.2) = *jyautsna*.

§ 64. Cerebralisation of dental-groups is the most frequent case of the change of place of articulation (cf. § 42). 1. Under the influence of *r*: thus *rt*, *rd*, *rdh* become *ṭṭ*, *ḍḍ*, *ḍḍh*: *aṭṭa* 'pained' Thl. 1106,

¹ E. Müller, JPTS. 1888, p. 12.

² Also in Pkr. *leḍḍu* etc. beside *leṭṭhu*; Pischel, § 304.

³ The reading of the MSS. is however uncertain.

⁴ Similarly Pkr. *āṇā āṇāveti*.

⁵ VT. I. (=SBE. XIII), p. 152, f.-n. 1. Wrong interpretation in SBE. XXXV, p. 4.

Vin. I 121⁸, JāCo. I. 265⁷=*ārta*; *kevaṭṭa* 'fisherman' D. I. 45²⁰, JāCo III 171¹²=*kavarta*, *chaḍḍeti* 'throws away'=*chardayati*; *vaddhati* 'increases' (with numerous derivatives)=*vardhate*. Besides *vuddha*, *vaddha* 'grown up, old' Jā I. 177¹, D. I. 90⁴ there is *buddha*, *vuddha* (§ 46.1), beside *vuddhi* (§ 12.4) there is also *vaḍḍhi*. In *aṭṭa* 'law-suit' (de-aspiration according to § 62.2) as opposed to *attha* 'property etc.'¹=*artha* the change in sound has been accompanied by a change in meaning. Forms both with *tt* and *ṭṭ* are to be found side by side among the derivatives of the root *vart*: always *vaṭṭati* when it signifies 'it is proper', but *vattati* signifies 'becomes, originates etc.'; similarly *vaṭṭa* 'round, circle', but *vatta* 'duty, responsibility', both =*ṛtta*. The spelling with *ṭṭ* is preferred also in *vaṭṭati* in composition, when the sense of rolling is emphasised: *āvaṭṭati pavaṭṭati* 'rolls hither and thither' D. II. 140¹, JāCo. VI. 504¹⁵ etc. Hence also *āvaṭṭa* 'turn, whirl', *saṃvaṭṭa* 'overturning, annihilation', *vivaṭṭa* 'renewal (of an aeon)' D. I. 14²⁶, Vin. III. 4²⁵. The group *nt* became *ṇṭ* under the influence of an original *ṛ* in *vaṇṭa* 'stalk' Jā VI 537²², D. I. 46¹⁸, *tālavaṇṭa* 'fan' Vin. II. 137⁷, JāCo. I. 265²⁰=*ṛṇṭa*, *tālavaṇṭa*. Cf. also *alla* 'damp' D. II. 332²⁶, Vin I. 109³ etc. through **aḍḍra*, **aḍḍa*=*ārdra*.—2. Under the influence of a sibilant: *ṭhāti*, *ṭhahati* 'stands', *ṭhāna* 'place', *saṃṭhāna* 'figure', *paṭṭhāya* 'beginning from', *kūtatṭha* 'standing firm as a rock' etc. from root *sthā*, *sthāna*, *saṃsthāna*, *prasthāya*, *kūstasṭha* etc.—3. Irregular cerebralisation is found in *jaṇṇuka* 'knee' (perhaps this form should be corrected) JāCo. VI. 332¹⁶ besides *jaṇṇu(ka)*=*jāṇu*, as well as *kaviṭṭha* (§ 38.5) besides *kapiṭṭha*. Cf. also the cerebrals in *daḍḍha* (§ 42.8)=*dagdha*.

16. Metathesis in Sound-groups and Loss of Syllable through Haplology.

§ 65.1. Metathesis in sound-groups takes place in combinations of *h* with nasal or semi-vowel (§ 49, 60), and further in combinations of sibilant with nasal, which become nasal + *h* (§ 50). The sibilant is retained, in spite of the metathesis, in *raṃsi* 'ray'=*raśmi*. On the metathesis of *ry* into *yr* with concomitant insertion of *svr*abhakti see § 47.2; for *gumba*=*gulma* see § 51.5; for *bunda*=*budhna* see § 62.2. Unique is the case of *gadrabha* 'ass' D. II. 343¹⁴, JāCo. II. 96²⁴ as opposed to *gardabha*.

2. Haplology and the loss of syllable caused by it is in evidence in *aḍḍhatiya* (for **aḍḍhatatiya*) 'three and a half' JāCo. II. 98⁴ (also

¹ On the other hand the usual spelling is *aṭṭhakathā* 'commentary.'

adḍhateyya Vin. I. 39²⁴, DhCo. I. 95²⁵); *viññāṇa ācāyatana* (for *viññāṇ-āṇācāy-*) 'sphere of infinite knowledge' M. III. 106¹³ etc. As sporadic cases may be mentioned: *pavissāmi* (for *pavisissami*) 'I shall enter' Cp. I 9.56, JāCo. II. 68²⁰, *sossi* (for *sossasi*) 'you will hear' Jā. VI. 423², *vipassi* (for *vipassasi*) 'you apprehend' Th2. 271, *gacchisi* (for *gacchissasi*) 'you will go' Th1. 356. Cf. also *sakkhī* Jā. V 116² for **sakkhisi* (Co: *sakkhissasi*), *āsādum* Jā. V. 154¹⁹ (Co: *āsādītum*). It is however impossible to decide whether the optatives of *ī*-roots such as *jeyyam* from *ji*, *neyyam* from *nī* should be regarded as "haplogologies" for **jeyeyyam*, **neyeyyam*.

17. Sandhi

§ 66. Initial and Final. 1. In Pāli the initial may be only one vowel or (as a rule) only one consonant (§51.2). In a number of cases in Pāli the initial sound shows peculiar variations which should be regarded as due to petrified sandhi-forms. Thus an initial vowel has been lost in *va=iva* and *eva*, in *pi=api*, *ti=iti* (beside *iva*, *eva*, *api*, *iti*), *dāni* 'now' (beside *idāni*)=*idānim*¹, *hetthā* (§9)=**adheṣṭhāt*, and in the Pronominal stem *na=ena*². The following too are sandhi-forms originated in position after a vocalic final: *posatha* 'holiday' (beside *uposatha*)=*upavasatha*, *gini* 'fire' from **agini=agni*; *vaṭaṃsa(ka)* 'ear-ornament' Vv. 38.5, JāCo VI. 488²⁵ etc.=*avataṃsa*; *valaṇṇa* 'use', *valaṇṇeti* 'he uses' from root *lañj* with *ava*. The doublets *daka*, *udaka* 'water' occur also in Skr. Similarly we have in Skr. *ratni* and *aratni* 'one cubit'=Pāli *ratana*³. Also of Skr. *yūkā* and Pāli *ūkā* 'louse' JāCo. I. 453²⁶, DhCo. III. 342¹⁵, one or the other is probably a sandhi-form. Similarly, words containing a prothetic *y* before *i(e)* and *r* before *u(o)* should be regarded as frozen sandhi-forms: *yiṭṭha* 'sacrificed'= *iṣṭa*; *vutta* 'spoken'= *ukta*; *vutta* 'sown'= *upta*; *vutta* 'shorn'= *upta*; *viṣita* 'inhabited'= *uṣita*; *vūḷha* 'carried'= *ūdha* (cf. *saṃyūḷha* D. II. 267¹⁹, M. I. 386³³ besides *saṃvūḷha* DCo. I. 38⁸=*saṃūdha*); also *ubbūḷha* (*vant*) 'firm' M. I. 414³⁰=*udūḷha*. In some cases the form varies. Thus we have both *vuṭṭhahitvā* D. II. 156⁵ and *uṭṭhahitvā* M. III. 183³⁰ after vowel. There is *vuṭṭhita* beside *uṭṭhita*, *vuṭṭhānas* besides

¹ D. Andersen, PGI. under the word.

² Johansson, Le Monde Oriental 1907-08, p. 89 ff.

³ Johansson, ibid., p. 98, ff.

§ 68. EXTERNAL SANDHI of Pāli is fundamentally different from that of Skr¹. It is always arbitrary. Neither does it apply equally to all the words of a sentence, but only to those which are syntactically closely connected. Windisch rightly said that such a sandhi, as opposed to that of Sanskrit, certainly appears to be older and more natural. The cases in which sandhi can take place are the following combinations. 1. subject and the verb of the predicate, 2. verb and the object, 3. substantive and attribute, 4. attribute and attribute, 5. adverb and verb, 6. noun of the predicate and copula, 7. adverb and object, 8. vocative and the word preceding it; 9. particles and pronouns may join in sandhi with preceding or following words. In general, sandhi in Pāli is much more frequent in verses under the stress of metre than in prose.

§ 69. When two similar vowels meet. 1. $\tilde{a} + \tilde{a}$ become \tilde{a} by contraction when the second word begins with an open syllable: *dugga-tāhaṃ* Th2. 122 = *duygatā ahaṃ*. If the second word begins with a closed syllable, one \tilde{a} is simply elided²: *piyo c' assaṃ* M. I. 33³; *chāt' amha* = *chātā amha* JāCo. III. 416⁴. Frequently however also in this case contraction takes place, the length of the resulting vowel being retained against the general rule § 5 (cf. § 7): *gavāssa ca* = *gavā assā ca* Jā. III. 408²¹; *nāceti* = *na acceti* Jā. IV. 165²²; *tassākkhībhaṃ* = *tassa akkhi-* JāCo. III. 431⁴.—2. The result is similar in the case of $\tilde{i} + \tilde{i}$, $\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}$; yet here elision can take place also when the initial syllable is an open one, and that not only—as demanded by Jacobi's law³—when the prefinal syllable of the preceding word is long. We have thus contraction in numerous cases such as *gacchatiti* = *gacchati iti*, at the side of elision as in *yaṃ p'icchaṃ na labhati* 'what he longs to get, but cannot' M.I. 48³³; but elision may take place also when the initial syllable is open, e.g., *cattār' imāni* M.I. 66¹ and even *pañcas' upādānakkhandhesu* M.I. 61¹.

¹ E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 59 ff.; E. Müller, PGr. p. 59 ff.; Windisch, Über die Sandhi-konsonanten des Pāli, Ber. d. K. Sächs. Gesellsch. d. W. 1893, p. 228 ff.

² In the case of the most frequent sandhi-combinations handed down from the older period of the language (e.g., *n'attiki* = *nāsti*), it is possible that the contraction was followed by the shortening of the vowel according to the Law of Mora. But those cases of sandhi which took place only in the Pāli period, as well as the undoubted cases of elision such as *pañcas' upādānakkhandhesu*, and finally the analogy of the treatment of confronting dissimilar vowels (§ 70 f.), speak rather for elision.

³ Jacobi, Über eine neue Sandhiregel in Pāli und in Prākṛit der Jāinas, IF. 31.

§ 70. Confrontation of dissimilar vowels: 1. When *a* is followed by *i* or *u*: (a) there is contraction of *a+i* into *e* and *a+u* into *o*. This form of sandhi applies mainly to the language of the Gāthās. Example: *macchassevodaye* (§ 3). From later poetic literature: *ceme=ca ime* Mhvs. I. 10; *maṃ nopeti=na up-* Mhvs. 32. 13. We have even *mamedam=mama idam* JāCo. III. 446¹².—(b) The *ā* is elided: *satt' imāni ca suttāni* Iv. 22¹² (verse); *Bodhisattass' upaṭṭhāko* JāCo. III. 463²⁰, *manas' icchasi=manasā icchasi* Jā III. 493⁴. Elision may take place also when the penultimate syllable of the preceding word is short: *imūnā pan' upāyena* JāCo. III. 420¹. This form of sandhi (:elision) is met with in all periods of the language. The elision may take place also before *e*, *o*: *dhuttā mūlen' ekaṃ bhattachapātim āharāpesuṃ* JāCo. III. 287²².—(c) Finally, after the elision of *a*, the remaining vowel may be lengthened: *idh' upapanno* Iv. 99¹¹. Frequently however, when *iti* follows a word ending with *a*, the initial *i* is elided with concomitant lengthening of the preceding *a* *bhavi-ssāmā 'ti*¹ M.I. 42⁴.—2. Confrontation of *i*, *u* with dissimilar vowels: (a) *i* and *u* become *y*, *v*, particularly in the Gāthā language, but occasionally also in the later period of the language: *manussesu-etaṃ na vijjati* Sn 611; *na te dukkhā pamuty-atthi* (from *pamutti atthi*) Th2. 248. In *icc-eva (iti eva)* Jā III. 481²² the sandhi is accompanied by consonantal assimilation. In canonical and post-canonical prose: *app-ekacce* (<*api ek-*) Vin. I. 6²⁷ etc.; *Brahmā-yvāham* (from *Brahmāyu aham* with lengthening of the initial vowel) M. II. 144²⁶; *pātv-ākkāsi* JāCo. III. 405²⁵, DhCo. III. 411⁸.—(b) Any one of the two vowels may be elided (in every period of the language): *karom' ahaṃ* Th2. 114; *karissas' eko* Th2. 231; *paṇḍiteh' atthadassibhū* Th1. 4; *gacchant' eva* JāCo. IV. 149²³; *yaṃ hi 'ssa* M. I. 9²⁶; *saddahissat' eva* JāCo. III. 499²; *anabhijjhālu 'ham-asmī* M.I. 17²⁷. The remaining vowel may be lengthened: *labhiṃsū 'ti*¹ JāCo. III. 403²²; *ās' upasampadā (āsi upasampadā)* Th2. 109; *idān' āhaṃ* M.I. 13⁵.

§ 71. Confrontation of *e*, *o* and nasal vowels with vowels. 1. *e*, *o* before vowel. (a) The initial vowel is elided: *sutto 'smi* Jā. III. 404¹⁸; *tato 'gacchi* (from *āgacchi*) Th2. 129; *cattāro 'me puggalā* M. I. 24¹⁷.—(b) Sometimes *e*, *o* is elided with

¹ It is to be transliterated like this. Where on the other hand the long vowel is original, I would prefer to omit the elision-sign ' in view of the independent existence of *tī*, *pī*.

concomitant protraction of a following short in open syllable: *y' āham* (from *yo aham*) JāCo. III. 364²⁴; *y' āhu* (from *yo ahu*) Th1. 632; *y' assa* (from *ye assa*) M. I. 7²⁶; *sac' āham* (from *sace aham*) JāCo. III. 475²¹.—(c) In monosyllabic words such as *te*, *me*, *so*, *yo*, *kho* the vowels *e*, *o* are changed into the semi-vowels *y*, *v*, in which case a following short vowel is always protracted in an open syllable, but is optionally so in a closed one¹: *namo ty-atthu* Th2. 157; *ty-āham* (from *te aham*) M. I. 13¹; *ty-āssa* (from *te assa*) DhCo. I. 116²⁰; *sv-āyam* (from *so ayam*) Vin. I. 29²⁶; *yv-āssa* (from *yo assa*) M. I. 137¹⁷; *khv-āssa* (from *kho assa*) M. I. 68¹². Like these monosyllabic words is treated *ito* in *itv-eva* Th1. 869. —2. Nasal vowel before vowel. In such cases (a) all the phenomena of vowel sandhi may appear. Thus contraction: *nandeyyāham* (from *nandeyyam aham*) Jā. III. 495²⁰; *yesāham* (from *yessam aham*) M. I. 33¹⁷. Elision: *paripucch' aham* (from *-ccim aham*) Th2. 170; *catunn' etam* (from *-nnam etam*) S. IV. 174²³. Elision with compensatory lengthening: *tes' ūpasammāti* (from *tesam up-*) Jā. III. 488⁸. 'Transformation into semi-vowel after denasalisation is in evidence in *ky-āham* (from *kim aham*) Jā. III. 206²¹.—(b) The retrograde mutation of Anusvāra into *m* is very frequent: *bandhitum-icchati* Th2. 299; *atītam-addhānam* M. I. 8⁴; *saddam-akāsi* JāCo. III. 287²⁵; also *antalikkhasmim-ēḷiki* Jā. III. 481¹⁶. An original long vowel shortened before Anusvāra gets back its original quantity in this process: *āloko passatām-iva* (from *-tam+iva*) Sn. 763; *pappoti mām iva* (from *maṃ+iva*) Jā. III. 468⁴. It should be noted that in *n' etam ajjatanām-iva* Dh. 227 the lengthening is due merely to metrical exigencies.

§ 72. The hiatus due to confrontation of vowels in a sentence is often filled, 1. by restoring at the end of the first word a consonant which originally formed part of it. Thus, *e.g.*, by restoring *r*: *punar - ehisi* Th2. 166; *pātur - ahosi* Vin. I. 5²¹ etc. (as opposed to *pātu bhavati*). This restitution is in evidence also in flexional forms²: *raṃsir-iva* Vv. 52. 5; *pathavidhātūr-ev' esā* M. III. 240²⁹ (analogically also *vijjūr-iva* Vv. I. 1); *bhattur-atthe* (Skr. *bhartur-arthe*) Jā. II. 398¹⁵; *sabbhir-eva* (Skr. *sadbhir-eva*) Th1. 4. Restitution of *d* to avoid hiatus: *etad-avoca* (passim), *yad-idam* (passim), *yad-icchitam* Th2. 46; *ahud-eva bhayam* D.I. 49²⁵; *sakid-eva* 'once only' Pu. 16¹⁷.

¹ Cf. on it Michelson, IF. 28. 269.

² Cf. AMB. *sikhi-iva*, *sāguri-iva* etc.; Fischel, Phr. Gr. § 385.

Restitution of *g*: *pag-eva* (Skr. *prāg-eva*) JāCo. I. 354²⁰; *putthag-eva* (Skr. *prthag-eva*) from *puthu* Kacc I. 5. 1 (Senart, p. 221). Restitution of *m*: *tunhīm-āsine* D. II. 212²¹ (verse) from *tunhī* = Skr. *tūṣṇīm*. Finally, *ḷ* is restituted at the end of the numeral *cha* 'six': *chaḷ-ete* (Skr. *ṣaḍ-ete*) Jā. I. 366²⁷. After the analogy of *punar-ahosi* etc. also *haṃsar-iva* Jā. I. 403²¹; *bahud-eva* S. IV. 183²⁷ after *aññad-eva* M. I. 372¹⁸.—2. By prothesis of *y* before *i* (*e*) and of *v* before *u* (*o*). For frozen sandhi-forms cf this type see § 66. 1. In numerous cases a prothetic *y* precedes the pronominal stem *ima* 'na-*y*-*idaṃ* Th2. 166, DhCo. I. 201²; *cha-y-ime* M. I. 51¹⁶; also *na-y-ito* Jā. III. 466²⁸ etc. Further *ādicco-v-udayaṃ* 'the rising sun' Iv. 85⁴ (verse); *ubhaya-v-okipṇo* 'scattered in both directions'¹ D. III. 83⁶⁻⁸ beside *okirati* JāCo. I. 88²⁰; *kuti-v-uttari*, *pañca-v-uttari* S. I. 3¹⁵⁻¹⁷ (in Windisch).

§ 73. The phenomena described above have led—particularly in the Gāthā language—to the introduction of inorganic sandhi-consonants for the purpose of avoiding hiatus. Thus there is 1. *y* occasionally also before *a*: *khaṇi-y-asmani* Jā. III. 433¹¹; *yā-y-aññaṃ* Jā. I. 429²⁷.—2. Frequently *m* serves as a sandhi-consonant: *Sattukā-m-iva* Jā. III. 438¹⁶, *isi-m-avoca* Sn. 692, *saki-m-eva* Milp. 10¹². According to § 72.1 one would rather expect instead: *Sattukād-iva*, *isir-avoca*, *sakideva* (so A. IV. 380²⁰). Other examples are: *nīcakulā-m-iva* Sn. 411; *puno-m-ahaṃ* Th2. 292; *ekañ-ca jeyya-m-attānaṃ* 'one should conquer one's own self' Dh. 103 etc. With characteristic shortening: *hitva-m-aññaṃ* (from *hitvā aññaṃ*) Sn. 1071.—3. Further we find *r* as sandhi-consonant: *dhi-r-atthu* Th1. 1184, Jā. III. 29¹⁸, as against *dhig-atthu* (Skr. *dhig-astu*) according to § 72.1; *jalanta-r-iva* (from *jalantaṃ iva*) Jā. VI. 181⁶; *jīva-r-eva* (from *jīvaṃ eva*; Comm. *jīvanto yeva*) Jā. III. 46^{28 17}. According to § 71. 2 b these two forms should rather have been *jalantam-iva*, *jīvam-eva*. Very frequently there is *r* before *iva*, particularly after *ā*, *e*, *o*: *turiyā-r-iva* Th2. 381; *jana-majjhe-r-iva* Th2. 394; *thambo-r-iva* Sn. 214; *so-r-iva* *sumsumāro* Jā. II. 228²¹. Shortening is in evidence in *haṃsa-r-iva* Sn. 1134 (there is however the variant reading *haṃso-r-iva*); *suriyan-tapantaṃ sarada-r-iva* from *sarado* (Gen. Sg.) *iva* Sn. 687 etc.—4. Sometimes we find *d* as sandhi-consonant: *puna-d-eva* Vv. 53.22, JāCo. I. 96⁸; *samma-d-eva* D. II. 126¹¹ (against Skr. *samyag-eva*); *bahu-d-eva rattim* Th1. 366.—

¹ R. O. Franke, D. 275.

5. Occasionally *t* serves as sandhi-consonant: *ajja-t-agge* 'from to-day' M. I. 24⁹, D. I. 85¹⁴ etc. But it is uncertain whether *yasmātiha* (*tasmātiha*) is to be explained as *yasmā-t-iha*. I would rather divide it into *yasmā ti ha* like Windisch (p. 244)¹.—6. For the sandhi-consonant *n* Kacc. 1.4.6 (Senart, p. 218) gives the examples *ciran-n-āyati* 'since long' and *ito-n-āyati* 'from now on.'—7. It is uncertain whether *h* too should be regarded as a sandhi-consonant² in cases like *mā-h-evam avaca* S. I. 150⁷ or *na-h-eva* M. II. 223⁸ etc.; *Kokanadāhasmiṃ* S. I. 30¹ may be explained as *Kokanadā ahaṃ asmiṃ*.

§ 74. Confrontation of vowels and consonants (mixed sandhi):

1. Frequently an original initial consonant-group at the beginning of the second word reappears in sandhi. Often this is due to exigencies of metre, as in *sarati-bbayo* (from *vayo*=Skr. *vyaya*) Jā. III. 95¹⁸; but sometimes also without the stress of metre and in prose: *muni-ppakāsaya* Sn. 251; *tatra-ssu* (from *su*=Skr. *svid*) M. I. 77²⁸; *na-ppajahanti* M. I. 14¹⁵; *na-ppamajjasi* JāCo. III. 424⁴ etc.—2. The ending *o* is sometimes retained in its original form as before *s*: *tayas-su dhammā* Sn. 231; *lūkhas-sudaṃ homi* M. I. 77²⁵. In the same way we find *-us* in *Soṇena Suhanus-sahā* Jā. II. 31²⁴, *pitus-sutaṃ* Jā. III. 484³⁴, in which case however a different explanation is possible.—3. When a nasal vowel is confronted with a consonant, the Anusvāra before mutes and nasals is very frequently changed into the corresponding nasal: *karissañ-ca* Jā. III. 437²⁵; *bheriṇ carāpetvā* JāCo. III. 410¹¹; *āsabhaṇ-ṭhānaṃ* M. I. 69³²; *man-tāta* Th2. 274; *kahan-no mātā* JāCo. III. 427²⁰; *cittuppādam-pi* M. I. 48²⁶; *diṭṭham-me* JāCo. III. 449³⁶. The Anusvāra may be changed into *ñ* before *h*: *cittañ-hi* 'ssa padūsitaṃ Iv. 18⁶.

¹ Not so E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 62.

² As in composition; cf. *su-h-uṇa* § 67.

B. WORD-FORMATION

Note : The flexion of Pāli is throughout determined by the laws of analogy. The old historical forms have been more and more replaced by new formations in course of the development of the language. The relation between archaic and later forms in particular periods of the language has been discussed already in Introduction II.

I. Noun (Substantive and Adjective)

1. Generalities

§ 75. In Pāli the nominal stems have undergone multifarious changes. Due to the phonetic law entailing the elision of final consonants, the consonant stems become vowel ones and are inflected like the latter. Thus we get *sumedha* 'wise' Dh. 208, Vin. I. 5³⁵ (verse) from *sumedhas*; *āpā* 'misfortune' (Loc. Pl. *āpāsu* Jā. II. 317¹⁹) from *āpad*. In this way originated *sappi* 'butter' (Abl. Sg. *sappimhā* D. I. 201²⁸) from *sarpis*; *acci* or *accī* (the latter form in M. III. 273¹⁷) 'brightness' from *arcis*; *tādi* 'like this' from *tādṛś* etc.; similarly *vijju* 'lightning' (N. Pl. *vijjū* Mhvs. 12.13) from *viḍyut*; *maru* 'god' from *marut* etc.—Very often the transfer to vowel-flexion is effected by adding *ā* to the consonantal stem. The *a*-flexion has supplied most of the types of new formations.¹ Besides *sumedha* we have *sumedhasa* (N. Sg. *sumedhaso* Dh. 29; Fem. *sumedhasā* Mhvs. 22. 36). Similarly *āpadā* Th1. 371, JāCo. III. 12¹² beside *āpā* (in JāCo. II. 317²¹ *āpāsu* is explained by *āpadāsu*); *vijjutā* (Loc. Pl. *vijjutāsu* D. II. 131¹⁰) besides *vijju*. Analogous stems are *saradā* 'autumn' = *śarad*; *barihisā* 'sacrificial grass' D. I. 141²⁸ = *barhis*; *sarita* 'flowing stream' D. III. 196²⁶ = *sarit*, etc. In this way the consonantal flexion is reduced more and more, and at the side of older consonantal forms new forms according to the vowel flexion appear in the language and gradually come to be regarded as the only possible correct forms.

§ 76. Gender is distinguished on the whole according to the rules of Sanskrit. Syntactical irregularities however often show

that the sense for grammatical Gender had already become hazy. Thus the L. Sg. Masc. Neut. *asati* Ud. 81⁷⁻⁸ is connected both with the Feminine forms *passaddhiyā*, *ratiyā*, *āgatigatiyā* as well as with *calite*, *cutūpapāte*. In Dh. 104 there is *attā jītaṃ* instead of *jīto*¹; Th2. 518: *sakhiyo tīpi janiyo* 'we three women friends' instead of *tisso*; in Ud. 79²¹ the Subject *upāsikāyo* is connected with the Predicate *anipphalāni kalamkatāni* etc. Particularly the Neuters in *-as* are occasionally treated as Masculine²: *yattha me nirato mano* (instead of *niratam*) Jā. III. 91¹⁵; *tapo sukho* (instead of *sukham*) Dh. 194; *sukhumo rajo paṭivātāṃ va khitto* (instead of *sukhumam*, *khittam*) Sn. 662; *mahāvegena āgato nadisoto* 'the current of the stream coming with great force' DhCo. IV. 45¹⁷ etc. Also Neuters in *-a* are not seldom treated as Masculine and vice versa. We have, e.g., *je keci rūpā . . sabbe vat' ete* S.I. 67⁸; *sabbe te rūpā* M. III. 217³¹; *ime diṭṭhitthānā* D.I. 16³⁴ (cf. A. II. 42^{2,4}). In Jā. I. 289²⁹ there is *sabbe kaṭṭhamayā vanā*, although *vana* is Neuter, and in M.I. 67¹⁵ *cattāro upādānā* besides the regular *cattāri upādānāni*. Cf. below § 80. 4. On the other hand Masculines too show flexional forms of the Neuter. Thus we have *dharmāni* from Masc. *dharmā* Jā. V. 221²⁷; *vandati pādāni* Vv. 51. 1 has been explained in the commentary 218¹⁴ by *pāde*; *petāni puttāni* (Acc.) Th2. 312 stands for *pete putte* according to the commentary: "*lingavipallāsena*". Cf. also Ud. 17³³. There is *bhujāni poṭṭenti* Bu. 1. 36 beside *poṭṭayam bhujē* Rasav. II. 92⁴, and the Acc. *tālataṇṇe* immediately before the Nom. Pl. *tālataṇṇāni* Vin. I. 189^{10,21}. Accusatives like *puttāni* should be regarded as cases of Ardha-Māgadhism. Pischel § 358 There are also cases of confusion between Feminines in *ā* and Neuters in *-a*: Thus Pl. *sabhāni* Jā. IV. 223⁷ from Fem. *sabhā*, which has been explained by *sabhāyo* in the commentary. The stem *kucchi* 'womb' = *kukṣi*, which was originally Masc., has besides the forms *kucchismā*, *kucchimhā*, *kucchismiṃ*, *kucchimhi* also *kucchiyā*, *kucchiyaṃ* JāCo. I. 52⁸, 293¹⁸ like the Feminine stems of § 86. From *sālī* 'rice' = *sālī* Masc. we have the Acc. Pl. *sālīyo*. Also *dhātu* 'element', although originally Masc., knows forms like Nom. Acc. Pl. *dhātuyo* Dhs. 67, Th2. 14, Inst. Sg. *dhātuyā* D.II. 109¹, A. I. 28², IV. 313²¹, but Gen. Sg. *dhātussa* Mhvs. 20. 19. The usually Neuter stem *massu* 'beard' has in Gen. Sg. *massuyā* Jā. III. 315²² etc. Examples of

¹ Cf. SBE. XI. 1, p. 31.

² Similarly in Pkr., Pischel § 356.

confusion in Gender have been discussed in connection with the changes of word-stems in § 75.

§ 77. 1. Of the Numbers Pāli has given up the Dual¹. Its place has been taken by the Plural. Of Dual there have been preserved only *dve*, *duve* 'two' = *dve*, and *ubho* 'both' = *ubhau*. It is therefore usual to say *dve cakkhūni* 'the two eyes' JāCo. IV. 187¹⁶, *dve antā* Nom. Pl. and *ubho ante* Acc. Pl. 'the two extremes' Vin. I. 10^{10,11,15}. The same use of Plural, also in Dvandva-compounds: Acc. Pl. *ime candimasuriye* M.I. 69¹⁶ Gen. Pl. *candimasuriyānaṃ* D.I. 10¹⁴.—2. As for the Cases (cf. R. O. Franke, BB. 16.64 ff.), Pāli replaces the Dative in both Numbers by the Genitive². Only the *a*-stems have retained in Singular a Dative in *-āya*³. It serves to express direction and purpose. Thus, e.g., *saggāya gacchati* Dh. 174; *jahassu rūpaṃ apunabbhavāya* 'give up the body in order not to be born again' Sn. 1121. It is also used quite frequently as Infinitive, as in *na ca mayaṃ labhāma bhagavantaṃ dassanāya* 'we do not get permission to see the Blessed One' Vin. I. 258¹¹. This Dative is used particularly to express longing after something (cf. *icchā lābhāya* A. IV. 298²⁰) and exertion for something (*ghaṭati vāyamaṃ lābhāya*, ibid.). It is further used in the sense of 'it suffices to, it serves to' (*sallekhāya subharatāya viriyārambhāya saṃvattissati* M.I. 13³⁰), in connection with *hetu*, *paccaya* 'reason for' (*ko paccayo mahato bhūmicālassa pātubhāvāya* D. II. 107¹¹), and in connection with *alaṃ* 'enough' (*alaṃ vacanāya* A. III. 5²⁷) etc.—Frequently the Abl. Sg. is formed with the suffix *-to* = Skr. *-tas*. It can be also included in the paradigm as has been actually done by V. Henry. As examples let us mention: *gharato* 'from the house' JāCo. I. 290²⁶, *mukhato* Ud. 78¹⁰, *dūrato* 'from afar', *cāpāto* (with lengthening, from *cāpa* 'bow') Dh. 320 (see § 78-80); *Nālāto* Th2. 294, *cūlāto* JāCo. II. 410¹⁹, *nāvāto* DhCo. III. 39¹⁴, *jihvāto* S. IV. 178¹⁵ besides *jivatō* S. IV. 175¹ with shortening as also in *simato* JāCo. II. 3¹ (see § 81); *aggito* D. II. 88², *aṭṭhito* Jā. II. 409³, *dadhito* Milp. 41¹, *bhikkhuto* Th1. 1024, *kāmaṇḍaluto* DhCo.

¹ The two examples given by E. Müller, PGr. p. 65 f., as instances of retained Dual are unconvincing. One of them (*paṭhamāṃ to idhāgato* Dpvs. 9. 32, even if the reading is not corrupt, goes back only to the author of this work whose knowledge of Pāli was very imperfect. The second form *mātāpitu* (*ca vandito*) Cp. II. 9. 7 is certainly no Dual at all.

² As in Pkr., Pischel § 361.

³ Cf. R. O. Franke, BB. 16. 82.

III. 448³, *cakkhuto* S. IV. 174³³ (see § 82, 85); *kucchito* JāCo. I. 52³¹, *angulito* DhCo. I. 164⁷, *Bāraṇasito* Th2. 335 besides *-sito* (with shortening) JāCo. II. 47¹⁸, *pokkharāṇito* JāCo. II. 38⁴ besides *-ṇito* VvCo. 217²¹, *dhātuto* JāCo. I. 253²⁹, *jambuto* Bu. 17. 9 (see § 86); *abhibhūto* D. I. 18⁷, M. I. 2²⁷ (see § 87. 2); *pitito* 'from father', *mātito* 'from mother' D. I. 113²⁵, A. III. 151¹⁶ etc. = *pitṭas*, *mātṭas*; *rājato* Dh. 139; *attato* S. III. 46¹⁶; *hatthito* (from *hatthin* 'elephant') JāCo. IV. 257²⁰, *Himavantato* JāCo. I. 140²⁴ (see § 96); *manato* S. IV. 175² (see § 99).—In Plural the suffix *-bhyas* of Dat.-Abl. has been lost. The Abl. formally coincides with Instr. as Dat. with Gen. Also in Singular the form of Instr. is often used as Abl. (§ 82. 2, 90. 1, 91, 92, 95, 96).

2. a-declension.

§ 78. A. Masculine stems in *-a*; stem : *dhamma* 'law'.

	Singular	Plural
N.	<i>dhmmo</i>	<i>dhammā</i>
Acc.	<i>dhmmaṃ</i>	<i>dhamme</i>
I.	<i>dhmmena, dhmmā</i>	<i>dhmmehi</i>
G. D.	<i>dhmmassa</i>	<i>dhmmānaṃ</i>
Abl.	<i>dhmmā, dhmmasmā, amhā</i>	<i>dhmmehi</i>
L.	<i>dhmmē, dhmmasmiṃ, amhi</i>	<i>dhmmesu</i>
V.	<i>dhmma</i>	<i>dhmmā</i>

B. Neuter stems in *-a*; stem : *rūpa* 'figure'.

	Singular	Plural
N.	<i>rūpaṃ</i>	<i>rūpāni, rūpā</i>
Acc.	<i>rūpaṃ</i>	<i>rūpāni, rūpe</i>
V.	<i>rūpa</i>	<i>rūpāni, rūpā</i>

In other cases as in Masculine. On the Dative of *a*-stems in *-āya* cf. § 77 (with § 27. 2).

On flexion : 1. The Instrumental Sg. in *-ā* corresponds to the same form of the Vedic language¹. It is found not infrequently in the Gāthā-language and in canonical prose; but only occasionally in post-canonical prose. A form to the point is the frequent

¹ R. O. Franke, ZDMG. 46. 316ff.

*sahatthā*¹ 'with one's own hand' JāCo. I. 286⁵, D. I. 109³³, Vin. I. 18³⁰, JāCo. I. 7³, Mhvs. 5. 72 besides *sahatthēna* JāCo. VI. 305³. Also *yogā* DhCo. III. 233²¹ (verse), explained by *yogena* in the word-analysis; *pādā* 'with the foot' Jā. III. 269¹⁶, DhCo. I. 202⁶ (verse); *saha vacanā* 'along with the word, in the moment he spoke the word' Ud. 16³; *mā sokā* (= *sokena*) *pahato bhava* Th1. 82; *bhikkhusamghā* (parallel to the Instr. *bhagavatā*) Vin. II. 198²³ etc. That these forms were later felt to be archaisms is clear from the fact that as *yogā* is explained by *yogena*, so also is *pādā* explained by *pādena* in the commentary (JāCo. III. 269²⁸).—2. The suffixes *-asmā*, *-amhā* of Ablative Sg. and *-asmiṃ*, *-amhi* of Locative Sg. are taken from the pronominal declension.—3. The suffix *-e* of Accusative Pl. is taken from the pronominal declension². Here the forms *te*, *ime*, *sabbe* are used both in N. and Acc. From *te* **dhammān* gradually originated *te dhamme*.—4. The Instr. Pl. in *-ehi* is either derived from the Vedic forms in *-ebhis*, or is taken over from pronominal declension.—5. As for the Vocative Sg. of neuter declension, cf. *citta* 'O soul' Th1. 1108 f.—6. The Nominative Plural in *-ā*³ of Neuter stems is not rare in the first two periods of the language: *rūpā* Th1. 455, Vin. I. 21¹⁹, D. I. 245¹⁷ etc; *soṭā* 'ears' Sn. 345; *nettā* 'eyes' Th2. 257; *phalā* 'fruits' Jā. IV. 203²², Vv. 84. 4. These forms were still felt to be Neuter. Cf., e.g., *tiṇ' assa lakkhanaṃ gatte* Sn. 1019; *moghā* (Com. *moghāni*) *te assū pariphanditāni* Jā. III. 24²⁵. They correspond to the Vedic Plurals in *-ā* like *yugā* 'yokes'.—7. As these forms however formally coincided with Masc. Plurals, they gave rise also to Accusative Plurals in *-e* as in Masc.: *rūpe* M. III. 281⁸, S. IV. 8¹⁰ (in Th1. 1099 it occurs at the side of Masc. Accusatives); *saṃre* DhCo. III. 208⁹; *pupphe* VvCo. 174¹⁴; *te chidde* S. I. 43²⁰ (verse), where *chiddāni* as Nom. occurs immediately before. Confusion of Gender is thus in evidence.

§ 79. Individual forms. 1. Not at all rare are Sg. Instrumentals in *-asā*⁴, formed on the analogy of *as*-stems on the basis of the proportion *mano*: *manasā*=*dhammo*: X. Examples are found specially in the first two periods of the language, and again in the artificial poetry;

¹ Sten Konow and D. Andersen however consider it to be Abl.; JPTS. 1909, p. 134.

² E. Kubn, Beitr. p. 72. Cf. Pischel. ZDMG. 35.715 f. Scepticism about this interpretation has been expressed by V. Henry, Préc. de Gramm. Pâlie § 153, note 3.

³ Frequently also in Pkr.; see Pischel, § 367.

⁴ Such forms occur also in Pkr. "through the influence of preceding Instrumentals of *s*-stems," Pischel; § 364.

they are rare in post-canonical prose. Cf. *balasā* 'with force' (instead of *balena*) Th1. 1141; Cp. II. 4. 7; *damasā* Sn. 463 beside *damena* Sn. 655; *vāhasā* (instead of *vāhena*) Th1. 218, Vin. IV. 158²⁰, D. II. 245⁹; *padasā* 'on foot' (instead of *padena*) JāCo III. 300²⁹, Mhvs. 14.2. Moreover *mukhasā* 'with the mouth' Pv. I.2.3 is explained by *mukkhena* in the Pv.Co. and *vegasā* 'with speed' Jā. III. 185³ is explained by *vegena* in the Co.¹—2. According to Moggallāna II. 108 ff., Singular Locatives in *-asi* are formed analogically on the basis of Instrumentals in *-asā*².—3. In Vocative Sg. the final is sometimes extended³. The Voc *ayyo* is used in respectful address for both Numbers and Genders (beside *ayya*, *ayyā*; *ayye*, *ayyā*), as for instance in Vin. I. 75⁸ in Voc. Pl. Masc.—4. In Nom. Pl. the forms in *-āse* are quite common in the Gāthā-language. They correspond to the Vedic forms in *-āsas*, and the ending *-e* instead of *-o* suggests the influence of Māgadhi⁴: *upāsakāse* Sn. 376; *paṇḍitāse* Sn. 875; *dhammāse* Sn. 1088; *brāhmaṇāse* Sn. 1079ff.; *vañcitāse* Th1. 102; *gadhitāse* Th1. 1216; *ussitāse* Vv. 84. 15; *rukkhāse* Jā. III. 399²; *ariyāse* Jā. IV. 222²¹; *duṭṭhāse* (and a number of other forms) Iv. 1¹⁰ff.; *Gotamasāvākāse* D. II. 272²⁶ (verse), *gatāse* D. II. 255³ (verse), S. I. 27⁸ (verse), Jā. I. 97¹; *upapannāse* S. I. 60⁴ (verse); *nivittāse* S. I. 67⁴ (verse) etc.—⁵. An Acc. Pl. Masc. in *-ān* as in Skr. has perhaps been preserved in *vehāsān-upasaṃkamim* Th1. 564 through the influence of Sandhi. But it should rather be construed as *vehāsāni up°* according to §70.2b.—6. Besides the ending *-ehi* in Instr. Pl. there is also the archaic form *-ebhi*: *ariyebhi* Ud. 61². To the Skr. ending *-ais* corresponds *-e°* in *guṇe dasah' upāgataṃ* Bu. 2.32. Or is it merely a shortened form of *guṇehi dasahi*?

§ 80. Māgadhism—1. Forms of the Māgadhi-language are used in isolated passages of the canon. Such forms are: the Singular Nominatives in *-e*—in Masc. instead of *-o*, and in Neuter instead of *-am*; (a) Masculine⁶. *attakāre*, *parakāre*, *purisakāre* (instead of *-kāro*)

¹ The same form is used also by secondarily originated *s*-stems. Cf. § 94

² Cf. R. O. Franke, PGr. p. 35.

³ Also in Pkr.; Pischel, §71, 366 b.

⁴ Oldenberg, KZ. 25, 815.

⁵ Cf. in Pkr. forms in *ā* like Māh. *guṇā* = Skr. *guṇān*, AMāg. *rukkhā*, *purisā* etc. Pischel, § 367.

⁶ E. Müller, PGr. p. 69. I consider the other forms quoted here to be Locative Sg.

⁷ Cf. similar phenomena in Skr.; R. Roth, Über gewisse Kürzungen des Wortendes im Veda, Vhdl. des Wiener Or. Congr., Ar. Sect., p. 1 ff. (Vienna 1888).

⁸ B. O. Franke, D.-Übersetzung, p. 56, note 5.

D. I. 53²⁹; *bāle ca paṇḍile ca* 'the fool and the wise' D. I. 55^{29, 30}; *ke chhave sigāle ke sihanāde* (instead of *ko* etc.) D. III. 24¹⁹; *bahuko jane pāsapānike* (comm. *bahuko jano-ṇiko*) Jā. III. 288¹⁵. (b) Neuter: *sukhe dukkhe jīvasattame* D. I. 56²⁶ instead of *sukhaṃ* etc. Further *ye avitakke avicāre se paṇitatare* D. II. 278^{10, 30}, 279¹² instead of *yaṃ avitakkam avicāraṃ taṃ paṇitatarāṃ*. The passage *ye lokāmisasaṃ-yojane se vante* M. II. 254²⁵ instead of *yaṃ -janaṃ taṃ vantaṃ* has been already discussed by Trenckner¹. Cf. also *navachandake dāni* (var. lec. *dāne*) *diyyati* Jā. III. 288¹³, which has been replaced in the comm. by *-kaṃ dānaṃ diyyati*.—2. I consider as "Māgadhism" the voc. sg. in *-e* of *a*-stems: *Bhesike* D. I. 225⁷, 226⁹ from the proper name *Bhesika*; *Takkāriye* Jā. IV. 247²⁴ from *Takkāriya*. Cf. *Māg. puttake, ceḍe, bhaṭṭake* etc. These are nominatives used as vocatives². In a Māgadesque passage in D. I. 54¹², M. I. 518⁵ there occur gen. pl. in *-uno*: *cullāsītī mahākappuno satasapassāni* (DCo. I. 164 = *mahākappānaṃ*). Also *pañcakam muno satani* (= *kammānaṃ*) D. I. 54².

§ 81. Feminines in *-ā*.

Sg. N. *kaññā*

Acc. *kaññam*

Instr. *kaññāya*

Abl. *kaññāya*

G.D. *kaññāya*

L. *kaññāya, -āyaṃ*

V. *kaññe*

Stem *kaññā* 'girl.'

Pl. }

kaññā, kaññāyo

}

kaññāhi

kaññānaṃ

kaññāsu

kaññā, -āyo

On flexion: 1. The forms of Instr. sg. (as well as of Abl., Gen., Dat.) in *-āya*, like the Prakrit endings in *-āa*³, are derived from the old ending *-āyās* in Abl.-Gen.; the old Instr. in *-ayā* has disappeared. Beside *-āya* there is also *-ā*. But I do not believe that they are to be connected with the Vedic Instrumentals like *doṣā, barhāṇā*. The forms are rather the result of a phonetical process (§ 27.2). The ending *-ā* appears, for instance, also in loc, e.g. *rathiyā* 'on the street' Dpvs. 6.34.—2. For voc. sg. cf. the frequent *bhaddē* JāCo. II. 29⁴ etc. and *ayye* JāCo. I. 405⁵ etc.; further *therike* Th2. 1 (the *i* is extended *metri causa*); *devate* Vv. 29.2; *lohitape* (scil. *biḷārike*) Jā. III.

¹ Trenckner, Notes, p. 75.

² Cf. Pischel, PkrGr. § 366 b.

³ Pischel, PkrGr. § 375.

266¹⁷ etc. According to Kacc. II. 1.64 (Senart, p. 256), an exception is to be made of *ammā*, *annā*, *ambā*, *tātā*—all used in addressing the mother. The form *ammā* is well attested: Th1. 44, D. I. 93⁶ etc.—3. The nom., acc. and voc. pl. *kaṇṇāyo* is analogically formed after the corresponding forms *rattiyo*, *kumāriyo* etc. of the *i*-declension¹. The form is written with *i*, for instance, in *pokkharāṇiyo* A. I. 145¹⁰.

3. *i*- and *u*-declension.

§ 82. Masculine stems in *i* and *u*: Stems: *aggi* 'fire', *bhikkhu* 'monk'.

	Sg.	Sg.	Pl.	Pl.
Nom.	<i>aggi</i>	<i>bhikkhu</i>	} <i>aggayo</i> ,	<i>bhikkhavo</i> ,
Acc.	<i>aggim</i>	<i>bhikkhum</i>		
Instr.	<i>agginā</i>	<i>bhikkhunā</i>	} <i>aggihi</i>	<i>bhikkhūhi</i>
Abl.	{ <i>aggismā</i> ,	{ <i>bhikkhusmā</i> ,		
	{ <i>aggimhā</i> ,	{ <i>bhikkhumhā</i> ,		
	{ <i>agginā</i>	{ <i>bhikkhunā</i>		
Gen.-Dat.	{ <i>aggissa</i> ,	{ <i>bhikkhussa</i> ,	<i>agginaṃ</i>	<i>bhikkhūnaṃ</i>
	{ <i>aggino</i>	{ <i>bhikkhuno</i>		
Loc.	{ <i>aggismiṃ</i> ,	{ <i>bhikkhusmiṃ</i> ,	<i>aggisu</i>	<i>bhikkhūsu</i>
	{ <i>aggimhi</i>	{ <i>bhikkhumhi</i>		
Voc.	<i>aggi</i>	<i>bhikkhu</i>	<i>aggayo</i> ,	<i>bhikkhavo</i> ,
			<i>aggi</i>	<i>bhikkhave</i> ,
				<i>bhikkhū</i>

On flexion: 1. The forms in *-ismā*, *-imhā*, *-usmā*, *-umhā* in Abl. Sg. are analogy-formations after the *a*-declension, as also those in *-ismiṃ*, *-imhi*, *-usmiṃ*, *-umhi* in Loc. Sg., those in *-issa*, *-ussa* in Gen. Sg., and those in *-ī*, *-ū* in Nom. and Voc. Pl.²—2. The forms in *-ino*, *-uno* of Gen.-Dat. Sg. are either modelled on the neuter *n*-declension of Skr., or they are derived from the declension of *in*-stems³.—3. The forms of Abl. Sg. in *-inā*, *-unā* are transfers from the Instr.⁴ The forms of Acc. Pl. in *-ayo*, *-avo*, like those in *-ī*, *-ū*, are transfers from the Nom.; cf. Acc. Pl. *isayo* S. I. 226¹³, *sattavo* Jā. V. 95²⁶, *aggi* Vin. I. 81⁶, *bhikkhū* M. I. 84⁹.—4. The protraction of the stem-vowel in

¹ Oldenberg, KZ. 25. 817.

² The same forms also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 377 ff.

³ Similarly Pkr. *aggiṣo*, *vāṇṇo* beside *aggissa*, *vāussa*.

⁴ The grammarians (E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 82) give also *kaṣmā* *hetunā* in Abl.

-ihi, -ūhi of Instr.-Abl. Pl. and in *-isu, -ūsu* of Loc. Pl. is probably due to analogy with the form in Gen. Pl.—5. The form *bhikkhave* in Voc. Pl. is a “Māgadhism” which has penetrated into the literary language from the popular speech in this word of address so often used by Buddha towards his followers. In Sg. the Nominative form is used as Vocative.

§ 83. Isolated forms: 1 In Acc. Sg. there are sometimes found the forms *bhikkhunam* Sn. 513, *ādiccabandhunam* D. II. 287²¹ (verse)—after the analogy of *in*-stems. An *agginam* too would be expected accordingly.—2. The form corresponding to the old Gen.-Abl. in *-os* is to be found in the postposition *hetu* ‘on account of, for the sake of’ (§ 22).—3. To the Skr. ending *-au* in Loc. Sg. corresponds *-o* in *ādo*, instead of which however occurs *ādu* in Th1. 1274 (§22). Cf. § 86. 5.—4. The old ending of Voc. Sg. is to be found in *ise* ‘O wise one!’ Sn. 1052. E. Müller (PGr. p. 73) cites an analogous Voc. Sg. *Sutano* Ja. III. 329⁸ (treated as Nom. in JāCo. III. 325², 329²¹).—5. The mixing up of *in*- and *i*-flexions (§ 95) has led also in the case of original *i*-stems to the construction of forms according to the *in*-decl.¹ Thus *aggino* Saddhammopāyana 584; *dummatino* Mhvs. 4. 3 (where also the analogous form *mittadduno*); *sāramatino* Dh. 11; *vajjamatino* Dh. 318; also Instr. Sg. *nivātaruttinā* Th1. 71, 210 (in Skr. too occasionally *°vṛttin* for *°vṛtti*).—6. An isolated Acc. Pl. with transfer to the *a*-decl. is to be found in *ise* Jā. V. 92²⁴. It is preceded by *samaṇe brāhmaṇe*.—7. Archaic forms in *-bhi* instead of *-hi* in Instr. Pl. are *isibhi* Th1. 1065, Jā. III. 29¹⁰ (with protraction in *isibhi* Th2. 206); *nātibhi* Cp. I. 9. 56, Jā. III. 329¹⁹, 495²³. 8. Forms with shortened stem-vowel in Instr. (Dat. Abl.), Loc. and Gen. Pl. are not at all rare. The shortening takes place mostly *metri causa*. Cf. *pāṇihi* Jā. VI. 579²⁹; *kimīhi* Th1. 315; *akkhihi* (n.) Sn. 608; *sādhūhi* Dpvs. 4. 6; *āḍisu* JāCo. I. 61¹⁵; *asūsu* M. I. 86³¹; *bhikkhūsu* Th1. 241, 1207; *usūsu* M. I. 86³⁰; *appabuddhīnam* Th1. 667; *nātinam* Th1. 240; *sādhūnam* Mhvs. 37. 232 (= Cūlavamsa, Colombo ed., 37. 182); *bhikkhūnam* Th1. 1231, S. I. 190¹⁵, *bandhūnam* Th1. 240.

§ 84. The stem *sakhi* ‘friend’², which belongs to the poetic language and is represented by *sahāyaka* in prose, has the two

¹ E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 80; E. Müller, PGr. p. 71.

² O. JPTS. 1909, p. 18 f. All the forms quoted here from “J. A.” i.e., Jātakaṭṭhakathā, are taken from verses (“J.”)!

supplementary stems *sakha* and *sakhāra*. The latter originated from Acc. Sg. *sakhāraṃ*, which is itself an analogy-formation after the flexion of agent nouns (*satthā*: *satthāraṃ*=*sakhā*: x). The flexion is as follows: Sg. Nom. *sakhā* (as in Skr.) Sn. 253, Jā. II. 29¹⁸, III. 50²¹, 296³, V. 509²⁰, S. I. 36² (verse), Dpvs. 11. 26, Mhvs. 19. 13 and (*sabba*)*sakho* Th1 648.—Acc. *sakhāraṃ*¹ Jā. II. 348²⁰, III. 296³, V. 509²⁰.—Instr. *sakhinā* (on the analogy of *agginā*) Jā. IV. 41²⁹.—Abl. *sakhārasmā* Jā. III. 534².—Gen. *sakhino* Jā. IV. 426²³, VI. 478¹ (and *sakhissa* according to Kacc. II. 3. 34 in Senart, p. 288).—Loc. (*sakhe* Kacc. II. 3. 32, in Senart, p. 288)—Voc. *sakhā* (=Nom.) Jā. III. 295²⁰.—Pl Nom. *sakhā* Jā. III. 323¹⁰, Dpvs. 11. 24 and *sakhāro* Jā. III. 492¹⁴, IV. 292²⁷ (of what has been said above about *sakhāraṃ*), (moreover according to Kacc. II. 3. 30: *sakhāno* after the *n*-flexion, as well as 31: *sakhāyo* and *sakhino*).—Instr. (*sakhehi* and *sakhārehi* according to Kacc. II. 3. 34).—Gen.-Dat. *sakhinaṃ* Jā. III. 492¹⁴, IV. 42⁸ and *sakhānaṃ* Sn. 123, Jā. II. 228²⁰ (and *sakhārānaṃ* Kacc. II. 3. 36).—Loc. (*sakhesu* and *sakhāresu* Kacc. II. 3. 36).

§ 85. Neuters in *i*, *u*. Stems: *akkhi* 'eye', *assu* 'tear'.

	Sg.		Pl.
Nom. Acc. Voc.	{ <i>akkhi</i> <i>akkhiṃ</i>	<i>assu</i> <i>assuṃ</i>	{ <i>akkhīni</i> <i>akkhī</i> <i>assūni</i> <i>assū</i>

For the rest as in Masc. (§82). There occurs however a Sg. Loc. *ambuni* 'in the water' Jā. V. 6⁵ like Skr. *madhuni*.

On flexion: 1. Analogical formations after the *a*-decl. are the forms in *-iṃ*, *-uṃ* of the Sg. (after *rūpaṃ*), as well as those in *-ī*, *-ū* of the Plural (after *rūpā*).—2. As forms in Nom. Sg. are used, e.g., *dadhiṃ* JāCo. IV. 140⁶; *suciṃ* (*sugandhaṃ salilaṃ*) Jā. VI. 534¹¹; *assuṃ* JāCo. III. 163²³; *vatthiṃ* (= *vastu*) JāCo. III. 39²; *kusalāṃ* *bahuṃ* Vv. 18. 15. On the other hand *dadhi* Milp. 48¹⁷, *assu* Th2. 220.—3. For the forms in *-ī*, *-ū* cf. Nom. *akkhī bhinnā* Jā. I. 483²⁹, *madhū* Jā. VI. 537²⁰; Acc. *akkhī* DhCo. I. 9²⁰.

§ 86. Feminines in *i* (*ī*) and *u* (*ū*). Stems: *jāti* 'birth, existence' (*nadī* 'river'), *dhenu* 'cow' (*sassū* 'mother-in-law').

¹ Instead of *sakhaṃ* (as Acc. Sg. of *sakhi*) Jā. II. 299¹³ we should perhaps read *sakaṃ* as in the Burmese mss.

	Sg.		Pl.	
Nom.	<i>jāti</i> (<i>nadi</i>)	<i>dhenu</i> (<i>sassū</i>)	<i>jātiyo</i>	<i>dhenuyo</i>
Acc.	<i>jātim</i>	<i>dhenum</i>	<i>jāti</i>	<i>dhenū</i>
Instr.-Abl.	<i>jātiyā</i>	<i>dhenuyā</i>	<i>jātihi</i>	<i>dhenūhi</i>
Dat.-Gen.	<i>jātiyā</i>	<i>dhenuyā</i>	<i>jātīnam</i>	<i>dhenūnam</i>
Loc.	<i>jātiyā</i> , <i>-yam</i>	<i>dhenuyā</i> , <i>-yaṇi</i>	<i>jātīsu</i>	<i>dhenūsu</i>
Voc.	<i>jāti</i> (<i>nadi</i>)	<i>dhenu</i> (<i>sassu</i>)	<i>jātiyo</i> , <i>-ti</i>	<i>dhenuyo</i> , <i>-nū</i>

On flexion: 1. The flexions of short-vowel stems and long-vowel stems coincide with each other in Pāli excepting in Nom. Sg. Here the latter mostly retain their length. But shortening too is found in this position, as, *e.g.*, in *sassu* Vv. 29. 7, 8.—2. As a rule, *ī* at the end of the stem is changed into *iy* before vowel-endings. The flexion is thus like that of monosyllabic *i*-stems in Sanskrit. In analogy with it *ū* too is then changed into *uy*. Yet, however, there are numerous forms of the *ī*-stems in which *ī* is changed into *y*,—particularly, *metri causa*, in the Gāthā-dialect; but analogous forms occur also in canonical prose. Cf. *ratyā* Thl. 517, 628, Jā. VI. 491²¹ (instead of *rattiyā*) 'of the night' = *rātriyās*; Nom. Pl. *ratyā* Jā. VI. 261⁶ (com. *rattio*) and Loc. Sg. *rattimhi* Jā. V. 102²³; Instr. Sg. *nikatyā* Jā. III. 88¹⁴ (com. *nikātiyā*) 'through treachery' = *nikṛtyā*; Gen. Sg. *pathavyā* Dh. 178 (instead of *-viyā*) 'of the earth' = *prthiviyās*; Nom. Pl. *nābhyo* (com. *nābhio*) Vv. 64. 4. The laws of assimilation act in most of these cases when the consonant is immediately followed by *y*: Instr. Sg. *jaccā* (§ 55) Dh. 393, Sn. 136, Jā. III. 395⁶ (com. *jātiyā*); *sammuccā* (for *-tiyā*) Sn. 648; *uppaccā* (for *-ttiya*) S. I. 209⁶ (verse); Loc. Sg. *Nalīñṇam* (for *-niyam*) Jā. VI. 313⁹; Nom. Pl. *pokkharāñño* (for *-ṇiyo*) Vv. 44. 11, S. I. 233¹ (verse); *dasso* (com. *dāsiyo*) Jā. IV. 53²³; *najjo* (for *nadio*) Vv. 6. 7. In prose: Gen. Sg. *najjā* Vin. I. 1⁴, D. II. 112²²; Nom. Pl. *najjo* S. III. 202⁶, 221¹¹ etc. A remarkable form in Nom. Pl. is *najjāyo* 'rivers' Jā. VI. 278¹, which presupposes a stem **najjā*, abstracted out of an Acc. Sg. **najjam* = Ved. *nadyam*¹. —3. The forms in Pl. with extended stem-vowel may again undergo shortening: *naranāriṇam* 'of men and women' Cp. I. 6. 2; *nārisu* Dh. 284; *jātisu* Thl. 346 etc.—4. For the double-forms in Nom. Acc. Pl. let us mention, for Nom. Pl., *kumāriyo* JāCo. I. 337⁸, *pokkharāṇi* Vv. 81. 5, *jambuyo* Thl. 309, (*accharā*) *puthū* Thl. 1190;

¹ Franke, PGr., p. 35, note 4. According to E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 82 the forms of Gen. Pl. in *-iyāṇi* collected by Störck are to be explained in the same way.

Acc. Pl. *pokkharaniyo* D. II. 178²⁸, *raṃsī* Vv. 53. 5, *dhenuyo* Vv. 80. 6.—5. A form corresponding to Skr. Loc. Sg. in -au of *i*-stems has been retained in *ratto*: *divā ca ratto ca* 'day and night' Sn. 223, Dh. 296, Th2. 812, Ud. 15³ (verse), Vv. 84. 32, S. I. 33¹⁶, Sdhs. 51¹⁶ (prose). A Loc. Sg. *bhuvī*¹ is formed from *bhū* 'earth' according to Kacc. A case of transfer from the *i*-flexion to *ā*-flexion is presented by *aḍḍharattāyaṃ* 'in the middle of night' (com. -*tṭiyaṃ*) Vv. 81. 16.

§ 87. 1. Flexion of the stems *siri* 'prosperity, fortune' (*Siri* goddess of prosperity) = *śrī*; *hiri* 'modesty' = *hrī*; *itthī* 'woman' = *strī*. (a) *siri*: Sg. Nom. *Siri* Jā. V. 112³⁰ and *siri* S. I. 44¹² (verse);—Acc. *siriṃ* JāCo. II. 410⁹;—Instr. *siriya* Sn. 686, VvCo. 323¹⁶;—Voc. *Siri* DCo. 97¹⁸.—(b) *hiri*: Sg. Nom. *hri* S. I. 33¹¹ (verse), A. I. 95²⁸ and *hiri* Iv. 36⁶, A. I. 51¹⁷, IV. 11²², Nett. 82²⁷, JāCo. I. 207¹⁷;—Acc. *hiriṃ* Sn. 719 etc.; Instr. *hriya* Jā. II. 65⁴, A. III. 6¹⁶, Nett. 50²⁶, JāCo. I. 129²³.—(c) *itthī* (*thī*, § 29): *itthī* Jā. I. 307¹⁴, A. I. 28⁹, Mhvs. 9. 24 and *itthī* Th1. 151, D. II. 273¹⁸ (verse), A. III. 68²³, JāCo. I. 437¹¹;—Acc. *itthiṃ* Th1. 315, Vin. I. 23¹⁴, JāCo. I. 307²³;—Instr. *itthiya* Vin. I. 23¹⁵, JāCo. I. 290²¹;—Dat.-Gen. *itthiya* S. I. 33¹³ (verse), JāCo. I. 307¹⁹ (*thiya* Jā. V. 81¹⁶).—Pl. Nom. *itthiyo* S. I. 185²⁶ (verse), Vin. I. 36¹⁸, JāCo. III. 392¹⁷;—Acc. *itthiyo* JāCo. I. 289¹⁰ (*thiyo* Sn. 769, Jā. III. 459¹³);—Instr. *itthihi*;—Gen.-Dat. *itthinaṃ* JāCo. III. 392¹⁸ (*thinaṃ* Jā. I. 295⁸);—Loc. *itthisu* Th1. 137, S. IV. 346²¹.—2. Flexion of masculines in *ñ*²: Sg. Nom. *abhibhū* S. I. 121¹⁶ (verse), D. I. 18⁷ etc., *sayambhū* Bu. 14. 1, *pāragū* D. I. 88⁵, JāCo. II. 99²⁰, *viññū* Iv. 98¹³ etc., and *pāragū* Th1. 66, *mattaññū* S. IV. 175²⁹;—Acc. *abhibhum* Dh. 418, Sn. 534, M. I. 2²⁷, *sabbaññum* JāCo. I. 335³¹;—Instr. *sabbaññunā*, *sayambhunā* Milp. 214²⁹;—Dat.-Gen. *amattaññūno* S. IV. 108²⁷ and *viññussa* A. I. 138³⁰, M. III. 179²⁵, *abhibhussa* S. I. 157¹⁰;—Loc. *abhibhusmiṃ* M. I. 2²⁸.—Pl. Nom.-Acc. *mattaññūno* S. IV. 105⁸, *gotrabhūno* M. III. 256⁷, *sahabhūno* Dhs. 1197 f., *vedagūno* Ud. 14¹⁷ (verse), and *sahabhū* D. II. 260⁶ (verse), *vadaññū* S. I. 34²¹ (verse), *addhagū* Th2. 55 (neut. *sahabhūni* Nett. 16²⁸);—Instr. *viññūhi* D. II. 93³³, S. I. 9¹⁴, *lokavidūhi*

¹ E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 83; E. Müller, PGr. p. 74.

² On the analogy of compounds formed with *bhū* also words compounded with *ā*-roots are transferred to the *ñ*-flexion: *viññū* 'wise' = *viñña*, *sabbaññū* 'omniscient' = *sarvajña*; *pāragū* 'reaching the other side' (beside *pāraga*) = *pāraga* etc.

Vv. 44. 25.—Dat.-Gen. *viññānaṃ* Th1. 667, S. IV. 93²², *rattaññānaṃ* A. I. 25¹⁸.—Loc. *viññāsu* A. III. 153²⁴, V. 15¹⁶.

4. Diphthong-stems.

§ 88. 1. The Skr. stem *rai* 'wealth' is unknown in Pāli.—2. From *nau* a new stem *nāvā* 'ship' has been formed¹, which is inflected according to § 81 (cf. *nāvāyṇ* DhCo. III. 184¹⁹, *nāvāsu* ib. 185¹).—3. Of *go* 'cattle' the following old forms have been preserved: Sg. Nom. *go* S. I. 221³⁴ (verse), *go-r-iva* Jā. V. 15²⁷.—Pl. Nom. *gāvo* Sn. 20, A. II. 43¹⁸; Acc. (transferred from Nom.) *gāvo* Jā. VI. 549⁶, S. IV. 181¹², DhCo. III. 43²;—Instr. *gohi* S. I. 6⁹ (verse), Sn. 33.—Dat.-Gen. *gavaṃ* Jā. III. 111¹⁷, *gonam* (=Ved. *gonām*) Dpvs. I. 76 and its phonetic variant (according to § 15. 3) *gunnam* S. II. 188⁹, A. I. 229¹³, DhCo. III. 243¹⁵. *Gava*² is a new stem which is the basis of Sg. Abl. *gavā* D. I. 201²⁵, Dat.-Gen. *gavassa* M. I. 429²², Loc. *gave* Sn. 810. From a third stem *gāva* is derived *gāvī* 'cow' which is quite common. Finally we have also a stem *goṇa*³: Sg. Nom. *goṇo* Vin. IV. 7¹⁶, S. IV. 195²², DhCo. III. 262⁶; Acc. *goṇam* M. I. 10³⁶, JāCo. I. 494¹¹. Pl. Acc. *goṇe* DhCo. III. 302¹⁸; Gen. *goṇānaṃ* DhCo. III. 239²².—4. Of the Skr. stem *div*, *dyu* 'day, sky' only the adverbially used form *divā* 'by day' has been preserved in Pāli.

5. Radical Stems.

§ 89. Only *mengre* rests have been preserved of the flexion of radical stems. Thus, e.g., Sg. Instr. *vācā* 'with the word' Sn. 232 from Skr. *vāc* which otherwise appears as *vācā* in P. (§ 81); Sg. Instr. *padā* 'with the foot' Th1. 457, Sn. 768 from Skr. *pād* (cf. Pl. Gen. *khattiyo dvipadam seṭṭho* S. I. 6²² (verse) =Skr. *dvipadām*); Pl. Acc. *sarado satam* 'hundred autumns' Jā. II. 16¹⁵ from Skr. *śarad*; Pl. Gen. *sāgaram saritam patim* 'the ocean, the lord (husband) of the rivers' Jā. II. 442⁸ from Skr. *sarit*. All the quotable examples belong to the Gāthā-language⁴. In Mhvs. 36. 93 there is the Sg. Loc. *pathi*

¹ Similarly also in Pkr. *ṇāvā*; Pischel, § 394.

² Cf. AMāg Sg. Nom. *gave*, Pl. Nom. *gavā* in Pischel, § 398.

³ AMāg. *goṇa*; beside it fem. *gāvī*

⁴ It seems unlikely to me that in *āpo ca pathavī ca* 'water and earth' Sn. 307 *āpo* is the Nom. Pl =Skr. *āpas*. Beside the Acc. Sg. *āpaṃ* Sn. 391 we have also the Loc. Sg. *āpe* Sn. 392. A stem *āpa* has therefore to be accepted. In the first member of a compound is found *āpo*⁵, e.g., in D. II. 108⁶.

'on the road' as var. lec. in the Ceylonese mss. for *patham* (Acc. Sg.) of the Burmese mss. (§ 93. 4).

6. *r*-declension.

§ 90. Nomina agentis. Stem: *satthar* 'teacher'.

	Sg.	Pl.
Nom.	<i>satthā</i>	} <i>satthāro</i>
Acc.	<i>satthāraṃ</i> ¹	
Instr.	<i>sattharā, satthārā, satthunā</i>	} <i>satthūhi, satthārehi</i>
Abl.	<i>sattharā, satthārā</i>	
Dat.-Gen	<i>satthu, satthuno, satthussa</i>	<i>satthūnaṃ, satthārānaṃ</i>
Loc.	<i>satthari</i>	<i>satthūsu, satthāresu</i>
Voc.	<i>satthā, sattha, sattho</i>	<i>satthāro</i>

On flexion: 1. The following are historical forms used in every period of the language: Sg. Nom. *satthā* (JāCo. III. 20¹⁹), Acc. *satthāraṃ* (JāCo. III. 21¹), as well as Pl. Nom. Voc. *satthāro*. The last form was then used also as Acc. Also the following forms are historical: Sg. Gen. *satthu* (Iv. 79⁸, JāCo. III. 20²⁹, *bhattu* Vv. 15⁵) = Skr. *śāstur*; Sg. Loc. *satthari* (Dhs. 1004, DhCo. II. 38¹¹) = *śāstari*; also Sg. Instr. *sattharā* = *śāstrā* with Svarabhakti. The Instr. is then used also as Abl.—2. In compounds the *r* of the stem appears in Pāli as *u*. Thus *satthukappa* 'like the master' Mhvs. 14. 65, *bhattuvasānuvattinī* 'obedient to the will of the husband' Jā. II. 348¹⁶. A stem *satthu* was abstracted out of these *u*-forms, from which: Sg. Instr. *satthunā* (Mhvs. 17. 12), Dat.-Gen. *satthuno* (Sn. 547, 573, Th1. 131, *bhāituno* VvCo 110¹¹), *satthussa* (Mhvs. 4. 32); Pl. Instr. Abl. *satthūhi*, Gen. *satthūnaṃ* (DhCo. I. 20²⁸: *sotūnaṃ*), Loc. *satthūsu*.—3. A stem *satthāra* was abstracted analogically out of the proportion *kammāraṃ*: *kammāra* = *satthāraṃ*: *x*. From it are derived the forms Pl. Instr. *satthārehi*, Gen. *satthārānaṃ* (JāCo. I. 509³), Loc. *satthāresu*; perhaps also Sg. Instr. *satthārā* (D. I. 163⁸, JāCo. II. 24¹⁶, DhCo. II. 45¹, Mhvs. 5. 77) and the form in Sg. Abl. which is identical with it².—4. Transfer to the *a*-declension through the elision of *r* should also be noticed. Thus

¹ Shortened *meiri causa*: *sattharaṃ* Bu. 22. 14.

² Also in Pkr. the stems *bhattu* and *bhattāra* cross the historical forms; Fischel, § 389.

nahāpita 'barber' (Sg. Nom. *-to* D. I. 225¹⁶, Acc. *-taṇ* D. I. 225⁶, Pl. Acc. *-te* Mhvs. 29. 20) presupposes a stem **snāpitar* (cf. Skr. *nāpitā*) ; *sallakattu* 'physician' (Sg. Nom. *-tlo* Sn 560, Acc. *-ttaṃ* M. I. 429⁴, Mdp. 247¹²) is = *śalyakartar*¹. From the stem *khattar* 'door-keeper' = *kṣa'ttar* we have beside the Sg. Nom. *khattā* D. I. 112²⁹, M. II. 164³¹ the Acc. *khattaṃ* D. I. 112⁸, M. II. 164¹⁹.—5 The form *sattā* of Voc Sg. is taken from the Nom. The form *sattā* is shortening of the same on the analogy of *nadi* from *nadī*, *vadhū* Vin. III 16²³ from *vadhū*. As for the form *sattihe*, cf. *khatte* from *khattar* D. I. 112¹⁰, M. II. 164²⁶; *katte* from *kattar* Jā. V 220²¹, VI. 492² They are based on the analogy of the Voc *kaññe* of the Nom *kaññā* (§ 81)

§ 91. Words signifying personal relation. Stems: *pitar* 'father' m., *mātar* 'mother' f.

	Sg.		Pl.	
Nom.	<i>pitā</i>	<i>mātā</i>	<i>pitaro</i>	<i>mātarō</i>
Acc.	<i>pitaraṃ</i>	<i>mātaraṃ</i>	<i>pitaro, -tare</i>	<i>mātarō</i>
Instr.	<i>pitārā</i>	<i>mātārā</i>	} <i>pitūhi,</i> <i>pitarehi</i>	} <i>mātūhi</i>
Abl.	<i>pitārā</i>	<i>mātārā mātuyā</i>		
Dat.-Gen.	{ <i>pitū,</i> <i>pituno,</i> <i>pitussa</i>	{ <i>mātu</i> <i>mātuyā</i>	} <i>pitūnaṃ,</i> <i>pitunnaṃ,</i> <i>pitarānaṃ</i>	} <i>mātūnaṃ</i>
Loc.	<i>pitari</i>	{ <i>mātari,</i> <i>mātuyā, -yaṇi</i>	} <i>pitūsu,</i> <i>pitaresu</i>	<i>mātūsu</i>

On flexion. The stems *pitar* (with short stem-vowel in the strong cases) and *pitū*, which are in use in all the periods of the language, are distributed as in the case of *sattar*. I can find no form to justify the assumption of a stem *pitara*². The stem-vowel is long in *nattar* 'grandson' as in Skr. *naptar*. Cf. Pl. Acc. *nattāro* Ud. 91²³ = Skr. Nom. *naptāras*, also Pl. Instr. *nattārehi* Ud. 92².—2. Attestation of the most important forms. Sg. Instr. *pitārā* JāCo. III. 37¹⁵, *bhātārā* JāCo. I. 308², *mātārā* Th2. 212; Sg. Abl. *pitārā*, *mātārā* JāCo. V. 214²³, *dhiluyā* Mhvs. 8. 7; Sg. Dat.-Gen. *pitū* Th2. 419 JāCo. IV. 137¹³, *mātu* Th1. 478, Vin. I. 17¹³, JāCo. I. 52²⁹, *duhitu* Th2Co. 269³, *pituno* Vin. I. 17¹, VvCo. 170⁴, *bhātussa* Mhvs. 8. 9; *mātuyā* JāCo. I. 53⁵, Mhvs.

¹ Cf. E. Müller, PGr. p. 52.

² In Pkr there are the stems *pitū*, *piti* (cf. Pāli *pitūto*, *mātūto* § 77) and *pitaro*, beside *pitar*. Fischel, § 391.

10. 80; Sg. Loc. *bhātari* JāCo. III. 56²³; Pl. Instr. *mātāpitūhi* Th2. 516, JāCo. II. 108³; Pl. Gen. *pitūnaṃ* Iv. 110⁶, *pitunnaṃ* DhCo. I. 161¹²; Pl. Loc. *mātāpitūsu* Th2. 499, JāCo. I. 152⁷.—3. Isolated forms: Sg. Acc. *pitum* Cp. 2. 9. 8; Pl. Nom. *bhātuno* Th2. 408; Acc. *-pitū* (in *mātāpitū*) Th2. 493; further Sg. Nom. *jāmāto* 'son-in-law' JāCo. IV. 219²⁵; Pl. Acc. *bhāte* Dpvs. 6. 21, 22 with transfer to the *a* flexion (§ 90. 4). Transfer of feminine stems to *ā*-decl. is also found to occur. Cf. Sg. Gen. *mātāya* JāCo. I. 62¹³. Such transfer is very frequent in the case of *dhitar* 'daughter': Sg. Nom. *dhitā* Th2. 46, Acc. *dhitarāṃ* Th2. 98, JāCo. III. 19¹⁶ etc., but also Dat.-Gen. *dhitāya* VvCo. 270²⁸, Mhvs. 5. 169 (beside *dhitu* JāCo. VI. 366¹⁰), Voc. *dhite* JāCo. III. 21²⁸, DhCo. III. 8¹²; Pl. Nom. *dhitā* Mhvs. 2. 18 (beside *dhitaro* JāCo. III. 3⁸), Instr. *dhitāhi* VvCo. 161¹⁷, Mhvs. 7. 68, Gen. *dhitānaṃ* JāCo. III. 4⁷, Loc. *dhitāsu* JāCo. I. 152⁸.

7. *n*-declension.

§ 92. Masculines in *-an*. Stems *rājan* 'king' and *attun* 'self, soul'.

	Sg.		Pl.	
Nom.	<i>rājā</i>	<i>attā</i>	} <i>rājāno</i>	<i>attāno</i>
Acc.	<i>rājānaṃ</i>	<i>attānaṃ</i> ¹		
Instr.	{ <i>raññā</i> , <i>rājīnā</i> }	<i>attanā</i>	} <i>rājūhi</i>	(<i>attanehi</i>)
Abl.	<i>raññā</i>	<i>attanā</i>		(<i>attehi</i>)
Dat.-Gen.	{ <i>rañño</i> , <i>rājīno</i> }	<i>attano</i>	{ <i>raññaṃ</i> , <i>rājūnaṃ</i> }	(<i>attānaṃ</i>)
Loc.	<i>rājīni</i>	<i>attani</i>	<i>rājūsu</i>	(<i>attanesu</i>)
Voc.	<i>rājā</i>	<i>attā</i>	<i>rājāno</i>	<i>attāno</i>

On flexion. 1. The forms of the Singular (with the exception of Abl., which is = Instr.) are historical and used in all the periods of the language. The forms Instr. *raññā* (DhCo. I. 164⁶) and Dat.-Gen. *rañño* (Vv. 74. 4, DhCo. I. 164⁵, JāCo. III. 5¹⁹) are = *rājñā*, *rājñas* according to § 53. 1; *rājīnā* (Mhvs. 6. 2) and *rājīno* (Th2. 463, Sn. 299, 415, Mhvs. 2. 14) are affected by Svarabhakti, as also *rājīni* = *rājñi*. The long-vowel forms in the Voc. Sg. are transferred

¹ With Svarabhakti *attumānaṃ* Sn. 782.

from the Nom. The stems, which like *attan* 'soul, self' end in Skr. with *-man -van* following after a consonant, retain the *a* in the weak cases. Cf. Instr. *amhanā* (§ 50. 2) = *aśmanā*; *attani* JāCo. III. 25². Also *muddhanā* 'with the head' Mhvs. 19. 30 = *mūrdhnā*; Loc. *muddhanī* Sn. 689, M. I. 168²⁹ (verse), JāCo. IV. 265¹⁷, Mhvs. 36. 66 = *mūrdhni*, *-dhanī*. In Plural the forms in Nom. Voc. are historical (used also as Acc., e.g., DhCo. II. 15⁶), as well as the Gen. *raññaṃ* (D. II. 87⁸, Mhvs. 18. 32) = *rājñām*. Moreover a new stem *rāju* appears in Plural (*rājūhi* Ud. 41⁷, M. II. 120²², JāCo. III. 45⁴, Mhvs. 5. 80, 8. 21, archaic *rājubhi* D. II. 258¹⁴; *rājunaṃ* Ud. 11³, JāCo. II. 104²⁹, III. 487²¹), probably in analogy with the *r*-stems (§ 90) according to the proportion *satthā* : *satthūhi* = *rājā* : x. I cannot find attestation for the forms *attanehi* etc.—2. Transfer to the *a*-decl. takes place often as a consequence of the dropping of the final nasal; cf. forms of the stem *rāja*¹ such as Sg. Gen. *rājassa* Dpvs. 17. 41, Pl. Nom. *rājā* Mhvs. 37. 89 (= Colombo ed. II. 37. 39); Sg. Acc. *brahmaṃ* (instead of *brahmānaṃ*) Vv. 17. 4, Sn. 151, 285, M. I. 2¹¹, 328¹⁸ like Pkr. Māg. *bamhaṃ*; *muddhaṃ* (from *muddhan*) Dh. 72, Sn. 987, D. I. 95¹³; *attaṃ* Dh. 379; also Pl. Instr. *atthehi*, Gen. *attānaṃ*. A stem *rañña* was developed out of the weak-grade form *rājñ-*: Sg. Nom. *rañño* A. II. 113²¹, 116²⁴, 117³; Gen. *raññassa* Jā. III. 70⁷; Loc. *raññe* D. II. 145¹⁶, III. 83²⁷; Pl. Instr. *raññehi*² A. I. 279¹⁴. The weak stem extended by *a* gave rise to the forms *attanehi*, *attanesu*. In the same way a stem *addhāna*³ was abstracted out of the strong-grade form of *addhan* 'way, time' (Sg. Acc. *addhānaṃ*): *alīta-m-addhāne* 'in past time' JāCo. III. 43¹ (verse), *addhānamagga-paṭipanno* D. I. 1⁸.—3. Under the influence of the preceding labial (§ 19. 2), in the weak cases of the stem *brahman* 'the god Brahman, Brahmin' the *a* is changed into *u*. Thus Sg. Acc. *brahmānaṃ*, but Instr. *brahmunā* Th1. 1168, Ud. 77¹⁰, D. II. 237⁴ etc., Dat.-Gen. *brahmuno* Th1. 162, D. I. 220²³, 222², S. I. 141². The Loc. Sg. is *brahmani* M. I. 2¹², the Voc. *brahme* (cf. § 90. 5) Jā. VI. 525¹⁵, M. I. 328²⁰, Vin. I. 6⁸. Similarly also *addhunā* S. I. 78²⁶, II. 179²⁷, *addhuno* D. I. 17¹⁹, M. III. 184⁹.

¹ At the end of a compound there is used in Pāli sometimes **rāja*, sometimes **rājan*. Cf. *supaṇṇarājassa* JāCo. III. 188²⁸ and *supaṇṇarañño* JāCo. III. 189⁷. Also **rāju* : *raḍḍgarājūnaṃ* Mhvs. I. 68.

² This is probably the proper reading for *raññāhi*. Pkr. knows neither the *u*-stem nor any stem corresponding to Pāli *rañña*.

³ Also in Pkr. there are forms such as Sg. Nom. *addhāno*, *muddhāno*.

§ 93. 1. Of the stem *san* 'dog' = *śvan* the Sg. Nom. *sā* is quite common: S. I. 176¹³ (verse), D. I. 166⁸, M. I. 77²⁰, II. 232²³, Pu. 55¹³. In JPTS. 1909, p. 61 also the Pl. Nom. *sāno* is cited, but no reference is given¹. From the Skr. weak stem *śun-* a new stem *suṇa* (sic! with ṇ) has been derived: Sg. Instr. *suṇena* Jā. VI. 353^{20,29}, 354^{8,12}; Voc. *suṇa* JāCo. VI. 357¹. The frequent form *sunakha* is another derivative. From the strong Skr. stem *śvān-* is further derived *suṇāna*, -*ṇa*: Pl. Nom. *suṇānā* Jā. VI. 247¹⁶, Instr. *suṇāṇehi* M. III. 91²⁵.—2. Of *yuvan* 'youth' the Sg. Nom. *yuvā* Dh. 280, Sn. 420, D. I. 80¹⁸ is quotable. The reading of the Sg. Gen. *yuvino* Jā. IV. 222²³ is uncertain. The stem *yuva* is to be found in *yuvassa* Mhvs. 18. 28. *Yūna* and *yuvāna*² are new formations from the weak and the strong stem respectively.—3. Of *maghavan*, name of Indra, we have the Sg. Nom. *maghavā* Dh. 30, Voc. *maghavā* S. I. 221²⁴ (verse) as should be read instead of *mathavā*.—4. Corresponding to the Skr. stems *path* and *panīhan* 'path' there are in Pāli the thematised stems *patha* (Sg. Nom. *patho* D. I. 63³, Acc. *pathaṃ* JāCo. II. 39¹³, Abl. *pathā* Jā. VI. 525³¹, Gen. *pathassa* Thl. 69, Loc. *pathe* Sn. 176 f., Mhvs. 21. 24) and *pantha* (*panthasakuna* Jā. VI. 527²², *panthadevatā* JāCo. VI. 527²⁰, Sg. Acc. *panthaṃ* Milp. 157²³, Loc. *panthasmiṃ* Sn. 121).—5. From *puman* 'man' we have the Sg. Nom. *pumā* Rassv. II. 83⁶. In Kacc. II. 2. 33 ff. (Senart, p. 271 ff.) are given also Voc. *pumaṃ* and Pl. Nom. Voc. *pumāno*, besides Sg. Instr. *pumunā* like *brahmunā*. There is moreover a stem *puma* (Sg. Nom. *pumo* D. II. 273¹⁸ (verse) and Pl. Nom. *pumā* Jā. III. 459¹³), as well as *pumāna* (according to Kacc.). There is no trace of the weak stem *pums* in Pāli.

§ 94. Neuters in -*an*. Stem: *kamman* 'work, deed' = *kurman*. In Sg. the forms are historical in Nom.-Acc.-Voc.—*kamma* Dh. 96, 217; Instr. *kammanā* Sn. 136 etc. and *kammunā*³ Thl. 143, 786, Vv. 32. 7, Mhvs. 5. 189; Gen. *kammuno*¹ Jā. III. 65¹⁷; Loc. *kammani*. The old forms are however more and more ousted by those of the *a*-flexion on the basis of the agreement in Pl. Nom.-Acc.-Voc. *kam:māni* Sn. 263, Dh. 136. Thus Sg. Nom.-Acc. *kammaṃ*, Instr. *kammena* etc. Cf. even in the oldest literature *nāmaṃ* (Sg. Nom.) Sn. 808; *kammehi* Sn. 215, *kammesu* Sn. 140 etc. In the same way

¹ The paradigm given by Minayeff, PGr. p. 23 is artificially constructed: Sg. Nom.-Voc. *sa*, Acc. *saṃ* (etc. like an *a*-stem; but Pl. Instr.-Abl. *sāhi*, *sābhi*, Loc. *sāsu*). Pl. Nom. *sā* 'dogs' S. I. 176¹³.

² Cf. Childers, Pāli Dictionary, under the words.

³ Cf. § 92. 8, 19. 2.

Sg. Loc. *pabbe* JāCo. I. 245¹², Pl. Loc. *pabbesu* S. IV. 171¹⁰ from *pabba(n)* 'knot in a reed, section' = *parvan*; *thāmena* 'forcibly' JāCo. I. 448⁷, Milp. 4³ (beside which, according to § 79. 1 with footnote 1, p. 120, *thāmasā* D. II. 262²⁷, Mhvs. 23. 83) from *thāma(n)* = *sthāman*. New neuter stems are formed also by adding an *a*. Thus Sg. Acc. *jammanam* Sn. 1018 from *jamman* 'birth' = *janman*; Sg. Nom. *yakanam* Kh. 3, M. I. 57¹⁷, D. II. 293¹⁴ from *yakan* 'liver' = *yakan*. —Masculine compounds with neuter second components in *-an* are inflected mostly according to the *a*-decl. after dropping the final nasal. Thus Pl. Nom. *puññakammā* S. I. 97³⁰; Sg. Gen. *puṭhulomassa* Attanagaluvihārav. 2. 2 from *loman* 'hair'; stem *Vissakamma* (name of a god) = *Vīśvakarman* (e.g., Sg. Nom. **kammō* JāCo. IV. 325¹³, Acc. **kammaṃ* JāCo. V. 132⁵, Instr. **kammena* JāCo. I. 316¹¹); but we have also **kamman* in Acc. **kammānam* Mhvs. 28. 6 and Instr. **kammunā* Mhvs. 31. 70.

§ 95. Substantives and adjectives in *-in*. Stem. *hatthīn* 'elephant'.

	Sg.	Pl.
Nom.	<i>hatthī—hatthi</i>	<i>hatthino—hatthi</i>
Acc.	<i>hatthinaṃ—hatthim</i>	<i>hatthino—hatthi</i>
Instr.	<i>hatthinā</i>	} <i>hatthihi</i>
Abl.	<i>hatthinā—hatthismā, -imhā</i>	
Dat.-Gen.	<i>hatthino—hatthissa</i>	<i>hatthinam</i>
Loc.	<i>hatthini—hatthismim, -imhi</i>	<i>hatthisu</i>
Voc	<i>hatthi</i>	<i>hatthino—hatthi</i>

On flexion: 1. Two distinct types are in evidence¹: the old one in *-in* and the new one in *-i* (flexion according to § 82), which is derived either from the stem-form in which the *in*-stems appear in compounds, or from the case-form in Instr. Sg. where both declensions show the same form. Both the types were living in all the periods of the language. Cf., e.g., Sg. Gen. *jhāyino* (from *jhāyin* 'thoughtful') Dh. 110, *setṭhino* JāCo. I. 122¹⁷ (from *setṭhin* 'merchant'), *hatthino* DhCo. I. 168¹² and **anupassissa* (from *ssin* 'observing') Dh. 253, *setṭhissa* S. I. 90¹, Vin. I. 218³⁸, JāCo. IV. 229¹⁰, *hatthissa* Vin. II. 195²⁶, JāCo. I. 187²⁰, Pl. Nom. *jhāyino* Db. 23. *sāmino* 'the masters' JāCo. II. 3²⁰, *gāmaṇḍino* 'the villagers' JāCo. III. 9²⁷, *pāṇino* 'living beings' Mhvs. 12. 22 and *hatthi* S. I. 211¹⁴ (verse), Vin. I.

¹ As in Pkr. Cf. Pischel, § 405.

218²⁸, JāCo. II. 102²², *dhamṣī* 'the brave ones' M. I. 236¹; Pl. Acc. *hatthī* DhCo. II. 45²⁵. Cf. also Sg. Acc. *hatthinam* Th1. 355 and *sāmim* Sn. 83, *gānavāsīm* JāCo. III. 10¹¹, Sg. Loc. *seṭṭhimhi* Vin. I. 17³³. Long-vowel forms, i.e. forms of the *i*-type, are the rule in Pl. Instr. Abl., Dat.-Gen. and Loc.; metrical shortening is not rare: *pāṇhi* Vv. 4. 6; *pāṇnam* Dh. 135, Jā. VI. 594¹⁹.—2. Occasionally *in*-stems too are thematised by adding an *a*¹, thus giving rise to new stems. Cf. Sg. Acc. neut. *ohāriṇam* Dh. 346 from *ohārin* 'dragging down'; Sg. Loc. *ariyavuttine* JāCo. III. 12²² (verse); Pl. Nom. *verinā* DhCo. II. 37¹ from *verin* 'inimical'=*vairin*; Pl. Acc. *palokine* Th2. 101 from *palokin* 'doomed to destruction', *pāṇine* Sn. 220; Pl. Loc. *verinesu* Dh. 197. We have even Sg. Voc. fem. *āveḷine* *uppalamāladhārīne* Vv. 48. 2 from *āveḷin* 'decorated', °*dhārin* 'carrying lotus-wreaths' beside Vocatives like *alamkate*. Otherwise the *in*-stems form their feminines as in Skr.: *sāmini* 'mistress', *gabbhīni* 'pregnant' etc.—3. There are some isolated unusual forms, e.g., Pl. Nom. *pāṇayo* Sn. 201, *hatthiyo* Jā. VI. 537³⁰ and the archaic Instrumentals in *-bhi*: *atthadassibhi* Th1. 4, *nettimsavara-dhārībhi* Jā. II. 77²³, *jhāyibhi*, *jhānasilībhi* M. III. 13²⁵ etc.—4. The stem *tādi*=*tādīś* (cf. §75) is treated as an *in*-stem; cf. Sg. Gen. *tādino* Vv. 82.7, Pl. Gen. *-nam* Vv. 81. 26; also Sg. Loc. *tādine* (cf. 2) Th1. 1173.

8. *nt*-declension

§ 96. Adjectives in *-ant*. Stem: *silavant* 'virtuous'.

	Sg.	Pl.
Nom.	<i>silavā</i> — <i>-vanto</i>	<i>silavanto</i> — <i>-vantā</i>
Acc.	<i>silavantam</i>	<i>silavanto</i> — <i>-vante</i>
Instr.-Abl.	<i>silavatā</i> — <i>-vantena</i>	<i>silavantehi</i>
Dat.-Gen.	<i>silavato</i> — <i>-vantassa</i>	<i>silavantam</i> — <i>-vantānam</i>
Loc.	<i>silavati</i> — <i>-vante, -vantamhi,</i> <i>-vantasmim</i>	<i>silavantesu</i>
Voc.	<i>silavā</i> — <i>-vanta</i>	<i>silavanto</i> — <i>-vantā</i>

On flexion. 1. Out of the older historical type the later one has been developed through transfer to the *a*-flexion. The Sg. Acc. in *-antam* was the connecting link. Both types persist side by side through all the stages of the language. The younger type completely

¹ Similarly in Pkr. stems like *sakkhiṇa*=*sākeṇ*, *barahina*=*barhin*. *Fischel, §403,

monopolised the Instr., Abl. and Loc. Pl. even from the beginning. Examples of forms of the later type out of the Gāthā-language: Sg. Gen. *silavantassa* Dh. 110; Loc. *silavante* JāCo. III. 12²² (verse); Voc. *yasavanta* Vv. 68. 30; Sg. Nom. neut. *vaṇṇavantaṃ* (*pupphaṃ*) Th1. 323. 324; Pl. Acc. *mahante* Jā. IV. 222²⁸. From canonical prose Sg. Nom. *mahanto* 'great' M. III. 185¹; Pl. Acc. *mahante* Vin. I. 85³¹; Gen. *silavantānaṃ* M. I 334⁴, *satimantānaṃ* A. I. 24³³, *dhitimantānaṃ* A. I. 25², *bhagavantānaṃ* S. V. 164⁶ etc. Also Pl. Instr. *silavantehi* D. II. 80²¹ etc. Yet the regular flexion is still the older one. From the stem *cakkhumant* 'endowed with eyes, seeing' we have in Sn. the forms Sg. Nom. *cakkhumā*, Voc. *-ma*, Instr. *-matā*; Pl. Nom. *-manto*. From *satimat* 'of retentive memory' we have in Dh. Sg. Nom. *satimā*; Gen. *-mato*; Pl. Nom. *-manto*; Gen. *-mataṃ* etc.¹ In canonical prose the forms of the older type are: Sg. Nom. *satimā* D. I. 37²⁵, *vusitavā* Perf. Part. Act. 'he who has dwelt' M. I. 5¹⁰, *sulavā* 'he who has heard, learnt' M. I. 8³²; Instr. *mahatā* S. V. 163²⁶, *silavatā* S. III. 167²³; Gen. *silavato* S. IV. 303²⁰, *sabbāvato* (from *sabbāvanta* 'full, complete') M. II. 15¹⁹; Pl. Gen. *sabbāvataṃ* M. II. 16¹⁸ etc. Also *bhagavā*, *-vatā*, *-vato*, *-vati*; *āyasmā*, *-matā* etc. passim. Forms of the older type in post-canonical prose: Sg. Nom. *silavā* Milp. 224³, JāCo. I. 187¹; Instr. (*Mārena*) *pāpimatā* Milp. 155¹¹; Gen. *mahato* Milp. 224¹⁶, JāCo. III. 23¹⁸, (*Mārassa*) *pāpimato* Milp. 155⁸; *balavato yasavato* Milp. 284¹⁴; quite commonly *bhagavā*, *-vatā*, *-vato*, *-vati*; *āyasmā*, *-matā*. Beside them however the forms of the *a*-flexion go on increasing: Sg. Nom. *sumahanto* Milp. 155²; Instr. *mahantena* JāCo. III. 24²⁰, 40³; Pl. Acc. *silavante* JāCo. I. 187²⁸; Gen. *bhagavantānaṃ* Milp. 226¹³; Sg. Nom. neut. *mahantaṃ* (*pāṭihāriyaṃ*) JāCo. IV. 229¹⁵, *ojavantaṃ* (*ratṭhaṃ*) JāCo. III. 111⁶; Pl. Nom. neut. *ojavantāni* JāCo. III. 110²⁰ etc. Of the stem *Himavanta*², for instance, there occur in JāCo. only the following forms: Sg. Nom. *Himavā* JāCo. VI. 580⁸, Gen. *Himavato* JāCo. V. 392¹⁸, 419¹⁸, Loc. *Himavati* seven times (of which five times with the variant reading *-vante*). Otherwise the stem *Himavanta* is used throughout. Cf. also the abstracts like *silavantatu* JāCo. I. 320⁴ etc., derived from a stem extended by *-a*.—2. Transfer to the *a*-flexion follows also from the dropping of *nt*³. Forms of this

¹ Cf. Fausbøll, Sn. II. Glossary, under the word *cakkhumat* (n. 118), D. Andersen, PGL. under the word *silavat*.

² D. Andersen, Index to Fausbøll, JāCo. VII, under the word.

³ Similarly in Pkr.; Pischel, § 398.

type are found in the Gāthā-language: Sg. Acc. *satimaṃ* Sn. 212, *bhānumaṃ* Sn. 1016, *Himavaṇaṃ* Jā. VI. 272⁴; Pl. Nom. *mutimā* Sn. 881. Also Sg. Nom. fem. *kittimā* Jā. III. 70⁶, VI. 508²¹. The fem. name *Sirimā* occurs in all the stages of the language¹. The neutral form *ojavaṃ* Th2. 55 may be derived from a stem *ojava*, or it may be directly derived from Skr. *ojavat*. These forms perhaps facilitated the shortening of *ant*-stems into *a*-stems.—3. The Nominative-form of the Pl. in *-anto* is used also in Acc., just as that of the Sg. in *-ā* is used in Voc.

§ 97. Present Participles in *-nt*. 1. Their flexion is distinguished from that of the adjectives in *-nt* firstly by the fact that the Sg. Nom. has retained the ending *-aṃ*=Skr. *-an* in the Gāthā-language and in the canonical prose. Thus *jīvaṃ* 'living' Sn. 427, 432, Th1. 44=*jīvan*; *kubbaṃ* 'making' Jā. III. 278¹²=*kurvaṃ*; *viharaṃ* 'sojourning' Th1. 435=*viharan*; *bhaṇaṃ* 'speaking' Sn. 429=*bhaṇan* etc. Similarly *jānaṃ* 'knowing' M. II. 9²³=*jānan*; *passaṃ* 'seeing' M. II. 9²⁴=*paśyan*. But beside it the ending *-nto* occurs already in the oldest period of the language. *kandanto* 'weeping' Th1. 406; *patthento* 'desiring' Th1. 264; *gavesanto* 'seeking' Th1. 183; *apaṭikujjhanto* 'not getting angry with it' S. I. 162³⁰ (verse) etc., and frequently in the canonical prose: *kandanto* M. II. 3²⁰, *appajānanto* 'not comprehending' M. I. 7²². In post-canonical prose the form in *-nto* becomes predominant, and that in *-aṃ* is considered to be archaic. Hence *nihaṇaṃ* 'killing' Jā. II. 407¹ is explained by *nihaṇanto* in the Co., as also in similar other instances. In the first two periods of the language the flexion retained the archaic forms: Sg. Instr. *icchatā* (from *icchatī* 'wishes') Th1. 167=*icchatā*; Gen. *vasato* (from *vasatī* 'dwells') Jā. III. 17⁹=*vasatas*; Pl. Gen. *vi jānataṃ* (from *vi jānāti* 'comprehends') Th1. 14; *vadataṃ* (from *vadati* 'speaks') Vv. 53. 1 (Comm.=*vadantānaṃ*); Sg. Gen. *passato*=*paśyatas* M. I. 7⁴, *viharato* M. I. 9²⁷ etc. Along with them should be counted also the forms Sg. Gen. *karoto* Dh. 116, Th1. 98, 99 and Pl. Gen. *karotaṃ* Vv. 34. 21 (but *kurutaṃ* M. I. 516²³). They belong to the stem *karont-* abstracted out of the Acc. of the new form *karonta-*, and their relation to the Acc. *karontaṃ* is as that of *vasato*, *vasataṃ* to *vasantaṃ*². We should also note the Pl. Nom. *icchato* Th1. 320 for *icchanto*=*icchantas*. At the side of the older forms there are found, already from the Gāthā-language onwards, forms of the *a*-flexion. Sg. Gen.

¹ JPTS. 1909, p. 166.

² Cf. E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 77.

namantassa (from *namati* 'bows') Jā. II. 205⁹, *passantassa* Th1. 716; Loc. *kandante* Th1. 774; Pl. Nom. *vicarantā* (from *vicarati* 'wanders about') Th1. 37, *a-vijānantā* Th1. 276; Pl. Gen. *nadantānaṃ* (from *nadati* 'roars') Th1. Introd. verse 1; cf. also Pl. Loc. *uppatantesu nipatantesu* (root *pat*) Th1. 76. These forms become more frequent in the canonical prose (cf. Pl. Nom. *jānantā*, *passantā* M. II. 10^{8,9}; Acc. *pavisante*, *nikkhamante* 'the incoming, the outgoing' M. II. 21²⁶), and in the post-canonical prose they are the only current ones.—2. More rarely, in the Gāthā-language, the participles in *-ant* go over to the *a*-flexion also by dropping the final *nt*. Cf. *jāno* 'knowing' Jā. III. 24² for *jānaṃ*, *jānanto*; *pusso* 'seeing' Th1. 61 for *passaṃ*, *passanto*. In this way is to be explained the form *anu-kubbassa* Jā. II. 205¹⁰ instead of *-kubbato* = *kurvatas* (Comm. *anukubbantassa*). The Sg. Nom. neut. *asaṃ* 'worthless' Jā. II. 32² would be thus directly = Skr. *asat*.

§ 98. 1 The stem *arahant* 'the perfect one'¹, originally a Part. Pres., has in Sg. Nom. both *araham* S. I. 169²³ (verse), Sn. p. 100, 108, A. II. 234³⁶, Iv. 78²² etc. as well as *arahā* Sn. 1008. The reading of the mss. is often uncertain, as in A. III. 436²¹, 437², IV. 364²², Iv. 95¹². Moreover the stems *arahant* and *arahanta* are in evidence side by side. The Sg. Loc. *arahantamhi* occurs already in Th1. 1173; the Pl. Gen. is *arahataṃ* in Dh. 164, D. I. 88², S. I. 161²⁷ and *arahantānaṃ* in A. IV. 394²³, Milp. 208²¹ etc.—2 Of the stem *sant* 'existing, good' the old Pl. Inst. *sabbhi* = *sabbhis* has been retained in verses. Dh. 151, Th1 1096, D. II. 246⁷ (verse), *sabbhir-eva* Th1.4, S. I. 17³ (verse), *asabbhi* Sn. 245. The other forms are derived from the stems *sant* or *santa*; e.g. Sg. Dat.-Gen. *sato* Th1. 180, D. I. 34¹¹, Milp. 235²⁵; Loc. *satī* Sn. 81, D. II. 31¹³, Vin. I. 112³⁵, Milp. 231¹³ (in connection with a fem. substantive JāCo. I. 328², 348⁸ etc.) and *sante* Sn. 94, M. II. 24²², DhCo. II. 134⁴; Pl. Nom. *santo* Dh. 83, 151 and *santā* Vin. I. 103¹; Pl. Loc. *santesu* Milp. 28⁵ (verse) etc. The Sg. Nom. masc. is always *santo* Sn. 98, 124, Th1. 198, Milp. 32⁶. On the neut. *asaṃ* see § 97. 2, beside it we have *santaṃ*, *asantaṃ* Vin. I. 94^{32,33}. But I consider *asataṃ* Sn. 131 to be Pl. Gen. = *asajjanānaṃ* as in the Comm.; var. lec. of the Comm. is *asantaṃ* = *abhūtaṃ*.—3 The form of address *bhavant* 'venerable', used for the Pron. of the second person, has the following forms. Sg. Nom. *bhavaṃ* Sn. 486, L. I. 249¹⁹, M. I. 484⁸, neut.

¹ Cf. R. O. Franke, D. übers., p. 297 foot-note 1.

bhavam M. III. 172²⁶; Acc. *bhavantam* Sn. 597, D. II. 281²⁸; Instr. *bhotā* D. I. 98²³, S IV. 120¹⁴, Sn. p. 15; Gen. *bhoto* Sn. 565, M. I. 486¹⁰; Voc. *bhavam* D. I. 98¹⁸ and *bho* D. I. 93¹⁹, M. I. 484⁵, JāCo. II 26¹⁸. Pl. Nom. *bhavanto* Sn. p. 103 and *bhonto* Sn. v. 101, 103, M. II 2⁴, Milp. 25¹⁴; Acc. *bhavante* M. II. 3²²; Instr. *bhavantehi* M. III. 13²⁴; Gen. *bhavatam* M. II. 3¹⁸; Voc. *bhonto* Thl. 832, M. II. 2⁵. The form *bhante*, a 'Māgadhism'¹, is used absolutely in address: Vin. I. 76³², D. II. 154¹⁴, 283²¹, JāCo. II. 111¹³, III. 46⁴, or in connection with a Voc.: Milp. 25¹⁸, or attributively in any case: it is in Nom. in D. I. 179¹⁸, DhCo. I. 62²¹, in Gen.-Dat. in D. I. 179¹⁶ etc. The fem. of the stem *bhavant* is *bhctī*. Cf. Sg. Nom. *bhotī* Sn. 988, Jā. III. 95¹³, Acc. *bhotim* Jā. VI. 523¹⁹, Loc. *bhotiyā* Jā. VI. 523¹⁸, Voc. *bhoti* Jā. VI. 523⁷, D. II. 249⁸ etc.²

9. s-Declension

§ 99. Neuters in -as. Stem *sotas* 'stream

Of the historical forms there are preserved only Sg. Nom.-Acc.-Voc. *soto*³—Instr. *sotasā*—Dat.-Gen. *sotaso*—Loc. *sotasi*. Transfer to the neutral *a*-declension is also achieved by dropping the final *s* (§ 78 B). The new stem serves as the basis of all the cases in Plural, and, apparently, also of Abl. Sg. Sometimes also the other cases of Sg. are formed from it.

On flexion. 1. The historical forms are found mostly in the Gāthā-language and in the canonical prose: Sg. Nom. (*paramam*) *tapo* 'the (highest) penance' Dh. 184; Acc. *siro* 'the head' Sn. 768, *yaso* 'reputation' Jā. III. 87²⁵; Instr. *urasā* 'with the breast' Thl. 27, 283, *sirasā* 'with the head' Vin. I. 4²³, M. II. 120¹, *cetasā* 'with the heart' Vin. I. 4¹⁷, *jjarasā* 'through age' DhCo. III. 320⁷ (verse); Dat.-Gen. *cetaso* Vin. I. 4³³, M. III. 196²⁷; *manaso* 'of the mind' Dh. 390; Loc. *urasi* Jā. III. 148¹³, *aghasi-gama* 'moving through the

¹ Cf. AMag. *bhante*; Pischel, § 366 b.

² The feminines of participles are usually derived from the strong stem. 'I. *gacchantī* JāCo. I. 291³, *labhantī* JāCo. II. 128¹⁵, *passantī* Vin. I. 16¹⁰, JāCo. I. 61³, *ārocentī* JāCo. VI. 522²⁴, *khajjantī* (from *khajjati* 'is devoured' = *khādyate*) Thl. 315 etc. The feminines of adjectives are however derived from the weak stem. Cf. *silavatī* D. II. 12²⁷, *mahatī* DhCo. II. 41¹³, and the proper names like *Bandhumatī* (a city) D. II. 12², *Ketumatī* (a river) Jā. VI. 513¹², *Yasavatī* (a woman) JāCo. IV. 237⁷ etc. Flexion according to § 86.

³ The coincidence of this form with the Sg. Nom. *dhammo* of masc. *a*-stems has led to a confusion in gender as pointed out in § 76.

atmosphere' Vv. 16. 1. But beside them, already in the oldest period, forms of the *a*-type are frequently used: Sg. Nom. *siraṇ* Th2. 255, *manaṇ* Dh. 96; Acc. *siraṇ* A. I. 141¹³; Instr. *tapena* Sn. 655; Gen. *manassa* S. IV. 4¹⁷; Loc. *ure* D. I. 135²⁷, *urasmīṇ* A. I. 141⁵, *nabhamhi* 'in the atmosphere' Jā. V. 14²⁰, *aghe* Jā. IV. 322¹ and *aghasmi* Jā. IV. 484¹². This becomes the normal flexion in the post-canonical prose¹. The archaic forms are confined to a limited number of words and expressions: Sg. Nom. *mano* JāCo. IV. 217^{25, 26}; Acc. *vaco* JāCo. IV. 234¹⁷; Instr. *manasā* JāCo. IV. 218⁴, 227¹⁵, as well as, for instance, Milp. 227¹⁰, in the old phrase *kāyena vācāya manasā*; Loc. *manasi* in *manasi-karoti* 'pays attention to, ponders in mind over' JāCo. I. 398²⁹, 500¹⁵ etc. On the other hand *mane* DhCo. I. 23³.—2. For the Plural it is sufficient to point out from the oldest literature the forms *sotāni* Sn. 433 and *sotā* Sn. 1034; Acc. *sote*² Th1. 761; Instr. *sotehi* Sn. 197, *sirchi* Jā. IV. 250¹⁶; Gen. *sotānaṃ* Sn. 1034.—3. The transfer to the *a*-decl. may take place also through the addition of *a* to the *s*-stem: Sg. Acc. *sirasam* JāCo. V. 494⁸.

§ 100. Masculines and feminines in *-as*. 1. The masc. stem *candimas* 'moon' has in Sg. Nom. *candimā* Dh. 172 f., 382 = *candramās*. For the rest the flexion is just like that of *a*-stems. The same applies as a rule to compounds with *as*-stems. Cf. Sg. Nom. *attamano* 'joyous' Dh. 328, D. II. 352¹¹, M. I. 482³; *dummano* 'sad' Vin. I. 21²², JāCo. II. 160¹⁸; fem. *attamanā* JāCo. I. 52³⁰; Pl. Nom. *attamanā* D. I. 46²⁷; *sumanā* Sn. 222; Acc. *muditamane* Sn. 680. Forms of the *as*-type are however found in the Gāthā-language: Sg. Gen. *anānvāhatacetaso* Dh. 39; perhaps Sg. Acc. *vyāsattamanasaṃ* Dh. 47. Transfer to the *a*-flexion may take place also through extension of the stem by *a*: Sg. Nom. *avyāpannacetaso*³ S. V. 74^{10, 20}; Pl. Nom. *adhimanasā* Sn. 692.—2. The Participles Perf. Act. in *-vas* assume various forms. Historical are the forms *avidvā* 'unknowing' Sn. 535 etc., M. I. 311⁷ = *avidvān*, as well as *°dassivā* in *bhaya-dassivā* Dh. 31 f. = *°darśivān* 'seeing'. The form which is most in use is *vidā*, derived from the weak stem *viduṣ* and inflected according to § 87. 2. Moreover we have also a stem *viddasu*: Sg. Nom. *aviddasu* Dh. 268, Gen. *viddasuno*, av. M. I. 65^{5, 6, 7}; Pl. Nom. *aviddasū* Sn.

¹ As also in Pkr. (see Pischel, § 408 f.), which has however also retained the old forms, particularly in AMāg. and JMāh.

² On *sotā* and *sote* cf. § 78. 6, 7.

³ Also in Skr. the form *cetasā* is allowed at the end of a compound according to Vopadeva, BR. sub *vece*.

762, -suno M. I. 65²⁶.—3. The comparatives in -yas drop the final *s* and are transferred to the *a*-flexion. Cf. Sg. Nom. *scyyo* Dh. 308, Sn. 918, S. IV. 88³; Acc. *scyyaṃ* Dh. 61, Th1. 208; Pl. Nom. *scyyā* Dpvs. 4, 51 and *scyyāso* (§ 79. 4) Vv. 18. 12; from this stem also fem. *scyyā*, neut. *scyyam* JāCo. III. 237¹³, Pl. *scyyāni* Jā. III. 196¹². The old Sanskrit form is retained in the neut. *scyyo* 'superior' Dh. 76, Th1. 194, Jā. II. 44², VI. 498¹⁹, Vin. III. 73¹⁴ etc. = *śreyas*. The opposite of it is *pāpiyo* 'inferior' Jā. II. 44⁵ etc. (beside *pāpiyaṃ* Milp. 155¹⁰) = *pāpiyas*. The indeclinable *scyyaso* Dh. 42 f., Jā. IV. 241^{11, 13} is identical in meaning with *scyyo*. *Scyyatara* may be regarded as the usual form for *scyya* in the post-canonical prose, and in VvCo. 96²²⁻²³, for instance, *scyya* is explained by *scyyatara*. Also from the old stem the fem. *scyyasī* (shortened from *scyyasī* metri causa) Jā. V. 393²¹.—4. Pāli *accharā*¹ corresponding to Skr. fem. *apsaras* 'nymph' is a case of transfer to the *ā*-decl., § 81. The stem *jarā* beside *jaras* is known also in Skr.

§ 101. The neuter stems in -is, -us are treated almost exclusively as *i*-, *u* neuters (§ 85). Historical forms are found only occasionally, as Sg. Instr. *āyusā*² from Skr. *āyus* 'age' Sn. 149. Usually however the stem is as in Pāli *sappi* from Skr. *sarpis* 'butter', Pāli *cakkhu* from Skr. *cakṣus* 'eye'. Thus Sg. Nom. *sappi* D. I. 201²⁶, A. I. 278³¹ and *sappiṃ* JāCo. I. 457²², *āyu* 'age' Th1. 145, Dh. 109 and *āyuṃ* JāCo. I. 138⁵, *cakkhuṃ* Vin. II. 157³; Acc. *sappiṃ* Mhvs. 5. 217, *cakkhuṃ* JāCo. III. 18⁷; Instr. *sappinā* Ud. 38²³, *cakkhunā* JāCo. III. 18⁹; Abl. *sappinhā* D. I. 201²⁶; Dat.-Gen. *sappissu* Ud. 93⁴, *āyussa* Mhvs. 35. 73, *cakkhuno* JāCo. IV. 206¹⁹; Loc. *cakkhusmiṃ* Vin. I. 84³², *cakkhumhi* Dpvs. 4. 4. Pl. Nom. *cakkhūni* JāCo. IV. 137¹⁶; Instr. *cakkhūhi* Dpvs. 17. 26 etc.—The neuter Sanskrit stem *arcis* 'flame' was changed into *acci* and then inflected as a fem. stem: Sg. Instr. *acciyā* M. II. 130⁶, Pl. Nom. *accīyo* Vin. I. 25³⁰ (*acci vātena khittā* A. IV. 103³, S. IV. 399^{27, 28}).—Masculine compounds like *diḡhāyu* 'long-lived' = *diḡghāyus* are inflected according to § 82.

10. Adverbs and Comparison.

§ 102. The accusative of the neuter adjective serves as the adverb in Pāli: *jaha sīghaṃ samussayaṃ* 'give up quickly the totality (of all that lead to rebirth)' Th1. 88; *sādhū kho mayaṃ palāyimha* 'we have

¹ So also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 410.

² As also Pkr, AMāg. *cakkhusū*; Pischel, § 411.

fled just in the right manner' Vin. I 38³¹, *tumho saṇikaṃ āgaccheyyātha* 'come hither slowly!' JāCo. III 37¹³; *palāyethalahaṃ* 'fly quickly!' Mhvs. 7. 66. But other case-forms too are used as adverbs; thus Instrumentals: *kičchena katā paṇṇasālā* 'the hut made with great labour' JāCo. II. 44⁶; *api ca me āvuso satthā paricijṇo diḥharattāṃ manāpena na amanāpena* 'moreover the master has been served by me for a long time in a fitting manner, and not in an unfitting manner' S. IV. 57²⁵. The Abl. is used, for instance, in *kičchā laddho ayaṃ putto* 'this son has been acquired with great difficulty' Thl. 475 (cf. VvCo 229¹⁸). Or should *kičchā* here be regarded as Instr.?

§ 103. Comparison. 1. Several of the old comparatives and superlatives in *-iyas* and *-iṣṭha* have been preserved. Thus *seyya(s)* = *śreyas*, *pāpiya(s)* = *pāpiyas* (§ 100. 3); *bhūyo*, *bhūyo* 'more' (adv.) Dh. 17 f., Thl. 110, 173, S. I. 108¹⁷ etc. = *bhūyas*. The comp. *nīceyya(s)* Sn. 855, 918 has been formed clearly on the analogy of *seyya(s)*. Moreover we have the superlatives *settha* 'the best' = *śreṣṭha*; *pāpiṭṭha* 'the worst' = *pāpiṣṭha*; *kanitṭha* 'the youngest' = *kaniṣṭha*; *jeṭṭha* 'the eldest' = *jyeṣṭha*. *Setṭho* in Vv. 64. 33 is used in the sense of a comparative. As in Skr, so also in Pāli, these comparatives and superlatives may undergo further gradation¹. *seyyatara* (§ 100. 3); *setṭhatara* Jā V. 148⁷; *pāpitṭhatara* Vin. II. 5¹¹. The compound *pāpissika* is difficult to explain. According to Childers *sub voce* it is = *pāpiyas + ika*. A less contracted form is perhaps to be found in *pāpiyyasika* of the technical term *tassapāpiyyasikā*.—2. The comparative suffix *-tara* is very productive in Pāli. It seems to have almost completely ousted the superlative suffix *-tama*. An example of the superlative is *ulāratama* 'the highest' VvCo. 320¹⁴; *sattama* 'the best' Sn. 356 is another. Regular examples of the comparative are *piyatara* 'dearer' JāCo. III. 279²⁴, *sādutara* 'sweeter' Sn. 181 (used in the superlative sense in S. I. 214¹⁹), *bahutara* 'more' Vin. I. 129⁴ etc. There are also new formations such as *mahantatara* 'greater' M. III 170¹³, JāCo. II. 417¹⁶, *silavantatara* 'more virtuous' JāCo. II. 3²¹, *vaṇṇavantatara* 'more beautiful' D. I 18²¹, in which the suffix has been added to the stem extended by *a*. In *balavatara* 'stronger' Milp. 234²¹ it has been added to the shortened stem. Cf. the comparatives *purimatara* 'the earlier' S. IV. 398⁸, *paramatara* 'the higher' Thl. 518, *varatara* 'the more excellent' DhCo. I. 332⁶ and the Adv. *paṭhamataraṃ* 'earlier' Vin. I. 30¹, DhCo. I. 138⁷,

¹ Cf. also in Pkr. AMāg *jeṭṭhayara* etc.; Fischel, § 414.

JāCo. VI. 510²⁵. In *sappurisa-tara* 'the more efficient man' S. V. 20⁷ the suffix *-tara* has been added to the substantive *sappurisa* = *satpuruṣa*; in *puretaraṃ* 'earlier' it has been added to the adv. *pure*. Even the adverb *pageva* 'much more still' has been intensified to *pagevataṃ* M. III. 145³. The comparative has been extended by the suffix *-ika* in *lahukatarika* M. II. 70¹³. The intensity of meaning — 'much', 'exceedingly' etc.—may be expressed also by the reduplication of the adj.: *mahantamahanto* JāCo. I. 347²⁹. Cf. D. II. 73⁶.—8. The simple positive is not infrequently used in the comparative sense.¹ Cf. *etesu katarāṃ nu llo mahantaṃ* 'which is the greater of the two?' JāCo. III. 194³; *santi te nātito bahū* 'they are more numerous than the relatives' Mhvs. 14. 20. Cf. DhCo. I. 94¹⁸

II. Pronoun

§ 104. A. Personal pronoun of the first person (stem-form in *Sg. maṃ*-, cf. S. IV. 315²³):

	Sg.	Pl.
Nom.	<i>ahaṃ</i> 'I'	<i>mayāṃ</i> (<i>amhe</i>) 'we'
Acc.	<i>maṃ</i> (<i>mamaṃ</i>)	<i>amhe</i> (<i>asme</i> , <i>amhākaṃ</i> , <i>asmākaṃ</i>)
Instr.-Abl.	<i>mayā</i>	<i>amhehi</i>
Dat.-Gen.	<i>mama</i> , <i>mayhaṃ</i> (<i>inamaṃ</i> , <i>amhaṃ</i>)	<i>amhākaṃ</i> (<i>asmākaṃ</i> , <i>amhaṃ</i>)
Loc.	<i>mayi</i>	<i>amhesu</i>

Enclitic: Sg. Instr.-Dat.-Gen. *me* Pl. Acc.-Instr.-Dat.-Gen. *no*

B. Personal pronoun of the second person :

	Sg.	Pl.
Nom.	<i>tvaṃ</i> (<i>tuvaṃ</i>) 'thou'	<i>tumhe</i> 'you'
Acc.	<i>taṃ</i> (<i>ivaṃ</i> , <i>tuvaṃ</i>)	<i>tumhe</i> (<i>tumhākaṃ</i>)
Instr.-Abl.	<i>tayā</i> (<i>tvayā</i>)	<i>tumhehi</i>
Dat.-Gen.	<i>tava</i> , <i>tuyhaṃ</i> (<i>tavaṃ</i> , <i>tumhaṃ</i>)	<i>tumhākaṃ</i> (<i>tumhaṃ</i>)
Loc.	<i>tayi</i> (<i>tvayi</i>)	<i>tumhesu</i>

Enclitic: Sg. Instr.-Dat.-Gen. *te* Pl. Acc.-Instr.-Dat.-Gen. *vo*.

¹ Cf. Geiger, Mhvs. ed. p. LIV. The same usage also in Pk.; Fischel, § 414 (towards the end of the paragraph).

Notes: 1. The unbracketed forms are the regular ones in the post-canonical prose, in which, for instance, clear distinction is made between *tvam* 'thou' and *taṃ* 'thee'. All these forms are used also already in the oldest periods of the language. The bracketed forms are archaic or rarer. Attestation of the Pronoun of the first person: Sg. Acc. *mamaṃ* Jā. III. 55⁵, S. I. 88²¹, 219³⁴; Gen. *mamaṃ* Sn. 694, D. II. 90¹¹, A. II. 1¹¹, *amhaṃ*¹ Th1. 1045 (or Pl. Dat.-Gen. ?); Pl. Nom. *amhe* S. I. 118¹², DhCo. III. 56¹⁷; Acc. *asme* Jā. III. 859²¹ (Comm. = *amhe*), *amhākaṃ* JāCo. I. 221²⁹; Dat.-Gen. *asmākaṃ* Sn. p. 102, *amhaṃ* Th2 287, Jā. III. 300¹⁶, VI. 509³⁰, Mhvs. 5. 200. Pronoun of the second person: Sg. Nom. *tuvaṃ* Sn. 377 a, Vv. 64. 23 c, Pv. II. 3 2; Acc. *tvam* Mhvs. 10. 50 c, *tuvaṃ* Sn. 377 d, Vv. 84. 10; Pl. Acc. *tumhākaṃ* JāCo. I. 221²⁹; Dat.-Gen. *tumhaṃ* D. I. 8⁵, JāCo. III. 19¹⁵.—2 The *m* of *mayam* = Skr. *vayam* is taken over from the forms of the Sg. such as *maṃ*, *mayā* etc., just as the *t* of *tumhe*, *tumhākaṃ* etc. (as opposed to Skr. *yuṣmākaṃ* etc.) has been taken over from the forms *taṃ*, *tayā* etc.—3. The Nom.-Acc. Pl. *amhe* (*asme*) and *tumhe* correspond to the Vedic forms *asme*, *yuṣme*, which according to Pāṇini VII. 1 39 may be used for various plural cases².—4. The *e* of *amhehi*, *amhesu*, *tumhehi*, *tumhesu* as opposed to *asmābhis*, *asmāsu*, *yuṣmābhis*, *yuṣmāsu* are to be explained by the analogy of the forms *tehi*, *tesu* etc. (§ 105).

§ 105. Pronoun of the third person. (Stem-form *taṃ*- Vv. 84. 44, *tad-* in *tadahe* Mhvs 5. 43, *tappaccayā* Th1. 719 etc.)

	Sg.		Pl.	
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
Nom.	<i>so (sa)</i>	<i>sā</i>	<i>te</i>	<i>tā (tāyo)</i>
Acc.	<i>taṃ</i>	<i>taṃ</i>	<i>te</i>	<i>tā (tāyo)</i>
Instr.	<i>tena</i>	<i>tāya</i>	<i>tehi</i>	<i>tāhi</i>
Abl.	<i>tamhā, tasmā</i>	<i>tāya</i>	<i>tehi</i>	<i>tāhi</i>
Dat.-Gen.	<i>tassa</i>	<i>tassā</i>	<i>tesaṃ</i> (<i>tesānaṃ</i>)	<i>tāsaṃ</i> (<i>tāsānaṃ</i>)
		<i>tissā (tissāya,</i>		
		<i>tāya)</i>		
Loc.	<i>tamhi, tasmim</i>	<i>tassaṃ (tāsaṃ)</i>	<i>tesu</i>	<i>tāsu</i>
		<i>tissaṃ (tāyaṃ)</i>		

¹ Also in Prākṛit the grammarians give the forms *amhaṃ*, *tumhaṃ* for Gen. Sg. Pischel, § 415, 420.

² Cf. E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 72, 86; Pischel, ZDMG. 35. 715 f.; PkrGr. § 419, 422.

The Neuter has Sg. Nom.-Acc. *taṃ* (in vowel-sandhi *tad-* § 72. 1). Pl. Nom.-Acc. *tāni*. Elsewhere as in Masc.

Notes 1. The more isolated or archaic forms are again given in brackets. The remaining forms are found in all the periods of the language and become the regular ones in the post-canonical prose. Attestation of the former: For Sg. Gen. fem. *tissāya* cf *clissāya* (§ 107. 1) VvCo 106¹⁴; Sg. Loc. fem. *tāsaṃ*¹ Milp 136¹¹, (*tissaṃ* M. II. 55²⁵), *tāyam* (*velāyaṃ*) Vin. I. 2², Ud 1¹⁸, S. I. 5²¹. As for the forms of Pl. Gen. *tesānaṃ*, *tāsānaṃ* (double ending'), cf *csānaṃ* (§ 108) M. II. 154², *sabbcsānaṃ* (§ 113. 1) M. III. 60²⁴, *katamcsānaṃ* (§ 111. 2) Vin. III. 7²². Sg. Nom. masc. *sa* is from the first rarer than *so*. In Sn. *sa* occurs 40 times but *so* 124 times; in the first 500 Theragāthās *sa* occurs 4 times (of which twice in the favourite construction *sa ve*) and *so* 37 times. At the end *sa* becomes quite rare. —2. Instead of the Sg. Nom.-Acc. neut. *iaṃ* we have sometimes also the Māgadhese form *se*²: D. II. 278^{16, 30}, 279¹², M. II. 254²⁵, 255⁶. Cf. § 80b. I think the same form is contained in *scyyathā* 'just as', *seyyathādaṃ* 'as follows, namely'³. Instead of the former we find *taṃyathā* in Milp 1¹¹. The *sa-* in *sayathā* 'as' Th1. 412, *sace* 'if' is analogous to Skr. *sa* in *sa-yadi*, *sa-yathā* etc.⁴—3. There is an isolated form with double-ending Sg. Nom. neut. *tadaṃ* Sn. p. 143, in apposition with the Rel. Pron. *yaṃ*⁵.—4. The Pl. Nom. *te* appears also in Acc. Similarly in the flexion of other pronominal stems as well.

§ 106. 1. It is worthy of note that (mostly in the two oldest periods of the language) the Pron. *so*, *sā*, *taṃ* is used to strengthen other pronouns. It is used (a) before the personal Pron. of the first and the second persons: *so chaṃ* Sn. 190; *svāhaṃ* (§ 71. 1c) JāCo. I. 298³, *taṃ taṃ* (= *taṃ tvaṃ*) Jā. VI. 516¹⁸; *tesaṃ vo* A. V. 86⁸. We have even *tesaṃ vo bhikkhave*, *tumhākaṃ*.....Iv. 32¹, *tesaṃ no amhākaṃ* M. III. 194¹⁹. The Pron. *so* may refer also to the person contained in a verbal form: *so karohi* ' (you) do ' Dh. 236; *so tato*

¹ Unnecessarily changed by Trenckner into *tāyaṃ*.

² As Pk1. Māg. *se* (*se muṇḍe = tan muṇḍam*), AMāg. *se* (*se ditṭhaṃ = tad dṛṣṭam*). Pischel, § 423.

³ Not so Pischel, § 423, in whose opinion *se* = Ved. *sed* (*sa-id*). Yet his arguments do not seem to be convincing.

⁴ Pischel, *Ibid.*; BR. under the word *sa*, col. 452.

⁵ The form *tasmassa* given by E. Müller, PGr. p. 38 from Spiegel's Anecdota p. 15 is of course nothing but *tasmā assa*. The Colombo ed. of the Rasav. (2^a) rightly reads *tasmā 'ssa*.

cuto amutra udapādiṃ 'departed from there I was born again at that place' D. I. 18²³. (b) It is used also after the Rel. Pron., which thereby gets the general meaning 'whoever': *yāsā sīmā ... taṃ sīmaṃ* Vin. I. 109⁴; *ye te dhammā ... tathārūpā'ssa dhammā* M. III. 11²⁰; *yo so .. mama sahāyako* DhCo. IV. 128³. (c) It is used before or after the Dem. Pron. *ayaṃ*: *ta-y-idam* (= *taṃ idam*) D. I. 91⁴, M. II. 230³; *svāyaṃ* (= *so ayaṃ*) Vin. I. 29²⁸; *ayaṃ so* JāCo. II. 16¹².—2. When repeated, the Pron. *so* signifies 'this and that, any, various': *tāsu tāsu disāsu, tesu tesu janapadesu* Vin. I. 21³⁴. Or it may refer to the indefinite Rel. Pron. *yo yo*, as for instance in Th1. 144, JāCo. I. 417⁶ etc.

§ 107. 1. The Dem. Pron. *eso* (*esa*), *esā*, *etaṃ* 'this' is inflected like *so*. In Sg. Nom. masc. both the forms *eso* and *esa* are equally in use, and that not only as substantive (*esa* JāCo. II. 6²⁴, *eso* JāCo. II. 7¹³) but also as adjective (*esa* JāCo. II. 10³, *eso* Sn. p. 102). The stem-form is *etaṃ* which appears, for instance, in *etaṃkāraṇā* 'for this reason' Vin. I. 57³⁵. *Eso* too, like *so*, is used in connection with other pronouns. Thus *esāhaṃ* (= *eso ahaṃ*) D. I. 110²³, or *ayaṃ eso* Mhvs. 1. 42; or *yāni etāni* (*yānāni*) DhCo. IV 6⁷.—2. The Pron. *ena* (= Skr. *enad*) is found to occur only in the forms *enaṃ* and *enena*¹. *Enaṃ* occurs as Acc. masc. in Sn. 981, 1114, M. III. 5⁷ etc., and as Acc. fem. in Jā. III. 395⁵ (changed into *ena* for sake of metre), as Acc. neut. in Sn. 583, Dh. 118, 313. The combination *tam-enaṃ* occurs in M. II. 248¹¹, III. 5⁷, JāCo. I. 350⁶ etc., and as fem. in Vv. 21. 4. The Sandhi-form of *ena* is *na* which is very common (cf. § 66 1, p. 107, with f-n. 2). The form *naṃ* in Sg. Acc. masc.-fem.-neut. is very well attested, as well as Dat.-Gen. *nassa* Jā. V. 203²¹; Pl. Acc. *ne* Vin. I. 42³⁵, S. I. 224²², JāCo. I. 99²⁶, 201¹⁷; Pl. Dat.-Gen. *nesaṃ* Sn. 293, Th1. 130, Th2. 277, JāCo. I. 153¹⁰ etc.—3. Quite an isolated form is *tyamhi* Jā. VI. 292²¹, which might belong to the pronominal stem *tya* = Ved. *tya*, mentioned by Moggallāna². The Comm. explains *tyamhi* by *tamhi*. The reading however is not quite certain.—4. Finally we have to mention the pronominal stem *tuma* of the third person which belongs to the two oldest periods of the language and which may be connected with the Ved. *tman*³.

¹ On Pkr. *ena* see Pischel, § 431.

² R. O. Franke, PGr. p. 35f.

³ This according to Oldenberg, KZ. 25. 319, while Johansson, *Monde Oriental* 1907-8, p. 99f. refuses to recognise any connection between the two words.

The following forms of it are found to occur: Sg. Nom. *tumo* Sn. 690, Vin. II. 186³¹, A. III. 124¹⁰, 125⁶ and the Sg. Gen. *tumassa* Sn. 908.

§ 108. The Demonstrative Pronoun *ayaṃ* 'this' (stem-form *idaṃ*, cf. *idappaccaya* D. I. 185²⁷).

	Sg. masc.	Sg. fem.	Pl. masc.	Pl. fem.
Nom.	<i>ayaṃ</i>	<i>ayaṃ</i>	<i>ime</i>	<i>imā, (imāyo)</i>
Acc.	<i>imaṃ</i>	<i>imaṃ</i>	<i>ime</i>	<i>imā, (imāyo)</i>
Instr.	<i>iminā, (anena)</i>	<i>imayā</i>	<i>imehi</i>	<i>imāhi</i>
Abl.	<i>imasmā, imamhā, imāya</i> (<i>asmā</i>)		(<i>ehi</i>)	
Dat.-Gen.	<i>imassa, assa</i>	<i>imissā(-ssāya),</i> (<i>imāya</i>), <i>assā, (assāya)</i>	<i>imesaṃ,</i> (<i>imesānaṃ</i>), (<i>esaṃ, esānaṃ</i>)	<i>imāsaṃ,</i> (<i>-sānaṃ</i>) (<i>āsaṃ</i>)
Loc.	<i>imasmim,</i> (<i>imamhi,</i> (<i>asmim</i>))	<i>imissaṃ, -ssā,</i> (<i>imāyaṃ</i>), (<i>assaṃ</i>)	<i>imesu,</i> (<i>esu</i>)	<i>imāsu</i>

The Neuter has in Sg. Nom.-Acc. *idaṃ, imaṃ*; Pl. Nom.-Acc. *imāni*. Otherwise as in masc.

Notes: 1. The pronominal stem *a-*, *ana-* is gradually supplanted by the stem *ima-* in course of the development of the language. It made its way also into Nom.-Acc. Sg. neut.¹ Thus we have *imaṃ* as Nom. neut. in Milp. 46⁷ and as Acc. neut. in S. IV. 125¹⁹, JāCo. I. 307⁸, DhCo. II. 29⁴, 31¹², Mhvs. 5. 157. Examples of forms of the *a-*, *ana-*stem: Sg. Instr. *anena* Mhvs. 5. 55; Abl. *asmā* Dh. 220, Th1. 237; Loc. *asmim*² Dh. 168 f., 242, Sn. 634, 990; Pl. Gen. masc. *esaṃ* M. II. 86² and *esānaṃ* M. II. 154², III. 259⁴, fem. *āsaṃ* Jā. I. 302⁴ (Comm. = *etāsaṃ*). The two forms *assa* and *assā* of Sg. Dat.-Gen. masc. and fem. have been retained and are frequently used enclitically also in the post-canonical literature. Of the rarer forms of the stem *ima-* I should mention here *imāyo* Pl. Nom. fem. Sn. 1122 and Acc. Mhvs. 15. 20. Instead of the Sg. Gen. masc. *imassa* there also occurs, *imissa* JāCo. I. 333² in analogy with the fem. form *imissā*, and instead of *iminā* there is *aminā* in the compound *tad-aminā* 'thereby, therefore' S. I. 88¹⁸, M. II. 239^{11, 15}, D. III. 83²¹ (beside *tad-iminā* M. II. 239²³,

¹ In Pkr. the process has gone further still. Here we find also Sg. Nom. masc. *imā*, fem. *imā*; Pischel, § 430.

² The reading *etā* 'asmim' *rukke* JāCo. III. 208¹⁷ is probably wrong. Cf. the var. loc.

240^s with var. lec.).—2. The pron. *ayaṃ* appears again in combination with other pronouns. Thus with the relative: *yāyaṃ* (= *yā ayaṃ*) Th1. 124; (= *yo ayaṃ*) Dh. 56; *yam-idaṃ kammaṃ .. taṃ* M. II. 220¹¹; *yān' imāni alāpūni* Dh. 149. With the interrogative pron.: *ko nu kho ayaṃ bhāsati* 'who is speaking there?' A. IV. 307²⁵. On the connection with *so* see § 106. 1 c.—3. When repeated, *ayañ-ca ayañ-ca* signifies 'this and that' and stands for an indefinite person or thing: *ayañ-ca ayañ-ca amhākaṃ rañño silācāro* 'such and such are the virtues of our king' JāCo. II. 3²³; *idañ-c' idañ-ca kātuṃ vaṭṭati* 'it is proper to do this and that' JāCo. II. 4²⁸.

§ 109. The Demonstrative Pronoun *asu*, *amu* 'that'.

Sg. masc.	fem.	Pl. masc.	fem.
Nom. <i>asu</i> , <i>amu</i>	<i>asu</i>	<i>amū</i>	<i>amū</i> , (<i>amuyo</i>)
Acc. <i>amuṃ</i>	<i>amuṃ</i>	<i>amū</i>	<i>amū</i> , (<i>amuyo</i>)
Instr. <i>amunā</i>	<i>amuyā</i>		<i>amūhi</i>
Abl. <i>amusmā</i> , <i>amumhā</i>	<i>amuyā</i>		<i>amūhi</i>
Dat.-Gen. <i>amussa</i>	<i>amussā</i> , (<i>amuyā</i>)		<i>amūsaṃ</i> , (<i>-sānaṃ</i>)
Loc. <i>amusmiṃ</i> , <i>amumhi</i>	<i>amussaṃ</i> , (<i>amuyam</i>)		<i>amūsu</i>

The Neuter has Sg. Nom.-Acc. *adum*, Pl. *amū*, *amūni*. Otherwise as in Masc.

Notes: 1. The stem *amu* has made its way also into Sg. Nom. masc.¹ (We have, e.g., *amu* M. II. 206²⁹, 223³⁰, Mhvs. Tī. 118² and *asu* M. III. 275⁷, S. IV. 315⁶, 398¹⁴). It is found also in Pl. Nom. Instr. Abl. Dat.-Gen. Loc. masc. neut. (as against Skr. *amī*, *amībhis* etc.), so that in Pāli masc. and neut. have coincided with fem. The neut. *adum* occurs in S. IV. 315⁸, Jā. I. 500¹⁸, JāCo. I. 500²³.—2. When repeated twice, this Pron. signifies 'the one. . . the other'. Cf. D. II. 200⁷. It is in apposition with the Rel. Pron., e.g., in *yam vā adum khettaṃ aggaṃ* 'that field which is valuable' S. IV. 315⁸.—3. The pronouns *amuka* and *asuka* are derived from the stems *amu* and *asu*, and like Lat. *quidam* they are used for indefinite person or thing: *amukas-miṃ gāme* 'in the village so and so' D.I. 193¹³ (cf. S. IV. 46⁷);

¹ As also Pkr. *amū*; beside it AMāg. *aso* = *asau*, Pāli *asu*. Pischel, § 432. In Pkr. also Nom. Acc. neut. *amum*.

asukasmimkāle JāCo. II. 29⁴ (cf. JāCo. I. 122³). *Amuka* can be used in this sense also when it is repeated: see A. IV. 302²⁶. *Amuka* in M. III. 169¹⁵ has been used in the sense of *amu*.

§ 110. Relative Pronoun *yo* 'which' (stem-form *yaṃ*-, *yad*-; e.g., *yaṃvipāko* D. II. 209²⁶, *yadattho* Th1. 60):

Sg. masc.	fem	Pl. masc.	fem.
Nom. <i>yo</i>	<i>yā</i>	<i>ye</i>	<i>yā</i> , (<i>yāyo</i>)
Acc. <i>yaṃ</i>	<i>yaṃ</i>	<i>ye</i>	<i>yā</i> , (<i>yāyo</i>)
Instr. <i>yena</i>	<i>yāya</i>	<i>yeḥi</i>	<i>yāhi</i>
Abl. <i>yasmā</i> , <i>yamhā</i>	<i>yāya</i>	<i>yeḥi</i>	<i>yāhi</i>
Dat.-Gen. <i>yassa</i>	<i>yassā</i> , (<i>yāya</i>)	<i>yessaṃ</i> , (- <i>sānaṃ</i>)	<i>yāsaṃ</i> (- <i>sānaṃ</i>)
Loc. <i>yasmiṃ</i> , <i>yamhi</i>	<i>yassaṃ</i> , (<i>yāyaṃ</i>)	<i>yesu</i>	<i>yāsu</i>

The Neut. has Sg. Nom.-Acc. *yaṃ*, Pl. *yāni*. Otherwise as in Masc.

Notes: 1. On the sandhi-forms *yv*-(= *yo*), *yad*- see § 71.1c, 72.1.—
2. The Māgadhese form *ye* (in apposition with *se* = *taṃ*) occurs in D. II. 278¹⁶ etc. Cf. § 105.2.—3. On the connection of the Rel. with other pronominal stems see § 106. 1b, 107.1, 108.2, 109.2.—4. When repeated, the Rel. Pron. has the indefinite meaning 'whoever': *yassaṃ yassaṃ disāyaṃ viharati, cakasmim yeva vijite viharati* 'in whichever region he may be sojourning, he lives in his own kingdom' A. III. 151¹³. The same meaning attaches to *yo koci*, *yā kāci*, *yaṃ kiñci*=*yaḥ kaścit* etc.

§ 111. 1. The Interrogative Pronoun *ko* 'who?' has in Sg. Nom. Acc. neut. *kiṃ*. It serves also as stem-form; cf. *kiṃnāmo* Vin. I. 93²¹ (immediately after it *konāmo*!), *kiṃkāraṇā* 'what for?' JāCo. I. 489¹¹. For the rest the flexion is the same as that of the Rel. Pron. Yet in Sg. Abl. Dat.-Gen. and Loc. there are also found derivatives from the stem *ki*- which is in evidence in *kiṃ*: *kismā* S. I. 37²² beside the usual *kasmā*; *kissa* Ud. 79⁶ (verse), Vv. 22. 3, Pv. II. 1. 3, D. II. 185²⁹ beside *kassa* Sn. 1040, Milp. 27¹⁷, Mhv. 5.191; *kimhi* Vin.

I. 28³¹, D. II. 57³¹ or *kismin* D. II. 277⁴¹, S. IV. 85²⁰ beside *kamhi*, *kasmin*. Cf. the frequent construction *kissa hetu* 'on what ground? why?' D.I. 14⁴, 15⁹, M.I. 1¹⁸ etc.; *kissa* alone in Vin. I. 73³, JāCo. I. 477²⁵. In Jā. V. 141¹¹¹² we find *kissa* as neut. and *kassa* as masc. A Māgadhesque Sg. Nom. masc. *ke* for *ko* occurs in D. III. 24¹⁹. The Interrogative Pron. is strengthened by an appended *-su*, *-ssu*, *-si*=*-svid* (cf. § 22): *kaṃ-su* S. I. 45², *kena-ssu* S. I. 39⁸, *kissa-ssu* S. I. 39⁴, 161⁴ (this is the proper reading, not *kissassa*!), *kaṃ-si* DhCo. I. 91¹⁸. The Indefinite Pron. *koci*, *kāci*, *kiñci* 'any one' is formed by appending *ci*=*cid*¹ to the forms of *ka*. *kocid-eva puriso* Milp. 40²⁰. In construction with the negation *na* it signifies 'none': *n'atthi koci bhavo nicco* 'there is no permanent existence' Thl. 121. The form *na*. . . *kañcinam* 'none' Thl. 879 is worthy of note, for *kañci* is here further inflected like an *in*-stem.—2. Also *katama* 'who? which one?' (as also in Skr.) is inflected like the Rel. Pron.: Sg. Nom. masc. *katamo* Milp. 26⁵; Sg. Nom. neut. *katamaṃ* D. I. 99¹⁷; Sg. Instr. masc. *katamena* Vin. I. 30⁷, Sg. Loc. fem. *katamassam* M. II. 160²⁶; Pl. Nom. masc. *katame* Vin. I. 3², Pl. Gen. masc. *katamesānam* Vin. III. 7²².—3. *Katara* (as also in Skr.) signifies 'which of the two?' (also 'who,' 'which' in a general sense): Sg. Nom. masc. *kataro* JāCo. I. 352²⁹; Sg. Gen. fem. *katarissā* DhCo. I. 215¹⁴.—4. *kati* 'how many' (as also in Skr.): Nom. masc. *kati* (*samaṇā*) Sn. 83, *kati* (*uposathā*) Vin. I. 111²³, neut. *kati* (*kammāni*) M. I. 372⁸; Instr. *katīhi* S. IV. 240²⁰, D. I. 119³¹, DhCo. I. 9¹. Derivatives from it are: *katipayā* 'some, a few'; *katicī* 'some, a few' (*katihici* JāCo. I. 464¹³); *katipāham* (from *-payāham*) 'a few days' JāCo. II. 38¹¹, *-pāhena* 'in a few days' Mhvs. 17. 41; *katikkhattum* 'how often?' M. III. 125⁷.—5. *kiva*, *kivaṃ* Adv. 'how? how much?'=Ved. *kivat* (§ 46. 1) in *kiva-dūra* 'how far distant' M. II. 119³; *kiva-ciraṃ* 'how long?' Vv. 24. 14; *kiva-bahukā* 'how many?' Ud. 91²⁵; *yāva-kivaṃ* 'so long' Vin. I. 11¹⁹, S. IV. 8²¹, A. IV. 304²². From it is also derived *kivatikā* 'how many?' Vin. I. 117¹⁶.—6. Cf. finally *kittaka* (§ 27. 7) 'how much? how big?': *kittakaṃ addhānaṃ* 'how long a time?' VvCo. 117⁸ (in explanation of *kiva-ciraṃ*). To it correspond *ettaka*

¹ The noun *kiñcanaṃ* is a compound of *kiṃ* with the particle *cana*. Cf. *yasser natthi kiñcanaṃ* Dh. 421. Whence *akiñcano* 'he who does not call anything his own' Thl. 86, Dh. 88, etc. Its opposite is *sakiñcano*. The word *kiñcāpi* is a conjunction 'although, in spite of the fact that' Sn. 230, D. I. 287⁹.

'this much' Milp. 316²⁵, DhCo II. 15⁶ etc. and *tattaka* DhCo. II. 16¹¹ etc. From the same stem is derived the Adv. *kuttāvatā* 'how far?' Vin. I. 3¹, M. I. 14², S. IV. 38³² etc.

§ 112.1. The Possessive Pronoun for all three persons is *sa* = *sva* (*saṃ* 'property'; Instr. *senā* Jā II. 22²³, Pl. *sāni* M. I. 366⁵) and *saka* = *svaka* (Sg. Instr. *sakena dārena* Vv. 83. 20, Abl. *sakamhā gāmā* D. I. 31²³, cf. *samhā ratthi* Jā. VI. 502³⁴, Loc. *samhi āsane* D. II. 225¹⁷, Pl. Acc. *sake* 'one's own people' Jā. VI. 505¹⁶ etc.). The Poss. Pron. of the first person *maḍiḍa* (in Childers, PD) = Skr. *maḍiḍa* seems to be unattested. The Adj. *māmaka*, fem. *-ikā* = Skr. *māmaka* signifies 'lovable, valuable' Iv. 112¹⁵; at the end of a compound it signifies 'loving, worshipping' JāCo. III. 182¹⁰, 183¹². —2. The oblique cases of *attan* 'soul, self' (§92) are used as reflexive pronoun: *attānaṃ damayanti subbatā* Th1. 19; *attānaṃ nāsesi* JāCo. I. 510¹¹; *attana kataṃ pāpaṃ* Dh. 161. Cf. *attadutiya* 'with one companion' D. II. 147²¹; *attasattama* 'in group of seven' Smp. 320⁵, *attaṭṭama* 'in group of eight' VvCo. 149¹⁷ etc.—3. From pronominal stems are derived: *yāvanta* 'how big, how much' (Pl. Nom. *yāvanta ettha samāgatā* Dh. 337; retained also in the conjunctions *yāva* or *yāvaṃ*, *yāvata*, correlative *tāva*, *tāvata*) and *yāvataka* 'how big, how much' (Sg. Nom. neut. *-kaṃ* S. IV. 320²³, 321⁷; Pl. Acc. masc. *-ke* Vin. I. 83²⁷), *tāvataka* 'so big, so much' (Sg. Nom. neut. *-kaṃ* S. IV. 320²³, 321⁷, Instr. *-kena* DhCo. III. 61¹⁴, Milp. 312⁸; Pl. Acc. masc. *-ke* Vin. I. 83²⁸), as well as the frequent formations with *-di*, *-disa*, *-risa*, *-dikkha*, *-rikkha* = Skr. *-dṛś*, *-dṛśa*, *-dṛkṣa* (cf. § 43. 1): *mādisa*, *mārisa* 'such as I' (cf. Pl. Nom. fem. *mādisiyo* DhCo. II. 17¹²); *amhādisa* 'such as we' (Pl. Acc. masc. *-se* Mhvs. 5. 128); *tādisa* 'such as you' JāCo. I. 445²³; *tumhādisa* 'such as you (pl.)' (DhCo. II. 89¹⁰, III. 235⁶); *yādisa(ka)* 'of what sort' and *tādi*, *tādisa(ka)*, *etādisa(ka)* 'of that sort' (Sn. 522, S. I. 227²⁷ (verse), D. II. 109¹³, DhCo. II. 16⁹, PvCo. 10²⁵ (verse); Th1. 201, Vv. 84. 54, D. II. 157⁴ (verse), S. I. 202⁶ (verse)); *īdi*, *īdisa(ka)*, *īdikkha*, *īrisa* 'of this sort' (Mhvs. 10. 54, 14. 13, JāCo. I. 60³³ (verse)); *edisā(ka)*, *erisa* 'of this sort' (Sn. 313, Vin. I. 195¹¹); *kīdi*, *kīdisa*, *kīrisa* 'of what sort?' (Sn. 836, 1088, JāCo. I. 496²¹, II. 3²³; *kiṃdisa* S. I. 34¹⁰ (verse)); *yādisakīdisa* 'of whatever sort' (Jā. I. 420⁷).

§ 113. The following are pronominal adjectives: 1. *sabba* 'all, whole, every' = *sarva*. It is inflected like the Rel. Pron. Cf. Pl. Nom. masc. *sabbe* Sn. 179, M. III. 61¹², JāCo. I. 280¹, Gen. masc. *sabbesaṃ* Sn. 1030, M. II. 201⁷, JāCo. II. 352¹⁷ and *sabbhesānaṃ* M. III.

60²⁴; Gen. fem. *sabbāsaṃ* S. I. 17²⁷; Sg. Loc. fem. *sabbāya* Vin. I. 165²⁷.—2. *vissa* 'all'=*viśva* is archaic and quite rare. We have the Sg. Acc. masc. *vissaṃ* (*dhamaṃ*) Dh. 266. The Comm. however explains the word by *visamaṃ*.—3. *añña* 'another'=*anya* is inflected like *sabba*. Cf. Pl. Nom. masc. *aññe* Sn. 201 etc., Gen. *aññesaṃ* Sn. 213, JāCo. I. 254²¹ etc. But an *i*-vowel appears in the stem in Sg. Dat.-Gen. and Loc. fem.: Dat.-Gen. *aññissā* Vin. I. 15¹⁰, Loc. *aññissā* (*guhāya*) JāCo. II. 27¹⁶. When repeated, *añño...añño* signifies 'the one...the other' JāCo. I. 456⁶. In *aññamañña* 'one another' only the last component is inflected: *aññamaññassa* D. I. 56²³, *aññamaññamhi* D. I. 20¹⁷, *aññamaññehi* Sn. 936, Thl. 933.—4. *aññatara* 'one of two' D. I. 228², M. I. 62³⁵ or 'any one' Vin. I. 23⁴, D. I. 62³⁴. The Sg. Gen. fem. is *aññatarissā* S. I. 140²⁰.—5. *aññatama* 'any one' Mhvs. 38. 14.—6. *itara* 'another' too is inflected like *sabba*: Pl. Nom. masc. *itare* DhCo. IV. 40¹³, Dat.-Gen. fem.-*rāsaṃ* JāCo. II. 27¹⁹. 'The one...the other' is expressed by *eko...itaro* VvCo. 149⁷, or *itaro...itaro* Mhvs. 25. 62; *itaritara* signifies 'the one and the other, everyone, any one' Thl. 230, Jā. I. 467²⁸ (Comm. =*yassa kassaci*), M. II. 6¹, A. V. 91⁶ (*itaritarena* 'reciprocally' Vv. 84¹, likewise *itaretarehi* Attanāgaluvs. 10.5).—7. Also *para* and *apara* 'a different one' are inflected like *sabba*: Pl. Nom. masc. *pare* Sn. 762, Vin. I. 5⁴, D. I. 2³³, *apare* JāCo. III. 51²⁵; Dat.-Gen. *paresaṃ* Thl. 748, 942, Vv. 80. 6, D. I. 8⁹; *paro...paro* 'the one...the other' D. I. 224¹³. As for *paraṃ* Adv. 'beyond, later' and Prep. 'after', as well as for *aparāparaṃ* Adv. 'from one side to the other, up and down,' see Childers, PD. and D. Andersen, PGI. under the words.—8. *pubba* 'the fore part, eastern', *uttara* 'the upper part, northern', *adhara* 'the lower part' are said to be inflected like *sabba*. Of *pubba*, however, only the Sg. Loc. *pubbe* 'earlier, formerly' is attested, the other forms occurring only at the end of compounds. Of *uttara* we have the Loc. Sg. fem. *uttarāya* (*disāya*) D. I. 74²³ beside *uttarassaṃ disāyaṃ* S. I. 148⁴ (verse), Adv. *uttarena* 'to the north' and *uttarato* 'from the north'.—9. Of *ekacca* 'one, any one' (Adj. Vin. I. 183²⁹, Subst. S. III. 243¹⁴) the Pl. Nom. is *ekacce* 'some' S. IV. 102¹, Sn. p. 101, JāCo. III. 126¹⁷, Dat.-Gen. *ekaccānaṃ* Vin. I. 45¹⁸, III. 20¹²; *ekacco...ekacca* signifies 'the one...the other' S. IV. 305¹⁰²¹, Vin. I. 88³⁰³¹, DhCo. II. 12³⁰; *ekaccaṃ...ekaccaṃ* 'partly...partly' D. I. 17¹². Its derivative is *ekacchiya* 'individually': Sg. Nom. masc. *ekacchiyo* Jā. I. 326³, Vin. I. 290¹, Acc.-*yam* Vin. I. 289²; Nom. fem. *ekacchiyā* (*itthi*) S. I. 86¹³ (verse); Pl.

Nom. masc. *ekacciya* Jā. I. 326⁷ (Comm. = *ekacce*), S. I. 199²⁰ (verse).

III. Numerals

1. Cardinal Numbers.

§ 114. The numbers one and two. 1. *eka* 'one' is inflected like *añña* (§ 113. 3). Thus Sg. Dat.-Gen. masc. *ekassa* Sn. 397, DhCo. II. 23¹⁵, but fem. *ekissā* Vin. II. 38²⁶, JāCo. I. 151³; Loc. masc. *ekasmiṃ*, but fem. *ekissā* M. III. 65¹⁵, JāCo. VI. 32²⁰ or *ekissam* DhCo. III. 346⁶. The Pl. *eke* signifies 'some' D. I. 12²⁹; when repeated, *eko...eko* signifies 'the one...the other' D. I. 181¹, Mhvs. 5. 103; *ekameko* is 'everyone separately, individually' D. II. 171¹, Mhvs. 4. 52. On *ekacca*, *ekacciya* see § 113. 9.—2. *dvi* 'two' (in compound also *di-*, cf. *diguṇa* 'double') has the following forms for all three genders: Nom. *dve* (masc. DhCo. II. 9¹⁴, JāCo. I. 151⁵, fem. Sn. p. 102, neut. JāCo. IV. 137¹⁶) and *duve* (masc. Th1. 245, fem. Sn. 1001); Acc. *dve* (masc. JāCo. II. 27²⁰, DhCo. II. 4¹⁴, fem. DhCo. II. 42⁴) and *duve* (masc. Mhvs. 5. 213, neut. Mhvs. 10. 47); Instr. *dvīhi* (masc. JāCo. I. 338⁶, II. 153¹⁴, fem. M. I. 78², II. 162⁶); Dat.-Gen. *dvinnam* (masc. Mhvs. 24. 19, JāCo. II. 154²², DhCo. II. 12⁸, fem. M. I. 65²³, JāCo. II. 27¹⁹) and *duvinnam*; Loc. *dvīsu* (masc. Mhvs. 6. 25, neut. JāCo. I. 338⁶, DhCo. II. 8⁸). Similar is the flexion of *ubho* 'both': Nom.-Acc. *ubho* Dh. 74, Sn. 582, JāCo. I. 510²⁶, Vin. I. 10¹⁵ etc. (and *ubhe*); Instr.-Abl. *ubhohi* D. II. 176²², JāCo. IV. 142¹⁰ (and *ubhehi*); Dat.-Gen. *ubhinnaṃ* Jā. I. 353¹⁴, JāCo. I. 338¹⁰, Mhvs. 2. 25; Loc. *ubhosu* Sn. 778, JāCo. I. 264¹⁸, VvCo. 275¹⁷ (and *ubhesu*). The Sandhi-form *vubho* occurs in Jā. VI. 509²⁴. The word *ubhaya* 'both' is used both in Sg. and Pl.: thus *puññe ca pāpe ca ubhaye* 'both in virtue and sin' Sn. 547; *ubhayena saṃyamena* 'through both (kinds of) self-control' PvCo. 11²; *gihiki ca anāgārehi ca ubhayehi* 'with both the laity and the priests' DhCo. IV. 174¹; *candimasuriyā-ubhay' ettha* (= *yā ettha*) *dissare* 'both sun and moon are visible here' Vv. 83. 4. Cf. DhCo. I. 29¹³ (verse). In Voc. we have *ubhayo nisāmetha* 'listen to me, you two!' Th2. 449 (cf. 457); *ubhayam* 'both' Dh. 404. In the same way *dubhaya* is used in the Gāthā-language, of which the initial *d* might be derived from *dvi*: *dubhayam outūpapātāṃ* 'both degeneration and regeneration' Sn. 51

dubhayāni paṇḍarāni 'both kinds of intelligence' Sn. 526; *Todeyya-Kappā dubhayo* 'the two (the pair) T and K.' Sn. 1007, 1125; Acc. *dubhayam lokam* 'both worlds' Jā. III. 442⁴. Cf. *dubhato* Jā. VI. 497⁴, which is explained in the Comm. by *ubhato*.

§ 115. The numbers three to ten: 3. *ti* 'three' (in compound *ti-*, cf. *tiguna* 'three times', *tipitaka* 'collection of three baskets'): Masc. Nom.-Acc. *tayo* Sn. 311, JāCo. III. 51²³, DhCo. II. 4¹⁴ etc. (*tayas-su* Sn. 231); Instr.-Abl. *tīhi* Dh. 391, S. IV. 175²⁸; Dat.-Gen. *tiṇṇam* Th1. 127, S. IV. 86¹⁹, DhCo. II. 46²⁰ and (the later form) *tiṇṇannam*² Milp. 309⁸, Mhvs. 15. 34; Loc. *tisu* DhCo. II. 27⁴. Fem. Nom.-Acc. *tisso* Th1. 24, JāCo. II. 33¹⁶; Instr.-Abl. *tīhi* Th2. 11, S. I. 166³⁰ (verse), Sn. 656; Dat.-Gen. *tissannam* D. II. 66¹⁷, S. IV. 234²⁸; *tisu* Sn. 842, DhCo. II. 25⁹. Neut. Nom.-Acc. *tiṇi* Th2. 134, Mhvs. 6. 25; for the rest as in masc.—4. *Catu* 'four' (in compound *catu-*, *catur-*, cf. *catukarṇa* 'quadrangular' A. I. 141³⁰ (verse), *caturassa* 'quadrilateral' Jā. VI. 518²⁹, *catugguṇa* 'four times' JāCo. I. 422²⁷): Masc. Nom.-Acc. *cattāro* D. I. 91²⁹, DhCo. II. 9¹⁶, JāCo. IV. 139⁹ and *caturō* (Nom. Sn. 84, Acc. Sn. 969); Instr.-Abl. *catūhi* Sn. 231, DhCo. II. 3⁸, *catuhi* JāCo. I. 279¹¹ and (only archaically) *catubbhi* Sn. 229, Jā. III. 207¹⁴ (*catubbhi thānesu* Vv. 32. 7); Dat.-Gen. *catunnam* D. I. 91³⁰, DhCo. II. 15¹⁴; Loc. *catūsu* DhCo. II. 42¹⁰, IV. 56¹² and *catusu* JāCo. I. 262⁹. Fem. Nom.-Acc. *catasso* Vv. 78. 6, S. III. 240¹⁷, JāCo. I. 262¹⁰ (Acc. *caturō disā* Vv. 6. 10); Instr.-Abl. *catūhi* JāCo. I. 339⁴ and *catuhi* D. I. 102²; Dat.-Gen. *catunnam* D. I. 116¹¹ and *catassannam*; Loc. *catūsu* and *catusu* JāCo. III. 46²⁰. Neut. Nom.-Acc. *cattāri* Sn. 227, Th2. 171, DhCo. II. 24¹⁵; for the rest as in masc.—5. *Pañca* 'five', *cha* 'six', *satta* 'seven', *aṭṭha* 'eight', *nava* 'nine', and *dasa* 'ten' are inflected in all three genders in the following manner: Nom.-Acc. *pañca*, *cha* etc.; Instr.-Abl. *pañcāhi*, *chāhi* DhCo. II. 28⁵ etc. [with protraction *aṭṭhāhi* Jā. III. 207¹⁴, archaic; *dasabhi* Vin. I. 38²² (verse)]; Dat.-Gen. *pañcannam* S. IV. 173¹⁵, *channam* Sn. 169, A. I. 22³¹ etc.; *sattānam* beside *annam* M. III. 81²³; Loc. *pañcasu*, *chasu* and *chassu* Sn. 109, *sattasu* Ud. 65¹⁷ etc. In compound these numerals appear in the form *pañca-* etc. given above. On *cha-cha-* cf. § 67, 72. 1.

¹ For parallels, cf. Pkr. cf. Fischer, § 438 f.

² On this double-formation cf. R. O. Franke, PGr. p. 13.

§ 116. The tens, hundreds etc. 1. The numbers from 20 to 100 are: 20: *visa, visam, visā. visati=vimśati*.—30: *tiṃsa, tiṃsam, tiṃsā, tiṃsati=triṃśat*.—40: *cattārisa, -risam, -risā; cattālisa, -lisam, -lisā; tālisa, -lisam, -lisā=catvāriṃśat*.—50: *paññāsa, sam, -sā; paññāsa=pañcāśat*.—60: *saṭṭhi=ṣaṣṭi*.—70: *sattati, sattari=saptati*.—80: *asīti=aśiti*.—90: *navuti=navati*.—100: *sata=śata*.—200: *dve satāni* or *dvisata*.—300: *tīpi satāni* or *tisata* etc.—1000: *sahassa=sahasra*.—2000: *dve sahasāni* etc.—100 000: *lakkha*.—10 millions: *koṭi*¹.—2. Intermediate numbers: 11: *ekādasa, ekārasa=ekādaśa*.—12: *dvādasa, bārasa=dvādaśa*.—13: *terasa, telasa=traya-daśa*.—14: *catuddasa, cuddasa=caturdaśa*.—15: *pañcadasa, pannarasa, pañnarasa=pañcadaśa*.—16: *soḷasa, sorasa=ṣoḍaśa*.—17: *sattadasa, sattarasa=saptadaśa*.—18: *aṭṭhādasa, aṭṭhārasa=aṣṭādaśa*.—19: *ekūnavisa, ekūnavisati=ekonaviṃśati, ūnaviṃśati*.—22: *dvāvīsa, satī, bāvīsa, -sati*; 23: *tevīsa*; 24: *catuvīsa*; 25: *pañcavīsa, pañnavīsati, paññuvīsa* etc.—32: *dvattiṃsa, battiṃsa*; 36: *chattiṃsa*; 49: *ekūna-paññāsa*; 55: *pañcapaññāsa*; 56: *chappaññāsa* etc.²

§ 117. The mode of using the numerals is unusually varied in character. 1. The numbers 1 to 18 are adjectives. Cf. *dve vā tīpi vā rattindivāni* 'two or three days and nights' D. II. 327³; *catunnam māsānam accayena* 'after the expiry of four months' Sn. p. 99; *soḷasannam puggalānam* 'of 16 individuals' Milp. 310¹⁸. On the analogy of the tens, however, also the numeral compounds of *dasa* may take a final *-m* and thus become substantives: *nava satta dvādaśaṇ-ca...pañcaviśam dvādaśaṇ-ca, dvādaśaṇ-ca navāpi ca* '9 and 7 and 12...', 25 and 12 and (again) 12 and 9 (kings)' Mhvs. 2. 9.—2. Of the decades those in *-ā* are feminine substantives, of which the form in *-āya* (Instr. Dat.-Gen) actually occurs: *ekassa pi dadāmi dvinnam-pi dadāmi ... dasannam-pi dadāmi visāya pi dadāmi tiṃsāya pi dadāmi cattārisāya pi dadāmi paññāsāya pi dadāmi satassa pi dadāmi* 'I give (alms) to one, to 2,... to 10, to 20, to 30, to 40, to 50, to 100' Sn. p. 86. The decades in *-a* may be declined, but are mostly left uninflected; those in *-am* are used as nominatives and accusatives; those in *-ti* are fem. substantives and are inflected according to § 86 or remain undeclined. The numerals *sata* and *sahassa* are neuter.—3. When connected with substantives, the numerals from 20 upwards may be used appositionally in the same case

¹ The designations for higher numbers, such as *abbuda* etc. (see Abhp. 475, Dps. 3. 11 f.) are confined to lexicons.

² On the numerals in Pkr. cf. Pischel, § 445 f.. 443 f.

as the substantive: Loc. *visatiyā yojānesu tiṃsāya yojānesu* 'at (the distance of) 20 (30) miles' M. II. 162²⁰; Instr. *dvattiṃsāya mahāpurisalakkhaṇchi asītiyā anuvyañjāchi* 'with the 32 major and 80 minor insignia of a Buddha' VvCo. 323¹⁴; *ekūnapaññāsāya kaṇḍchi* 'with 49 arrows' JāCo. III. 220²¹; *chattiṃsatiyā sotchi* 'with 36 streams' DhCo. IV. 48¹⁶; Acc. *visatim-pi bhikkhū tiṃsam-pi bhikkhū cattārisam-pi bhikkhū* '20 or 30 or 40 monks' M. III. 79⁶; *visam-pi jātiyo tiṃsam-pi jātiyo cattārisam-pi jātiyo paññāsam-pi jātiyo* '20 and 30 and 40 and 50 existences' Iv. 99³; *aṭṭhacattārisaṃ vassāni* '48 years' Sn. 289; Gen. *imesaṃ tevisatiyā buddhānaṃ santike* 'before these 28 Buddhas' DhCo. I. 84⁸. In the following examples the decades remain uninflected: Nom. *paññāsa yojanā satthi yojanā* '50, 60 miles' DhCo. III. 217³; *tiṃsa rattiyō* D. II. 327¹⁰; *pañcapaññāsa vassāni...pañcaviṣati vassāni* Th1. 904; *dvattiṃsa mahāpurisalakkhaṇāni* Sn. p. 102 (but *dvattiṃsā mah-* Sn. 1000), Acc. *ekūnapaññāsa jaṇe* '49 people' JāCo. III. 220²⁰; *sattasattari nāṇavatthūni* 'the 77 items of knowledge' S. II. 50²⁴; Instr. *dvattiṃsa mahāpurisalakkhaṇchi* M. II. 185²¹.—3. Also *sata* and *sahasā*, as well as numerals with them as components, may be used in apposition with a substantive: Nom. *gandhabbā cha sahasāni* '6000 G.'s' Th1. 164; *bhikkhuniyo sahasaṃ* '1000 nuns' Mhvs. 5. 187; Acc. *pañcasatāni Caṇḍālapurise* '500 Caṇḍālas' Mhvs. 10. 91; *gāthā sataṃ* '100 verses' Dh. 102; Instr. *pañcasatehi therehi* 'with 500 Theras' Dps. 4. 6. The substantive in such constructions appears sometimes in the Sg., as in Acc. *aṭṭhasataṃ bhattaṃ* (instead of *bhattāni*) '800 meals' Milp. 88⁴. The numeral is treated as an Adj. in Nom. *pañcasatā vāṇijā*, fem. *pañcasatā yakkhiniyo*, Acc. *pañcasate vāṇije* JāCo. II. 128^{17,22}.—4. The numerals may further be used in Sg. as abstracts and substantives may be connected with them as genitive attributes: *para-sahasāṇi bhikkhūnaṃ* 'over 1000 monks' Th1. 1238 (but appositionally *para-sahasāṇi puttā* 'over 1000 sons' D. I. 89⁴); *sahasam-pi atthānaṃ* '1000 things' S. I. 229¹¹; *viḥārānaṃ pañcasataṃ* '500 monasteries' Mhvs. 12. 33; *satthim aṛahataṃ akā* 'he made 60 Arhats' Mhvs. 1. 14.—5. Finally, a numeral and a substantive may unite into a compound. Cf. *aṭṭhavassaṃ satamāsaṃ rājā rajjāṃ akārāyi* 'the king reigned for 8 years and 7 months' Mhvs. 35. 46. The compound *vassasataṃ* of this type, signifying 'hundred years', is very frequently used. Compounds like the following are also very much in evidence: *satta manussasatāni* '700 people' JāCo. IV. 142³; *dvīsu vacāsasatesu (atikkantesu)* ' (after the expiry of) 200

years' Mhvs. 33. 80; *pañca-itthi-satehi* 'with 500 women' Mhvs. 14. 57, etc.

2. Ordinals, Distributives, Fractional Numbers, Numeral Adverbs, Numeral Adjectives and Numeral Substantives.

§ 118. 1. The ordinals from 1 to 10 correspond to those of Skr.:

1. *paṭhama* = Skr. *prathama* — 2. *duṭṭiya* (§ 23) = *dvītiya*. — 3. *tatiya* (§ 23) = *tītiya*. — 4. *caluttha* = *caturtha*. — 5. *pañcama* = *pañcama*. — 6. *chaṭṭha* (*chaṭṭhama* Sn. 101), *saṭṭha* = *ṣaṣṭha*. — 7. *sattama*, fem. -*mī* Th2. 41 = *saptama*. — 8. *aṭṭhama* (fem. *aṭṭhamī* 'the 8th day' Th2 31) = *aṣṭama*. — 9. *navama* = *navama*. — 10. *dasama* (fem. *dasamī* 'the 10. day' Mhvs. 19. 33) = *daśama*. — 2. The same is the case with the decades, the only difference being that in the longer forms (excepting 60 and 80) it is not -*tama*, but only -*ma* that is attached to the basic form in -*ti*: 20. *viṣatima* or *viṣa* = *viṃśatitama* or *viṃśa*. — 30. *tiṃsatima* or *tiṃsa* = *triṃśattama* or *triṃśa*. — 40. *cattārisatima*, -*lisatima* or *cattāriṣa*, -*ṣa* = *catvāriṃśattama* or *catvāriṃśa*. — 50. *paññāsatima* = *pañcāśattama*. — 60. *saṭṭhitama* = *ṣaṣṭitama*. — 70. *sattatima* = *saptatitama*. — 80. *asītītama* = *aśītītama*. — 90. *navutima* = *navatitama*. — 100. *satama* = *śatātama*. — 3. The intermediate numbers from 11 to 19 agree on the one hand with Skr., and on the other with Pkr.¹ 11. *ekādasama* fem. -*mī* M. III. 255⁹ = AMāg. *ekkārasama*; or *ekādasa* fem. *ekādasi* 'the 11 day' = Skr. *ekādaśa*. — 12. *dvādasama*, fem. -*mī* M. III. 255¹⁰ = AMāg. *duvālasama*; or *dvādaśa*, in fem. *dvādasī* 'the 12. day' = Skr. *dvādaśa*. — 13. *terasama*, fem. -*mī* M. III. 255¹¹ = AMāg. *terasama*; or *terasa* Mhvs. 16. 2. = Skr. *trayodaśa*. — 14. *cuddasama*, fem. -*mī* M. III. 255¹² = Pkr. *coddasama*; or *cuddasa*, fem. *cuddasi* 'the 14. day' Mhvs. 19. 39; also *cātuddasa* Vin. I. 87³⁰, 132³⁸, or -*si* Th2. 31 = Skr. *caturdaśa*. — 15. *pañcadasama* DhCo. III. 27¹¹; *paññarasama* = AMāg. *pannarasama*; or *paññarasa* D. II. 207¹⁷, more frequently *pannarasa* Th1. 1234, D. I. 47⁶, Vin. I. 87³⁰ (*pañcaddasi* 'the 15. day' Th2. 31) = Skr. *pañcadaśa*. — 16. *soḷasama* = AMāg. *soḷasama*; and *soḷasa*, fem. -*si* Dh. 70, Vv. 43.8, A. IV. 252⁹ = Skr. *ṣoḍaśa*. — 17. *sattarasama*. — 18. *aṭṭhārasama* = AMāg. *aṭṭhārasama*. — 19. *ekūnavīsātima* = AMāg. *egūnavīsaima*. — Cf. further

¹ Pischel, PkrGr. § 449

21. *ekavisatima*; 22. *bāvisatima*; 23. *tevīsatima*; 24. *caturvisatima*; 25. *pañcavisatima*; 26. *chabbisatima*, etc.—33. *tetthiṃsatima*; 36. *chattimsatima*, etc.—4. On the use of the ordinals: In some isolated cases the ordinal number stands for the cardinal: *pañcamehi bandhanehi* 'with 5 bonds' S. IV. 201²², 202⁹. Worthy of notice are the compounds with *attan*, such as *attadutiya* 'with one companion' D. II. 147²¹ etc. Cf. § 112. 2.

§ 119. 1. The distributive numbers are expressed by repeating the cardinals or the ordinals as the case may be *aṭṭhaṭṭha there macce ca pesayi* 'to each he sent 8 theras and court-officials' Mhvs. 5. 249. Cf. DhCo. I. 89⁶⁻⁷.—*Addha*, *aḍḍha* 'half' is the fractional number. Like Skr. *ardha*, Pkr. *addha*, *aḍḍha*¹, it is compounded with the next higher ordinal number, as in German 'dritthalb, vierthalb': *aḍḍhutiya*, *aḍḍhateyya* JāCo. I. 450²¹, II. 93⁴ (cf. § 65. 2) is 2½; *aḍḍhuddha* Vin. I. 34¹⁰, DhCo. I. 87²¹ (= Pkr. *addhuttha* out of *addha* + **tuttha*, Skr. *ardhacaturtha*) is 3½. Cf. *saddhiṃ addhatecāchi bhikkhusatchi* 'with 12½ hundred monks' Sn. p. 100, D. I. 47⁴; *aḍḍhanavamasahassāni* '8500 (persons)' Mhvs. 15. 201. If on the other hand *addha*, *aḍḍha* stands after a cardinal number, it signifies half thereof. *dasaddha* is thus=5, Th1. 1244. 'Thus *purisānaṃ dasaḍḍhehi satchi parivārīto* 'surrounded by 500 men' Mhvs. 5. 122.—3. Numeral adverbs 'once' is expressed by *sakiṃ*, *saki* (*sakid-* or *sakad-* in sandhi before a vowel, § 67, 72. 1) = *sakṛt*, or *ekadā* (*appekadā* 'sometimes' M. II. 7¹, A. V. 83¹⁸). These adverbs are formed moreover by affixing the formans °*khattuṃ* (§ 22) = °*kṛtvas*²: *tikkhattuṃ* '3 times' Vin. I. 104²⁸; *catukkhattuṃ* '4 times' Th2. 37, 169; *chakkhattuṃ* '6 times' D. II. 198²⁴, DhCo. III. 196²⁰; *satakkhattuṃ* '100 times' Th2. 519 etc. Also *katikkhattuṃ* 'how many times?' M. III. 125⁷. Moreover the Sg. or the Pl. Acc. *vāraṃ* and *vāre* is used to express 'time': *eka-vāraṃ* 'once' JāCo. III. 150²¹; *dvē vāre* 'twice' DhCo. I. 47¹¹; *tayo vāre* '3 times' DhCo. I. 48⁶; *nava vāre* '9 times' Mhvs. 30. 52; *bahu-vāre* 'many times' JāCo. II. 88⁸. Cf. also *dvīsū vāresu* 'twice' Mhvs. 6. 25; *tatiye vāre* 'the third time, or the third chance' Mhvs. 6. 26. Otherwise 'the first time', 'the second time' are simply *paṭhamam*, *dutiyaṃ*, etc. *Ekaso* = *ekasas* means 'individually'.—4. Numeral adverbs in 'times' are constructed with °*dhā* = Skr. °*dhā*, Pkr. °*hā*: *sattadhā* 'seven times, in seven parts or pieces' L. I. 94²⁴, II. 234²³. Similarly *satadhā*,

¹ BR. *sub opce*; Pischel, PkrGr. § 450.

² Pkr. *AMg* *ḥkṛtṭo*, Māh. °*kṛtṭam*; Pischel, § 451.

sahasadhā —5. Numeral adjectives in 'kinds, fold' are constructed with °*vidha* = Skr. °*vidha*, Pkr. °*viha*, or with °*guṇa* = Skr., Pkr. °*guṇa*: *anekavidha* 'of many kinds' Dpvs. 6. 70; *sattavidha* 'of seven kinds, seven-fold' JāCo. I. 91¹³, Milp. 102¹³; *aṭṭhaguṇa* 'eight-fold' Th2. 153. —6. As for numeral substantives, we have *duka* neut. 'aggregate of two', *tika* 'aggregate of three' DCo. I. 24²⁷ = Skr. *dvika*, *trika*, Pkr. *duka* or *duya*, *tiya*; *catukka* 'aggregate of four' (§ 62.2.) = Skr. *catuṣka*; *sutaka* 'aggregate of hundred' JāCo. I. 74²² = *śataka*.

IV. Verbal System

1. Generalities

§ 120. In verbal forms Pāli is still farther removed from the basic Sanskrit than in nominal flexion. The historical forms are on the whole well preserved, particularly in the older periods of the language. But the actual life of the language lies in the new formations, which were created in such numbers, either on the basis of analogy, or after existing types, that it is scarcely possible to lay down rules covering all the individual cases.—It is a prominent feature of Pāli distinguishing it off from Skr., that it has lost the dual.—The medium is also disappearing. Passive forms too have active endings already in the oldest period of the language. The Gāthā-language still shows, it is true, a considerable number of medial forms. But they are in part due to the exigencies of metre, and everywhere bear the stamp of archaism. They become rare already in the canonical prose, and rarer still in the non-canonical, in which they are confined only to a few fixed forms (e. g. Imp. 2. Sg. in *-ssu*, Pret. 3. Sg. in *-ittha*). The medial forms appear again in the later artificial poetry. The Part. Pres. Med. in *-māna* was productive in every period of the language.—As for the tempora, the perfect has almost completely disappeared, leaving but slight traces behind. Unlike Prākṛit, Pāli has retained the conditional. Imperfect and aorist are no longer sharply distinguished between in Pāli. Both of them have coincided in the preterite which is mostly called "aorist". The various periphrastic formations are of great importance they are originated by the combination of participles, gerunds or verbal substantives with the verbs "to be" or "to become", or with other verbs of an indefinite meaning. They represent quite a characteristic feature of the language.—The modes

are the same as in Sanskrit. The Gāthā-language has apparently still retained isolated forms of the Vedic subjunctive.—Another important feature is the predominance of *a*-stems in the present system. Their analogy has decided the character of many verbs which are athematic in Skr. It is no longer possible to set up a complete system of paradigms for the different 'conjugation-classes' as they are distinguished in Sanskrit. The present-stems of these classes will be discussed, as is proper, in connection with one chief paradigm, as supplement to it. Besides the expansion of the sphere of the *a*-flexion we have also to notice that of *e*-stems.—The preponderance of the present-stem is of particular importance. Tenses, as well as verbal nouns etc., which in Skr. are based directly on the root, may be derived in Pāli from the present-stem. This applies to preterite (aorist), future, past part. in *-ta*, future pass. part. in *-tabba*, infinitive in (*-tuye* and) *-tum*, and gerund in *-tvā*, *-tvāna* and *-ya*. Moreover new passive and causative stems may be derived from present-stems. The innovated forms in all these cases appear side by side with the historical ones—sometimes rare in comparison with the latter, but occasionally even surpassing them in the frequency of use. In particular cases the process goes even further, when, for instance, an historically attested future or passive-stem is made the basis of further new formations.¹

2. Present system

with Indicative, Subjunctive, Imperative and Optative.

A. PRESENT INDICATIVE

§ 121. The flexion may be shown by a verb of the I. Class, *labhati* 'gains, receives'.

	Sg.	Pl.
Act.	1. <i>labhāmi</i>	<i>labhāma</i>
	2. <i>labhasi</i>	<i>labhatha</i>
	3. <i>labhati</i>	<i>labhanti</i>
Med.	1. <i>labhe</i>	(<i>labhamhe</i>)
	2. <i>labhase</i>	(<i>labhavohe</i>)
	3. <i>labhate</i>	<i>labhante, labhare</i>

¹ I am thinking here, for instance, of the stem *ḍakkh-* = Skr. *ḍrakṣ-* (cf. § 153, 204. 1c, 213), or of the stem *chijj-* = *chidy-* (§ 196), etc.

*§ 122. 1. Act. Sg. 1. Instead of *-āmi* there is also found in the Gāthā-language the shorter ending *-am*: *tassāham santi ke gaccham* 'I am going to him' Th2. 306. For the rest the endings correspond to those of Sanskrit; *-ma* is the secondary ending.—2. Med. (the examples are mostly from the Gāthā-language or the artificial poetry) Sg. 1: *rame* 'I enjoy myself' S. I. 180⁸ (verse), *kuppe* 'I am angry' Jā. III. 120¹⁵. In the post-canonical prose we find, for instance, *maññe* 'I think' JāCo. II. 249⁷ etc. Sg. 2: *anupucchase* 'you ask' Vv. 17. 5; *labhase* Jā. II. 220¹² (Comm. *labhasi*). Sg. 3: *labhate* Th1. 35; *sobhate* 'is beautiful' Th2. 255 ff.; *rocate* 'suits (one's) taste' Th2. 415; *bhāsate* 'speaks' Sn. 452. Pl. 3: *labhante* 'they hang' Th2. 265; *haññante* 'they are beaten' Th2. 451. The ending *-arc* in 3. Pl. is quite frequent *labhave* S. I. 110³² (verse); *khādarc* 'they consume' Jā. II. 223¹⁴ (Comm. *khādanti*); *jāyarc* 'they are born' S. I. 34¹⁸; *socarc* 'they suffer pain' Sn. 445 (against *socanti* Sn. 333); *obhāsarc* 'they light up' Vv. 9. 3 (v. l. *obhāsate*); *jīyarc* 'they vanish away' Jā. VI. 528⁵ (Skr. *jīryante*); *miyyarc* 'they die' Sn. 575 (Skr. *mriyante*); *haññarc* 'they are killed' S. I. 76²² etc. These forms are connected with the Vedic presents like *śere*, *īśire*, and they correspond to the forms in *-ire* in Pkr.¹—The 1. Pl. Med. deserves special consideration Kacc. 3. 1. 2 and 18 (Senart, pp. 423, 429) gives as ending *-mhe*, which is probably derived from *-mahe* through syncope. The fuller form occurs in *bhavāmahe*² Mhvs. 1. 60. Also the ending *-mase* (and *-mhase*) seems to have been in existence at its side. All the forms with this ending have not a subjunctive meaning (cf. below § 126), as for instance (na) *tappāmahe* (*dassanena tam*) 'we are (not) tired of (looking at you)' Vv. 17. 4, which is indicative in meaning (cf. Skr. *tṛpyate*). Same perhaps also with *abhinandāmahe* 'we are glad' Vv. 17. 7; *saremhase* (according to *e*-flexion) 'we remember' Th2. 383. The ending *-mase* is evidently the medial counterpart of the Act. Vedic *-masi*, and *-mhase* looks like a cross of *-mhe* and *-mase*.

B. SUBJUNCTIVE

§ 123. It is not possible to set forth a paradigm, because we have to do here only with isolated forms preserved in the Gāthā-language. The Subjunctive is distinguished from the Indicative by

¹ Whitney, Sanskrit Gramm. § 550; Pische', PkrGr. § 458; Windisch, Abhdl. Sächs. Ges. d. W., Nr. VI, 1887, p. 478 f.; E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 94; E. Müller, PGr. p. 97.

² E. Kuhn, loc. cit.

the extended stem-vowel. Yet only those forms may be relied upon as Subjunctive without any hesitation in which the Subjunctive (Imperative) meaning is quite clear and the possibility of a mere metrical protraction is out of the question. This appears to be the case with one of the two passages pointed out by Pischel¹: *no vitarāsi bhottum* 'do not go on eating!' Jā. II. 14¹⁷ (Fausböll, Ten Jāt. 19⁴). The Comm. gives the explanation: *mā nāgamamsakhādako ahosi*. I add to it: *attānam yeva garahāsi ettha* 'scold yourself for this affair!' Jā. IV. 248⁸, which is explained in the Comm. by *attānam eva garaheyyāsi*. True Subjunctives are to be found perhaps also in the forms *kāmayāsi* and *cajāsi* Jā. V. 220^{20,24}, which are dependent on *sace* and *ce* respectively. Pischel's second example is, however, doubtful: *ātāpino samvegino bhavātha* 'be zealous and enthusiastic' Dh. 144^b. The form in question here may be simply that of Imp. 2. Pl. protracted *metri causa*. Similar cases are: *adhūmanasā bhavātha* Sn. 692; *taṃ ca* (i.e. *dhammaṃ*) *dharātha*² *sabbe* 'all should hold fast to it (the truth)!' Sn. 385; *pāpāni kammāni vivajjayātha*, *dhammānuyogañca adhiṭṭhahātha* 'give up sinful practices and hold fast to zeal for the truth!' Vv. 84. 38; *abhinibbajjīyātha naṃ* 'avoid that!' Sn. 281 (from root *varj*, *varjayati*). Of the three examples given by E. Müller³, *dahāsi* and *dahāti* Sn. 841, 888 are certainly no Subjunctives: they are simply the representatives of Skr. *dadhāsi*, *dadhāti* (§ 37). Also *saddahāsi* Jā. I. 426⁸ is reproduced in the Comm. simply as *saddahasi*. But even the third *ko taṃ paṭibhaṇāti me* 'who can give me answer to that?' Jā. III. 404⁴ is very doubtful on account of the *paṭibhaṇāmi* and *-bhaṇāsi* in the parallel verses 404^{10,20} and 404¹³, 405⁸, where the latter certainly has no Subjunctive meaning. It is wanting also in *āvahāti* Thl. 303 (cf. Sn. 181, 182, S. I. 425⁹, 214^{18,22}), for which there occurs *rakkhati* in the parallel passages, and it cannot be traced either in *hanāsi* Jā. III. 199² (Comm. = *paharasi*), V. 460¹⁹ and *hanāti* Jā. V. 461²⁰.

C. IMPERATIVE

§ 124. The paradigm is:

Sg. Act.	1. <i>labhāmi</i>	Pl. <i>labhāma</i>
	2. <i>labha</i> , <i>labhāhi</i>	<i>labhatha</i>
	3. <i>labhatu</i>	<i>labhantu</i>

¹ Pischel, KZ. 23, p. 424.

² It should be noticed that in this passage the Imp. *suṇātha* 'hear!' occurs immediately before.

³ E. Müller, PGr. p. 180. Also the 1. Pl. Med. of the Imperative is of Subjunctive origin. See § 125. 2.

Med. 1. <i>labhe</i>	<i>labhāmase</i>
2. <i>labhassu</i>	<i>labhavho</i>
3. <i>labhataṃ</i>	<i>labhantaṃ</i>

§ 125. Active. The 1. Sg. and Pl. are simply transfers from the Indicative: *vandāma* 'let us praise!' D. III. 197²⁴ (verse); *dhunāma* 'let us destroy!' Th1. 1147. Hence it is also said *kassa naṃ dema* 'to whom should we give it?' JāCo. II. 196²⁴, *handa karomi* M. III 179²⁷, *handa karoma* Vin. II. 295¹. Similarly we have in Th1. 1146 *dālemu* 'let us smash!' (with the ending -mu, cf. § 128) = Skr. *dālayāma* parallel to *dhunāma*.—In the 2. Sg. the type *labha* corresponds to the Skr.-form of the thematic conjugation. Thus *piva* 'drink!' JāCo. III. 110²²=*piba*; *siñca* 'pour out!' Dh. 369=*siñca*; *nipajja* 'seat yourself!' JāCo. II. 223² from *nipadyate*. Also *kara* 'do!' JāCo. IV. 1¹⁴ may be regarded as an historical form= Ved. *kāra* Verbs which were not originally thematic gradually began to form their Imperatives after the type *labha*. Thus *gaṇha* 's ize!' JāCo. II. 159² from *gaṇhāti*=*gr̥ṇhāti*,—even *paṭiggaha* 'accept!' Jā. I. 233¹⁰; *saddaha* 'believe!' JāCo. IV. 52¹⁸ from *saddahati*=*śraddadhāti* etc. The second form *labhāhi* has derived its -hi from the forms of the athematic conjugation. Historical are the forms like *akkhāhi* 'describe!' Jā. III. 279⁷=*ākhyāhi*; *brūhi* 'speak!' Sn. 76=*brūhi*; *dehi* 'give!' JāCo. I. 223¹⁹=*dehi*. On the analogy of these types were further formed *uggaṇhāhi* 'learn!' M. III. 192²² from *uggaṇhāti*=*udgr̥ṇhāti*; *sāvehi* 'proclaim!' JāCo. I. 344¹⁴; *ānehi* 'bring here!' JāCo. II. 254¹⁹; *vissajjehi* 'give up!' JāCo. I. 223²⁰; *karohi* 'do!' JāCo. III. 188¹⁸ etc. from long-vowel stems. Similarly, also from *a*-stems: *jīvāhi* Sn. 1029; *sarāhi* 'remember!' Milp. 79²⁵ (beside *sara* Th1. 445); *pakkosāhi* 'call here!' DhCo. IV. 28⁴; *tussāhi* 'be content!' JāCo. I. 494²⁶ etc.¹—The 3. Sg. and Pl. in -tu and -ntu are frequent: *passatu* 'he should see' Sn. 909; *etu* 'he should go' D. I. 179¹⁸; *ijjhātu* 'should succeed' Th2. 329 (from Skr. *ṛdhyate*); *hanantu* 'they should kill' Jā. IV. 42²⁶; *vinassantu* 'they should die' JāCo. IV. 2²⁴. The 2. Pl. in -tha, as also in Pkr.², is a transfer from the Indicative, and is thus different from the Skr. suffix -ta: *āharatha* 'bring here!' JāCo. I. 266⁹; *anurakkhatha* 'protect!' Dh. 327; *viñānātha* 'learn to distinguish!' Sn. 720; *gaṇhatha* 'take!' JāCo. III. 126²⁵;

¹ Similarly in Pkr. Māg. *bhaṇāhi*, AMāg. *harāhi*, *vandāhi*, JMāh. *kadhehi*, etc.; Pischel, § 468.

² The suffix in Pkr. is -ha. Cf. Māh. *ṇamahā* AMāg. *haṇaha*, *ḍaḥaha* etc. Pischel, § 471.

karotha 'do!' Th2. 13, JāCo. II. 196²⁰; *voropetha* 'rob!' D. II. 336⁴ etc.

§ 126. Medium. The 1. Sg. is a transfer from the Indicative. The 2. Sg. in *-ssu*' = Skr. *-sva* is very common. Thus in the Gāthā-language: *labhassu* Th2. 432 = *labhasva*; *pucchassu*² 'ask!' Sn. 189; *jahassu* 'give up!' (from *jahāti*) Sn. 1121 and frequently elsewhere. In *bhikkhasu* 'go to beg!' Th1. 1118 the *ss* has been simplified *metri causa*. Further in the canonical prose: *bhāsassu* 'speak!' M. II. 199⁸ = *vhāśasva*; *sikkhassu* 'learn!' A. V. 79²⁰; *payirupāsassu* 'worship!' M. II. 196²⁵; *nvattassu* 'return!' Vin. II. 182²³; *pātu-bhavassu* 'appear' Vin. II. 185¹² etc. Also in the post-canonical prose: *bhāsassu* Milp. 27²⁵; *tikicchassu* 'cure!' JāCo. II. 213²³; *naccassu* 'dance!' JāCo. I. 292²³. The medial meaning of the suffix does not seem to have been felt any more, for it is taken also by those roots which were never medial, as, for instance, by *nart*.—In the 3. Sg., suffix *-taṃ* = Skr. *-tām*; cf. *acchataṃ* 'she should remain' Jā. VI. 506⁸ (Comm. *acchatu*); *labhataṃ* D. II. 150¹³. In Sandhi: *vadḍhatām-eva* 'he should indeed grow' Jā. III. 209⁸.—A true Subjunctive form is to be found in the 1. Pl. in *-mase*, *-mhase*. The suffix is probably derived from *-masai*, which is related to the suffix *-mase* discussed in § 122 (at the end) as Skr. *-mahai* to *-mahe*. The two suffix-forms necessarily coincided with each other in Pāli. Forms with Subjunctive (Imperativistic or Futural) meaning are not rare in the Gāthā-language: *labhāmase* 'we should attain' Jā. III. 261⁹; *ramāmase* 'we would enjoy' Th2. 370 f.; *bhaṇāmase* 'we wish to speak' S. I. 209²⁸ (verse) (parallel to it are to be found there the optatives *sikkhema*, *muccema*); *carāmase* 'we wish to do (carry out)' and *bhavāmase* 'we wish to be' Sn. 32 etc. Similarly *kuromase* D. II. 288¹ (verse). On *vademase*, *mahemase* etc. see § 129. The suffix-form *-mhase* occurs in *labhamhase* Pv. 3. 2. 24, 29 (governed by *yathā*, explained as *labhēyyāma* in the Comm. 185¹); *mā pamadamhase* 'we do not wish to neglect' Jā. III. 131¹⁶ etc.—Examples for the 2. Pl., of which the suffix *-vho* in contrast to Skr. *-dhvam* is difficult to explain, are the following: *passavho* 'look up!' Sn. 998; *bhajavho* 'seek out!' Jā. I. 472¹⁶ (Comm. *bhajatha*, *gacchatha*); *pucchavho* 'ask!' Sn. 1030; *kappayavho* 'carry out!' Sn. 283. Also in the canonical prose: *mantavho* 'take counsel!' D. I. 122¹⁴, instead of *mantayavho* (as in Jā. II. 107¹⁸ beside *mantavho* 107¹⁹). If the reading is correct,

¹ In P'kr. the corresponding suffix is *-su*, which Pischel § 467 however would separate from Skr. *-sua* and connect with *-si*.

² In the parallel passage S. I. 215⁸ there is *pucchassa* in the text.

a remarkable double-ending is to be found in *paṃodathavho*¹ 'rejoice!' Jā. IV. 162^{22,26} in which both the active and the medial endings have been combined.—An interesting form of the 3. Pl. is presented by *visiyaruṃ* 'they should dissolve' Thl. 312, from Skr. *śyā*, *śiyate*. The Comm. says: *visiyantu ito c' ito viddhamsantu* The suffix *-ruṃ* is pretty certainly the descendant of Ved. *-rām*².

D. OPTATIVE (POTENTIAL)

§ 127. Paradigm:

	Sg.	Pl
Act. 1.	<i>labheyyaṃ, labhe</i>	<i>labhema, labhemu</i>
	<i>labheyyāmi</i>	<i>labheyyāma</i>
	<i>labhe</i>	<i>labhetha</i>
2.	<i>labheyya, labheyyāsi</i>	<i>labheyyātha</i>
	<i>labhe</i>	
3.	<i>labheyya, labheyyāti</i>	<i>labheyyuṃ, -yyu</i>
Med. 1.	<i>(labheyyaṃ)</i>	<i>(labheyyamhe) labhemase</i>
2.	<i>labhetho</i>	<i>(labheyyavho)</i>
3.	<i>labhetha</i>	<i>(labheraṃ)</i>

§ 128. Active. Two types of forms are in vogue side by side. The forms given first: *labheyyaṃ, labhe, labhe; labhema, labhetha, labheyyuṃ* are direct continuations of the Skr.-flexion. Only in the 2. Pl. the ending *-tha* (against Skr. *ta*) is taken from the Indicative. On the analogy of the Imperfect a 1. Sg.* *labhem* (=Pāli *labhe*) was formed to match *labhes, labhet*.—The forms given second: Sg. 2. *labheyya* etc., have been formed, by similar analogy, on Sg. 1. *labheyyaṃ*, Pl. 3. *labheyyuṃ*³. Then, further, on the analogy of the relation between *labhāmi* and *labhāma* of the Indicative, primary endings were introduced into the Sg. of the Opt., at first into the 1. Person *labheyyāmi*, and then also into the 2. and 3. Sg.,—the

¹ R. O. Franke, BB. 22. 215.

² Macdonell, Vedic Grammar § 412 a, with foot-note 16.

³ The corresponding forms in Pkr. are evidently those of Opt. in *-ejjā*, *-ejjāmi* (Pāli. *-eyya*) etc. Differing from Jacobi, KZ. 36. 577, Pischel § 459 is inclined to derive them from the Optatives of the athematic conjugation (Skr. *duhyām*), on which hypothesis, indeed, the *ā* of the Pkr.-forms, as well as of Pāli *-eyyāsi*, would be at once explained.

long *ā* of the 1. Sg. being extended also to the latter¹.—Considered historically, the forms should be grouped according to types in the following way: The older type is predominant in the Gāthā-language: Sg. 1. *nisumbhceyyaṃ* 'I would strike down' Th2. 302; *kareyyāmi* 'I would do' Jā. V. 308¹⁸. Also the new formations in *e* are found in the Gāthā-language: *passee* 'I would see', *suṇe* 'I would hear' (Comm. *suṇeyyaṃ*), *samvase* 'I would live together (with)' Jā. IV. 240²⁹ (cf. 240³⁰, 241⁸⁹); *ānaye* 'I could have brought' (Comm. *āneyyaṃ*) Jā. I. 308⁶; *jīve* '(if) I live' Sn. 440.—Sg. 2. *yājeyya* '(if) you offer sacrifice' Jā. III. 515²⁴.—Sg. 3. *icche* 'he should wish for' Th1. 228, *hane* 'he should kill' Sn. 394, *vaje* 'he should go' and *pamuñceyya* 'he should free himself' Jā. II. 247¹⁰; *iccheyya* Sn. 148, *rakkheyya* 'he should protect' Sn. 702.—Pl. 1. *sikkhema* 'we would learn' Sn. 898, and with the ending *-mu*: *vasemu* 'we would stay' (Comm. *vaseyyāma*), *jānemu* 'we would know' Jā. III. 259^{13,14}, *viharemu* 'we would stay' Jā. II. 33²⁵.—Pl. 2. *bhūñjetha* 'you should enjoy' Mhvs. 25. 113.—Pl. 3. *saheyyuṃ* 'they should withstand' Sn. 20; *pajāheyyu* 'they should give up' Sn. 1058.—In the canonical and non-canonical prose on the other hand the older type of flexion (*labhe* etc.) has been given up. Apart from isolated forms of the athematic flexion which have been retained in the language (see below), the regular endings now are: Sg. 1. *-eyyaṃ* and *-eyyāmi*, 2. *-eyyāsi*, 3. *-eyya* and *-eyyāti*; Pl. 1. *-eyyāma*, 2. *-eyyātha*, 3. *-eyyuṃ*. This is apparent also from the fact that in the word-explanations these forms are substituted for the older ones. Thus in JāCo. II. 205¹⁸ *bhajeyya* is given as explanation of *bhaje* and in 223¹⁹ *udabbaheyya* is given as explanation of *udabbahe*. Cf. also above. Examples are: Sg. 1. *pabbajeyyaṃ* Vin. II. 180¹⁵, *puccheyyāmi* D. I. 51³; Sg. 2. *kareyyāsi* Vin. II. 190¹⁹, *āgaccheyyāsi* JāCo. II. 212²⁶; Sg. 3. *bhāseyya* Vin. II. 189¹⁹, *dadeyya* JāCo. II. 241²⁵, *jāneyyāti* Vin. II. 190²²; Pl. 1. *āroceyyāma* Vin. II. 186²⁸, *vādeyyāma* JāCo. II. 254²⁵; Pl. 2. *āneyyātha* 'may you bring' S. I. 221¹, *gaccheyyātha* JāCo. II. 249²⁰; Pl. 3. *khādeyyuṃ* Vin. II. 197¹¹, *vissajjeyyuṃ* JāCo. II. 241²⁴.

§ 129. Medium. A form of the 2. Sg. is to be found in *labhetho* Sn. 833. The suffix corresponds to Skr. *-thās*. Not infrequently the suffix is *-ctha* in 3. Sg., taken also by those verbs which are otherwise inflected in the Active. Examples of this *-ctha* are: *rakkhetha* Dh. 36; *labhetha* Sn. 45; *sevetha* Sn. 72, Vin. II. 203¹⁸ (verse); *jāyetha* Dh.

¹ The length of the vowel *ā* was then transferred also to the 2. Pl.

58; *ametha* Sn. 806; *saṅketha* Jā. II. 53²²; *atimaññetha* Sn. 148; *saddahetha* Jā. III. 192²⁶; *jhāyetha* Sn. 709; *kubbetha*¹ Sn. 702, 719, 917 etc.; *āsetha* in *sukhaṃ manussā āsetha* 'men should live happily' Jā. V. 222¹⁶ (Comm. = *āseyyuṃ*) has been used in the plural sense. Also in the post-canonical prose: *jaṭetha* 'he should forsake' JāCo. II. 205²⁰. The aspirate in the ending as against Skr. *-ta* is remarkable. It is found also in the 3. Sg. Cond. and Aor.; cf. § 157, 159. II.—A form of the 1. Pl. is to be found in *sādhayemase* 'we would accomplish' Jā. II. 236¹⁹, which is explained in the Comm. by *sādhēyyāma*. There are also other forms in *-emase*. *vademase* 'we would speak' D. III. 197²²; *mahemase* 'we would worship' (Comm. *mahāmase*) Vv. 47. 11; *samācaremase* 'we would wander' (Comm. *paṭipajjāmase*) Vv. 63. 7; also *viharemasi* '(if) we stay' (Comm. *vasāma*) Th2. 375. It is however not always possible to decide whether the forms in question are those of the 1. Pl. Imp. (§ 126) of the *e*-flexion or simple Optatives. Cf. also *saremhase* in § 122.

E. THE VERBAL CLASSES OF SANSKRIT IN PĀLI

1. Thematic Conjugation.

§ 130. Examples of present-stems of Cl. I are: 1. from roots with medial *a*: *patati* 'falls, flies', *pacati* 'cooks', *vasati* 'stays', *vadati* 'speaks' (all as in Skr.), *vajati* 'goes' = *vrajati*, *kamati* 'strides' = *kramate*, but *krāmati*², *bhamati* 'roams about' = *bhramati*; *khamati* 'forgives' = *kṣamate* etc.—2. From long-vowel roots: *khādati* 'eats', *jīvati* 'lives', *nindati* 'slanders' (all as in Skr.), *vandati* 'worships' = *vandate*, *sandati* 'flows' = *syandate*; *dhovati* 'washes' (cf. § 34) Vin. I. 26²⁷; Sn. p. 101 = AMāg. *dhovaṇi*, as against Skr. *dhāvati* etc.—3. From roots with a final *u* (on roots in *ṛ* cf. § 131): *savati* 'flows' = *sraṇvati* etc.—4. From roots with a final *r*: *sarati* 'goes', *carati* 'goes, does, etc.' (both as in Skr.), *sarati* 'remembers' (*sumarati* Dh. 324 comp. *anussarati* D. I. 13¹⁸) = *smarati* etc.—5. From roots with medial *i*, *u*, *ṛ*: *lehati* 'licks' JāCo. II. 44²¹ as against Skr. *leḍhi* (Cl. II), *jotati* 'lightens' JāCo. I. 53⁴ = *dyotate*, *vassati* 'rains' = *varṣati*;

¹ Also in S. I. 17³ (verse) = Jā. II. 112²¹ we have to take *kubbetha* (against Andersen, PGI. under the word *karoti*, who considers it to be a 2. Pl.) as 3. Sg. Med. in the sense 'one should do', as also *samāsetha* 'one should be with' in the same verse.

² Also in Pkr. (Pischel, § 481) there is no protraction of the radical vowel.

vattati 'becomes, originates' = *vartate*; *ghaṃsati* 'rubs' (cf. § 6. 3) = *gharṣati*; *haṃsati* 'stands on end (as hair of the body)' Vin. III. 8¹³ = *harṣati*; *kaḍḍhati* 'draws' = **kardhati*, side-form of *karṣati*, etc.—

6 The secondary verbal stems too take after the paradigm *labhati* in the present-flexion. On Passives, Causatives and Denominatives in *ya*, *aya* see particularly § 136. 4, 138, 139. For Desideratives (§ 184), Intensives (§ 185) and Denominatives in *a* (§ 188 1.) cf. Pres. Sg. 1 *jigucchāmi* Vin. III. 3⁴, 3. *dandhati* Jā. III. 141²; Pl. 3. *sussūsanti* A. IV. 398¹³, Med. *dicchare* S. I. 18²⁷, *siṃsare* Vv. 64 7. Imp. Sg. 2. *tikiccha* S. I. 238³⁴ and *tikicchāhi* Vin. I. 71³⁶, Med. *sikkhassu* Th2. 4; Pl. 2. *vimamsatha* JāCo. VI. 367²⁰. Opt. Sg. 1. *vimamseyyaṃ* M. I. 125¹⁶, 3. *jiguccheyya* Th2. 471 etc.

§ 131. The following details should be noted regarding Class I: 1. The roots in *i*, *ī* show contracted forms¹ besides those corresponding to Skr. forms. Such contracted forms are: *jemi* 'I conquer', *nemi* 'I lead', *jesi*, *nesi* etc. In the oldest period of the language both types are found side by side. Cf. *ānenti* Jā. VI. 507⁴, *vinayanāti* Th1. 3. The contracted forms get the upper hand later: *ānemi* JāCo. VI. 334¹⁰, *ānema* JāCo. VI. 334¹². The Imp. Sg. 2. is *nehi* JāCo. II. 160², beside *vinaya* Sn. 1025, *vinayassu* Sn. 559; Pl. 2. *ānetha* Mhvs. 5. 253. In Opt. we have *jeyyaṃ* and *neyyaṃ* (instead of *jayeyyaṃ*, *nayeyyaṃ*) etc. Cf. Sg. 1. *apaneyyaṃ* JāCo. III. 26⁶; Sg. 3. *viyeyya* Sn. 1002, *vineyya* M.I. 56⁵, beside the older form *naye* Dh. 256 = *nayet* and *nissayeyya* Sn. 798 from root *śri* with *ni*. Like *jeti* also *ḍeti* 'flies' = *ḍayati* D. I. 71⁷, M. I. 268³⁴, III. 34²⁶. The root *ci* 'to collect' too may take this contracted form although it otherwise belongs to Cl. IX: Opt. Sg. 1. *abhisamceyyaṃ* 'I would heap up' (Comm. -*vineyyaṃ*) Vv. 47.6; Sg. 3. *niccheyya* (*ci* with *ni*) Sn. 785, 801. Also in DhCo. III. 881¹⁴ we should probably read *viniccheyya*. From the root *hi* 'to send' we have the pres. *pāheti* (p. 79, foot-note 3) beside *pāhipati* (§ 147. 2). On the other hand the root *ji* (corresponding to Ved. *jināti*) may form the pres.-stem also according to Cl. IX²: Ind. Sg. 2. *jināsi* D. II. 348²³, Sg. 3. *jināti* Sn. 439; Imp. Sg. 2. *jināhi* Th1. 415, *abhivijina* M. II. 71²²; Opt. Sg. 3. *jine* Dh. 108; Pl. 3. *jineyyuṃ* S. I. 221⁵.—2. Similarly there is found an uncontracted and another contracted form of the root *bhū* 'to be'

¹ In Pkr. the form *jedu* = Pāl. *jetu* as against *jaadu* = Pāl. *jayatu* is not well attested; Pischel, § 473. With roots in *i* on the other hand, contraction is the usual rule (Māh. *ṇe* = Pāl. *neti*); Pischel, § 474.

² Similarly AMāg. *jināmi* etc.; Pischel, § 473.

in the Indic. Pres. and in Imp.¹ The contracted forms are (cf. § 37):

Sg.	Pl.
Ind. 1. <i>homi</i>	<i>homa</i>
2. <i>hosi</i> Vv. 84 20, M. III. 140 ²	<i>hotha</i> JāCo. I. 307 ²
3. <i>hoti</i> pass.	<i>honti</i> pass.
Imp. 2. <i>hohi</i> Sn. 31, M. III. 134 ¹⁴ ,	<i>hotha</i> Dh. 243,
JāCo. I. 32 ³⁰ ; <i>hehi</i> Bu. 2.10	D. II. 141 ²⁰ , JāCo. II. 302 ⁸
3. <i>hotu</i> Sn. 224, JāCo. III. 150 ²⁵	<i>honlu</i> Sn. 145, JāCo. II. 4 ¹³

Beside these there are the archaic forms *bhavāmi* etc., Imp. Sg. 2. *bhava* Th2. 8, Sn. 701 and *bhavāhi* Sn. 510; Pl. 1. Med. *bhavāṃse* Th1. 1128, Sn. 32; 2. *bhavatha* JāCo. II. 218⁸ and *bhavātha* Sn. 692, Dh. 144^b (cf. § 123); 3. *bhavantu* Sn. 145. Moreover Opt. Sg. 1. *bhaveyyaṃ* JāCo. VI. 364¹⁶; 2. *bhaveyyāsi* Ud. 91³³, PvCo. 11²³; 3. *bhave* Sn. 716 and *bhaveyya* JāCo. II. 159²²; Pl. 2. *bhavetha* Sn. 1073; 3. *bhaveyyum* Sn. 906. A dialectical side-form is to be found in Sg. 3. *hupeyya* (§ 39. 6) which is clearly = Pāli. *huveyya*². As for compounds, we have Ind. Sg. 1. *anubhomi* Vv. 15. 10; 2. *anubhosi* Vv. 40 3; 3. *vibhoti* Sn. 873, *sambhoti* Sn. 743 (cf. D. II. 232¹⁹⁻²¹), *anubhoti* JāCo. II. 202¹¹, 252¹ and *sambhavati* Milp. 210⁴, *anubhavati* JāCo. II. 202¹⁴; Pl. 1. *abhisambhoma* 'we attain' Jā. III. 140²⁴; 3. *anubhonti* Th2. 217. Sometimes certain compounds of *bhū* form the present-stem after Cl. IX³: *sambbhunāti* 'attains' Vin. I. 256⁸, *abhisambbhunāti* VinCo. Rangoon ed. I. 2² etc. Cf. also § 190, 191.

§ 132. Of reduplicating roots of Cl. I., *pivati* 'drinks' (cf. *pivāmi* M. I. 77³⁷, *pivasi* JāCo. II. 417⁷; *piva* JāCo. III. 110²², *pivatha* JāCo. II. 128²; *piveyya* D. I. 123²³) and *pipati* (in Gen.-Dat. Pl. Part. Pres. *pipataṃ* Sn. 398) correspond to Skr. *pibati* (root *pā*); *sīdati* (cf. *nisīda* 'sit down!' Th1. 411, *nisīdatha* Th2. 13) is = *sīdati* (root *sad*). The root *ghrā* 'to smell' assumes in Pāli the form *ghāyati* D. II. 338²⁰ as against Skr. *jighrati*.—The present-stems of *sthā* 'to stand' are multifarious. We have 1. the stem *tiṭṭha*-⁴ = Skr. *tiṣṭha*-. Thus

¹ For Pkr. cf. Pischel, § 475.

² The form *huveyya* presupposes a pres. *huvati* (Māh. *huvanti*). For Pāli *heyya* (Minayeff, PGr. p. 77) I cannot find attestation. The corresponding form in Pkr. would be *hojjā*.

³ Kern, *Revue Celtique* 23. 337 ff. and *Festschrift Thomsen* 70 ff.

⁴ In Pkr. the corresponding stem is *cīṭṭha*- in Māh., AMāg., JMāh. There are besides the stems *ṭhā*-, *ṭhāya*- and *ṭhe*-; see Pischel, § 483.

Ind. Sg. 1. *tiṭṭhāmi*, 2. *tiṭṭhasi*, 3. *tiṭṭhati*; Pl. 1. *tiṭṭhāma*, 2. *tiṭṭhatha*, 3. *tiṭṭhanti*. Imp. Sg. 2. *tiṭṭha* Mhvs. 7. 13 and *tiṭṭhāhi* Th1. 461, DhCo. III. 194¹⁷, 3. *tiṭṭhatu* D. I. 94⁴. Opt. Sg. 2. *tiṭṭheyyāsi* M. III. 129⁷, 3. *tiṭṭhe* Sn. 918 and *tiṭṭheyya* Sn. 929, Milp. 23¹⁰ etc. Also in compounds, as Ind. Sg. 1. *saṃtiṭṭhāmi* A. IV. 302¹⁷, Opt. Sg. 3. *uttitṭhe* Dh. 168 etc.—2. Stem *ṭhā-* (according to Cl. II) formed on analogy of roots like *yā* (Pāli *yāti*): Ind. Sg. 3. *uṭṭhāhi* Dpvs. 1. 53, *saṃṭhāti* Pu. 31²⁴, A. I. 197¹⁴, *adhiṭṭhāti* A. II. 45¹⁰; Imp. Sg. 2. *uṭṭhāhi* Th1. 411, S. I. 233³², 3. *uṭṭhātu* Jā. III. 297¹⁵.—3. Stem *ṭhāya-* (Cl. IV) formed after roots like *dhyā* (Pāli *jhāyati*): Ind. Sg. 1. *ṭhāyāmi* Th1. 888.—4. Stem *ṭhaha-*, formed after the stem *daha* of root *dhā*: Ind. Pl. 1. *upaṭṭhahāma* Jā. III. 120²⁶, 3. *vuṭṭhahanti* Mhvs. 5. 124; Imp. Sg. 2. *adhiṭṭhaha* Vin. I. 183², *vuṭṭhaha* Vin. I. 128²³ and *upaṭṭhahassu* S. I. 167¹² (verse); Pl. 2. *uṭṭhahatha* Sn. 331; Opt. Sg. 3. *saṃuṭṭhahe* Jā. III. 156¹² and *saṃṭhaheyya* S. V. 329⁹, *adhiṭṭhaheyya* Vin. I. 125²¹; Pl. 2. *uṭṭhaheyyātha* S. I. 217¹⁸.—5. Stem *the-* in Ind. (and Imp.) Pl. 1. *adhiṭṭhema* Vin. IV. 23²⁴; Imp. Sg. 2. *uṭṭhehi*, (on analogy of *dehi* from *dā*) S. I. 198⁷ (verse), Ud. 52¹⁹, Vin. I. 6³, JāCo. I. 151²³; Pl. 2. *uṭṭhetha* Jā. VI. 444¹.

§ 133. 1. The form corresponding to Skr. Pres. *gacchati* from root *gam* is *gacchati* also in Pāli. Cf. Ind. Sg. 1. *gacchāmi* Milp. 26³², 2. *gacchasi* etc.; Imp. Sg. 2. *gaccha* Jā. II. 160¹², *gacchāhi* S. I. 217⁴ (verse) and *gacchassu* Th1. 82, Pl. 2. *gacchatha* Vin. II. 191³⁵, JāCo. I. 222¹⁹; Opt. Sg. 1. *-gaccheyyam* D. II. 340³; 3. *-gacche* Th1. 11 and *gaccheyya* Sn. p.14, Pl. 3. *gaccheyyum* Milp. 47²⁴ etc. On the other hand the present-stem of *yam* 'to restrain' is however *yama-* (Ind. Pl. 1. Med. *saṃyamāmase* S. I. 209²⁷), not *yaccha-* as in Skr.—2. To Skr. *ḍasati* (from root *damś-*) corresponds *ḍasati* (§ 42. 3) in Pāli.—3. Of the roots with a medial *u*, *ruh* 'to mount' in compounds may both retain it unchanged¹ or lengthen it. Thus *ārūhati* 'climbs up' besides *ārohati*, *virūhati* 'sprouts, grows' JāCo. III. 12²¹; *orūhati* 'climbs down' besides *orohati*. It thus takes after Cl. VI, or is treated like the root *guh*, *gūhati* 'to conceal': *nigūhati* JāCo. I. 286²⁵, III. 392¹⁴; Imp. Sg. 2. Med. *upagūhassu* JāCo. III. 437²⁸.

§ 134. Present-stems after Cl. VI are: *kasati* 'ploughs'=*kṛṣati* (besides *kassati* after Cl. I=*karṣati*: cf. Imp. Pl. 2. *apakassatha* Sn. 281); *khipati* 'hurls'=*kṣipati*; *tudati* 'goads' Jā. I. 500¹⁸=*tudati*; *disati* 'directs'=*disati*; *nudati* 'presses' Sn. 480, 928, Dh. 383=*nudati*;

¹ Similarly in Pkr.; Pischel, § 482.

pucchati 'asks' = *pr̥cchati*; *phusati* 'touches' = *sp̥ṛṣati* (Ind. Sg. 1. *phusāmi* Dh. 272, Imp. Sg. 2. *phusāhi* Th1. 212, Opt. Pl. 3. *phuseyyu* Dh. 133; on the other hand Opt. Sg. 3. *phasse*¹ Sn. 967 after Cl. I, and Imp. Sg. 2. *phusehi* Th2. 6 according to *e*-flexion); *ruduti* 'laments' = Ved. *rudati*; *vidati* 'knows' = *vidati*; *-visati* 'goes' = *viṣati* etc. Also the verbs *kirati* 'scatters' (Ind. Sg. 3. Med. *ākirate* Dh. 313, Sg. 2. *ākirasi* Sū. 665; Opt. Pl. 3. *parikireyyum* Th1. 1210) = Skr. *kirati* (root *kṛ* according to Indian grammarians) and *girati* (JāCo. I. 150¹⁰), *gilati* 'devours' (Imp. Sg. 2. *gila* Jā. I. 380¹⁰ and *gilāhi* JāCo. I. 380¹⁴, Opt. Sg. 3. *gileyya* JāCo. I. 508¹⁷) = *girati* (root *gṛ* according to Indian grammarians).—Also from the root *svap* 'to sleep' (Skr. *svapiti*) the pres.-stem *supati*² is formed similarly after Cl. VI: Ind. Sg. 3. *supati* Sn. 110, JāCo. III. 101¹⁵; Imp. Sg. 2. *supa* Vin. III. 110¹⁵ and *supāhi* Th2. 1 etc. Cf. below § 136. 2.

§ 135. 1. Corresponding to Skr. *icchati* from root *iṣ* 'to wish', we have *icchati* also in Pāli: Ind. Sg. 1. *icchāmi* Th1. 186, D. I. 193⁵, JāCo. I. 292²², Med. *icche* Th2. 332, DhCo. III. 199⁴ (verse); Opt. Sg. 1. *iccheyyāmi* Ud. 17³³, 2. *iccheyyāsi* Ud. 17³², Pl. 2. *iccheyyātha* M. II. 79³ etc.—2. Here I include also Pāli *acchati* 'sits, remains', which I consider to be an old inchoative formation related to root *ās* in the same way as *icchati* to *iṣ*³. Forms: Ind. Sg. 2. *acchasi* Vv. 11. 2, 12 1, 3. *acchati* D. I. 101²³, Pl. 3. *acchanti* Vin. III. 195⁸, Th2Co. 60³⁰ and Med. *acchare* Th2. 54, *samacchare* Jā. II. 67¹⁰; Imp. Sg. 2. *acchassu* Jā. VI. 516¹⁸, 3. *acchatu* Jā. VI. 506¹³ and Med. *acchataṃ* Jā. VI. 506⁸.—3. Roots which show nasal stems in present in Skr.⁴ do the same also in Pāli. Thus *muñcati* 'liberates', *līmpati* 'besmears', *lumpati* 'robs', *vindati* 'finds' (*nibbindati* 'feels disgust'), *siñcati* 'pours out' (the same forms also in Skr.), *kantati* 'cuts' = *kṇṇtati*. Cf. *sumbhati*, *sumhati* 'strikes' (§ 60); Opt. Sg. 1. *nisumbheyyaṃ* Th2. 302.

§ 136. Present-stems after Cl. IV. 1. Example of root ending in vowel: *allīyati* 'attaches' JāCo. I. 433⁴, 502⁸, III. 65²³ (*o-līyati* Iv.

¹ Like Pkr. *saṃphāsai* in Hem. 4. 132. Cf. Pischel, PkrGr. § 486.

² In agreement with Pkr.; Pischel, § 497.

³ Pischel, PkrGr. § 480 derives the verb and the forms corresponding to it in Pkr. from *ṛcchati*. This is, however, impossible on account of the meaning. See *ibid.* the various attempts to explain the forms by Ascoli, Childers, E. Müller, Trenchner, Torp, E. Kuhn, Johansson. Cf. also Johansson, IF. 3. 205-212.

⁴ Unnasalised forms, which are normal in Pkr. Māh., JMāh., AMāg. (Pischel, § 486), are unknown in Pāli.

43¹⁴, *niliyati* JāCo. I. 292¹⁸)=*ā-lyate*, root *li*. In the case of roots ending in consonant the *y* is assimilated to the preceding consonant. Thus *ijjhati* 'flourishes'=ṛdhyati; *kujjhati* 'is angry'=*krudhyati*; *kuppati* 'is angry'=kupyati; *gijjhati* 'is eager'=gṛdhyati; *naccati* 'dances'=nṛtyati; *nassati* 'is destroyed'=naśyati; *saṃnayhati* 'guards up, prepares'=saṃnahyati; *āpajjati* 'gets involved in something' and many other compounds of the root *pad*=āpadyate; *bujjhati* 'wakes up, perceives'=budhyate; *maññati* 'thinks'=manyate; *yujjhati* 'fights'=yudhyate; *sussati* 'dries up'=śuśyati etc. Also *sinīyhati* (§ 49.1) DhCo. 192³¹=*snihyati*. There are found moreover, in agreement with Skr.: *majjati* 'rejoices' Jā. II. 97¹⁵, A. IV. 294⁶ (Opt. Sg. 3. *majjeyya* Jā. III. 87²⁵)=*mādyati* (root *mad*); *sammati* 'rests'=śāmyati (root *śam*). Also *bhassati* 'falls down' Jā. VI. 530¹¹=*bhraśyati* (root *bhramś*); *rajjati* 'takes delight in something' S. IV 74²¹ (verse), DhCo. III. 233³⁴=*rajyati* (root *rañj*); *vijjhati* 'bores through'=vidhyati (root *vyadh*). To the Skr. pres-stem *krāmya-* from root *kram* (not attested) corresponds *kamma-* in Imp. Sg. 2. *paṭikkamma* 'go back!' S. I. 226²⁸ (verse), and to Skr. *medya-* from root *mud* 'to feel oneself drawn towards something' corresponds the stem *mejja-* DhCo. 192³¹ (var. lec. *mijsa-*).—2. Though not in Skr.¹, a pres-stem *lagga-* after Cl. IV is derived in Pāli from the root *lag* 'to hang' (Imp. Sg. 3. *laggatu* DhCo. I. 131¹²). Similarly from root *ruc* 'to be liked' *ruccati*¹ Vv. 63. 8, DhCo. I. 13²³ against *rocate*. In the same way Pāli has besides *supati* (§ 134) from root *svap* 'to sleep' also *suppati*, *soppati* (§ 10) S. I. 107¹⁹ (verse).—3. To the Skr. Pres. *paśyati* from root *darś* corresponds Pāli *passati*. Cf. Ind. Sg. 1. *passāmi* Sn. 776, Vin. I. 126³, 2. *passasi* etc. Imp. Sg. 2. *passa* Sn. 435, JāCo. II 159²⁵, 3. *passatu* Sn. 909, Pl. 2. *passatha* Sn. 176, JāCo. III. 126²⁰, Med. *passavho* Sn. 998; Opt. Sg. 1. *passē* Jā. IV. 240²⁹ and *passēyyaṃ* JāCo. I. 356²⁹, 2. *passēyyāsi* M. III. 131¹, JāCo. I. 137²⁹, 3. *passē* Dh. 76 and *passēyya* JāCo. III. 55²² etc. Beside it a new pres.-stem *dakkha-* has arisen in the language. It is abstracted out of the future-stem (*drakṣyati*, § 152). Cf. Opt. Pl. 1. *dakkhema* or *-mu* DhCo. III. 217¹⁹, 218⁷ (verse).—4. The Passives (§ 175 f.) and the *ya*-Denominatives (§ 188. 2, 3) have formally coincided with the presents of Cl. IV. Cf. Ind. Sg. 1. *ñāyāmi* 'I am mentioned' Milp. 26⁸, *vediyāmi* 'I feel' Vin. III. 37²⁵, *namassāmi* 'I worship' Sn. 1058, *aṭṭiyāmi* 'I suffer' D. I. 213²²; 2. *vediyasi* M. II. 70¹⁴, 3. *śyati* *śyiyati*

¹ As also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 468.

'is heard' Jā. IV. 141²⁰, JāCo. I. 72¹, *khiyati* 'weakens' Th1. 145; Pl. 1. *jīyyāma* 'we lose' Jā. II. 75²², *posiyāmase* 'we are nourished' Jā. III. 289⁷; 3. *sūyanti* M. I. 30¹⁹, *sūyare* Jā. VI. 526³⁰, *vuccanti* 'are mentioned' D. I. 245¹⁶. Imp. Sg. 2. *samādiya* 'take up!' Bu. II. 118, *samādiyāhi* Th2. 249; Med. *samādiyassu* Vv. 83. 16, *muccassu* 'be free!' Th2. 2; 3. *bhijjatu* 'should be destroyed' Th1. 312; Pl. 2. *namassatha* Mhvs. 1. 69. Opt. Sg. 1. *vodiyyeyyam* M. II. 70¹³; 3. *upādiye* 'he should cling' Sn. 400, *mucceyya* D. I. 72⁸, *namasseyya* Dh. 392, *hāyetha* 'may decrease!' D. I. 118³, *niyetha*, *niyyetha* 'may be guided!' Sn. 927, 931; Pl. 3. *palujjeyyūṃ* 'may they be destroyed' M. I. 488²⁹, *hāyeyyūṃ* D. I. 118³.

§ 137. The root *jar* (*jṛ*) 'to grow old', Skr. *jīryati*, gives in Pāli (besides *jīryati* M. I. 188⁷ with Svarabhakti) the forms *jīroti* Jā. III. 38⁸ (Ind. Pl. 3. *jīranli* Dh. 151, Imp. Sg. 3. *jīrulu* Mhvs. 22. 76) and *jīyati* (Ind. Pl. 3. *jīyanti* M. III. 168⁸, Med. *jīyare* Jā. VI. 528⁸, Opt. Sg. 3. Med. *jīyetha* D. II. 63²⁷) and *jīyyati* M. III. 246³⁰ (*parijīyyati* Th1. 1215). Cf. § 52. 5. From the root *śar* (*śṛ*) 'to wither', Skr. *śīryati*, -*le*, we have Ind. Sg. 2. *ścyyasi* (instead of **siyyasi*, § 10) Jā. I. 174⁹, in Conim. = *visiṇṇaphalo hoti*. Similarly from root *mar* 'to die', Skr. *mriyate*, we have in Pāli *mīyati* (Ind. Pl. 3. *mīyanti* Dh. 21, M. III. 163⁹, Opt. Sg. 3. Med. *mīyetha* D. II. 63²⁷) and *miyyati*¹ Sn. 804 (Ind. Pl. 3. Med. *miyyare* Sn. 575, Opt. Sg. 1. *miyye* in *miyyāhaṃ* Jā. VI. 498³⁰). Moreover *marati* (as in Vedic) in Ind. Pl. 3. *maranti* Mhvs. spurious verse after 5. 27, Opt. Sg. 1. *mareyyaṃ* JāCo. VI. 498³⁰, 2. *mareyyāsi* JāCo. III. 276²².

§ 138. To Skr. *jāyate* from root *jan* 'to be born' there corresponds in Pāli *jāyati*; to Skr. *ā-hvayati* from root *hvā* 'to call' the Pāli forms *avhayati* and *avheti* (§ 49. 1.): Ind. Pl. 1. *avhayāma* D. I. 244²⁵, 3. *avhayanli* Jā. VI. 529¹; Opt. Sg. 3. *avhceyya* D. I. 244¹⁶. Various roots in *ā* form their present-stems in the same way as *jāyati*, partly in agreement with Skr. (the roots in *ai* of the Indian grammarians), and partly deviating from it². Thus *vāyati* 'blows' besides *vāli*, Skr. *vāti* (Ind. Pl. 3. *vāyanti* Jā. VI. 530¹², Vin. I. 48³⁵, D. II. 107²³; also *nibbāyati* 'is extinguished' JāCo. I. 61³, Opt. Sg. 3. *nibbāyeyya* M. I. 487²³ besides *parinibbanti* Dh. 126, *parinibbātu* D. II. 105⁵). Similarly

¹ AMāg. *mijjai*, *mijjanti* might be the corresponding forms in Pkr.; Pischel, § 477.

² On *ghāyati* and *phāyati* see § 132. The deviating forms get the upper hand in Pkr. according to Pischel, § 487.

yāyati 'goes' besides *yāti*, Skr. *yāti* (Ind. Pl. 3. *yāyanli* Vin. I. 191¹⁸, Opt. Sg. 3. *yāyeyya* Vin. 191²²); *gāyati* 'sings'=*gāyati*; *mīlāyati* 'withers' S. I. 126²³=*mīlāyati*; *jhāyati* 'meditates'=*dhyāyati*; *jhāyati* 'burns' (§ 56, 2.)=*kṣāyati* (*viṣṣāyati* 'is extinguished' Vin. I. 31²⁹); *nahāyati* 'bathes'=*snāyati* besides *snāti*; *khāyati* 'becomes clear' (Ind. Pl. 3. *pakkhāyanti* D. II. 99²³ besides *pakkhanti* Th1. 1034)=*khyāyate*; *tāyati* 'protects' DCo. 18¹ (Imp. Sg. 2. Med. *tāyassu* DhCo. 1. 218⁴ (verse))=*trāyate* besides *trāti*; *antaradhāyati* 'disappears' D. II. 109¹⁹, A. IV. 307²⁷=*antardhāyate*. In the same way is formed also *bhāyati* 'fears'¹; Ind. Sg. 1. *bhāyāmi* Th1. 21, Sn. p. 47, 2. *bhāyasi* Th2. 248, Sn. p. 47; Pl. 1. *bhāyāma* JāCo. II. 21²², 3. *bhāyanti* Dh. 129; Imp. Pl. 2. *bhāyatha* Ud. 51⁹, JāCo. III. 4⁴; Opt. Sg. 3. *bhāye* Sn. 964 and *bhāyeyya* Milp. 208²⁶, Pl. 3. *bhāyeyyūṃ* Milp. 208²⁰. *Palāyati* 'flees'=*palāyate* is inflected in the same way: Imp. Sg. 2. *palāyassu* DhCo. III. 334⁹, Pl. 2. *palāyatha* Mhvs. 7. 66, 3. *palāyantu* JāCo. II. 90¹⁷. Denominatives of the type *civāyati*, *dhūpāyati* (also *sajjhāyati*) along with forms like *gahāyati*, *phusāyati*, *saṃkasāyati* are to be grouped herewith so far as the form is concerned. Cf. § 186.

§ 139. The formans *aya* is contracted into *e* also in the present-stems of Cl.X.—1. To this group belong verbs like *cinteti* 'thinks' Sn. 717, JāCo. I. 221³⁰=*cintayati*, *pūjeti* 'worships'=*pūjayati*, particularly however denominatives like *katheti* 'relates'=*kathayati*, *gaṇeti* 'counts'=*gaṇayati*, *paṭtheti* 'begs'=*prārthayati* and the whole category of causatives. Similarly also *bhemi* 'I fear' S. I. 111² (verse) besides *bhāyāmi* and *paleti* 'flees' Dh. 49, Sn. 1074 besides *palāyati* (see p. 74, f.n. 4 and § 188). In the Gāthā-language both uncontracted and contracted forms are found side by side. In Sn., for instance, they almost hold the balance if the prose portions are left out of consideration. But already in the canonical prose contraction is the rule. But occasionally even later there are found forms like *lappayati* Milp. 227^{31,12}, *pihayāmi*, *paṭthayāmi* Th2Co. 239³⁰, *mantayatha* JāCo. II. 107²². The flexion will be clear from the following examples:

Older type:

Ind. Sg. 1. *sāvayāmi* Sn. 385; *āmantayāmi* D. II. 156¹; Med. *paṭthaye* Th2. 341 (*paṭthe* Th2. 32).

2. *paṭthayasi* Sn. 18; *maggayasi* Th2. 384.

3. *paṭthayati* Sn. 114; Med. *kārayate* Jā. VI. 360¹⁰.

Pl. 1. *ṭhapayāma* D. I. 120³³, Med. *ujjhāpayāmaṃ* S. I. 209¹⁴ (verse).

¹ Cf. in Pkr. Māg., S. *bhāmi* etc., in Fischel, § 501.

2. *bhamayatha* Sn. 680.
3. *dassayanti* Dh. 83; *vādayanti* Sn. 682; *ramayanti* Th1. 13.
- Imp. Sg. 2. *sāvaya* Jā. III. 437¹³; *nīyādayāhi* Th2. 323; Med. *parivajjayassu* Vv. 53. 15.
- Pl. 2. Med. *kappayavho* Sn. 283. Pl. 3. *pālayantu* Jā. II. 34¹³.
- Opt. Sg. 1. *papōthayeyyaṃ* Jā. III. 175²².
3. *pūjaye* Dh. 106 f.; *kāraye* Milp. 211⁹ (verse); *phassaye* Sn. 54; *kālayeyya* Sn. 930.
- Pl. 1. Med. *sādhayemase* Jā. II. 236¹⁹.

Later Type:

- Ind. Sg. 1. *kathemi* PvCo. 11¹², *vattemi* Sn. 554.
2. *kathesi* JāCo. I. 291²⁹.
3. *katheti* JāCo. I. 292¹²; *dasseti* JāCo. III. 82⁷ *vaḍḍheti* Sn. 275.
- Pl. 1. *pavedema* M. II. 200⁹; *nisāmemu* Vin. I. 103²².
2. *sobhetha* DhCo. I. 56¹¹.
3. *gamenti* Sn. 390; *paññāpenti* D. I. 13⁷; *pūjenti* D. I. 91⁴; *kathenti* JāCo. II. 133²⁶.
- Imp. Sg. 2. *kārehi* Jā. III. 394⁷, *kathehi* JāCo. III. 279²², *palehi* Sn. 831.
3. *desetu* M. II. 207⁹; *dhāretu* Sn. p. 25.
- Pl. 2. *bhāvettha* Th1. 980, *paletha* Vv. 84. 36.
3. *āgamentu* Sn. p. 103; *pārentu* JāCo. II. 34²⁵.
- Opt. Sg. 1. *manteyyaṃ* Sn. p. 103.
2. *āroceyyāsi* M. II. 210¹⁷; *dhāreyyāsi* Milp. 47²⁵
3. *jāleyya* M. II. 203²⁰; *dasseyya* Milp. 47⁴.
- Pl. 1. *saṃvejeyyāma* S. I. 146³⁵; *sādhēyyāma* JāCo. II. 236²⁵.
2. *kathēyyātha* Ud. 11¹⁸; *pāteyyātha* DhCo. III. 201⁸.
3. *vāceyyuṃ* D. I. 97¹⁴; *tāseyyuṃ* Milp. 209⁶.

2. The *e*-flexion has however extended its sphere on all sides, and verbs which originally did not belong to Cl. X were transferred to it. Thus from root *vad* 'to speak' we have not only *vadati* but also *vaḍeti* (the Causative is *vādeti*) Sn. 825, D. I. 36³⁰, Vin. II. 1¹¹, JāCo. I. 294²¹; *bhajeḥi* 'worship!' occurs in Jā. III. 146^{11, 12} for the usual *bhaja*, *bhajāhi* (Comm. *bhajeyyāsi*); to Skr. *upahadati*, corresponds Pāli *ūhadeti* 'defiles with dung'; *maññesi* Pret. Sg. 2. 'you thought' pre-

supposes *maññeti* besides *maññati*; *gaḥeti*, without any Causative sense (the Causative is *gāḥeti*), is the oft-used side-form of *gaṇhāti* 'seizes'. Instead of the usual *akkhāhi* (§ 140.2) there also occurs *akkhēhi* in Jā. VI. 318²⁰ etc.

2. Athematic Conjugation.

§ 140. 1. An isolated form of athem. flexion after Cl. II. is to be found in *hanti*¹ 'strikes' Sn. 118, 125, Dh. 72, 355, S. I. 154³ (verse); also Milp. 214⁷, besides thematic *hanati* Jā. I. 432¹³, Milp. 220⁴, 2. Pl. *hanatha* JāCo. I. 263³, Opt. Sg. 3. *haneyya* Sn. 705 etc. Similarly *veti* 'knows' Th1 497=*vetti*. In other cases however the thematic flexion has taken the place of athematic in Pāli *āsati* 'sits' (Dh. 61; Opt. Pl. 1. *-āseyyāma* JāCo. I. 509¹) as against Skr. *āste* (Ved. also *āsate*), *ghasati* 'eats', *lehati* 'licks', *rodati* 'cries', *ravati* 'roars', *abhi-tthavati* 'praises' S. I. 190⁶ against *stauti* (but Ved. also *stāvate*) etc.—2. More numerous are the athematic forms of roots in *ā*, which are otherwise transferred to the flexion of Cl. IV. Individual examples have been given in § 138. Cf. Ind. Sg. 2. *yāsi* 'you go' JāCo. I. 291¹⁵, 3. *yāti* Sn. 720, Dh. 29, Pl. 1. *āyāma* D. II. 81¹⁴, 3. *āyanti* Th2. 237; Imp. Sg. 2. *yāhi* Mhvs. 13, 15, Pl. 2. *yātha* Mhvs. 14. 29 from root *yā*. Similarly Ind. Sg. 2. *vāsi* Jā. II. 11²¹, Pl. 3. *pavanti* Th2. 371 from root *vā* 'to blow'; Ind. Sg. 1. *akkhāmi* Sn. 172, Imp. Sg. 2. *akkhāhi* Th1. 168 from root *khyā* with prefix *ā-* 'to proclaim'; Imp. Sg. 2. *sināhi* 'bathe!' M. I. 39²¹ (verse), or *nahāhi* JāCo. VI. 32¹⁰ (besides *nhāya* Vin. III. 110¹⁵); Ind. Pl. 3. *ābhanti* 'they light up' Vv. 6. 10, *patibhanti* 'they become clear' JāCo. II. 100¹⁹ from root *bhā* etc.—3. The root *i* 'to go', after generalising the strong stem, has given rise to the forms *emi*, *esi*, *eti*; *ema*, *etha*, *enti*², both as simplex and also in numerous compounds. On the basis of such historical forms as *eti* (*sumeti* etc.) the pres.-flexion has been completely adapted to that of verbs like *nī*, *ji* (§ 131), or to that of *e*-stems (according to § 139) as the case may be. Examples are numerous: Ind. Sg. 1. *pacceṃi* D. I. 186¹, 2. *paccesi* D. I. 185³³, 3. *pacceti* Dh. 125, Pl. 1. *paccema* Milp. 313⁶, 3. *accenti* Th1. 231; Imp. Sg. 2. *ehi* Th1. 175, JāCo. II. 159¹⁵, 3. *etu* D. I. 179¹⁶, Pl. 2. *etha* D. II. 98²⁶, *apetha* DhCo. III. 201¹³; Opt.

¹ The form Imp. Sg. 3. *sumāhantu* D. II. 154¹⁷ is not quite certain. Buddhaghosa (DCo. ed. Rangoon, II. 165³⁰) reads *-hanatu*. So also in the quotation in Milp. 142¹⁸.

² The Pl. 3. is *enti* also in Pkr. Māh., AMāg.; Pischel, § 493. It is doubtful if *inti* in Jinalampkāra is right.

Sg. 2. *upeyyāsi* Jā. IV. 241²⁴, 3. *pacceyya*¹ Nett. 93¹⁴, *upeyya* M. III. 173²³. The analogy of *e*-stems then also gave rise to forms like Ind. Pl. 3. *accayanti* Th1. 145, S. I. 109¹ (verse), Opt Sg. 3. *accayeyya* Sn. 781.—4. The flexion of the root *śi* should be judged precisely in this light. Forms such as Ind. Sg. 2. *sesi* Jā. III. 34¹², S. I. 110²² (verse) and Sg. 3. *seti* Dh. 79, Jā. I. 141²⁵ may be direct continuations of Skr. *śeṣe*, *śete*. After there was formed (as *nenti* to *neti*) the 3. Pl. *senti* Sn. 668 (against Skr. *śerate*). And just as there are forms like *ṇayāmi* etc. besides *nemi*, *kathayāmi* etc. besides *kathemi*, so we have also forms like *sayāmi* Th1. 888, S. I. 110²⁶ (verse), *sayati* Vin. I. 57³⁰, Jā. II. 53¹⁸ (cf. Ved. *śayate*); Imp. Sg. 2. *sayā* JāCo. III. 23²¹; Opt. Sg. 3. *saye* Iv. 120¹⁰ (verse), Med. *sayetha* Th1. 501.

§ 141. 1. The present-flexion of *as* 'to be' is as follows:—

	Sg.	Pl.
Ind. 1.	$\begin{cases} asmi \\ amhi \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} asmā (asmase \text{ Sn. } 595), \\ amhā (amhāse \text{ Jā. III. } 309^{27}, \text{ D. II. } 275^{11} \text{ (verse)}) \end{cases}$
2.	asi	attha
3.	atthi	santi (<i>sante</i> Sn. 868)
Imp. 3.	atthu	
Opt. 1.	siyaṃ, assaṃ	assāma M. III. 250 ¹⁵
2.	assa	assatha D. I. 3 ⁵
3.	siyā, assa	siyuṃ, assu.

The Medial forms in Ind., occurring only in the Gāthā-language, are innovations on the analogy of *labhāma*: *labhāmase*, *labhanti*: *labhante*. In Opt. the forms *siyaṃ*, *siyā*, *siyuṃ* correspond to Skr. *syām*, *syāt*, *syus*; the form *siyaṃsu* M. II. 239⁴ in Pl. 3. is remarkable. It is a new formation in the place of *siyuṃ*, just as in Aor. there is *addasaṃsu* besides *addasum*. The forms *assaṃ* etc. are derived from the strong stem like Greek *etēn*. They occur already in the Gāthā-language. In the post-canonical prose the forms of *atthi* are used mostly in periphrastic perfect. But they were more and more replaced by forms of *bhavati-hoti*. Quite frequently *atthi* is used, also with a plural subject, as a petrified form in the indefinite sense of 'there is'. Cf., e. g., DhCo. I. 41²⁵, JāCo. III. 126¹⁹ etc.—2.

¹ Also of the simplex the Opt. Sg. 3. is *eyya*. Cf. M. III. 159²⁶, where the proper division of words would be *matam-eyya* 'he goes unto death, dies.'

In the pres.-forms of the root *brū* the stem of the 1. Pl. Skr. *brūmaḥ* was generalised¹. We have Sg. 1. *brūmi* Sn. 458, Dh. 385, Th1. 214, *pabrūmi* Sn. 870, 2. *brūsi* Sn. 457, Jā. II. 48²³, Th2. 58, S. I. 810¹¹, 3. *brūti* Sn. 122, *pabrūti* Sn. 131, Pl. 3. *pabrūnti* Sn. 649; Imp. Sg. 2. *brūhi* Sn. 76, *pabrūhi* Sn. 599. Quite remarkable is the Imp. Sg. 3. *brūmetu* D. I. 95¹⁹; but the form is not above all doubts. This verb may be regarded as an archaism when it occurs still in the post-canonical prose (e. g., Milp. 327³). That is why *brūmi* is explained as *kathemi* in PvCo. 11¹².

§ 142. Present-stems after Cl. III. 1. A stem *jaha-* (besides *jahā-*) has been abstracted out of *jahāmi* from root *hā-* 'to leave', and it is the basis of the forms of the present: Ind. Pl. 3. *jahanti* Dh. 91; Imp. Sg. 2. *jaha* Th1. 83 (also *jahi* Th2. 508) and Med. *jahassu* Sn. 1121, Th1. 1219, Pl. 2. *pajahatha* S. IV. 81¹⁰; Opt. Sg. 1. *jaheyyam* JāCo. I. 153¹, 2. *pajaheyyāsi* S. IV. 350²³; 3. Med. *jahetha* (§ 129) etc. The stem *jahā-* in Sg. 3. *jahāti* Sn. 1. ff., 506, 589, *pajahāti* Sn. 789.—2. The stem *daha-* stands in the same relation to the root *dhā-* 'to set' (cf. particularly also *saddahati* 'believes' = *śraddadhāti*). The forms *dahāsi*, *dahāti* (§ 123) are older. From the stem *daha-* we have Ind. Sg. 1. *samādahāmi* M. I. 116¹⁵, 2. *saddahasi* S. IV. 298¹², JāCo. I. 426¹⁰, 3. *saṃdahati* Milp. 40²⁹, Pl. 1. *saddahāma* D. II. 328¹, 2. *saddahatha* JāCo. I. 222⁶, 3. *dahanti* Sn. 882, D. I. 92¹⁵; Imp. Sg. 2. *saddaha* JāCo. IV. 52¹⁸, Med. *odahassu* Sn. 461, Pl. 2. *pidahatha* Thūpavs. 76³²; Opt. Sg. 2. *nidaheyyāsi* Jā. VI. 494¹⁷, 3. *vidahe* Sn. 927 and *padaheyya* M. II. 174¹¹, Med. *saddahetha* Jā. III. 192²⁶, Pl. 1. *saddaheyyāma* Milp. 330², 3. *saddaheyyum* S. II. 255²⁶ etc. The root *dhā-*, however, also takes the *e*-flexion, particularly in the Gāthā-language²: Ind. Sg. 1. *samādhemi* Th2. 50, 114, Pl. 3. *odhenti* Th1. 1233 = S. I. 190²⁰; Imp. Sg. 2. *paṇidhehi* Th2. 197, S. IV. 302²⁵; Opt. Sg. 3. *saddheyya* Jā. II. 446¹⁴ (Comm. = *saddaheyya*) etc.—3. From root *hu* (*jūhoti*) 'to pour into the fire' we have the stem *jūha-*³: Ind. Sg. 1. *jūhāmi* Th1. 343, 3. *jūhati* S. I. 167²¹, Sn. p. 79.—4. The pres.-stem of root *gar* (*jāgarti*, *jāgarati*) 'to wake up' is *jāgara-* or (with the elision of *a* according to

¹ The form *brūmi* may be found occasionally also in Skr. In Pkr. we have AMāg. Ind. Pl. 1. *būma*, Imp. Sg. 2. *būhi*. In AMāg. the Ind. Sg. 1. is *bemi*, Pl. 3. *benti*. Pischel, § 494.

² On the basis of the 2. Sg. Imp. *dhehi*. Similarly in Pkr. Cf. Pischel, § 500.

³ As var. lec. we have *jūhati* Sn. p. 79, and its Part. Pres. Sg. Gen. *jūhato* Sn. 428. *Jūh-* may be derived also from *jūh-* = *jūh-*. (Skr. *jūhvati* 3. Pl.).

§ 20 and the assimilation of *gr*) *jagga-*. From the first we have, e. g., Imp. Sg. 2. Med. *jāgarassu* Th1. 411, as well as the Participles *jāgarant* Dh. 39, Vin. III. 31³⁵ and *jāgaramāna* Dh. 226. From the second the Ind. Sg. 1. *paṭijaggāmi* JāCo. I. 438³⁰, 3. *jaggati* A. III. 156⁹, Pl. 3. *jagganti* A. III. 156⁷; Opt. Sg. 3. *paṭijaggeyya* Dh. 157.

§ 143. The present forms of the root *dā* 'to give' have had a multifarious development. There are found (a) the stems *dadā-* and *dada-*: Ind. Sg. 1. *dadāmi* Sn. 421, Th2. 245, 2. *dadāsi* Mhvs. 10. 50, 3. *dadāti* D. I. 103²², Sn. p. 86, Pl. 1. *dadāma* Th1. 475, JāCo. III. 47¹⁸, Med. *dadamhase* Jā. III. 47³, 3. *dadanti* Vv. 44. 25; Imp. Sg. 2. *dada* Jā. III. 412⁸ and *dadāhi* Jā. III. 109¹⁴, JāCo. III. 109¹⁷, Pl. 1. Med. *dadāmase* Jā. III. 131¹⁵, 2. *dadātha* Vv. 44. 19. Moreover Opt. Sg. 1. *dadeyyaṃ* Vin. I. 39¹⁴, S. I. 97⁷, JāCo. I. 254¹³, 2. *dadeyyāsi* JāCo. III. 276²¹, 3. *dade* Vv. 62. 5 and *dadeyya* Vin. I. 49²¹, Milp. 28¹¹, Med. *dadetha* S. I. 32⁴ (verse), Pl. 1. *dademu* Jā. VI. 317¹⁸ and *dadeyyāma* M. II. 116²³, S. I. 58¹³, 2. *dadeyyātha* JāCo. III. 171¹⁸, IV. 230¹⁸, 3. *dadeyyuṃ*.—(b) The stem *de-* abstracted out of 2. Sg. Imp. *dehi*: Ind. Sg. 1. *demi* JāCo. I. 307¹⁷, DhCo. I. 42¹³, 2. *desi* D. I. 50⁵, JāCo. I. 279¹⁵, 3. *deti* Sn. 130, S. III. 245⁹, JāCo. II. 154⁵, Pl. 1. *dema* JāCo. III. 127¹, 2. *detha* JāCo. III. 126²⁵, 3. *denti* Sn. 244, JāCo. II. 104²⁶; Imp. Sg. 2. *dehi* Th2. 49, JāCo. I. 254¹⁷, DhCo. I. 33³, 3. *detu* JāCo. II. 104⁸, Pl. 2. *detha* JāCo. II. 103²², 3. *dentu* Mhvs. 5. 179. Also Opt. Sg. 1. *deyyaṃ* Mhvs. 7. 31. Already the Gāthā-language knows the flexion *deṃi* etc. in Ind. and Imp. Along with the Opt. *dadeyyaṃ* etc. this can be regarded as the regular flexion in the canonical and the post-canonical prose.—(c) An old form which is met with in all the periods of the language is to be found in the Ind. Sg. 1. *dammi* Sn. p. 14, Vin. I. 39¹⁷, JāCo. I. 127²⁸, II. 231²¹, DhCo. I. 100⁹. It is derived from *dadmi* which is current in epic Sanskrit. Also Pl. 1. *damma* in Kacc. 3. 4. 1 (Senart, p. 452).—(d) The following Optative forms are also old: Sg. 1. *dajjaṃ* Vin. I. 148²⁵, 2. *dajjāsi* Jā. VI. 251²⁸, 3. *dajjā* Dh. 224, Th1. 468, D. II. 267¹⁰ (verse), S. I. 57²² (verse). They are derived from Skr. *dadyām* etc. and are a special feature of the Gāthā-language. The JāCo. I. 322¹⁵ explains *dajjā* by *dadeyya*. A present-stem *dajja-* or *dajje-*¹ was abstracted out of these forms. Hence, e. g., Imp. Sg. 2. *dajjehi* Vin. III. 217⁴ and the double-forms *-dajjeyya*, *-dajjeyyāma* Vin. III. 259^{25, 26}, *dajjeyyātha* Vin.

¹ Cf. Childers, PD. under the word *dajjati*; E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 105. The form *dajjāmi* Jā. VI. 473¹² is Sg. 1. Opt.

I. 282'. On the analogy of *dadāmi* : *dajjam* there was formed also from the root *vad* 'to speak' a similar Opt.: Sg. 1. *vajjam* Th2. 308, 2. *vajjāsi* Th2. 307, and *vajja*(?) Th2. 323, 3. *vajjā* Sn. 971, Jā. VI. 526³⁵, Pl. 3. *vajju*(m) Sn. 859, Jā. V. 221²¹. These forms too are a peculiar feature of the Gāthā-language; in JāCo. VI. 527⁴ *vajjā* is explained by *vadeyya*, as *vajjāsi* by *vadeyyāsi* in Th2Co. 228⁶.

§ 144. The present-stems of Cl. VII have generalised the weak form, and their flexion is thematic (*a*-flexion) throughout¹. These presents, therefore, have coincided with *muñcati* etc. discussed in § 135.3. Cf. *kantati* 'spins' (root *kart*, *kṛnatti*); *chindati* 'cuts off' (root *chid*, *chinatti*); *bhañjati* 'breaks' (root *bhaj*, *bhanakti*); *bhindati* 'splits' (root *bhid*, *bhinatti*); *bhuñjati* 'enjoys' (root *bhuj*, *bhunakti*); *yuñjati* 'joins' (root *yuj*, *yunakti*); *riñcati* 'leaves' (root *ric*, *riñakti*); *rundhati* (cf. § 60) 'surrounds' (root *rudh*, *ruñaddhi*); *hiṃsati* 'injures' (root *hiṃs*, *hinasti*). I give here a combined paradigm: Ind. Sg. 1. *bhuñjāmi* Sn. p. 12; 2. *niyuñjasi* Th1. 1114, *hiṃsasi* M. I. 39²³ (verse); 3. *yuñjati* JāCo. I. 149²⁶, Med. *yuñjate* Dh. 382; Pl. 2. *yuñjatha* Th2. 346; 3. *anuyuñjanti* Dh. 26, JāCo. II. 96⁷, *riñcanti* Vin I. 190⁵.—Imp. Sg. 2. *chinda* JāCo. II. 153¹⁸, *chindāhi* JāCo. III. 184¹⁷, Med. *yuñjassu* Th2. 5; 3. *bhuñjatu* Sn. 479, S. I. 141²⁸ (verse), Pl. 2. *anuyuñjatha* D. II. 141²².—Opt. Sg. 1. *paribhuñjeyyam* Sn. p. 91; 3. *chinde* Dh. 370, *hiṃseyya* Sn. 368, *chindeyya* JāCo. III. 65¹; Med. *bhuñjetha* Dh. 70; Pl. 3. *sambhañjeyyum* S. I. 123²⁶, *chindeyyum* D. II. 322².

§ 145. In the present-stems of Cl. IX, the form with the suffix *nā* has been generalised. The analogy of *labhāmi* : *labhati* has then led to frequent transfers to the *a*-flexion. The forms with the suffix *nī* have disappeared. Examples: 1. Root *jñā* (*jñāti*) 'to know'. It derives (*a*) from the stem *jānā*- (here I give also those forms in which the stems *jānā*- would coincide): Ind. Sg. 1. *jānāmi* Sn. 908, JāCo. I. 266²⁹, Med. *jāne* Mhvs. 37. 220 (ed. Colombo 170), 2. *jānāsi* Sn. 504, JāCo. I. 223¹⁵, 3. *jānāti* Sn. 276, S. I. 103²³, JāCo. III. 26⁹, Pl. 1. *jānāma* JāCo. VI. 337⁹, Med. *jānāmase* Vv. 84. 47, 2. *jānātha* Th2. 346, JāCo. VI. 337⁹, 3. *jānanti* Sn. 441 etc.; Imp. Sg. 2. *jānāhi* Th2. 59, D.I. 88²², JāCo. III. 392¹⁸, Med. *pajānassu* D.II. 243²⁰ (verse), 3. *jānātu* Iv. 28⁹, 29¹⁰, Mhvs. 13. 13, Pl. 2. *jānātha* JāCo. II. 250²⁴, 3. *jānantu*.

¹ As also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 506 f. The nasal has been dropped *metri causa* in the form Opt. Sg. 3. *paṭisaṃyujis* S. I. 221³⁷ (verse).

—(b) From the stem *jāna*¹ we have Ind. Sg. 3. *avajānati* Sn. 132, 438 (? *metri causa*), Pl. 3. Med. -*jānarc* Sn. 601; Imp. Sg. 2. *jāna* S. IV. 374¹³, *viajāna* Sn. 1091 (*viajānahi* under stress of metre Jā. III. 32⁷), Pl. 2. *jānatha* DhCo. III. 438¹⁴. I mention in this connection also *vikkiṇatha* 'sell' JāCo. I. 121²⁰ (as for the *ṭ* as against Skr. *krīṇāti* see § 21). On *jināti* cf. § 131.—(c) The Opt. is regularly formed: Sg. 1. *jāneyya*(*ṃ*) M. I. 487¹³, Sn. p. 21, 2. *jāneyyāsi* M. I. 487¹⁴, DhCo. I. 125⁷, 3. *jāneyya* Mhvs. 23. 31, Pl. 1. *jāneyyāma* Mulp. 330⁴ and *jānemu* S. I. 34¹¹ (verse), Sn. 76, Vv. 62. 2, 2. *jāneyyātha* M. II. 215²², JāCo. II. 133⁵, 3. *jāneyyūṃ* Jā. I. 188⁶. Another type is found besides in the oldest period of the language: Sg. 1. *vijaññam* Sn. 482, 3. *jaññā* Dh. 157, Th1. 10, Sn. 116. In the Comm. on Jā. II. 42¹² *jaññā* is explained by *jāneyya*. This type is analogical, formed after the Opt. *dajjam* from *dadāmi*. The form *jāniyāma* Sn. 873 is very probably historical and the same as Skr. *jāniyāma*.

§ 146. Similarly in the case of 2. root *grah* (*grhṇāti*) 'to seize' there are side by side the stems *gaṇhā-* and *gaṇha-*: (a) From *gaṇhā-* we have for instance Ind. Sg. 2. *gaṇhāsi* DhCo. III. 57⁴, 3. *gaṇhāti* JāCo. III. 28⁹; Imp. Sg. 3. *gaṇhātu* DhCo. III. 200⁹, *paṭigaṇhātu* Sn. 479, Vin. II. 192¹⁵ (for metrical reasons *paṭiggahātu* Jā. I. 495³). (b) From *gaṇha-*: Ind. Sg. 3. *gaṇhati* JāCo. I. 303²³; Imp. Sg. 2. *gaṇha* JāCo. II. 159⁵ and beside it *gaṇhāhi* D. II. 102⁸, JāCo. I. 279²⁴ and Med. *gaṇhassu* DhCo. III. 302¹⁹, 3. *gaṇhatu* JāCo. I. 207⁸, Pl. 2. *gaṇhatha* JāCo. I. 111¹⁷, III. 126²⁵ or *gaṇhātha* M. I. 459⁸. The Opt. is *gaṇheyyam* JāCo. I. 255⁹ etc. On *gaḥāyati* see § 186. 5. Futures, Preterites, Infinitives and Gerunds are derived from the secondarily formed stem *gahe-* of the *e*-flexion (§ 139. 2). —3. Of the root *mā* (*māti*, *mimīte*) the present-stem is *minā-*. Cf. Opt. Pl. 1. *abhinimmineyyāma* S. I. 124²². —4. The root *bandh* (*badhnāti*) 'to bind' has, as in Pkr. (Pischel, § 513) the present-stem *bandha-*, and is inflected, therefore, according to § 144. Cf. Imp. Sg. 2. *bandha* D. II. 350⁴, Pl. 3. *bandhantu* JāCo. I. 153⁷; Opt. Pl. 3. *bandheyyūṃ* Vin. III. 45¹⁷ etc.

§ 147. The present-stems of Cl. V are often transferred to Cl. IX. The formation of the present-stem with the suffix *no* has in most

¹ Similarly there are found in Pkr. JMāh., AMāg. *jāpasi*, *jāpai*, *jāna* beside *jāpāsi* etc.; Pischel, § 510. Also Māh. *vikkiṇai*, AMāg. *kiṇai*, S. Imp. *kiṇadha*; Pischel, § 511. In some Pkr. dialects also the root *bhaṇ* follows the analogy of stems of Cl. IX, e.g., S. *bhaṇāsi* (Pischel, § 514); this does not seem to have been the case in Pāli.

cases gone out of use altogether¹. Thus we have 1. from root *ci* 'to collect', against Skr. *cinoti*, the present-stem *cinā-* in compound. Cf. Ind. Sg. 2. *pacināsi* Jā. III. 22³, 8. *vicināti* Sn. 658, S. I. 149²¹ (verse), Pl. 3. *vicinanti* Vin. I. 133¹²; Imp. Sg. 2. *vicina* JāCo. I. 453²⁹ and *vicināhi* JāCo. III. 91⁶; Pl. 2. *vicinātha* Smp. 328¹⁹. On the analogy of roots like *ji jeti* the root *ci* too easily went over to the *e* flexion. Cf. § 131.—2. Also the root *hi* 'to send' gets in compound the present-stem *hiṇā* as against Skr. *hinoti*. Thus Ind. Pl. 3. *pahiṇanti* D. II. 321¹, 323²⁷; Imp. Sg. 3. *pahiṇatu* DhCo. III. 34²⁴; Opt. Pl. 2. *pahiṇeyyātha* DhCo. III. 318⁸.—3. From the root *dhū* 'to shake' we find, as against Skr. *dhūnoti* (in Dhātup. also *dhunāti*), the stems *dhūnā-* or *dhūnā-*. Cf. Ind. Pl. 3. *dhunanti* Th2. 276, *o- saṃ- nid-dhunanti* D. II. 336^{19,20}; Imp. Sg. 2. *niddhunāhi* Th1. 416, Pl. 1. *dhunāma* Th1. 1147, 2. *dhunātha* Sn. 682, Th1. 256, *o- saṃ- nid-dhunātha* D. II. 336^{17,18}. Also Ind. Sg. 3. *vidhūnati* JāCo. II. 90¹; Imp. Pl. 2. *vidhūnatha* JāCo. I. 335⁹.—4. The two stems *suṇo-* and *suṇā-* are derived from the root *śru* (*śṛnoti*) 'to hear'. Both are found side by side already in the Gāthā-language, and isolated forms of *suṇo-* occur also later. But the stem *suṇā-* is by far the more predominant one. In Opt. it is naturally the only stem in use: (a) Stem *suṇo-* in Ind. Sg. 1. *suṇomi* Jā. IV. 443²², Pl. 1. *suṇoma* Sn. 350, 1110, Vv. 84. 12; Imp. Sg. 2. *suṇohi* Sn. 273, D. I. 62²⁰, Milp. 315¹, Pl. 2. *suṇoṭha* Sn. 997, Vv. 84. 1, Milp. 1¹² (verse). (b) Stem *suṇā-*: Ind. Sg. 1. *suṇāmi* DhCo. III. 172⁷, 2. *suṇāsi* Sn. 696, 3. *suṇāti* D. I. 62²², A. III. 162², Milp. 5³⁴, Pl. 3. *suṇanti* S. I. 114³¹, JāCo. II. 24¹²; Imp. Sg. 2. *suṇa* Th2. 404, S. III. 121³⁴, JāCo. III. 231⁴ and *suṇāhi* Sn. p. 21, JāCo. IV. 1¹⁴, 3. *suṇātu* Vin. I. 56¹⁰, Pl. 1. *suṇāma* Sn. 354, 2. *suṇātha* Iv. 41¹⁵ (verse), Vv. 84.1, D. II. 2¹¹, 3. *suṇantu* Sn. 222, D. II. 166⁵ (verse), Milp. 25¹⁴; Opt. Sg. 1. *suṇeyyam* Ud. 48³², 3. *suṇe* Jā. IV. 240²⁹ and *suṇeyya* Sn. 325, Vin. I. 7²², Pl. 1. *suṇemu* Vv. 53. 23 (according to VvCo. 242¹⁶), and *suṇeyyāma* M. II. 90¹⁸.

§ 148. Roots of Cl. V. with consonantal final are: *śak* (*śaknoti*) 'to be able to' and *āp* 'to get' mostly with *pra* (*prāpnoti*). 1. From the root *śak* we have (a) the present-stem *sakko-* = *śokno-* preserved in the Ind. which completely ousted the weak stem *śaknu-* and is met with in every period of the language: Sg. 1. *sakkomi* Mhvs. 32. 17, 2. *sakkosi* JāCo. I. 433²⁸, II. 214⁵, 3. *sakkoti*, Pl. 1. *sakkoma* Sn. 597, Vin. I. 31⁹, JāCo. I. 437¹⁷, DhCo. I. 90²⁰, 2. *sakkotha* JāCo.

¹ The same phenomenon in Pkr. Cf. Pischel, § 52 f. The root *star* (*stṛnoti* and *stṛnāti*) 'to stretch out' is inflected according to Cl. I. Cf. also Skr. *starati*, *-te*.

II. 405²⁵, 3. *sakkonti* Vin. I. 31¹⁶, DhCo. I. 23³. Beside it there is the stem (b) *sakkuṇṇā*-¹ after Cl. IX (with Svarabhakti), whence Opt. Sg. 2. *sakkuṇeyyāsi* JāCo. III. 301²², Mhvs. 12. 18, 3. *sakkuṇeyya* JāCo. I. 361⁶; Pl. 1. *sakkunemu* Jā. V. 24²⁶ or *sakkuṇeyyāma* M. I. 457²². (c) Ind. Sg. 1. and 2. *sakkāmi sakkasi* JāCo. I. 290²³, 3. *sakkati* Th1. 583 is = *śakyati*; Passive *sakkate* 'it is possible' Nett. 23³ = *śakyate*.—2. From the root *āp + pra* we have (a) the present-stem *pappo* = *prāpno* : Ind. Sg. 3. *pappoti* Dh. 27, Sn. 584, Th1. 36, 292, D.II. 241^{11, 16} (verse), Pl. 1. *pappoma* Jā.V. 57¹⁸; 3. *papponti* Jā. III. 256¹⁸; Imp. Pl. 3. *pappontu* Th1. 603. All the examples belong to the Gāthā-language. The stem *prāpnu*- is to be found in the Opt. Sg. 3. *pappuyya* Th1. 364 etc. = *prāpnuyāt*. Beside it there is found from the earliest times (b) the stem *pāpuṇṇā*-² formed according to Cl. IX. with Svarabhakti-vowel. This is the only form used in the later period. Cf. Ind. Sg. 3. *pāpuṇāti* Milp. 307⁸, Pl. 3. *pāpuṇanti* Milp. 314¹⁸, JāCo. I. 150²¹; Imp. Sg. 2. *pāpuṇa* Th2. 432, Sg. 3. *pāpuṇātu* JāCo. I. 150²⁵; Opt. Sg. 3. *pāpuṇe* Sn. 324, Dh. 138 and *pāpuṇeyya* S. I. 126¹, Milp. 307³⁰; Pl. 2. *pāpuṇettha* Jā. V. 208². From *āp + pari* : Imp. Pl. 2. *pariyāpuṇātha* 'learn!' S. I. 50¹³.

§ 149. A probable example of a present-stem of Cl. VIII is to be found in *munāti* 'comprehends, understands' Dh. 269. But itself it seems to stand for **munoti* = Skr. *manoti* (Act. to *manute*, root *man*), with transfer to Cl. IX. I would explain in the same way also *thunāti* 'roars' from root *stan*³. We have to do here with a formation according to Cl. VIII. (and Cl. IX.). There is besides an *abhi-tthanati* and *-tthanayati* 'thunders' (§ 52.2) Jā. I. 332¹, JāCo. I. 330¹⁸ = *stanati stanayati* after Cl. X, just as beside *munāti* there is *maññati* after Cl. IV. The forms are: Ind. Sg. 3. *anutthunāti* Sn. 827, Pl. 3. *thunanti* Sn. 884, Vv. 52. 3, *anutthunanti* Sn. 901, *nitthunanti* VvCo. 224¹. Cf. also the Part. Pres. Sg. Nom. *anutthunam* Jā. III. 114⁶, explained in the Comm. as *nitthunanto*.—Present-formations of the root *kar* 'to do' are multifarious. We have (a) in Ind. and Imp. the stem *karo*- abstracted

¹ The form expected is *sakupa*-; the *kk* is probably taken from the form *sakko*-, which was in living use. Also in Pkr. are found *sakkaṇomī* and *sakkuṇomī*; Pischel, § 505.

² In Pkr. we have AMāg. *pāṇai* beside *pappoi*; Pischel, § 504.

³ Pāli *thunāti* cannot be connected with root *stu* (cf. Pischel, § 494) on account of its meaning, even though it remains difficult to explain (as in *munāti*) the *u* in the first syllable (? weakening before the stressed syllable).

out of *karoti*. Its forms are met with in every period of the language, and are to be regarded as the regular forms in canonical and post-canonical prose. Ind. Sg. 1. *karomi* Sn. 78, *karosi* M. III. 140⁵ (*vyāk-*), DhCo. I. 45¹³, 3. *karoti* Sn. 216, M. III. 247⁹, JāCo. I. 278²⁶, Pl. 1. *karoma* JāCo. I. 221³³, DhCo. I. 53², 2. *karotha* Ud. 51¹⁶ (verse), DhCo. III. 201⁵, 3. *karonti* Sn. 246, DhCo. I. 52¹²; Imp. Sg. 2. *karohi* Sn. 1062, Milp. 330¹⁷, JāCo. II. 223⁴, DhCo. I. 52¹⁷, 3. *karotu* Mhvs. 5. 273, Pl. 2. *karotha* Th2. 13, JāCo. I. 253²³, Mhvs. 4. 44; 3. *karontu* JāCo. I. 153⁷—(b) Stem *kubba*¹, abstracted out of *kubbanti* Sn. 794, Jā. III. 118¹⁰=*kurvanti*: Ind. Sg. 2. *kubbasi* S. I. 181¹⁰ (verse), 3. *kubbati* Sn. 168, Jā. III. 118¹⁰; Opt. Sg. 3. *vikubbeyya* Dpvs. 1. 40 and Med. *kubbetha* (cf. p. 166, foot-note 1) Sn. 702, 719 (also, after Ci. X, *kubbaye* Sn. 943). Forms derived from this stem belong to the Gāthā-language and the artificial poetry.—(c) Stem *kara*² corresponds to Ved. *kāra*ti. It is in use in all periods of the language; in the second and the third periods the Opt. is formed regularly from this stem. Ind. Sg. 1. Med. *kare* Jā. II. 138¹²; Imp. Sg. 2. *kara* JāCo. IV. 1¹⁴, Dpvs. 1. 56 and Med. *karassu* Th1. 46, S. I. 120¹⁵ (verse), Jā. III. 74¹; Opt. Sg. 1. *kareyyam* M. I. 487¹⁹ (*vyāk-*), 2. *kareyyāsi* M. I. 487¹⁹ (*vyāk-*), JāCo. II. 102⁷, 3. *kare* Dh. 42 f. and *kareyya* Sn. 920, 923, Vv. 84. 40, DhCo. I. 38¹¹, Pl. 1. *kareyyāma* S. I. 58¹⁴, 2. *kareyyātha* Sn. p. 101, 3. *kareyyum* JāCo. I. 168⁴, III. 300⁹.—(d) Stem *kar-* of athematic conjugation (cf. Ved. *kārṣi*) is found only in the archaic forms of the Opt. occurring in the Gāthā-language: Sg. 3. *kayirā* (from **karyā*, § 47. 2) Dh. 42 f., Sn. 728, Th1. 152 (for metrical reasons *kayirā* Jā. IV. 127⁸); Pl. 2. *kayirātha* Dh. 25, Ud. 92²² (verse), S. I. 2²⁸ (verse).—(e) Stem *kuru-*, abstracted out of Ind. Sg. 3. Med. *kurute* Dh. 48=*kurute* or Imp. Sg. 2. *kuru* Mhvs. 4. 40=*kuru*, also in Imp. Sg. 3. *kurutu* Jā. IV. 396⁸.—(f) There is moreover the isolated form Ind. Sg. 1. *kummi* Jā. II. 435¹⁹, VI. 499¹⁶ (Comm.=*karomi*), formed after **kunima*=*kurmas*.

3. Future

with Conditional

§ 150. In Pāli there are two types of future, derived from *-sya*-future and *-iṣya*-future of Skr. The flexion corresponds to that of

¹ Cf. Pkr. AMāg. *kuvvasi*, Opt. *kuvvejjā*; Pischel, § 508. Forms like Māh. *kuvasi*, *kuṇa* etc. are however wanting in Pāli.

² Pkr. *kare* etc. in Pischel, § 509. But again in Pāli there is no trace of forms like Pkr. *kare*;

Śkr.; in 1. Sg. there is, besides -āmi, also -am¹; in 1. Pl. there is -ma instead of -mas. For Type I the paradigm may be shown by *dassāmi* (vowel root)=*dāsyāmi* and *lacchāmi*=*lasyāmi* (consonant root), for Type II by *karissāmi*=*kariṣyāmi*.

	Ia	Ib	II
Sg. 1.	<i>dassāmi, dassam</i>	<i>lacchāmi, laccham</i>	<i>karissāmi, karissam</i>
2.	<i>dassasi</i>	<i>lacchasi</i>	<i>karissasi</i>
3.	<i>dassati</i>	<i>lacchati</i>	<i>karissati</i>
Pl. 1.	<i>dassāma</i>	<i>lacchāma</i>	<i>karissāma</i>
2.	<i>dassatha</i>	<i>lacchattha</i>	<i>karissatha</i>
3.	<i>dassanti</i>	<i>lacchanti</i>	<i>karissanti</i>

Examples of medial forms are : Sg. 2. *gamissase* 'you will go' Th1. 359; Sg. 3. *hessate* 'will be' Mhvs. 25. 97; Pl. 1. *sikkhissāmase* 'we shall learn' Sn. 814, *lacchāmase* Vv. 32. 9; Pl. 3. *karissare* Mhvs. 30. 55, *vasissare* Th1. 962, *bhavissare* Jā. III. 207⁹.

In the Gāthā-language (particularly in the 2. 3. Sg. and the 3. Pl.) *ss* may be replaced by *h*². Thus *padāhisi* Th2. 303 for -*dassasi*; *parinibbāhisi* 'you will attain extinction' Th1. 415; *hāhisi* 'you will forsake' Jā. III. 172²⁶ and *viāhisi* Jā. I. 298²⁸ from root *hā*; *palehiti* 'he will fly' Th1. 307 from *palāy-*; *ehisi* 'you will go' Dh. 236; *ehiti* 'he will come' Jā. II. 153¹⁸; *karihiti* 'he will do' Th2. 424 etc. Other examples in § 151 (at the end) and § 153. 1. On the *i* after *h* cf. § 19.1.

§ 151. The future is formed after Type Ia by 1. roots in *ā*: *dassāmi* 'I shall give' JāCo. III. 53¹⁴, DhCo. III. 190¹ (Sg. 2. *dassasi* JāCo. II. 160¹, Pl. 1. *dassāma* DhCo. III. 194⁹, 2. *dassatha* D. II. 96²⁰ etc.); *ṭhassati* 'he will stand' D. I. 46¹⁰=*sthāsyati* (Pl. 3. *ṭhassanti* D. II. 75²⁸ etc.); *hassāmi* 'I shall forsake' Jā. IV. 420²⁰ (*pahāssam* M. II. 100⁸ (verse))=*hāsyati* (Pl. 2. *pahassatha* Dh. 144), *pāssati* 'he will drink' Jā. VI. 527²⁰=*pāsyati*. In the oldest period of the language *ā* is not unfrequently transmuted into *i*³: *pissāmi* 'I shall drink' Jā. III. 432¹²; *paccupadissāmi* from root *dā* Jā. V. 221¹; *upaññissam* from root *jñā* Sn. 701, 716 (Pl. 3. *viññissanti* Th1.

¹ As also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 520.

² The substitution of *h* is much wider in Pkr.; Pischel, *loc. cit.*

³ Analogous forms in Pkr. are Futures like AMāg. *saṃ-dhissāmi*, *pari-hissāmi* Pischel, § 530.

708); *parinibbissam* 'I shall attain Nirvāna' from root *vā* Th1. 658; *akkhissam* 'I shall proclaim' from root *khyā* with *ā* Jā. VI. 523²¹; *vyakkhissam* Sn. 600; *upaṭṭhissam* 'I shall serve' Jā. VI. 523²². Mutation into *e* is rarer: *hessāmi*, -*ma* from root *hā* Jā. IV. 415¹⁹, VI. 441¹⁶.—2. Roots in *u*: *soṣṣāmi* 'I shall hear' S. I. 210⁹ (verse) = *śroṣyāmi* (Sg. 2. *soṣṣi* for *soṣṣasi* § 65. 2, 3. *soṣṣati* D. II. 131²). Also *sussam* Sn. 694 according to § 15.—3. Roots in *ṭ* and *e*-stems: *jessasi* 'you will conquer' Jā. II. 252¹⁵ = *jeṣyasi*; *nessāmi* 'I shall lead' JāCo. I. 222²³, II. 159¹⁴ = *neṣyāmi* (Pl. 2. *nessatha* Dh. 179 f.); *pacessati* 'he will collect' Dh. 44 f. = *ceṣyati* (besides *pacissati* Jā. III. 22⁴ according to § 15); *essāmi* 'I shall go' JāCo. VI. 365⁵, *essasi* JāCo. VI. 365⁶, *essati* Dh. 369, JāCo. VI. 365⁴, *essanti* Dh. 86 = *eṣyāmi*, etc. Similarly of compounds: *pacessam* Vin. I. 255²⁴, *samessati* S. IV. 379¹³, *samessanti* Iv. 70¹². Also verbs which have an *e*-stem in present take after this type. Thus *nidhessāmi* 'I shall lay down' from stem *dhe-* (§ 142 2); *gahessāmi* 'I shall take' JāCo. I. 263¹² from the stem *gahe-* of root *grah*; *sessam* 'I shall lie' Sn. 970, *sessati* S. I. 83³⁰, DhCo. I. 320¹⁴ from stem *se-* (§ 140. 4) of the root *śi* as against Skr. *śayisyate*. (On *hessati* 'will be' cf. § 154. 2). The forms derived from the contracted stems of verbs of Cl. X and of Denominatives and Causatives are very numerous: Sg. 1. *kathessāmi* JāCo. IV. 139²⁰, *saṃgāmessāmi* JāCo. II. 11⁴, 2. *kappessasi* A. IV. 301²⁰, 3. *pūjessati* Vin. I. 105²⁹, *damessati* JāCo. I. 506³⁰, Pl. 1. *dassessāma* JāCo. I. 59⁴, 2. *vassāpessatha* JāCo. I. 253²⁶, 3. *ropessanti* Vin. II. 12¹⁶ etc. In the same way there came to be formed from *anubhoti* 'enjoys' (§ 131. 2) an *anubhossati* Jā. I. 500¹⁹, and with *h* instead of *ss*: *anubhohisi* Th2. 510 (*anubhossasi* Vv. 52. 18); from *saṃbhoti* a *saṃbhossāma* Mbvs. 5. 100, from *pahoti* 'suffices' a *pahossati*¹ DhCo. III. 264¹². Similarly from *hoti* (§ 131. 2): *hohisi* Th1. 382 and *hohiti*² Th1. 1137, Th2. 465.

§ 152. The type I b includes a number of historical forms, belonging particularly to the older literature. But quite a number of examples are found also in the post-canonical prose³. From root *śak* 'to be able to' we have Sg. 3. *sakkhati* Sn. 319 = *sakṣyati*, Pl. 3. *sakkhinti* Sn. 28, Fut. Sg. 2. *sakkhasi* A. I. 111⁶ or *sakkhī* (for **sakkhisi*) Jā. V. 116⁵; also *sagghasi* with softening of medial consonants

¹ In Pkr. cf. Māh- *hossam*.

² Cf. Pkr. *hohimī*, *hohisī*, *hohiti*; Pischel, § 521.

³ Analogous futures in Pkr. are *daccham*, *moccham*, *roccham*, *checccham*, *bhoccham*, etc.; Pischel, § 525, 526, 529, 532.

(§ 61. 1). From root *vac* 'to speak': Sg. 1. *vakkhāmi* JāCo. I. 346² = *vakṣyāmi*, 3. *vakkhati* S. I. 142³², JāCo. II. 40¹⁹, Pl. 1. *vakkhāma* S. IV. 72³, M. III. 207²³, 3. *vakkhanti* Vin. II. 1²¹. From root *bhuj* 'to enjoy': *bhokkham* Jā. IV. 127²⁰ = *bhokṣyāmi*. From root *chid* 'to cut off': Sg. 1. *checcham* Jā. III. 500²³ = *chetsyāmi*, 3. *checcati* Dh. 350, Th1. 761. From root *bhid* 'to split': Sg. 3. *bhecchati* A. I. 8⁴ = *bhetsyati*. From root *labh* 'to attain': Sg. 1. *lacchāmi* M. II. 71⁶, JāCo. I. 395¹⁵ = *lapsyāmi*, 2. *lacchasi* Vv. 83. 5, M. II. 71¹, JāCo. I. 279³, 3. *lacchati* S. I. 114¹⁹, Pl. 1. *lacchāma* Jā. IV. 292²¹. From root *vis* 'to enter': Sg. 1. *pavekkhāmi* Jā. III. 86⁵, Mhvs. 25. 42 From root *vas* 'to live': Sg. 1. *vacchāmi* Jā. VI. 523¹¹ and *vaccham* Th2. 414, 425 = *vatsyāmi*, 3. *vacchati* Th2. 294. From root *darś* 'to see': Sg. 1. *dakkham* Th1. 1099 = *drakṣyāmi*, 2. *dakkhasi* S. I. 116¹¹ (verse) and *dakkhisi* Th2. 232, Jā. VI. 497¹⁵, 3. *dakkhati* S. II. 255²³ and *dakkhiti* Sn. 909, D. I. 165¹⁹, M. II. 202⁶, Pl. 3. *dakkhanti* Vin. I. 16³⁴. The forms *mokkhasi* Vin. I. 21¹⁸ (verse) = S. I. 111²⁹ (verse) and *mokkhanti* Dh. 37 from root *muc* = *mokṣyasi*, *mokṣyanti* have a passive meaning. These futures were apparently still felt to be such. Thus in M. III. 180¹ *dakkhati* stands beside *ñassati* and *sacchi-karissati*. But that the futural sense was already getting blurred is proved (already in the oldest period of the language) by doublets¹ such as *dakkhisam* (instead of *-issam* for metrical reasons) Th2. 84 (Comm. 89¹⁹: *passissam*); 2. *dakkhissasi* M. III. 51⁶; Pl. 1. *dakkhissāma* Jā. III. 99⁷ (Comm. *dakkhissāma*), 2. *dakkhissatha* M. II. 60⁵. Similarly Sg. 1. *sakkhissāmi* 'I shall be able to' JāCo. I. 290⁷, 2. *sakkhissasi* Vin. III. 19³³, JāCo. I. 222³¹, 3. *sakkhissati* DhCo. III. 176⁴, Pl. 1. *sakkhissāma* JāCo. II. 129⁵, 2. *sakkhissatha* DhCo. III. 80⁷, 3. *sakkhissanti* JāCo. I. 255²⁵,—in all of which the future-suffix has been added to *sakkh-* which itself is the future-stem of root *śak*².

§ 153. The Type I b includes 1. a number of futures of roots in *r*. A form **karṣyāmi* is evidently presupposed by *kassam* Th1. 381, *kassāmi* Th1. 1138 (in the same verse *karissāmi*!) from root *kar* 'to do'. Instead of *kassam* there is also *kāsam* Jā. IV. 287¹³, and this leads easily over to the forms Sg. 1. *kāhāmi* Th1. 108, Jā. II. 257¹, III. 47¹⁵, Vv. 52. 17, 2. *kāhasi* Dh. 154, Th1. 1134, II. 57; 3. *kāhati* Jā. II. 443¹⁴ and *kāhiti* Jā. VI. 497², Pl. 1. *kāhāma* Vv. 84. 37, 3.

¹ Cf. Māh. *dacchihisi* in Pischel, § 525.

² Does the verb-form *pareccchati* 'throws, gives, bestows' Sn. 463 ff., 490 ff., Th2. 272, S. I. 133⁶ (verse), Jā. III. 121³, 172⁷, VI. 502¹³ contain a future-stem like this?

kāhanti Jā. VI. 510⁸ and *kāhinti*¹ Th2. 509. From root *har* with *vi* 'to sojourn, live' we have *vihassaṃ* Th1. 1091 ff. = **viharṣyāmi*, 3. *vihassati* S. I. 157¹ (verse). Then with *h* Sg. 2. *vihāhisi* Dh. 379, and also the simplex Sg. 3. *hāhiti* Jā. VI. 500⁶. Instead of *a* we have *i* in the root-syllable in Sg. 1. *vihissāmi* Th2. 181, 360, Pl. 1. *vihissāma* Th2. 121; also Sg. 1. *āhissam* 'I shall bring in' Jā. VI. 523⁷ (Comm. *āharissāmi*); and further *e* in *vihessati*² Th1. 257. All these forms belong exclusively to the Gāthā-language.—2. Finally there are still to mention some difficult futural forms of the root *han* 'to strike, kill', occurring in the Gāthā-language and the canonical prose: Sg. 1. *patihāṅkhāmi* S. IV. 104²⁶ (= **hanṅkṣyāmi* ?); *hañchati* Jā. IV. 102⁹ (Comm. *hanissati*); the Opt. *hañchema* Jā. II. 418¹¹ (Comm. *hanissāma*) proves that the futural meaning of the stem *hañch-* had become blurred. Finally I have to mention the Sg. 1. *āhañhi* 'I shall strike' Vin. I. 8²⁶ (verse), D. II. 72⁶⁺¹⁹, which however may have to be emended into *āhañham*³.

§ 154. Futures of Type II likewise contain many historical forms. 1. Examples: Sg. 1. *pakkamissam* Th2. 294 = *prakramiṣyāmi*, *asissāmi* Sn. 970 = *aṣiṣyāmi*, *khāḍissāmi* JāCo. III. 52¹⁹ = *khāḍiṣyāmi*; 2. *karissasi* JāCo. III. 54²⁵ = *kariṣyasi*, *harissasi* JāCo. VI. 364²⁶ = *hariṣyasi*; 3. *jayissati* (besides *jessati*) Jā. II. 252¹⁵ = *jayiṣyati* (besides *jeṣyati*); *nayissati* Vin. I. 43¹⁷ (verse) = *nayiṣyati* (besides *neṣyati*), *hanissati* JāCo. IV. 102²⁵ = *haniṣyati*; Pl. 1. *yācissāma* Vin. II. 196³⁶ = *yāciṣyāmas*, *vasissāma* Mhvs. 14. 26 = *vasiṣyāmas* (besides *vatsyāmas*); 2. *labhissatha* JāCo. III. 126²⁴ = *labhiṣyatha* (besides *lapsyatha*), *pabbajissatha* Mhvs. 5. 199 = *pravrajiṣyatha*; 3. *gamissantī* Sn. 445 = *gamiṣyanti*, *samanumodissantī* M. I. 398⁹ = *modiṣyante*, etc.—2. The forms *bhavissāmi*, etc. = *bhaviṣyāmi*, etc. are historical, and they are the usual forms in the canonical and post-canonical prose. But beside them there are in the Gāthā-language (and artificial poetry), and archaistically also in the canonical prose, the contracted forms (§ 27. 5) such as Sg. 1. *hessaṃ* Th1. 1100, Jā. III. 224⁸ and *hessāmi* Th2. 460, 3. *hessati* Jā. III. 279¹⁸, Med. *hessate* Mhvs. 25. 97, Pl. 2.

¹ The corresponding forms in Pkr. are *kāham*, *kāhisi*, *kāhii*, etc.; Pischel, § 538.

² The forms of the root *har* have thus coincided with those of the root *hā*. Cf. § 150, 151.

³ Franke, D. 180, foot-note 7, adopts for the passage D. II. 72⁶⁺¹⁹ the very plausible reading *āhañh'ime Vajjī* (= *āhañham me*) instead of *āhañhi* 'me' as in the text-edition; but the form *āhañhi* occurs also in Vin. I. 8²⁶: *āhañhi amatadudrabhiṃ*. The text here should then have to be emended into *āhañh' amata-*.

hessatha S. IV. 179²⁴. Formally these forms have coincided with those of the *e*-stems of Type I.—3. According to Type II are derived future forms also from the uncontracted stems of Cl. X and Causatives and Denominatives discussed in § 187, and they correspond to the analogous forms in Skr. Thus *bandhayissāmi* 'I shall have bound' Mhvs. 24. 6=*bandhayiṣyāmi*; *pālayissāmi* 'I shall protect' JāCo. IV. 129¹⁵ =*pālayiṣyāmi*.—4. Also Desideratives (§ 184) and Intensives (§ 185) form their future after Type II: Sg. 1. *tilikkhissam* Dh. 320, *vimaṇsissāmi* JāCo. I. 390¹⁷, *caṅkamissāmi* ThI. 540; Pl. 1. *sussūsissāma* S. II. 267²¹, 3. *sussūsissanti* S. II. 267⁸

§ 155. The Type II has extended its sphere to an unusual extent within Pālī. Practically from every pres.-stem a future of this Type may be derived. Examples from thematic conjugation: 1. Cl. I. With reference to § 132: Sg. 1. *pivissāmi* ThI. 313, JāCo. IV. 2⁹, *tiṭṭhissāmi* M. III. 129¹³, *vuṭṭhahissāmi* Mhvs. 36. 76; 2. *pivissasi* JāCo. VI. 365⁵, *nisīdissasi* A.IV. 301¹⁹; 3. *nisīdissati* Vin.I.9⁴, *patitṭhahissati* DhCo. III. 171²¹; Pl. 1. *upaṭṭhahissāma* DhCo. IV. 7¹⁵; *pivissāma* JāCo. I. 99⁸; 2. *pivissatha* Vin. I. 78⁷; 3. *vuṭṭhahissanti* D. II. 74⁶. With reference to § 133: Sg. 1. *gacchissāmi* JāCo. III. 10⁸, *gacchissam* ThI. 95, Vv. 63. 21; 2. *gacchisi* (§ 65. 2); 3. *āgacchissati* JāCo. III. 53⁷; Pl. 2. *gacchissatha* JāCo. II. 128⁷, etc.—2. Cl. VI. With reference to § 134: Sg. 1. *pavissāmi* (§ 65. 2) and *pavisissāmi* JāCo. III. 86⁷, *ādisissāmi* Th2. 308, *pucchissāmi* Sn. p. 32, Jā. VI. 364¹⁸, *phusissam* ThI. 386; Pl. 1. *pucchissāma* Sn. p. 112, etc. The form *panudahissāmi* ThI. 27, 233 is remarkable. With reference to § 135: Pl. 3. *acchissanti* Vin. II. 76³. Sg. 1. *muñcissāmi*² JāCo. I. 434^{13,19}; Pl. 3. *siñcissanti* Vin. II. 12¹⁷ etc.—3. Cl. IV. With reference to § 136. 1: Sg. 1. *naccissāmi* JāCo. I. 292²⁴; 2. *maññissasi* Vin. I. 59²⁷; 3. *ijjhissati* JāCo. I. 15¹⁴, *vinassissati* JāCo. I. 256¹⁸, *pabujjhissati* JāCo. I. 62¹⁹; Pl. 1. *naccissāma* DhCo. III. 102²; 2. *āpajjhissatha* M. I. 124²⁸; 3. *kujjhissanti* DhCo. III. 101⁶, *naccissanti* Vin. II. 12²². With reference to § 136. 3: Sg. 1. *passissāmi* Vin. I. 97²⁵, JāCo. I. 62¹²; 2. *passissasi* Vin. I. 97²⁴; 3. *passissati* Ud. 40²⁸; Pl. 1. *passissāma* JāCo. II. 213⁸ etc. With reference to § 136. 4: Sg. 1. *vihaññissam*

¹ It is significant that in the commentaries future forms of Type I are frequently explained by those of Type II. Thus, of the example given in § 150, 151, 152, *hāhisi* is explained by *jahissasi*, *jessasi* by *jīnissasi*, *bhokkham* by *bhuñjissāmi*, *vacchati* by *vasissati*. For all the new formations of Type II there are parallels in Pkr.; Pischel, § 520 ff.

² In both cases in passive sense; it therefore should perhaps be read *muccissāmi*. In that case also *pamuñce* 'may he be released' Jā. III. 236¹⁹, 237² should be emended to *pamucce*.

Th1. 386; 3. *paññāyissati* JāCo. I. 484²³, *niyyissati* A. V. 125¹⁰; *sūyissati* S. IV. 344²², *khiyissati* JāCo. I. 290⁴; Pl. 1. *muccissāma* JāCo. I. 434²⁰; 2. *muccissatha* DhCo. III. 242²⁴. With reference to § 137: Sg. 3. *piyyissati* and *miyyissati* M. III. 246²². With reference to § 138: Sg. 1. *nahāyissāmi* JāCo. I. 265²³, *nibbāyissam* Th1. 162, 919, *parinibbāyissāmi* D. II. 104¹⁰, *tāyissāmi* JāCo. II. 252¹⁷; Sg. 3. *antura-dhāyissati* Vin. I. 43²¹; Pl. 3. *gāyissanti* Vin. II. 12²² etc. Sg. 1. *palāyissāmi* JāCo. II. 247²³ (also *sajjhāyissāmi* JāCo. II. 243¹² with reference to § 188. 1).

§ 156. Athematic Conjugation. 1. Cl. III. With reference to § 142: Sg. 1. *jahissāmi* Jā. IV. 415¹⁹, JāCo. IV. 420²⁶, *saddahissāmi* Milp. 148²¹, *paṭijaggissāmi* JāCo. II. 200²; 2. *jahissasi* JāCo. III. 178⁴; 3. *jahissati* Jā. III. 279¹⁰; Pl. 2. *saddahissatha* DhCo. I. 117²³, *paṭijaggissatha* DhCo. IV. 10¹⁵ etc.—2. Cl. VIII. With reference to § 144: Sg. 1. *bhañjissam* Th1. 1095, (*pari*)*bhuñjissāmi* Vin. I. 185²¹, II. 300²⁹, JāCo. IV. 129¹⁴; 3. *cāhindissati* JāCo. II. 252²¹, *bhindissati* Vin. II. 198³³; Pl. 3. *samucchindissanti* D. II. 74¹⁵, *bhuñjissanti* Vin. II. 196¹³, *riñcissanti* Vin. I. 190¹⁹.—2. Cl. IX. With reference to § 145: Sg. 1. *jānissāmi* JāCo. III. 53²², *vikkiṇissāmi* DhCo. III. 430¹, *jinissāmi* JāCo. III. 5²; 2. *jinissasi* JāCo. II. 252¹⁸; 3. *jānissati* JāCo. VI. 364¹⁹, *jinissati* JāCo. III. 5²; Pl. 1. *anujānissāma* M. II. 57⁵; 3. *samanujānissanti* M. I. 398⁸. With reference to § 146: Sg. 1. *gaṇhissāmi* JāCo. I. 222²⁴, 2. *gaṇhissasi* JāCo. I. 222²⁴, 3. *gaṇhissati* JāCo. III. 280²², Pl. 1. *gaṇhissāma* JāCo. II. 104⁹, 2. *gaṇhissatha* JāCo. II. 197¹⁷ etc.—3. Cl. V and IX. With reference to § 147: Sg. 1. *suṇissāmi* DhCo. III. 195¹⁰, 2. *suṇissasi* DhCo. III. 195⁹; Pl. 1. *sakkuṇissāma* JāCo. II. 415²², 2. *suṇissatha* DhCo. I. 97²¹, *pāpuṇissatha* JāCo. I. 253²⁶, 3. *pāpuṇissanti* JāCo. I. 256⁴ etc.

Conditional.

§ 157. As in Skr., the Conditional is formally a Preterite to the Future. It is used as Irrealis of the present and the past. Excepting in compounds, the augment seems to be obligatory. The flexion is as in Skr., only the 3. Pl. derives its ending *-amsu* from the Aorist (§ 159. III). Examples are: Sg. 1. *abhavissam* JāCo. I. 470¹⁵ = *abhaviṣyam*; *adassam* JāCo. III. 30⁶ = *adāsyam*; *apāpessam* (from Causative of *āp + pra*¹) JāCo. II. 11¹²; *olokessam* 'I would watch' or 'I would have

1 *Pāp-* was no longer felt to be a compound, hence the augment.

watched' JāCo. I. 470¹⁵.—Sg. 2. *abhavissa* JāCo. II. 11¹⁸, III. 30⁶ = *abhaviṣyas*; *āpajjissa* DhCo. III. 137¹⁷.—Sg. 3. *abhavissa* 'would be' or 'would have been' Vin. I. 13³⁸, D.II. 57⁶, M III. 163¹¹, Ud 80²⁴, JāCo. II. 112¹⁶ (should it be read *nābhavissa*?), V. 264¹ = *abhaviṣyat*, *anassissa*¹ 'he would have died' JāCo. II 112¹⁷; *adussa* JāCo V. 264¹; *uppajjissa* DhCo. III. 137¹⁹, *payojayissa*, *pabbajissa*, *pāpuṇissa*, *patitṭhahissa* DhCo. III. 181¹⁶, *akarissa* DhCo. I. 147¹⁹, *asakkhissa* DhCo. I. 147²⁰ (should it be read *nāsakkhissa*?), III. 3²³, *alabhissa* DhCo. III. 4¹.—Pl. 1. *alabhissāma* and *āgamissāma* JāCo. III. 35^{10,11}.—Pl. 3. *abhavissanīsu* Vin I. 13³¹—Here should be mentioned a series of medial forms of the Conditional in the Sg. 3. occurring in D. II. 63³ ff.: *okkamissatha* 'would have climbed down', *samucchissatha*² 'would have originated', *nibbattissatha* (root *vart*) 'would have come about', *āpajjissatha* 'would have been attained', *alabhissatha* 'would have attained.' The suffix is *-tha* as against Skr. *-ta* as in Pres. Opt. (§ 129) and Aor. (§ 159. II).

4. Aorist.

§ 158. The Aorist of Pāli is derived from old Imperfects and Aorists. Apart from the endings, it is characterised by the augment, which is however frequently left out. Wackernagel³ has succeeded in formulating definite rules according to which the augment is retained or dropped: 1. The augment is retained by monosyllabic verbal forms: *adam* 'I gave', *agā* 'he went'. Also *acc-agā*, *samajjhagam* (besides *adhi-gam* Th2. 122).—2. The augment is always retained, also in the later language, by dissyllabic forms derived from the Imperfect, the simple Aorist or the s-Aorist *agamā* 'he went', *adāsi* 'he gave', *akāsi* 'he did', *avocum* 'they spoke'. Also *ajjh-agamā*, *pacc-assosi*, *pāyāsi*.—3. In the two oldest periods of the language the use of the augment is arbitrary in the dissyllabic forms derived from the *-iṣ*-Aor.: *alabhiṃ* 'I received' beside *labhi* 'he received.' Omission of the augment is the rule in the post-canonical prose: *khādī* 'he ate', *bhindi* 'he broke'.—4. The augment is always retained by the trisyllabic forms (a) of the extended type (§ 165)

¹ It should be read as does Speyer, Ved. u. Skr.-Syntax, p. 60, foot-note 2: *nassissā* 'ti (more properly: *yev* 'anassissā' 'ti). Cf. also D. Andersen, PB. p. 119.

² E. Windisch, Buddha's Geburt, p. 39, foot-note, hesitatingly derives the form from Skr. *sam-murch*. In that case we would have to read *sammucchissatha* N. t. so R. O. Franke, WZKM. 8. 327.

³ Wortumfang und Wortform, GN. 1906, p. 154 ff. It was held hitherto that the use of the augment was quite arbitrary as laid down by Kacc. Cf. e.g. V. Henry, Précis de Gramm. Pālie, p. 88, § 220.

such as *agamāsi* 'he went,' *addasāsim* 'I saw' or (b) derived from thematic Imperfects and Aorists, such as *abhāsatha* 'he spoke'.—5. For the rest, forms of three or more syllables began early to drop the augment, at first quite at random, but regularly later in the post-canonical prose. Thus in the Gāthā-language we have still *apucchiṃsu* 'they asked' beside *pucchiṃsu*; but the forms which later predominate and finally usurp the field are *dcsesim* 'I taught', *khādimha* 'we ate', *kathayimsu* 'they related.'

§ 159. The different types of Aorist¹ may be classified according to origin.

I. Type. Example. root *dā* 'to give.'

Sg.	Pl.
1. <i>adam</i> Jā. III. 411 ¹⁰	(<i>adamha</i>) Jā. II. 71 ⁴ , Vv. 68. 4, 5
2. <i>ado</i> (<i>adā</i>) Jā. IV. 240 ¹⁴ , V. 161 ¹²	(<i>adattha</i>) JāCo. II. 166 ²¹
3. <i>adā</i> Sn. 303, Mhvs. 7. 70.	<i>adū</i> , <i>adum</i> .

This Type is derived from the root-aorist, Skr. *adām*, *adās*, *adāt* ...*adus*. The forms of the Pl. 1. and 2. are however taken from Type III (as against Skr. *adāma*, *adāta*).

II. Type. Example: root *gam* 'to go.'

Sg.	Pl.
1. <i>agamam</i> Th1. 258	<i>agamāma</i> (<i>agamamha</i> Sn. 349)
2. <i>agamā</i> Sn. 834	<i>agamatha</i> (<i>agamattha</i>)
3. <i>agamā</i> Sn. 408, Mhvs. 5. 42	<i>agamum</i> Sn. 290, Mhvs. 4.36.

This Type is based on the *a*-Aor. (Skr. *asicam*, *asicas*, *asicat*... *asican*) or the thematic Imperfect (*asiñcam* etc.). The endings *-amha* *-attha* are taken from Type III. But there occur also the endings *-āma*, *-atha*: *akarāma* 'we did', *addasāma* 'we saw', *addasatha* 'you saw.' Cf. § 162. 1, 3 —There are also medial forms of this Type: Sg. 3. *-tha*: *abhāsatha* 'he spoke' Sn. 20, *vindatha* 'he found' Th2. 420; Pl. 1. *-mhase*: *akaramhase* Jā. III. 26¹⁸; Pl. 3. *-re*, *-rum*: *abajjhare* 'they were bound' Jā. I. 428¹, *amaññarum* 'they thought' Jā. III. 488². The suffix *-tha* again shows (cf. § 129, 157) the aspirate instead of the tenuis. For *-amhase* (Type III) cf. § 126; *-re* and *-rum* correspond to the Ved. endings *-re* and *-ran* or *-ram*².

¹ In Pkr. only the AMāg. has retained Aorist-forms; Pischel, § 516 f.

² Macdonell, Vedic Grammar, § 412 a.

III. •Type. Example: root *śru* 'to hear,' *kar* 'to do.'

Sg.

Pl.

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <i>assosiṃ</i> Th1. 131 | <i>assumha</i> S. I. 157 ¹² , JāCo. III. 278 ⁶ |
| 2. <i>assosi</i> | <i>assuttha</i> D. II. 272 ² (sic!), S.I. 157 ⁷ |
| 3. <i>assosi</i> D. I. 87 ¹¹ , Sn. p. 99 | <i>assosum</i> D. I. 111 ¹⁰ , Vin. I. 18 ³⁵ |
| 1. <i>akāsiṃ</i> Th2. 74, Vv. 1. 5 | <i>akamha</i> Jā. III. 47 ⁴ |
| 2. <i>akāsi</i> Vv. 1. 3, Th1. 1207 | <i>akattha</i> Vv. 84. 38, Mhvs. 12. 22 |
| 3. <i>akāsi</i> JāCo. III. 188 ²⁴ ,
DhCo. I. 39 ⁸ | <i>akāsum</i> Mhvs. 31. 99 var. lec.,
<i>akamsu</i> Sn. 882, JāCo. I. 262 ⁴ . |

This Type is derived from Skr. s-Aor.: *śrauṣam*, *akārṣam*; *śrauṣis*, *akārṣis*; *śrauṣit*, *akārṣit*; *śrauṣma*, *akārṣma*; *śrauṣta*, *akārṣta*; *śrauṣus*, *akārṣus*. The *u* in *assumha*, *assuttha* is to be explained according to § 15; the suffix *-mha* according to § 50. 4 or 58. 2. The ending *-ttha* = *-ṣta*, instead of the expected *-tṭha*, is remarkable.—The medial forms are: Sg. 3. *-ttha*: *udapattha* 'flew up' (root *pat*) Jā. V. 255¹⁴ (conjecture by Fausböll), *pāpattha* 'he fell' Jā. V. 255²⁰; a new formation based on this *pāpattha* is to be found in the Sg. 1. *pāpattham* 'I fell' Jā. VI. 16²⁹; *mā laddhā* 'she should not receive' Jā. III. 138²¹ = Skr. *alabdha*, but also *alattha*¹ 'he received' Jā. IV. 310³, M. II. 49⁸, S. IV. 302⁹, Sn. p. 107. The *s* has been dropped in all these forms as in Skr.

IV. Type. Example: root *gam* 'to go.'

Sg.

Pl.

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <i>agamisaṃ</i> , <i>agamim</i> Th1. 9 | <i>agamimha</i> S. I. 202 ²³ (verse) |
| 2. <i>agami</i> Sn. 339, JāCo. IV. 2 ¹⁷ | <i>agamittha</i> JāCo. I. 263 ⁴ ,
DhCo. III. 22 ⁷ |
| 3. <i>agami</i> D. II. 264 ⁹ , JāCo. VI. 366 ²⁴ | <i>agamisum</i> , <i>agamimsu</i> JāCo.
II. 416 ²³ |

This Type is derived from Skr. iṣ-Aorist *abodhiṣam*, *abodhis*, *abodhit*, *abodhiṣma*, *abodhiṣta*, *abodhiṣus*. The form *agamim* is derived from the Vedic 'contracted' forms such as *akramim*, *avadhīm*². Instead of *-isaṃ* we find also *-issaṃ* in Sg. 1. exactly as also in Pkr. (Pischel, § 516), e.g. *adhigacchissaṃ* Sn. 446; *nandissaṃ* S. I. 176¹² (verse). Besides *-isum*, *-imsu* there is also *-um* in Pl. 3., taken from Type II. Also Imperfects

¹ The hardening of the sonant group into a sord one is explained by the influence of forms like *apattha* in conjunction with those like *abhāsatha*.

² Whitney, Sanskrit Gramm. § 904 a, Macdonell, Ved. Gr. § 529 a. 2

with *i* in Sg. 2. and 3. have contributed to the building up of this type. Thus *abravī* Sn. 355, Th1. 430 and *abruvī* Jā. III. 62²⁰ 'he spoke'=*abravit* (its Sg. 1. is then *abraviṃ* Cp. 2. 6. 8; Pl. 3. *abravum* Jā. V. 112³⁰). Also *āsi* 'he was' Sn. 286, Mhvs. 2. 1=*āsīt* (its Sg. 1. *āsim*, *āsi* Th1. 157, Pv. 1. 2. 2, Cp. 3. 7. 1, but Pl. 1. *āsum* Th2. 224; Pl. 3. *āsum* Sn. 284, Mhvs. 1. 32).—Medial forms: Sg. 2. *-ittḥo* = *-iṣṭhās* *mā paṭisevitḥo* 'do not expose yourself (to poison)!' Jā. IV. 222⁹, *pucchittḥo* 'you asked' D. II. 284², *amaññittḥo* Th1. 280, M. III. 247⁶ (cf. Jā. II. 29¹⁷), *vihaññittḥo* Th1. 385; Sg. 3. *-ittḥa* = *-iṣṭa* *pucchittḥa* Mhvs. 17. 33; *mā jiyittḥa* 'may it not disappear' Jā. I. 468²; *sandittḥa* 'flowed' (root *syand*) D. II. 129³²; *mā vo āvuso evaṃ ruccittḥa* 'may it not please you to do so!' DhCo. I. 13²². From Passive stems: *sūyittḥa* 'was heard' DhCo. I. 16³; *adissittḥa* 'showed himself' Th1. 170; *diyittḥa* 'was given' S. I. 58⁹. Here again we find dentals in the place of expected cerebrals¹.

Type I.

§ 160. The forms of Type I belong for by far the most part to the Gāthā-language, individual forms occurring also in the canonical and post-canonical prose. Mostly roots in vowels take these forms. Thus 1. root *gā* 'to go': Sg. 1. *ajjhagam* Th1. 405, Th2. 67, *adhigam* Th2. 122, *samajjhagam* S. I. 108¹⁰; 2. *ajjhagā* Vv. 34. 7; 3. *cgā* Sn. 538, *ajjhagā* D. I. 223³; Pl. 3. *ajjhagā* Jā. I. 256⁷, Sn. 330, *upaccagam* A. I. 142²¹ (verse). To these belongs also the Pl. 1. *āgamhā* Sn. 597, though formally of Type III.—2. Root *sthā* 'to stand': Sg. 3. *aṭṭhā* Sn. 429=*asthāt*.—3. Root *bhū* 'to be': Sg. 1. *ahum* Jā. III. 411⁵, Th1. 316 as against Skr. *abhūvam* on the analogy of *adam*; 2. *ahū* Th2. 57, 190, PvCo. 11¹⁰ (verse)=*abhūs*; 3. *ahū* Dh. 228, Sn. 139, M. I. 487², *ahud-eva* S. IV. 350¹²=*abhūt*; Pl. 3. *ahū*, *ahum* D. II. 256⁸ (verse), Mhvs. 2. 25 as against Skr. *abhūvan* on the analogy of *adum*. As for Pl. 1. there is *ahum* Th2. 225. The form *ahumha* belongs to § 163. 3.—4. The form *akā* 'did' Jā. V. 29² (Comm. *akāsi*)=Ved. *akar* is also historical. On the analogy of *adā*: *adam* there was formed a Sg. 1. *akam* Jā. V. 160¹ (Comm. *akarim*) to *akā*. Similarly *assum* 'I heard' Jā. III. 542¹, *assu* 'you heard' Jā. III. 541¹⁰ (Comm. *assosim*, *assosi*) presuppose a Sg. 3. **assu*=Ved. *āśrot*. Historical are moreover Sg. 3. *addā* 'he saw' Th1. 1244=Ved. *adrāk* and Pl. 3. *āgu*

¹ Such forms with *ttḥ* instead of *ṭṭḥ* are found also in Pkr. Cf. AMāg. *sevitṭhā*, *bhujjittṭhā*. Pischel (§ 517) doubts whether these forms belonged to the Aorist from the beginning. Cf. also Johansson, KZ. 32. 450 ff.

(root $gā + ā$) D. II. 258⁸ = $āguḥ$ (new formation Sg. 3. $āga$ D. II. 258²⁰ on the analogy of $āha : āhu$), and perhaps $pāvā$ Sn. 782, 888 from root $vac + pra$.

Type II.

§ 161. What has been said at the beginning of the preceding paragraph applies also to the use of Type II in the different periods of the language. Examples: (a) Forms of Imperfect origin are Sg. 1. $kaṣaṃ$ 'I ploughed', $pavapaṃ$ 'I sowed' Th2. 112, $pāpataṃ$ 'I fell' Jā. V. 70¹²; $amaññāṃ$ 'I thought' Jā. V. 215⁸, $adadaṃ$ 'I gave' Vv. 34. 8; Sg. 2. with primary ending $apucchasi$ 'you asked' Sn. 1050; Sg. 3. $papatā$ Vin. III. 17²⁶, $asarā$ 'went' Jā. VI. 199⁷, $amarā$ 'di+d' (Ved. $marati$, cf. § 137) Jā. III. 389¹⁸; Pl. 2. $amaññatha$ 'you meant' Th2 143. Moreover Sg. 3. Med. $ajāyatha$ 'originated' Dpvs. 5. 40, $samapajjatha$ 'became' Jā. V. 71³⁸, $upapajjatha$ 'originated' Th1. 30, $abhassatha$ 'fell down' Sn. 449, $samakampatha$ 'shook' Jā. VI. 570¹² etc. (b) The forms in Sg. 2. with the ending -o are Aorist-forms: $mā pamādo$ 'do not tire!' Dh. 371, Th1. 119, S. IV. 263²⁰, 264¹⁸; $āsado$ 'you came in, reached' Jā. I. 414⁸, Vin. II. 195²⁸ (verse); Sg. 3. $abhida$ 'broke to pieces' Jā. III. 29¹⁷, D. II. 107⁸ (verse) or $abbhidā$ Jā. I. 247²⁹ = $abhidat$, $acchidā$ 'tore asunder' Sn. 357, $āsadā$ Th1. 774; Pl. 3. $acchidum$ S. I. 35¹⁴.—2. A remarkable innovation¹ has taken its origin from the medial forms $alattha$, $pāpattha$ of Type III (§ 159. III). As these forms came to be regarded as analogous to $abhida$ there were formed after them also the Sg. 1. $alattham$ Vv. 81. 22, Th1. 747, D. II. 268⁸, JāCo. I. 141²¹, DhCo. I. 51²⁴, 2. $alattha$ S. I. 114¹⁴; Pl. 1. $alatthamha$ M. II. 63¹, 3. $alatthum$ D. II. 274²² (verse). Beside them there is also $alatthamsu$ S. I. 48³⁴ after Type III. Precisely in the same way, from $asayittha$ of Type IV (§ 169. 1), there has been evolved a Sg. 1. $asayittham$ A. I. 186²⁹, and $alabhittham$ Th1. 217 from an $*alabhittha$.

§ 162. 1. The Aorist of Type II of the root kar 'to do' is derived from the Ved. Impf. $ākaram$ etc.: Sg. 1. $akaraṃ$ Jā. III. 206²¹, V. 70¹², 2. $akaraḥ$ Jā. III. 135¹⁷, V. 69¹³, 3. $akaraḥ$ Jā. II. 230¹⁵; Pl. 1. $akarāma$ M. II. 214²⁷ and $akaramha$ M. II. 214²⁸, 3. $akarum$ D. II. 256⁴ (verse), Mhvs. 3. 33.—2. The following forms of the root $bhū$ 'to become' are derived from an Impf. of Cl. VI ($*bhuvati$, cf. § 181. 2 with f.-n. 2. p. 168): Sg. 1. $ahuvā$ S. I. 36² (verse), 2. $ahuvā$ S. I. 36⁹ (verse), 3. $ahuvā$ Jā. II. 106¹, III. 131¹¹, Vv. 81. 24; Pl. 1.

¹ See E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 111; R. O. Franke, BB. 23. 216,

ahuvāma M. I. 93¹³, II. 214²⁴ and *ahuvamha* M. I. 93¹⁴, II. 214²⁵, 2. *ahuvattha* S. IV. 112⁶, M. I. 445²⁶, DhCo. I. 57¹⁰.—3. The root *darś* 'to see' forms an Aorist from the base *drus*: Sg. 1. *addasam* Sn. 837, Th2. 48, Jā. III. 380⁸, M. I. 79⁶, JāCo. III. 380²⁵ and, with primary ending, *addasāmi*¹ Th1. 1253, Th2. 135, S. I. 168¹⁸, Vv. 50. 12, 2. *addasā* S. I. 115¹⁰, 3. *addasā* Vin. II. 192⁷, JāCo. I. 222²⁰; Pl. 1. *addasāma* Sn. 31, Jā. II. 355¹⁷, S. I. 196¹³ (verse) JāCo. III. 304², 2. *addasatha* M. II. 108³², JāCo. III. 304² and (for metrical reasons) *addasātha* Jā. V. 55²³, 3. *addasum* D. II. 256⁷ (verse).—4. The Aor. of the root *vac* shows two series of forms. One series is derived from a thematic Impf. **avacam*, the other form the Aor. *avocam*: Sg. 1. *avacam* JāCo. III. 280¹⁸, DhCo. III. 194¹⁷ and *avocam* Th2. 124, Vv. 79. 7, S. I. 10²³, DhCo. III. 285²¹, 2. *avaca* Th2. 415 and (a)voca Dh. 133; 3. *avaca* JāCo. I. 294²¹ and *avoca* Th2. 494, S. I. 150⁴, JāCo. II. 160⁸; Pl. 1. *avacumha* and *avocumhā* M. II. 91²⁸, III. 15⁸, 2. *avacuttha* Vin. II. 297¹⁰, DhCo. I. 73²⁴ and *avocuttha*, 3. *avacum* Jā. V. 260^{4,10} and *avocum* M. II. 147²⁹.

Type III.

§ 163. A considerable number of historical forms of this Type were retained in all the periods of the language. 1. Aorists of roots in *ā*. Thus from *jñā* 'to know' (*ajñāsīt*) Sg. 1. *abbhaññāsīm* Vin. III. 5²³, 3. *aññāsi* Sn. 540, Vin. I. 18¹³, JāCo. VI. 366¹⁰, Pl. 3. *abbhaññāsum* Sn. IV. 11³⁰ or *abbhaññāmsu* D. II. 150³¹ or (under the influence of Type IV) *aññāmsu* JāCo. III. 303¹⁷. Also Sg. 3. *pāyāsi* D. II. 73⁸, JāCo. I. 223⁶, Pl. 3. *abhiyāmsu* S. I. 216¹⁰ and *pāyāmsu* D. II. 96²⁴, DhCo. III. 257¹⁹, JāCo. I. 254¹¹ from root *yā* 'to go' (*ayāsīt*); Sg. 3. *pahāsi* Sn. 1057 from root *hā* 'to leave' (*ahāsīt*). Similarly from the root *dā* 'to give': Sg. 1. *adāsīm* JāCo. I. 167⁹, DhCo. I. 19¹⁰, 2. *adāsi*, 3. *adāsi* JāCo. I. 279¹⁷; Pl. 1. *adamha* Vv. 65. 4, Jā. II. 71⁴ and (with transfer to Type IV) *adāsīmha* Th2. 518, Jā. III. 120¹¹, 2. *adattha* JāCo. II. 166²¹, 3. *adamṣu* JāCo. I. 222⁹. From root *sthā* 'to stand': Sg. 1. *aṭṭhāsīm* Th2. 73, 3. *aṭṭhāsi*² Vin. II. 195²⁵, JāCo. II. 19²²; Pl. 3. *aṭṭhāmsu* D. II. 84²⁸, JāCo. II. 96²¹ etc. From root *pā* 'to drink': Pl. 3. *apaṃsu* (sic!) Ud. 78¹¹. From root *mā* 'to measure': Pl. 3. *pāmāmsu* Th1. 469.—2. Aorists of roots in *i*. From root *nī* 'to lead' (*anaiṣīt*): Sg. 3. *nesi* JāCo. V. 281²⁸, Pl. 3. *ānesum* JāCo. IV. 137²², Mhvs. 5. 24 etc. From root *ji* 'to conquer'

¹ Cf. R. Ö. Franke, ZDMG. 63. 6.

² Cf. Pkr. AMāg. *ṭhāsi*; Pischel, § 516.

(*ajaiṣit*): Sg. 3. *ajesi* Vin. II. 1¹². From root *hi* 'to send' (*ahaiṣit*): Sg. 3. *pāhesi* Th1. 564, Vin. I. 92³⁵, JāCo. II. 90¹², Pl. 3. *pāhesum* Mhvs. 25. 104. Forms of 1. and 2. Pl. are not attested. On Aor. IV. of uncontracted stem, see § 167. 1—3. Aorists of roots in *ñ*. Cf. *-śru* § 159. III. From root *dhū* 'to shake' (*adhauṣit*): Sg. 3. *adhosi* Sn. 787. After this form was constructed also that Aor. of root *bhū* 'to be, to become' which became the predominant one in course of the development of the language: Sg. 1. *ahosiṃ* Th1. 620, JāCo. I. 106¹⁰, 2. *ahosi* JāCo. I. 107⁹; 3. *ahosi* Sn. 835 Vin. I. 23⁷, JāCo. I. 279⁸, *anubhosi* JāCo. III. 112²⁴, *adhibhosi* S. IV. 185³²; Pl. 1. *ahumha* Jā. I. 362¹⁹, DhCo. I. 57⁹, 3. *ahesum*¹ Vv. 74. 4, D. II. 5⁷, JāCo. I. 149¹⁹. The form Pl. 3. *adhibhamsu* S. IV. 185³² as compared with the Sg. *adhibhosi* is to be explained by the analogy of *adam̐su*.—4. Aorists of roots in *r*. Cf. *kar* § 159. III². From root *har* 'to take away' (*ahārṣit*): Sg. 1. *pahāsiṃ* Th2. 99, *vihāsiṃ* Th1. 513, Ud. 42⁸, Vin. III. 4⁸, 3. *ahāsi* Dh. 3, *pahāsi* Jā. III. 85¹², Vv. 29⁸, Pl. 5. *ahamsu* Jā. V. 200⁸, also *vihamsu* Th1. 925.

§ 164. Historical forms are preserved also by roots in mutes and sibilants. Thus Sg. 3. *accheccchi*³ 'cut off' Sn. 355, Th1. 1275, M. I. 12⁴, A. I. 134⁶ = *acchaitṣit* fr. m root *chid*. In the same way, (*a*)*sakkhi* 'was able to' D. I. 96¹⁰, Vin. I. 10⁸, Mulp. 5² may be derived from an **aśākṣit* from root *śak* (whence Sg. 1 (*a*)*sakkhiṃ* Th1. 88, Mhvs. 32. 43, Sg. 2. *asakkhi* DhCo. I. 16¹⁵); *akkocchi* 'howled' Dh. 3, Jā. III. 212⁶ from an **akraukṣit* from root *kruś*; *pāvekkhi* 'entered' Jā. III. 460² from a **pna-avaikṣit* from root *viś*. Old Aorist forms of the root *darś* 'to see' (*adrākṣam*, *-kṣīs*, *-kṣīt*, *-kṣus*) are quite numerous: Sg. 1 (*ad*)*dakkhiṃ* Sn. 938, Th1. 510, Th2. 147, Vv. 83. 14; 2. *addakkhi* Jā. III. 189²⁵, 3. *addakkhi* Sn. 208, Th1. 986, S. I. 117³ (verse), Vin. II. 195³⁵; Pl. 3. *addakkhum*⁴ D. II. 2 6⁶ (verse). The form *addā* 'saw' Th1. 986, 1244 is also very old. It is the Ved. *adrāk*. On the analogy of *adā*: *adam̐* there was formed also here a Sg. 1. *aīdam̐* Jā. III. 380⁶ (Comm. *addasaṃ*).

§ 165. 1. Double-forms such as *akā*: *akāsi*, *adā*: *adāsi* have given rise to remarkable new formations which are based on Type II, but are brought about by the transfer of forms of this type to the

¹ AMāg. Sg. 3. *ahesi*.

² AMāg. Sg. 2. *akāsi*.

³ Also in S. IV. 205¹⁷, 207¹³, Iv. 47¹⁰ we have to read *accheccchi taṇham̐*.

⁴ Cf. Pkr. AMāg. *addakkhu*; Pischel, § 516.

⁵ Johansson, *Monde Oriental* 1907/8, p. 95 f. Aorists of the same construction occur also in AMāg.; Pischel, § 516.

mode of flexion characteristic of Type III^s. Thus from *addasā* 'he saw' (§ 162. 3) there was formed an *addasāsi* Th2. 309, Jā. V. 158¹⁶ (Comm. *addasa*), and also Sg. 1. *addasāsim* Th1. 287, Jā. II. 256²², Pl. 3. *addasāsum* M. II. 98⁷, Vin. II. 190²⁴ and *addasaṃsu* M. I. 79⁵, Vin. I. 8⁴⁴. Similarly *agamāsi* 'went' Th1. 490, JāCo. I. 113¹², II. 160³, Mhvs. 4. 44 besides *agamā*; Pl. 3. *agamāṃsu* Vv. 80. 6, JāCo. I. 143¹, IV. 3⁵, DhCo. I. 64². In the same way Sg. 1. *ahuvāsi(ṃ)* Vv. 82. 6 beside *ahuvā* (§ 162. 2); Sg. 2. *avacāsi* 'you spoke' Vv. 35. 7, 53. 9 and Sg. 3. *avacāsi* Jā. VI. 525¹⁴ beside *avacā*; Sg. 1. *pivāsiṃ* 'I drank' Ud. 42¹⁴; Sg. 3. *viramāsi* 'ceased' Th2. 397 etc.—2. The Type III has been greatly extended due to the fact that *e*-stems of various origins form their Aorists on the analogy of *ajesi*, *anesi* (§ 163. 2) just as the *ā*- and *ostems* form their Aorists after *akāsi*, *assosi*. A few examples will suffice: Sg. 1. *sesiṃ* 'I lay' Jā. V. 70¹⁴ (from *seti* § 140. 4), *vadesiṃ* 'I spoke' DhCo. III. 174¹⁶ (§ 139. 2), *kathesiṃ* 'I related' JāCo. III. 369¹⁷ (§ 139. 1), *cintesi(ṃ)* 'I thought' Jā. VI. 570¹⁹, Cp. 1.8. 1, *kāresiṃ* 'I had...made' JāCo. III. 11²¹; Sg. 2. *vadesi* DhCo. III. 173²¹, *paccesi* M. I. 445²⁹ (from *eti* § 140. 3); Sg. 3. *pūjesi* 'he worshipped' JāCo. I. 422³¹, *kathesi* Vin. I. 15³⁶, JāCo. II. 154⁷, *pidhesi* 'covered' Mhvs. 24. 52 (stem *dhe*, § 142. 2, from root *dhā* with *pi*), *aggahesi* 'seized' JāCo. I. 52²⁵, *kāresi*, *kārāpesi* JāCo. I. 63⁴, 143¹¹ etc., *saṃgāmesi* (§ 187. 1) JāCo. V. 417¹⁷; Pl. 3. *samesuṃ* 'they assembled' JāCo. II. 30¹⁶, *pūjesuṃ* Dpvs. 16. 31, *kathesuṃ* JāCo. II. 256¹⁶, *aggahesuṃ* Sn. 847, *kāresuṃ* JāCo. III. 1¹⁰ etc. Forms of 1. and 2. Pl. are not attested. On Aor. IV. of uncontracted stems see § 168. 4.

Type IV.

§ 166. The Aorists of Type IV occur most frequently in canonical and non-canonical prose. Quite a number of forms may be regarded as historical. Thus from root *khād* 'to eat': Sg. 3. *khādi* Mhvs. 6. 21 = *akhādīt*; from *grah* 'to seize': Sg. 1. *aggahiṃ* Th1. 97 = Ved. *agrabhim*, 3. *aggahī* Jā. V. 91⁴ = *āgrabhit*.—Similarly from root *kram* 'to stride' (*ākramiṣam*, *ākramīt*), with facultative lengthening of the radical vowel as is found also in Skr. in the case of various roots with a medial *a*: Sg. 1. *pakkāmiṃ* Th1. 34, 3. *pakkāmi* Vin. I. 8¹⁴, JāCo. II. 110²⁵ and *pakkāmi* Mhvs. 19. 56; Pl. 1. *upasaṃkamimha* S. IV. 97³, 3. *pakkāmum* Sn. 1010 and *pakkamimsu* JāCo. I. 150¹⁵.—From root *tras* 'to fear': Sg. 2. *mā vitthāsi* Vin. I. 94³⁴. Various compounds of the root *pad*: Sg. 1. *udapādiṃ* 'I was born' D. I. 13²³, 3. *udapādi* Jā. III. 29⁵, D. I. 235¹⁶; Pl. 3. *āpādu* 'fell into...'

D. II. 278²⁰ (verse).—Of the roots in *ar* the forms in *ā* may be regarded as historical. Thus from root *ca* 'to live, do, carry on' (Skr. *acāriṣam*): Sg. 1. (*a*)*cāri*(*m*) Th1. 423, Th2. 79, 3. *acāri* Dh. 326, Sn. 354; Pl. 3. *acāriṣu* Sn. 284. From root *tar* 'to cross': Sg. 3. *atāri* Sn. 355 (= Ved. *ātārit*); Pl. 3. *atāru*(*m*) Sn. 1045. There are besides forms with *ā*, which probably have to be judged according to § 167: Sg. 1. (*a*)*carim* Th2. 107, Jā. V. 10¹⁶, 3. *acari* Sn. 344 and *atarī* Jā. III. 453¹⁸, *otari* JāCo. II. 154²¹; Pl. 1. *vicarimha* Th2. 305, 3. *ācarimṣu* Sn. 809, *vicarimṣu* JāCo. II. 96²⁷ and *atarimṣu*¹ Sn. 1046. Similarly from root *kar*: Sg. 1. *karim* JāCo. III. 393²⁹, 2. *kari* Th2. 432, JāCo. II. 22¹⁵, III. 276¹³, 3. *akari* D. II. 157¹³ (verse); Pl. 2. *karittha* JāCo. I. 263⁵, 492²³, DhCo. I. 64²¹, 3. *karimṣu* JāCo. II. 352⁸.—I give here further a number of forms a part of which are historical: Sg. 1. (*a*)*labhim* 'I attained' Th1. 218, Th2. 78, *udikkhisam* 'I noticed' Th1. 268, *paccavekkhim* 'I observed' Th1. 395 (cf. Skr. *aiḥṣiṣṭa*), *nandissam* 'I was pleased' S. I. 176¹² (Skr. *anandit*); *adassim* 'I saw' Cp. 1. 2, 2, *saṃdhāvissam* 'I ran through' Th1. 78, *asevissam* 'I visited' Jā. IV. 178⁴ (Skr. *aseviṣṭa*); Sg. 2. *mā vadi* 'do not say!' JāCo. II. 133¹¹; Sg. 3. *vedi* 'he knew' Dh. 419, 423 (= *avedit*), *vandi* 'he praised' Sn. 252, *vasī* 'he lived' Sn. 977, JāCo. II. 158²⁷, *pabbaji* 'he left the life of the laity' D. II. 29³⁰ (but Skr. *avrājīt*), *pāvassi* 'poured rain' Sn. 30 (Skr. *avarṣīt*); Pl. 1. *paṭikkosimha* 'we disputed' M. I. 85⁸, *labhimhā* D. II. 147¹⁸, *āvasimhā* Vv. 65. 4; Pl. 3. *khādiṃsu* 'they ate' JāCo. II. 129²³, *avattiṃsu* 'they existed' Sn. 298, *vaddhimṣu* 'they grew' (Skr. *avardhiṣṭa*) JāCo. II. 105¹⁷, *paṭikkosiṃsu* M. I. 84¹⁹ etc.—With the exception of Passives, Causatives and Denominatives (§ 168. 3, 4), the secondary stem—the Desideratives (§ 184) and the Intensives (§ 185)—form their Aorist after Type IV; Sg. 1. *abhisimṣim* Vv. 81. 18, *caṅkamim* Th1. 272; Pl. 3. *sussūsimṣu* Vin. I. 10⁸.

§ 167. The Type IV became very productive, and that due to the fact that Aorists of this Type could be derived from all present-stems with the exception of those in long vowels (§ 168, 165. 2) in every period of the language². Examples: Thematic Conjugation: (1) Cl. I. With reference to § 180. 4: *parilehisam* 'I licked' Vv.

¹ It is quite clear that the variation between *acāriṃsu* and *acarimṣu* was partly determined by the word-rhythm.

² Aorists of other Types are often replaced by those of Type IV in the Commentaries: thus *akkocchī* DhCo. I. 48²⁰ by *akkosi*, *ahanṣu* in Jā. V. 200⁶ by *āharimṣu*, *ekamha* in Jā. III. 47⁴ by *karimha* etc.

81. 21, VvCo. 316²⁰. With reference to § 131: The roots in *ī* form Aor. IV from the uncontracted stem (Aor. III from the contracted stem, § 165. 2): Sg. 3. *ānaya* Mhvs. 1. 30 (beside *ānesī*); Pl. 1. *ānayimha* JāCo. III. 127¹⁵, 3. *ānayimsu* JāCo. IV. 138³ (beside *ānesum*). Also from root *bhū*: Pl. 3. *bhaviṃsu* DhCo. IV. 15⁵ (Skr. *abhāviṣus*) beside the (possibly contracted) form *ahesum*. With reference to § 132: Sg. 1. *nisīdīm* Th2 44, *patiṭṭhahim* Cp. 3. 7. 3; 3. *apivi* Mhvs. 6. 21, *nisīdi* Vin. I. 1⁸, *uṭṭhahi* JāCo. III. 104²³, *adhutṭhahi* Th1 1131; Pl. 3. *nisīdisum* Mhvs. 7. 40 and *nisīdiṃsu* D.I. 118²⁸, *uṭṭhahimsu* JāCo. I. 202²¹, DhCo. I. 20¹. With reference to § 133 1 Sg. 1. *agacchisaṃ* Th1. 258, *adhigacchissaṃ* Sn. 446, *upāgacchīm* Th2. 69; 3. *āgacchi* Sn. 37¹, *samāgacchi* Vin. I. 96¹⁵; Pl. 2. *upagacchittha* Mhvs. 5. 101, 3. *upagacchimsu* Vin. I. 92¹⁰. There are besides, particularly in Ceylonese manuscripts, forms with *ñch* instead of *cch*¹. Sg. 3. *āgañchi* Sn. 979, JāCo. III. 190¹⁹, *upagañchi* Cp. 2. 6. 9, D. I. 1⁹, II. 99², Pl. 3. *upagañchum* D. II. 99¹. With reference to § 133. 3 Sg. 3. *āruhi* Mhvs. 35 26; Pl. 3. *ārum* Mhvs. 11. 8 — (2) Cl. VI. With reference to § 134: Sg. 1. *pāvissim* Th1. 60, *apucchim* Cp. 2. 6 5 and *apucchissaṃ* Sn. 1116; 2. *nā gili* 'do not devour' Dh. 371; 3. *phusi* S. I. 120²⁴, (a) *pucchi* Sn. 698, JāCo. II. 133⁹, III. 401⁷, *ākiri* Mhvs. 15. 25, *supi* Mlp. 89⁴; Pl. 1. *apucchimhā* Sn. 875, M. If. 132²¹; 176²⁵; 3. *pavissimsu* Mhvs. 18. 56, *pucchimsu* JāCo. I. 221²⁹ and *pucchisum* Mhvs. 10. 2, *supimsu* Vin. II. 78². Also Sg. 2. *abbuhi* 'you drew out' Th2. 52 (var. lec. DhCo. I. 30¹⁷: *abbahi*) from root *bark* (*bṛhati*) with *ā*. With reference to § 135. 1: Sg. 1. *icchim* Jā. I. 267²⁰ and *icchisaṃ* S. I. 176¹² (verse), 3. *icchi* JāCo. I. 492²⁷, VI. 367²². With reference to § 135. 2. Sg. 1. *acchisaṃ* Th1. 487. With reference to § 135. 3: Sg. 1. *nibbind* 'ahaṃ' 'I felt aversion' Th2. 26 (from *vindati*); 3. *osiñci* Vv. 83. 8; Pl. 3. *muñcimsu* JāCo. IV. 142⁵, *abhi-siñcimsu* Mhvs. 11. 41.

§. 168. Thematic conjugation. (3) Cl. IV. With reference to § 136. 1: Sg. 3. *nīṭiyi* 'sat down' JāCo. II. 208⁸; Pl. 3. *nīṭiyimsu* JāCo. II. 200²⁶, *alliyimsu* JāCo. I. 347³². Also Sg. 1. *amaññissaṃ* D. II. 352¹³ M. III. 247²; 2. *āpajji* Jā. III. 83⁴, *pamajji* Mhvs. 17. 15; 3. *kuppi* JāCo. I. 437¹⁵, *pipajji* JāCo. I. 479⁴, *vijjhi* JāCo. II. 18¹⁶, *ruca* Vin. II. 188³²; Pl. 1. *upapajjimha* Th2 519; 3. *naccimsu* JāCo. I. 362⁸ or *anaccum* Th1. 164, *nipajjisum* Mhvs. 7. 29 or *nipajjimsu* JāCo.

¹ Cf. Trenckner, Notes, p. 71 (JPTS. 1908. 123),

I. 61²⁰, *amaññisuṃ* Sn. 286. With reference to § 136. 3: Sg. 1. *apassi* Th2Co. 52²⁸; 3. *passi* JāCo. II. 66¹⁸; Pl. 1. *passimha* JāCo. III. 278⁷; 3. *passimsu* JāCo. IV. 141¹⁴. With reference to § 136. 4 (Passives and Denominatives) Sg. 3. *chijji* 'ceased' JāCo. I. 329²⁷, with medial ending *bhijjittha* JāCo. I. 468¹⁰, *dayhittha* JāCo. I. 215¹⁸, *khīyi* JāCo. I. 489¹⁸ and *khīyittha* Vin. I. 57²³, *sampūri* 'was filled' JāCo. IV. 458²⁹; Pl. 3. *muccimsu* JāCo. II. 66¹⁶, *haññimsu* D. I. 142²³ etc. Also Sg. 1. *namassi* Th2 87; Pl. 3. *namassimsu* Sn. 287, Th1. 628. With reference to § 137: Sg. 3. *jiyittha* Jā. I. 468² With reference to § 138: Sg. 1. *bhāyim* DhCo. III. 187²; 2. *bhāyi* Th1. 764, JāCo. I. 222²⁶, DhCo. III. 187²; 3. *jāyi* JāCo. III. 391²⁰ and Med. *ajāyittha* Dpvs. 5. 16, *anupariyāyi* 'transformed' DhCo. III. 202¹⁷, *vāyi* S. IV. 290¹, *parinibbāyi* D. II. 156³⁴, JāCo. II. 113⁸, *samādiyi* JāCo. I. 219¹⁴; Pl. 2. *bhāyittha* Vin. II. 1¹⁴, JāCo. I. 255²³; 3. *jāyimsu* Mhvs. 28. 40 and *ajāyisuṃ* Mhvs. 4. 45; *gāyimsu* JāCo. I. 362⁶. In the same way Sg. 2. *palāyi* JāCo. II. 26¹⁹, 3. *palāyi* JāCo. III. 72²¹, Med. *palāyittha* Vin. I. 23⁹, JāCo. III. 76²⁶; Pl. 3. *palāyimsu* Mhvs. 24. 20 (cf. Skr. *apalāyista*); Sg. 3. *dhūpāyi* (§ 186. 2.) JāCo. I. 347²⁰ etc.—(4) Cl. X. With reference to § 139: The verbs of Cl. X, the causatives and the *aya*-Denominatives form Aor. IV. from the uncontracted stem: Sg. 1. *kampayim* 'I shook' Th1. 1164; *paññāpayim* 'I set aright' Th2. 428; 2. *mā cintayi* 'do not think!' DhCo. I. 16¹⁹; 3. *pakāsaya* 'proclaimed' Sn. 251, *adesayi* 'taught' Sn. 233; *pūjaya* 'worshipped' Milp. 222¹⁴; Med. *amohayittha* 'was befooled' Sn. 332, *arocayittha* 'was pleasing' Sn. 252; Pl. 1. *pāpayimha* 'we had .. attained' DhCo. III. 99²²; 2. *mā vadḍhayittha* 'do not increase!' DhCo. I. 93⁴, *mā dassayittha* 'do not show!' DhCo. III. 201⁷; 3. *pātayimsu* 'they felled' Th1. 252, *akappayimsu* 'they performed' Sn. 458 and *akappayum* Sn. 295, *parivārayimsu* 'they surrounded' JāCo. II. 253¹³, *kathayimsu* 'they related' JāCo. II. 216²⁶ etc. On Aor. III. from the contracted stem, see § 165. 2. In verses the choice between forms after one type or the other is often determined by the metre.

§ 169. Athematic Conjugation. (1) Cl. II. With reference to § 140. 1: Sg. 3. *hani* Mhvs. 25. 42, *ravi* JāCo. II. 110⁹, III. 102²³, *aravi* Mhvs. 32. 79 and the historical form *arāvi* Mhvs. 10. 69; Pl. 3. *hanimsu* Sn. 295, Vin. I. 88³⁰, *ravimsu* JāCo. I. 202²⁸; from root *i + sam* (*sameti*) Pl. 3. *samimsu* S. II. 154²⁵⁻²⁷. With reference to § 140. 4: Sg. 3. *asayittha* A. I. 136²⁸ from *sayati* (besides

settha Sn. 970 from *seti*).—2. Cl. III. With reference to § 142: Sg. 1. *pajahiṃ* M. III. 160³⁰, *jūhiṃ* Th1. 341; 3. *vijahi* JāCo. I. 489²⁹, *saddahi* JāCo. II. 38⁶, Med. *saddahuttha* DhCo. I. 117²⁴, Pl. 3. *jahiṃsu* JāCo. III. 19²³ and *jahum*¹ Jā. III. 19²³, *pidahiṃsu* Mhvs. 31. 119, *paṭijaggimsu* JāCo. III. 127⁵. With reference to § 143: Pl. 2. *dadittha* JāCo. III. 171³.—3. Cl. VII. With reference to § 144: Sg. 1. *bhuñjiṃ* Milp. 47²³, *anuyuñjisam* Th1. 157; 3. *acchindi* Mhvs. 5. 240, (a)*bhundi* A.IV. 312³ (verse). JāCo.I. 467¹⁵, *rundhi* JāCo.I. 409²⁰; Pl. 1. *pajahimhā* M. I. 448¹⁵; 2. *anuyuñjittha* Th1. 414; 3. *acchindiṃsu* Vin. I. 88²⁹, *bhindiṃsu* Dpvs. 7. 54, *abhuñjiṃsu* Th1. 922 and *abhuñjisum* Mhvs. 7. 25.—4. Cl. IX and V With reference to § 145: Sg. 1. *paṭijāniṃ* DhCo. I. 21², *abhijānissam* Th1. 915; 3. *ajāni* Sn. 536, *samjāni* DCo. I. 261²⁹ (cf. *ajini* 'conquered' Jā. III. 212⁶); Pl. 3. *jāniṃsu* Jā o. II. 105⁴ (cf. *kiṇiṃsu* Sn. 290). With reference to § 146: Sg. 2. *gaṇhi* JāCo. VI. 337¹²; 3. *gaṇhi* JāCo. VI. 337¹⁰; Pl. 2. *gaṇhittha* JāCo. I. 254⁴, III. 127¹⁴; 3. *gaṇhiṃsu* JāCo. III. 127¹³. From *bandhati*: Sg. 1. *anubandhiṃ* Sn. 446 and *anubandhissam* Jā. VI. 508³⁰. With reference to § 147. 1, 2: Sg. 3. *pahiṃ* JāCo. I. 290²⁵; Pl. 3. *pahiṃsu* JāCo. II. 21¹¹, *vinicchiniṃsu* JāCo. II. 2⁹. With reference to § 148: Sg. 1. *pāpuṇiṃ* Th1. 865, JāCo. I. 167¹¹; 3. *sakkuṇi* Mhvs. 7. 14, *pāpuṇi* JāCo. I. 151³; Pl. 3. *pāpuṇiṃsu* JāCo. II. 111²³. With reference to § 149: Pl. 3. *anutthuniṃsu* D. III. 86²², 88⁶.

§ 170. It remains still to discuss a few isolated forms which may be interpreted in different ways. Thus we have in Th2Co. 85²⁶ (verse from Apadāna) the form *dakkhisam* 'I saw'. As *dakkh* = *drākṣ* is already itself a stem of Type III (cf. § 164), the transfer to the flexional mode of Type IV is effected by means of the ending *-isam*. Probably the parallel forms in *-isam* and *-im* of Type IV have led to the new formation out of *adakkhiṃ*. Similarly (a)*sakkhissam* 'I was able to' M. III. 179²⁸, A. I. 139¹²⁸, Pl. 1. *sakkhimha* D. II. 155², 3. *sakkhiṃsu* Mhvs. 8. 23 and *sakkhisum* Mhvs. 23. 11. Of course it may also be assumed that the future-stem of the roots *darś* and *śak* provided the basis to these new formations. *Dakkhati* and *sakkhati* were no longer felt to futures, but as presents (cf. § 136. 3), which now formed their Aorist after Type IV. An Aorist stem of Type III is however doubtless the basis of the form

¹ Wackernagel, GN. 1906. 157 considers it to be a Pl. 3. Prf. = Skr. *jāhus*, which is, of course, formally possible.

adāsiṃhā 'we gave' Th2. 18 (Comm 295¹⁷ = *adamhā*), as well as of the form *ahesumha* 'we existed' M. I. 265¹⁻⁴. I am therefore inclined to consider all the forms referred to as 'double constructions' in which both the Types III and IV have crossed each other. This hypothesis seems to be more probable to me than the assumption that these are forms of the *siṣ*-Aorist of Skr. Also *pamādassaṃ* 'I was unexerting' M. III. 179²⁰, A. I. 139¹⁻²⁸ is probably nothing but an elaboration of **pamādaṃ* (Type II, § 161) after Type IV, which might have been facilitated by the form *sakkhissaṃ* which immediately precedes it.

5. Perfect

§ 171. With the exception of a few petrified forms, the Perfect has been almost completely eliminated from the Pāli language. Forms like *bubodha susoca*¹ (but cf. also *jagāma* Jā. 208²) as they are found, for instance, in the artificial poetry, are merely learned reminiscences. To set forth a paradigm for the Perfect, as is done by the Grammarians, is therefore unnecessary. The last vestiges of the perfect are: *āha* 'he has said' (= *āha*) Sn. 790, Vin. I. 40²⁸ (verse) M. I. 14¹⁵, JāCo. I. 121³ and its Plural *āhu* (= *āhus*) Th1. 188. Dh. 345, JāCo. I. 59³¹, Mhvs. 1. 27, to which was added the new formation *āhamsu* (after *adamsu*) JāCo. I. 121¹², 222¹⁴ etc. Finally, we have also *vidñ* or *viduṃ* 'they know' (= *vidus*) Sn. 758, Th1. 497, Mhvs. 23. 78. The Sg. corresponding to it is the form *vedi* (§ 166), which is very probably = Skr. *avedit*.

6. Periphrastic Constructions

172. Traces of the use of the periphrastic future, as in Skr., are present in Pāli. Thus *āgantāro punabbhavaṃ* (the copula has to be supplied) Sn. 754. Cf. M. II. 130¹⁶. A periphrastic Optative is to be found in .. *iti ce, bhikkhave, pucchitāro assu* 'if, you monks, would ask this' Sn. p. 135; cf. also *bhavanti vattāro* 'it will be said' M. I. 469¹⁴ and *bhavanti upasamkamilāro* 'they will come along' M. III. 111⁹. I mention here further *tassa kumbhe patitāmi* 'I will throw myself on his head' JāCo. III. 113²⁴, where we

¹ Cf. Childers, PD. under the word *bujjhati*; E. Müller, PGr. p. 117. On the paradigm of. Minayeff, PGr. § 182, p. 65; E. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 114. On *jahum* cf. § 169. 2. with foot-note,

have either to read *patitā 'mni* or to accept analogical contamination by the flexion of the simple future (*patissāmi*).¹ -

§ 173. A periphrastic Perfect arose out of the combination of the Preterital Participle with the copula². In the third Person the copula *atthi* is always omitted, and *hoti* is often so. Examples are numerous in every period of the language.—1. For Intransitive verbs we have thus: Sg. 1. *pabbajito 'mhi* 'I have retired from worldly life' Th1. 72; *otipño 'mhi* 'I have dismounted' M. I. 192⁶; *sitibhūto 'smi* 'I have been forsaken' Th1. 79, fem. *sitibhūt' amhi* (= *-tā 'mhi*) Th2. 15; *āgato 'mhi* 'I have come' JāCo. II. 20¹³; Sg. 2. *ṭhito 'si* 'you are standing' JāCo. III. 53¹², fem. *sitibhūtāsi* Th2. 16, *gatāsi* 'you are gone' JāCo. II. 416⁸; Sg. 3. *uppannam (hoti)* 'is originated' M. I. 130^{5,10}, *nahāto* 'has bathed' JāCo. I. 184²⁹. Pl. 1. *vutth' amha* (= *vutthā amha*) 'we have lived' JāCo. IV. 243¹¹, *sitibhūta' amha* (fem.) Th2. 6³, *āgat' amhāse* D. II. 275¹¹ (verse); Pl. 2. *āgat' attha* JāCo. I. 20¹¹, *jāt' attha* 'you have become' DhCo. III. 59⁴; Pl. 3. *āgatā* Mhvs. 14 12.—2. In the case of transitive verbs the Periphrastic Perfect has naturally a passive meaning. Sg. 1 fem. *mutt' amhi* (= *muttā amhi*) 'I am released' Th2. 11; *vañcit' ammi* (sic! = *vañcitā amhi* fem.) 'I am betrayed' JāCo. I. 287²⁶; *nimantit' amha*, *nimantit' attha* 'we, you, have been invited' Vin. III. 10³⁷, 11³. The agent is in Instr. or also in Gen.-Dat.³, as, for instance, in *Mahākaccāno Satthu c'eva samvaṇṇito sambhāvito* 'M has been praised and honoured by the Master himself' M. III. 194², S. IV. 93²¹, or *patto me āsavakkhaya* 'I have achieved the conquest of carnal weaknesses' Th1. 116. In the case of certain transitive verbs the Periphrastic Perfect however sometimes assumes an active meaning: *patto 'si nibbānam* 'you have attained Nirvāṇa' Dh. 134. Cf. Vv. 53. 20, Mhvs. 4. 65 etc.—3. Also other tenses and moods may be expressed by the combination of the Past Participle with the auxiliary verb. Thus we have a Pluperfect Potential in *patto abhavissam* 'I would have attained' JāCo. I. 470¹⁵, or an Exact Future in *gato bhavissati* 'he will be gone' JāCo. II. 214⁴ etc.

§ 174. Periphrastic constructions are further resorted to when continuous action, whether of the past or the present, has to be

¹ Cf. also the form *vañcitammi* in § 173 2.

² Exclusively these forms are used in Pkr. to express past tense, excepting in AMāg.; Fischel, § 519.

³ Cf. R. O. Franke, BB. 16. 111

⁴ According to R. O. Franke, D., p. 83, note 1.

expressed. Formally they are combinations of Participle Present or Gerund with the Copula or Verbs with an indefinite meaning¹. We have thus 1. Part. Pres. with Copula; e.g. *sayāno 'mhi* 'I am lying' M. I. 57¹ (as also immediately preceding *thito 'mhi, nisinno 'mhi*).—2. Part. Pres. with *tiṭṭhati*. Thus *te aññam-aññam patvā sarīrāni lehentā atṭhamasu* 'they were licking each others' body' JāCo. II. 31¹⁸, in which however the original meaning 'they stood there licking.....' can still be distinctly felt. Likewise in DnCo. III. 93².—3. Gerund with *tiṭṭhati*. Thus *mūlam-pi tesam palikhañña tiṭṭhe* 'attempt should be made to pull out even their roots' Sn. 968; *mahantaṃ phaṇaṃ karitvā atṭhāsi* 'he formed an enormous hood' Vin. I. 3¹⁸⁻¹⁹; *hatthilaṇḍaṃ..... ekasmim gumbhe laggitvā atṭhāsi* 'remained hanging from a bush'. Cf. S. IV. 60¹⁵, Tb1. 98, M. I. 247²¹ etc.—4. Part. Pres. with *carati* or *vicarati*. Thus *nāññesaṃ pihayaṃ care* 'one should not be jealous of others' Dh. 365; *Bodhisatto ekaṃ upamaṃ upadhārento vicarati* 'B. was busy thinking out a simile' JāCo. III. 102¹⁶. Cf. D. I. 26²⁴, JāCo. III. 16⁹; D. II. 287⁷ (verse), JāCo. I. 503⁵ etc.—5. Gerund with *viharati*. Thus *paṭhamajjhānaṃ upsampajja viharati* 'he has attained the first stage of the trance (and is continuing to be in that stage)' D. I. 37³. Cf. M. I. 33²⁶, Sn. p. 15 etc.—6. Gerund with *vattati*. Thus *Gotamo ime dhamme anava-sesaṃ samādāya vattati* 'G. is observing these rules to the letter' D. I. 164⁵. Cf. D. I. 230¹⁴ etc.—7. Gerund with *voharati*. Thus *so tad-eva abhinivissa voharati* 'he is holding on to it firmly' M. III. 210²⁸⁻²⁹. Cf. M. I. 410¹⁸.

7. Passive

§ 175. The Passive may be formed in two ways: by means of *ya*, or by means of *īya*². The Passive formed with *ya* formally coincides with the Present-class IV (§ 136. 4). The *ya* is retained unchanged after vowels; it is assimilated to the final consonant of a root according to the respective phonetic laws. 1. Roots in vowels: Roots in *ā*: *ñāyati* 'becomes known' Milp. 25⁸; *paññāyati* D. I. 93³, JāCo. I. 435⁵=*prajñāyate*; the roots *dā* and *dhā* have *diyati* (*diyyati*) Tb2. 467, D. I. 144²⁵ and *dhīyati* (*dhiyyati*) D. I. 73²³, M. I. 37²³,

¹ Many of these constructions are reminiscent of the similar usage in Singhalese. Cf. Geiger, LSprS. § 67. Thus, for instance, *kum pana te imam dhanam gahetvā va na gamimsu* 'why have they not taken their money with them (in death)?' DhCo. III. 87¹⁵. Sgh. *gen-enu, gena-yanu*.

² Both the constructions are known also in Pkr. Fischel, § 535.

Milp. 289⁷=*diyate*, *dhiyate*. In *ādiyati* (*śādmā-*, *upād-*) 'takes unto himself' (§ 136. 4) the passive has a medial meaning. Cf. Skr. *ādatte*, as well as § 176. 1. The root *hā* has besides *hīyati* (*hiyyati*) 'decreases' Th1. 114 (*nīhiyati* Th1. 555, *pahiyyati* S. IV. 31³)=*hiyate* also *hāyati* Jā. I. 181²⁰, DhCo. I. 11¹⁰ (verse), D. II. 208¹⁰, JāCo. I. 279⁹. From the root *śyā* 'to congeal' we have *siyati* Th1. 312, Vv. 335²¹=*siyate*. Roots in *ṣ*, *ṣh*: *jīyati* (*jiyyati*) 'is defeated' Dh. 179, Jā. II. 75²², M. III. 170⁸=*jīyate*; *parājīyati* 'goes under' JāCo. I. 290²⁰; *nīyati* (*niyyati*) 'is led' Sn. 580, M. I. 371⁷=*nīyate*; *parikkhiyanti* 'they are being annihilated' Th2. 347=*kṣīyate* Root *bhū*: *anubhuyyati* 'is enjoyed' VvCo. 181²⁰; root *śru* 'to hear': *sūyati* (*suyyati*) Jā. IV. 141²⁰, VI. 528³⁰ M. I. 30¹⁹, JāCo. I. 72¹, Milp. 152¹².—2. Roots in *r*: root *kar* 'to do': *kayirati* (§ 47. 2) Dh. 292, S. I. 180⁸ (verse), Vin. II. 289¹⁷=**karyate*; root *par* 'to fill': *pūratī*¹ Dh. 121 f., Jā. I. 498²², JāCo. I. 460²⁷=*pūryate*; cf. moreover forms of root *har*: *parihīrati* 'is avoided' Th1. 453, S. 205, *saṃhīrati* 'is fettered' M. III. 188²⁸, 189⁷, and also of root *bhar*. *anubhīrati*² 'is carried to' M. III. 123²⁰.—3. Roots in consonants: *vuccati* 'is spoken' Dh. 63, D. I. 168²=*ucyate*; *paccati* 'is cooked'=*pacyate*; *lujjati* 'falls to pieces' (§ 44)=*rujyate*; *kacchati* 'is related' M. II. 253²¹=*kathyate*; *vijjati* 'exists' Th1. 132, Sn. 21, D. I. 18²⁴=*vidyate*; *bajjhati* 'is bound' Th1. 137, JāCo. I. 428¹⁷=*badhyate*; *bhaññati* 'is spoken' Vin. I. 11³³, JāCo. I. 444¹⁸=*bhaṇyate*; *haññati* 'is killed'=*hanyate*; *patāyanti* (root *tan*) 'they arise out of.....' D. III. 201¹⁷ (verse), Jā. III. 283¹⁶=*pratāyante*³; *vuppati* 'is sown' Th1. 530=*upyate*; *dissati* 'is seen' Th1. 44, Vin. I. 16¹²=*dṛśyate*; *kassate* 'is ploughed' Th1. 530=*kṛṣyate*; *gayhati* 'is seized' (§ 49) Vin. I. 88³⁵=*gṛhyate*; *ḍayhati* 'is burnt' Sn. 63, Vin. I. 109²⁴, M. III. 184¹¹ (*viḷayhase* Jā. II. 220¹²)=*ḍahyate*; *vuyhati* 'is carried away' Th1. 89, Vin. I. 106²¹ (*nibbuyhati* 'saves himself' Th2. 468)=*uhyate*.

§ 176. The construction with *ṭya* is found very frequently 1. in Causative (and analogous) stems⁴. Thus *bhājiyati* 'is divided' Ud.

¹ Cf. § 52. 5. Also Pkr. Māh. *pūrai*.

² I believe that *hriyate*, *bhriyate* at first became **hīryate*, **bhīryate* through metathesis, and then *hīrati*, *bhīrati* like *pūratī*. In the same way is formed also *kīrati* 'is treated' Th1. 143 from root *kar*.

³ E. Müller, PG. p. 121. Not so O. Franke, WZKM. 8. 323

⁴ The corresponding forms in Pkr. are Māh. *kārijjai*, *chejjanti* etc. Pischel, § 543.

48²⁴ from *bhājeti* (root *bhaj*)=*bhājyate*; *paricāriyati* 'is served' Vin. I. 15⁴, D. II. 325⁹ from *paricāreti*; *dassiyati* 'is shown' D. II. 124¹⁰ from *dasseti*=*darśiyate*; *addiyati* 'is pained' Th2. 140=*ardiyate*; *paññāpiyati* 'is elucidated' DhCo. 118¹⁴ from *paññāpeti* (root *jñā*); *vesīyati* 'is introduced' M. I. 88²⁵ from *veseti*; *sodhīyati* 'is purified' Bu. 2. 40 from *sodheti*=*sodhyate*; *posiyati* 'is nourished' Jā. III. 289⁷, JāCo. I. 492¹² from *poseti*. Similarly *sāriyati* 'is reminded', *māriyati* 'is killed', *codiyati* 'is impelled' etc. Also *pūjiyati* 'is worshipped' Mhvs. 17. 17 from *pūjeti* of Cl. X. Sometimes the causative meaning cannot be traced in such Passives. Thus *vediyati* 'is made to experience, feels' M. I. 59¹², A. I. 141⁶ from *vedeti* (root *vid*); *vādiyati* 'is made to speak, speaks' Sn. 824, 832. The Passive has medial meaning in *sādiyati* 'enjoys for himself, takes pleasure in' Vin. II. 294²⁰, III. 29¹⁸, D. I. 166⁴ from **sādeti* (=Skr. *svādayati*) from root *svad*¹.—2. Passives may be constructed with *īya* also from various Present-stems². Firstly, in the case of a number of verbs of which the Present-stem is the same as the root. Thus *yāciyati* 'is asked for' Mlvs. 7. 14 from root *yāc*; *pucchīyati* 'is asked' DhCo. I. 10¹⁰ from the weak-grade root *pr*; *samanugāhiyamāna* 'interrogated regarding motives' A. V. 156⁸ from root *gāh* etc. In the words quoted above the Pālī form is distinguished from the Skr.-form only by the Svarabhakti; cf. Skr. *yācyate*, *prcchyate*, *gāhyate*. But we have also *harīyati* 'is carried away' M. III. 148¹⁴ from *harati*, as against Skr. *hriyate* = *hīrati*; *yuñjiyati* in *samanuyuñjiyamāna* 'extorted, interrogated' A. V. 156⁸ from *yuñjati* (§ 144)—3. We have a 'double-construction' in which a new Pass. in *īya* is derived from a Passive-st. formed according to § 175. 3 in *parichijjiyamāna* 'clearly marked off' DhCo. I. 22¹, 35¹⁸ from *chijjati*=*chidyate*. Similar double-construction also in *an-upalabbhiyamāna* from *upa labhati*=*upa-labhyate* S. III. 112⁸, A. I. 174¹¹.

§ 177. The flexion of the Passive is like that of a verb of Cl. IV. For the Present-system cf. § 136. 4, for the Future § 155. 3, for the Aorist³ § 168. 3. The Sg. 3. Aor. Pass. in *i* derived from the strong-grade form of the root has been retained in a few isolated forms:

¹ In Sn. 281 I am inclined to read *abhinibbajjiyātha* 'avoid!' from root *varj*, *varjayati* and explain the Pa-sive form in the same way. If we read *abhinibbajjiyātha* (root *vid*) then it would be a case of "double-construction."

² These forms are more frequent in Pkr. than in Pālī. Cf. Fischel, § 536 ff.

³ An Aor. from the Passive-stem is found only in AMāg. in Pkr., a Future however almost in all the dialects. Fischel, § 549.

abhedi 'was destroyed' and *nirodhi* Ud. 9⁶¹² (verse) = *abhedi*, *aroahi*; *samatāni* 'stretched itself out' D. III. 85¹¹ = *alāni*.

8. Causative

§ 178. Many Causatives in Pāli are historical continuations of corresponding constructions in Skr. The formans *aya* may be contracted to *e*¹. 1. Unmodified roots. *pāpeti* 'makes attain' JāCo. I. 223¹⁴, II. 11⁸ (root *āp* with *pra*) = *prāpayati*; *saṃsandeti* 'joins together' JāCo. I. 403¹⁹ = *syandayati*. Similarly with roots with medial *r* and *l*: *dasseti* 'shows' Thl. 86, Dh. 83, JāCo. III. 276⁴ = *darśayati*; *kappeti* 'performs' Sn. 295, JāCo. I. 140²⁶ = *kalpayati*. Cf. *chaḍḍeti* = *charadayati*; *vatteti* = *vartayati*; *vaddheti* = *vaḍḍhayati*; *vissajjeti* = *visarjayati*; *haṃseti* = *harṣayati* — 2. Roots with medial *a* before a single consonant (a) the *a* is lengthened as in Skr. Thus *vādeti* 'makes speak, plays (musical instrument)' Sn. 1010, Jā. I. 293²³, JāCo. II. 110⁷ = *vādayati*; *ubbāhetti* 'heaves up' D. II. 347¹⁷ = *udvāhayati*; *hāseti* 'makes laugh' Vin. III. 84²¹ = *hāsayati*. Similarly *gāheti* = *grāhayati*; *tāpeti* = *tāpayati*; *pāpeti* = *pāpayati* — *pādeti* = *pādayati*; *-yādeti* (§ 38. 3) = *-yādayati*; *vāseti* = *vāsayati*; *sādeti* = *sādayati*; *sāmeti* = *sāmayati*. For **lābheti* as against *lambhayati* we have *labbheti* 'lets attain' Vin. IV. 5³⁸ (verse) = JāCo. I. 193¹⁰, DhCo. III. 213¹⁰. From roots with final *r*: *kāreti* 'causes to do' Jā. III. 394⁷, JāCo. I. 107²¹ etc. = *kārayati*; *pūreti* 'fills' Sn. 30, 305, Jā 'o. II. 1¹⁹ etc. = *pūrayati*. Similarly *tāreti* = *tārayati*; *-thāreti* = *-stārayati*; *dhāreti* = *dhārayati*, *māreti* = *mārayati*; *vāreti* 'holds back' and 'chooses' = *vārayati*; *sāreti* = *sārayati*; *sāreti* = *smārayati*. — (b) The *a* remains short as also in Skr. in *gameti* 'makes go' M. III. 166²¹, A.I. 141² (*āgameti* 'waits' Vin. I. 78⁶, JāCo. II. 21¹⁴) = *gamayati*. Similarly *janeti* = *janayati*, *dameti* = *damayati*; *yameti* Dh. 37, 380 = *yamayati*; *bhameti* Mhvs. 23 80 = *bhrāmayati*. — (c) The quantity varies: *jāleti* 'kindles' (ā Mulp. 47², ā JāCo. II. 44¹, 104²⁷) = *jvālayati*; *namayanti* 'they bend' Dh. 80, Thl. 19, but *paṇāmeti* 'sends away, stretches out' Jā. II. 28¹², Vin. I. 5²³, II. 303¹³ etc., (in Skr. only *namayati*); *nikkhāmeti* 'lets go out' (ā JāCo. II. 112¹², ā Vin. I. 187²⁵, 188¹⁵, JāCo. III. 99¹⁰) = *kramayati*, *niṣkrāmayati*.

§ 179. Causative stems 3. of roots with non-final *i*, *u*: *chsedeti* 'causes to be cut off' Jā. III. 179¹⁷, Mhvs. 21. 18 = *chedayati* (root *chid*); *ḍeseti* 'shows, teaches' Sn. 722, Vin. I. 5⁴, D. I. 195²¹, JāCo. II. 12²⁴ =

¹ For the sake of brevity I give in the following always the form in *e*.

deḥayati (root *dis*); *paveṭti* 'lets enter, introduces' Vin. III. 29², M. III. 169¹⁶, JāCo. I. 419²³=*praveṣayati*; *codeti* 'pushes forward' Dh. 379, Vin. I. 114¹⁶, A. V. 79⁹=*codayati* (root *cud*); *sodheti* 'purifies' Dh. 141, M. I. 39¹⁸ (verse), Vin. I. 47³², JāCo. I. 291²=*śodhayati*. Similarly *peseti*=*preṣayati* (root *iṣ* with *pra*); *ceteti* Vv. 84. 40, D. I. 184¹⁸, Vin. III. 19³⁸=*cetayati* (root *cit*); *vedeti*=*vedayati*; *sineheti* 'makes tender' Milp. 172⁹=*snehayati*; *poseti*=*poṣayati*; *āroceti*=*ārocayati*; *bhojeti*=*bhojayati*; *yojeti*=*yojayati*; *palobhetti*=*pralobhayati*; *soceti*=*śocayati*.—4. From roots with final *ī*, *ū*: *bhāyate* 'frightens' Jā. III. 99¹⁴=*bhāyayati* (root *bhī*); *cāveti* 'drives forth' Sn. 442, Vin. I. 120³²=*cyāvayati* (root *cyu*); *bhāveti* 'brings about' Thl. 83, 166, Jā. II. 22²⁵, D. II. 79¹⁴, JāCo. I. 415⁵=*bhāvayati*; *sāveti* 'lets hear, proclaims' Jā. III. 437¹², Vin. I. 36⁶, JāCo. I. 344¹⁴, Mhvs. 5. 238=*śrāvayati*. Also *nāyeti*=*nāyayati* from root *ni*¹, as well as *opīlāpeti* (§ 89. 6)=*plāvayati* and *hāpeti* (ibid.)=*hāvayati* from roots *plu*, *hu*—5. Miscellaneous: In agreement with Skr. the root *duṣ* (*dussati* 'is defiled' Vin. I. 188¹⁷) forms the Causative *dūseti* 'defiles, in ult.' Jā. I. 454¹⁶, Vin. I. 85¹⁷, JāCo. I. 358²⁸=*dūṣayati*; *paḍoṣeti* Sn. 650, S. IV. 70³² (verse), M. I. 186¹⁸ (in the parallel passage M. I. 129¹⁶ -*dūs*-); from *han* we have *ghāletti* 'causes to be killed' Sn. 629, Dh. 129, S. I. 116³⁹, JāCo. I. 255⁵, Mhvs. 6. 41=*ghālayati*; from root *pri*: *pīṇeti* 'pleases' D. I. 51¹⁵, Mhvs. 36. 77, Rasav. II. 96²⁰=*prīṇayati*. The Causative is based on the Pres.-stem in *nacceti* 'causes to dance' D. I. 185²¹, DhCo. III. 231¹⁴ from *naccati* (§ 186); *laggeti* 'hangs up' JāCo. III. 107¹⁴, DhCo. I. 138² from *laggati* (ibid.).

§ 180. As in Skr., the roots in *ā* take the formantic element *paya*, *pe*. And as in Skr. some roots may shorten the *ā*, the vowel in some cases is of variable quantity—even in those roots which are never shortened in Skr.² 1. Examples: *dāpeti* 'causes to give' Vin. I. 55³⁷, JāCo. IV. 138¹=*dāpayati*, but *samāddāpeti* 'causes to take, exhorts'; *nīdhāpeti* 'causes to lay down' Mhvs. 20. 12, *niddhāpeti* 'turns out' Jā. IV. 41²⁶=*dhāpayati*; *vijjhāpeti* 'extinguishes' Vin. I. 31²⁶ (root *kṣā* § 56. 2); *ñāpeti* 'causes to know, informs' Vin. I. 56³⁰, JāCo. II. 133²⁶, *paññāpeti* 'explains, designates', *āṇāpeti* 'orders' (§ 68. 2) etc.=*ñāpayati*; *māpeti* 'causes to measure', *nimmāpeti* 'causes to build'=*māpayati*; *yāpeti* 'passes (time), lives on.....' Jā. VI. 532¹⁵, D. I. 166¹², JāCo. III. 67²¹=*yāpayati*; *nibbāpeti* 'extinguishes' D. II.

¹ In Minayeff, PGr. § 208.

² Also in Pkr., e.g. *phāṇesi*; Pischel, § 551.

164¹⁷, JāCo. I. 472¹⁰=*nirvāpayati*; *thāpēti* 'establishes' (ā) Dh. 40, Th1. 38, D. I. 120³³, JāCo. I. 223²¹, (ā) Sn. 112, A. II. 46¹² (verse) with numerous compounds=*sthāpayati*; *nahāpeti* 'bathes (trans.)' D. I. 93⁶, II. 19²⁵, JāCo. I. 166²⁰=*snāpayati*; *hāpeti* 'causes to leave, releases' (JPTS. 1906-7, p. 163)=*hīpayati*¹.—2. The course of this type was taken also by some other roots, as also in Skr. Thus *ropeti* 'plants' Sn. 208, Vin. II. 2²², JāCo. II. 37⁵, *āropeti* 'causes to climb up', (v)*oropeti* 'robs'=*ropayati* (besides *rohayati*) from root *ruh*; *ussāpeti* 'raises up' (§ 58. 3)=*ucchrāpayati* from root *śri* with *ud*; *jāpeti* 'causes to conquer' S. I. 116¹⁹, M. I. 281²³=*jāpayati* from root *ji*. Peculiar to Pāli is the form *ānāpeti*² 'causes to be brought' Vin. I. 116¹⁷, JāCo. III. 391²⁴, Mhvs. 9. 25 from root *nī* with *ā*—3. From root *pā* we have *pāyati* 'gives to drink' Vin. II. 289³⁰, D. II. 19²⁴, JāCo. III. 98¹, VI. 336³³ (Skr. *pāyayati*).

§ 181. Numerous new forms were constructed after the Causatives of roots in *ā*. The formantic elements *āpaya*, *āpe* serve 1. to form causatives out of all Present-stems, and 2. to form new double-causatives out of older causatives. These new formations are not yet current in the Gāthā-language, but are met with already in the canonical prose and are unusually numerous later. 1. Causatives from Present-stems³. With reference to § 130: *vasāpeti* JāCo. I. 290¹², II. 27¹⁶; *paccāpeti* JāCo. II. 15²⁴; *khamāpeti* 'excuses' Vin. I. 54¹³, JāCo. II. 29²³, Mhvs. 4. 40; *sandāpeti* Milp. 122²; *harāpeti* JāCo. II. 38⁶, 106¹⁴; *uddharāpeti* 'causes to bring out' Vin. IV. 39¹⁷; *sarāpeti* 'causes to remember' Vin. III. 44⁸. With reference to § 132: *nisidāpeti* JāCo. III. 392⁷, VI. 367¹⁷. With reference to § 133: *ḍasāpeti* JāCo. II. 31¹. With reference to § 134: *khipāpeti* JāCo. II. 36²¹, Mhvs. 20. 35; *pucchāpeti* Mhvs. 10. 75; *okirāpeti* Smp. 339³, Mhvs. 34. 44. With reference to § 135: *icchāpeti* (Childers, PD. sub voce); *muñcāpeti* D. I. 148³; *vilimpāpeti* JāCo. I. 254⁷; *siñcāpeti* JāCo. II. 20⁴, 104²⁴. With reference to § 136: *nipajjāpeti* JāCo. I. 492³⁰, II. 21²⁴, Mhvs. 9. 25; *bujjhāpeti* 'leads to true knowledge' JāCo. I. 407¹³; *vijjhāpeti* 'causes to be bored through' Mhvs. 25. 70. The form *chejjapessāmi* Milp. 90¹, which is however doubtful, would seem to

¹ On *hāpeti*=*hārayati* see § 179. 4.

² Often wrongly written with *ṛ* through the attraction of *āṇāpeti* 'orders'.

³ See Pischel, § 352, for the corresponding forms in Pkr.

be derived from a Passive-stem. The form expected is *chijjāpessāmi*¹. With reference to § 137: *jirāpeti* 'digests' JāCo. I. 419²⁹. With reference to § 138: *gāyāpeti* DhCo. III. 231¹⁴, *dāyāpeti* 'causes (crops) to be mowed' DhCo. III. 285¹ from root *dā* (*dyati*); *palāpeti* 'chases away' JāCo. II. 69²², DhCo. III. 97¹.—With reference to § 140: *hanāpeti* JāCo. I. 262²⁸; *sayāpeti* 'lays down' JāCo. I. 245¹², V. 461¹¹, Mhvs. 31. 55. With reference to § 142. 2: *nidahāpeti* 'causes to lay down' JāCo. II. 38³, *sadduhāpeti* JāCo. I. 294¹⁶, VI. 575⁵. With reference to § 144: *chindāpeti* JāCo. I. 438¹⁸, II 104³, III. 179¹⁴; *bhindāpeti* JāCo. I. 290²²; *himsāpeti* PvCo. 123¹⁶. With reference to § 145: *jānāpeti* JāCo. I. 452²⁰, II. 21⁷. With reference to § 146: *gaṇhāpeti* JāCo. I. 264⁶, II. 105¹³. With reference to § 147: *suṇāpeti* DhCo. I. 206¹³. From the Desid. *tikicchati* (§ 183) is derived *tikicchāpeti* 'cause to be cured !' DhCo. I. 25¹³.

§ 182. The number of 2. Double-causatives too is very large. With reference to § 178. 1, 2: *kappāpeti* D. I. 49²², II. 189²⁷, JāCo. II. 96¹⁷, *chaḍḍāpeti* JāCo. I. 357¹⁵; *vaḍḍhāpeti* JāCo. I. 455²⁸; *vissajjāpeti* JāCo. I. 294²⁸, II. 31⁶, Mhvs. 6. 43; *gāhāpeti* JāCo. I. 166¹⁹, II. 37¹²; *paṭiyādāpeti* D. II. 88¹⁴, 127⁵, JāCo. I. 453⁹; *adhivāsāpeti* JāCo. I. 254²²; *kārāpeti* Vin. I. 89¹⁸; *ohārāpeti* 'causes to decrease' Vin. I. 22¹²; *mārāpeti* JāCo. II. 417^{9,12}, Mhvs. 22. 19; *pūrāpeti* Mhvs. 35. 7. With reference to § 179: *chedāpeti* D. I. 52²², Mhvs. 35. 42; *sodhāpeti* JāCo. I. 305³, II. 19²⁷, Mhvs. 25. 5; *yojāpeti* D. II. 95¹⁸, 96³; *posāpeti* JāCo. I. 290¹⁴; *ārocāpeti* D. II. 127⁶, JāCo. I. 153⁵; *ghātāpeti* Vin. I. 277⁷; *laggāpeti* Mhvs. 33. 11. With reference to § 180: *ṭhapāpeti* JāCo. II. 20¹⁷, Mhvs. 36. 104; *ropāpeti* D. II. 179¹⁵, Smp. 341¹⁰, Mhvs. 34. 40. The form *cetāpeti* 'causes to collect' Vin. IV. 250¹³ ff. is remarkable. Formally it is a double-causative from *ceteti*, but, as the meaning shows, it belongs to the root *ci* 'to collect'. The double-causative meaning has often become obscure in the forms reviewed above, but in some cases it is still quite clear. Thus, e.g., when in Vin. I. 49¹⁵ *vinodāpeti* occurs in the immediate vicinity of *vinodeti*, or when the simple Causative is derived from a basic transitive-verb and through further suffixation becomes a double transitive, as in the case of *ṭhapeti* and *ṭhapāpeti* 'erects' and 'causes to erect'.

¹ R. O. Franke, BB. 22. 220. But *nibbijjāpema* Sn. 443=S. I. 124⁸ and *nibbijjāpetha* S. I. 127¹⁷ (verse) are to be divided *nibbijjā-apema* (*apetha*), as Fanaböll (Sn. Wtb. p. 335) has already done following the Comm.

§ 183. Flexion of the Causative: for the Present-system cf. § 189; for the Future § 151 and 155; for the Aorist § 165. 2 and 168. 4. Passive of Causative § 176. 1.

9. Desiderative.

§ 184. The Desideratives of Pāli are stems derived from an older stage of the language¹. The construction of Desideratives is no longer a living motif in Pāli. Examples: *jigucchati* 'dislikes, loathes' Sn. 215, 958, Th2. 469, 471, D. I. 213³³, Vin. I. 87¹, 88⁶, JāCo. I. 422²⁰⁺³²=*jugupsate* from root *gup*; *jighacchati* 'wishes to eat' D. II. 266¹ (verse)=*jighatsati* from root *ghas* (*jighacchā* 'hunger' Dh. 203); *vicikicchati* 'hesitates' D. I. 106¹⁷, S. II. 17¹⁹=*vicikitsati* from root *cit*, but *likicchati* 'treats (medically)' Vin. I. 71³⁶, JāCo. I. 485¹¹ and *tikicchā* 'medical treatment', *tekicca* 'curable' (§ 41. 2); *jigimsati*, *jigīsati* 'wishes to attain, conquer' Sn. 700, Th1. 748, 1110 =*jigīsati* from root *ji*²; *titikkhati* 'tolerates' Dh. 321, 399, Jā. III. 38⁸, S. I. 221²⁴ (verse) = *tilikṣati* from root *tyaj*; *pivāsati* 'wishes to drink' (Kacc. III. 2. 3, Senart, p. 434) = *pipāsati* from root *pā*; *bubhukkhati* 'wishes to eat' (Kacc. III. 2. 3) = *bubhukṣate* from root *bhu*; *vimamsati* 'puts to test' M. I. 125¹⁶, JāCo. I. 279¹¹, Mhvs. 5. 258, 14. 16 = *mimāṃsati* (§ 46. 4) from root *man*; *vavakkhati* 'wishes to speak' D. II. 256⁸ (verse) = *vivakṣati* from root *vac*; *sussūsati*³ 'wishes to hear' D. I. 230¹³, M. III. 133², A. IV. 393²=*śuśrūṣate* from root *śru*. Weakened and shortened stems too are met with as in Skr.: *dicchati* 'wishes to give' S. I. 18²⁷ (verse)=*ditsati*, Pl. 3. *dicchare* S. I. 18³⁷, from root *dā*; *sikkhati* 'wishes to succeed, learns' (JPTS. 1909, p. 157)=*śikṣate* from root *śak*; perhaps also *siṃsati* 'wishes to go' Vv. 64. 7 f., 81. 18=(*si*)*siṃṣati* from root *sar*⁴. Skr. *icchati* and *īpsati* have coincided in Pāli *icchati*.—On the flexion cf. § 180. 6, 154. 4, 166 (end), 181. 1 (end).

10. Intensive.

§ 185. Also the Intensives of Pāli are derived from Skr. stems'. Of very frequent occurrence is *caṅkamati* 'walks up and down' Vin.

¹ Similarly in Pkr.; Pischel, § 555.

² According to Kacc. III. 2. 3 (Senart, p. 434) from root *har* (Skr. *jihṛṣati*).

³ The spelling *sussūyati* M. III. 221⁸ etc. is very probably wrong.

⁴ But *paccaśiṃsati* is derived from Skr. *śaṃṣ*

⁵ For Prākṛit see Pischel, § 556.

I. 15²⁵, 87¹³, D. I. 89¹⁹, Sn. p. 101, 112 = *caṅkramate* from root *kram*. Cf. further *duddallati* 'lights up, sparkles' S. I. 127¹⁸ (verse), D. II. 258⁷ (verse) = *jājvalyate* (§ 41. 2) from root *jval*; *lālappati*¹ 'chatters' Sn. 580, Jā. III. 217¹⁰, Mhvs. 32. 68 (*lālappita* 'conversation' Jā. VI. 498¹⁷) = *lālapyate* from root *lap*. The substantive *loluppa* 'desire' Jā. I. 429²⁷, JāCo. I. 340²⁵ (cf. Skr. *lolupa* 'desirous') is derived from a root *lup*. Cf. also *kācacchati* JāCo. I. 61²⁴, 160²⁸, 318², Milp. 85²², probably meaning 'talks (in sleep)' from the verb *kathayati*. Sometimes the stem in Pāli ends in *a* as against *ya* in Skr.: *jaṅgamati* against *jaṅgumyate* from root *gam* 'to go', *cañcaluti* against *cañcalyate* from root *cal* 'to move', *monuhati* Sn. 841 (besides the Adj. *monuha* § 37) as against *monuhyate* from root *muh* 'to lose control over one's own mind'. On *jāgarati*, *jaggati* = *jāgarati* see § 142. 4.—For the flexion of Intensives cf. § 130. 6, 154. 4, 166 (end).

11. Denominative.

§ 186. The number of Denominatives with the formantic element *āya* is very large: 1. From Adjectives in *a*: *cirāyati* 'hesitates' JāCo. I. 426³⁰, III. 498⁴, VI. 521¹¹ = *cirāyati*, -*te*; *dandhāyati* 'is slow' JāCo. III. 141¹⁰ from *dandha* (p. 84, foot-note 1); *piyāyati* 'loves' Th2. 285, JāCo. II. 27²³, 133¹⁴ from Skr. *priya*; *maccharāyati* 'is jealous' JāCo. III. 158², VI. 334¹³ from Skr. *matsara*; *sukkhāyati* 'is happy' JāCo. II. 31⁴ = *sukkhāyate*.—2. From Substantives in *a*: *kukkuccāyati* 'feels remorse' Vin. I. 191³², JāCo. II. 15¹² from *kukkucca*; *dhūpāyati* 'spits forth smoke' Vin. I. 180²⁷, S. I. 169⁷, DhCo. III. 244⁴ = *dhūpāyati*; *dhūmāyati* 'smokes' M. III. 184¹³, Dpvs. 15. 67 = *dhūmāyati*, -*te*; *mahāyati* 'honours by a festival' Jā. IV. 236³ from *maha*; *rahāyati* 'wishes to be alone' M. II. 119²⁹ from *raha(s)*; *verāyati* 'rages' Dpvs. 15. 67; *saddāyati* 'makes noise' Ud. 61⁵⁷, Milp. 258²⁸, 259¹, JāCo. III. 286² = *saddāyate*; *sārajāyati* 'is embarrassed' S. III. 92²¹ from *sāraja*. In Kacc. III. 2. 4 (Senart, p. 434), *pabbatāyati* 'he is like a mountain' from *pabbata* and III. 2. 24 (Senart, p. 442) *samuddāyati* 'resembles the ocean' from *samudda*. Anomalous is the case of *harāyati* 'feels shame' Vin. I. 87¹, 88⁵, D. I. 213²² from *hiri* = *hri*.—3. From a pronominal form: *mamāyati* 'loves, worships' Th1. 1150, DhCo. I. 11¹⁰ (verse), Mhvs. 20. 4 = *mamāyate*.—4. Onomatopoeitic expressions³

¹ Cf. the var. lec. of the text: *lālapataṇ*.

² F. Kuhn, Beitr. p. 118; E. Müller, PGr. p. 122.

³ Mcrisi, JITS, 1884, p. 106 f. These constructions are found in large numbers also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 558.

are quite numerous: *kinakṃāyati* 'rings' Jā. III. 315⁹ (Comm. *kinakṃāyati*); *gaggarāyati* 'gurgles' Milp. 3⁷ from Skr. *gargara*; *gaḷaḷāyati* 'trickles' Th1. 189, D. II. 181⁹, S. I. 106²¹; *ghurughurāyati* 'snores' JāCo. III. 538²¹=*ghuraghurāyate*; *cicciṭāyati* or *ciṭciṭāyati* (§ 20) 'rustles'; *taṭataṭāyati* '(voice) shakes (with anger)' JāCo. I. 347¹², VvCo. 121¹⁰; *tintiṇāyati* 'sighs, groans' JāCo. I. 244³, III. 225⁶; *daddabhāyati* 'crackles' Jā. III. 77¹⁰; *dhamadhamāyati* 'hums, roars' Milp. 117²¹; —5. Here are to be included also forms like *uggahāyanti* 'they learn' Sn. 791, which is connected with Ved. *gṛbhayati*¹; *phusāyati* 'touches' (besides *phusati*) S. I. 104²², 106¹⁴; *pacalāyati* 'shakes the head (in sleep)' Th1. 200, JāCo. I. 384²; *ocināyati* 'turns back' Jā. VI. 4¹⁹; *palāyanti* 'go out of' Jā. III. 288¹⁶ (Comm. *nikkhamanti*); perhaps also *saṃkasāyati* 'accommodates oneself to' S. I. 202²³, A. I. 69¹⁰ (S. II. 277¹² *saṃhāsāyati*).

§ 187. Denominatives with the formantic element *aya* (contracted to *e*) or *āpaya* (contracted to *āpe*), after the manner of the causatives: 1. With *aya* (*e*): *gopayati*, *-eti* 'protects' Dh. 315, DhCo. III. 488⁹¹⁰=*gopayati*, *-te*; *viṇaṭeti* 'unravels' Milp. 3¹⁷ from *jaṭa*; *tīreti* 'leads to the goal' Ud. 13⁵, Vin. III. 12³², D. II. 341⁹, JāCo. III. 292²⁰=*tīrayati*; *theneti* 'steals' JāCo. II. 410¹⁰, III. 18²⁷=*stenayati*; *thometi* 'praises' VvCo. 102²¹=*stomayati*; *dhūmayati* 'smokes' Smp. 315¹⁵ besides *dhūmāyati*; *patthayati*, *-eti* 'prays for' Th1. 51, Th2Co. 38²⁸=*prārthayate*; (*saṃ*)*piṇḍeti* 'heaps up, collects' JāCo. I. 230²⁵, DhCo. 171¹⁹, Mhvs. 36. 108=*piṇḍayati*; *pihayati*, *-eti* 'desires' Dh. 94, Th1. 62 etc.=*spṛhayati*; *baleti* 'strengthens' Jā. III. 225¹⁴=*balayati*; *bhuseti* 'increases' Jā. V. 218²⁸ (Comm. *bhusaṃ karoti*, *vaḍḍheti*), Skr. *bhṛśāyate*; *maggayati* 'pursues' Th2. 384 from *magga*; *mantayati*, *-eti* 'takes counsel' A. I. 199¹⁵ (verse), Vin. II. 299¹¹, Mhvs. 4. 20 and *āmanteti* 'invites, demands' Th1. 34, D. I. 88¹², II. 209¹⁵, Vin. I. 55³¹=*āmantrayati*; *yanleti* 'hurls' JāCo. I. 418¹⁴=*yantrayati*; *saṃgāmeti* 'fights' Iv. 75¹⁶, S. I. 83², JāCo. II. 11⁴, V. 417¹⁷; *samodhāneti* 'connects' JāCo. I. 9³⁶, 106⁷ from *samo-dhāna*; *sākaccheti* 'talks with somebody' (JPTS. 1909, p. 137) from *sākacchā*; *sukheti* 'makes happy' D. I. 51¹⁵=*sukhayati*². —2. With *āpaya* (*āpe*): *ussukhāpeti* 'exerts himself' Th2Co. 5²⁰, VvCo. 95¹²

¹ Whitney, Ind. Gr. § 1066 b.

² Further examples in Acc. III.2. 8 (Senart, p. 487): *atikatikayati* 'covers the distance on the back of an elephant', *opacīṇayati* 'accompanies with the lute', *duḥhayaṭi* 'makes firm', *visuddhayati* 'becomes purified'.

besides *ussukkati* from *ussukka* *muramurāpeti* (onomatopoeitic) 'crackles' JāCo. III. 134²⁴; *opuñjāpeti* 'heaps up with something' Vin. III. 16¹⁹ from *puñja*, Skr. *puñjayati*. Causative meaning is quite clear in *āmāntāpeti* 'causes to call' D. I. 134³⁰ and in *sukkhāpeti* 'makes happy', *dukkhāpeti* 'makes unhappy' D. II. 202¹², Milp. 79⁷¹⁰.

§ 188. Denominatives 1. with the stem-vowel *a*: *atricchati* 'desires this and that' Jā. I. 414⁶, III. 207¹⁵ from *atricchā*; *usūyati*, *usūyati* 'is jealous' from *usūyā* (§ 16. 1 *b*)=*usūyati*; *ussukkati* 'takes interest in' D. I. 230²¹ from *ussukka*; *paripañhati* 'questions' M. I. 223³³, A. V. 16² from *pañha*; *vijjotalati* 'sparkles' M. I. 86³¹, 87¹; *sajjhāyati* 'recites' Milp. 10¹⁰, JāCo. I. 435²³ from *sajjhāya*=*svādhyāya* (whence the Causative *sajjhāpayati*, -*peti* Jā. III. 28²¹, JāCo. III. 29⁶ and *sajjhāyāpeti* Milp. 10⁸). Further *tintīyati* JāCo. I. 243⁶ besides *tintīnāyati* (§ 186. 4), *dandhati* Jā. III. 141² besides *dandhāyati* (§ 186. 1), *dhūpati* Mhvs. 12 14 besides *dhūpāyati* (§ 186. 2), *sārajjati* A. IV. 359⁸ besides *sārajjāyati* (§ 186. 2).—2. With the stem in *ya*: from substantives in *ā*: *karuṇāyati* 'takes pity' VvCo. 100⁶ from *karuṇā* (or according to § 186. 1 from *karuṇa*)=*karuṇāyate*; *mettāyati* 'acts like a friend' JāCo. I. 365¹⁷ from *mettā* (or directly from the adj. *metta*). From a substantive in *i*: *vyādhīyati* 'becomes ill' A. II. 172⁷. *y* becomes *v* after *u* in *kaṇḍuvati* (§ 46. 1)=*kaṇḍūyati*; it is assimilated to the preceding consonant in *tapasīyati* 'practises austerities' DhCo. I. 53³=*tapasyati*, *namassati* 'makes obeisance'=*namasyati*.—3. With stems in *īya*: *aṭṭiyati* 'suffers' S. I. 131¹² (verse), Vin. I. 86³⁶ from *aṭṭa*=*ārta*; *paṭisenīyati* 'behaves like an enemy' Sn. 390 from *paṭisenā*=*pratisenā* 'enemy army' (SBE. X. 2, p. 64). Also in Kacc. III. 2. 5 and 6 (Senart, p. 435): *puttiyati* 'treats like a son', *pattīyati* 'desires an alms-bowl for himself', *dhanīyati* 'desires money' etc.

§ 189. For the flexion of Denominatives see 1. Present-system: § 186. 4, 138 (at the end), 139; 2. Future: § 151. 3, 154. 3, 155 (at the end); 3. Aorist: § 165. 2, 168. 3 and 4.

12. Verbal Nouns.

1. Participles of the Present and the Future—Active.

§ 190. The Present Participles in *-nt(a)*—flexion § 97—are derived from multifarious Present-stems¹. Examples: With

¹ I give the stem-forms *-nt* and *-nta* respectively according to the passages quoted. On the feminine in *-nti* cf. p. 138, foot note 2.

reference to § 130: *vasant(a)* Sn. 43, Jā. III. 896⁵, JāCo. III. 190¹⁷; *jīvant* Sn. 427, Th1. 44; *khādanta* JāCo. III. 276²⁵; *carant(a)* Dh. 61, Sn. 89, 1079, JāCo. I. 152⁹; II. 15²⁴. From Desiderative stem: *jigucchanta* JāCo. I. 422³²; *vicikicchanta* Nett. 11²⁷; *tikicchanta* S. I. 162³³ (verse) From Intensive stem. *caṅkamanta* Vin. I. 183²³.—With reference to § 131: *jinant* S. I. 116¹⁹; *bhavant* (§ 98. 3; *pahonta* 'sufficing' DhCo III. 137¹¹; *abhisambhonta* Th1. 351; *a-sambhūṇanta* Sn. 396 —With reference to § 132: *piyant(a)* Dh. 205, DhCo. III. 269⁵, JāCo. I. 460¹², *tiṭṭhant* Sn. 151, 1092 and *thahanta* Vin. I. 9⁶.—With reference to § 133: *gacchant(a)* Sn. 579, 960, JāCo. II. 39²⁸ etc.—With reference to § 134: *phusant* Iv. 68⁴ (verse); *supant* Vin. I. 15¹⁰.—With reference to § 135: *icchant* Th1. 167; *muñcant* Sn. 791; *vilimpanta* JāCo. III. 277⁷.—With reference to § 136 and 137. *nuccant(a)* Jā. VI. 497^{7,15}; *sussanta* JāCo. I. 508³, II. 424¹⁵; *passant(a)* Sn. 837, M. I. 64⁹, JāCo. I. 168². From Passive stems with passive meaning: *muccanta* JāCo. I. 118⁸ (read *nalāṭato soḍe muccanto*); *khajjant* 'one who is being devoured' Th1. 315; *yāciyanta* 'he who is being implored' Mhvs. 7. 14; *vāriyanta* 'he who is being dissuaded' Mhvs. 34. 86. From Denominative stem (§ 188. 2): *namassanta* D. II. 208¹⁶ (verse).—With reference to § 138: *jhāyant(a)* 'meditating' Th1. 85, Dh. 395, Vin. I. 2³ (verse), M. II. 105²⁰ (verse); *upavāyanta* Th1. 544. From Denominative stem (§ 186. 1): *cirāyanta* JāCo. VI. 521¹¹, *dhūmāyanta* Mhvs. 25. 31.—With reference to § 139: *nandayanta* 'gladdening', *socayanta* 'depressing' Milp. 226²⁸; *bhāvayant* Th1. 166; *nivārayant* Th1. 790 f.; *viheṭṭhayanta* 'injuring' Dh. 184; *pācenta* D. I. 52³¹; *kārenta* JāCo. I. 107²¹; *dāpenta* D. I. 52³³; *ghātenta* D. I. 52³⁰.—With reference to § 140: *hanant(a)* Jā. II. 407¹, D. I. 52³⁰, JāCo. II. 407⁸; *paccakkhant* (Nom. Sg. -akkham) Th1. 407 (root *khyā*); *enta* (root *i*) JāCo. VI. 365⁶; *sayant(a)* Sn. 193, Jā. VI. 510¹¹.—With reference to § 141: *sant(a)* see § 98.2.—With reference to § 142 and 143: *sumādahant* S. V. 312¹¹; *saddahanta* JāCo. I. 222⁵; *jāgarant* Dh. 39 and *juggant* S. I. 111² (verse); *dadant* Sn. 187, Vv. 67. 5, D. II. 136²² (verse), *dadanta* Vv. 83. 13, D. I. 52³³, VvCo. 294¹⁸ and *denta* PvCo. 11⁸, JāCo. I. 265².—With reference to § 144 148: *bhuñjanta* JāCo. III. 277¹¹; *bhindanta* Mhvs. 5. 185; *jānant* Sn. 320, 508, Dh. 384, M. I. 64⁹, Milp. 48²¹ and *jānanta* JāCo. I. 223³, II. 128⁵; *gaṇhanta* JāCo. III. 52¹³, 275¹³; *vicinanta* JāCo. III. 188¹²; *suṇanta* Sn. 1023 and (according to Cl. I) *savant* Jā. III. 244²², *sukkhanta* Milp. 27²⁵, JāCo. II. 26¹⁸; *kubbant* Th1. 323 f., Dh. 51,

Jā. III. 26²⁴ (= *kurvant*), *kaṇṭ* (Sg. Gen. *karoto*, Pl. Gen. *karotaṃ*, § 97. 1), *karonta* JāCo. I. 98¹¹, II. 109²⁴, III. 188²¹, DhCo. III. 123¹¹ (the usual form in post-canonical prose) and *karant* Th1. 146.

§ 191. In every period of the language the Present Participles in *-māna*, even from non-medial verbs, are found in very large numbers, often along with the Participle in *-nt*. With reference to § 180: *vasamāna* JāCo. I. 291¹³; *labhamāna* Sn. 924, Jā. II. 106²; *ṇivamāna* JāCo. I. 307¹⁸; *caramāna* Sn. 418, D. I. 87³. From Desiderative-stem: *sussūsamāna* Sn. 888. From Intensive-stem: *jāgarāmāna* Dh. 226; *daddallamāna* S. I. 127¹⁸ (verse). From Denominative-stem (§ 188. 1): *sārajjamāna* A. IV. 359⁸.—With reference to § 181-185: *an-abhisambhūṇamāna* D. I. 101^{3,11}; *tiṭṭhamāna* JāCo. I. 52²⁷; *gacchamāna* JāCo. IV. 3³; *samphusamāna* Sn. 671.—With reference to § 186: frequently from Passives (cf § 175 ff.): *diyyamāna* DhCo. III. 191¹² (= *diyamāna*); *hiyyamāna* (root *hā*) Th1. 114; *niyamāna* S. I. 127⁸ (verse); *kayiramāna* Vin. II. 289¹⁷, D. II. 108¹⁰; *anubhīramāna* M. III. 128²⁰; *vuccamāna* Vin. I. 60², III. 221³; *vijjamāna* JāCo. I. 214⁴, III. 127⁶; *bhaññamāna* Vin. I. 11³³, 70²¹, D. I. 46²⁹; *tappamāna* Th1. 32; *gayhamāna* DhCo. 18¹⁵ (= *gr̥hyamāna*); *vuyhamāna* Th1. 88, Vin. I. 33¹⁵, S. IV. 179⁹; *ḍayhamāna* Th1. 39, Dh. 371; *desiyamāna* Vin. I. 17²; *posiyamāna* JāCo. I. 492¹²; *sāriyamāna* Vin. III. 221³⁴; *vāriyamāna* JāCo. IV. 2²²; *dassiyamāna* D. II. 124¹⁰; *pūjīyamāna* Bodh. 141¹⁰; *vuṭṭhāpiyamāna* A. I. 139²¹; *pucchiyamāna* DhCo. I. 10¹⁰; *yāciyamāna* JāCo. IV. 138²⁷; even from a double Passive: *-chijjīyamāna* (§ 176. 2 at the end). From Denominative-stem (§ 188. 2, 8): *aṭṭiyamāna* Vin. II. 292¹⁷, JāCo. I. 292¹²; *namassamāna* Vin. I. 3²⁵.—With reference to § 137-138: *ṇīramāna* Th1. 32 or *ṇīyamāna* M. III. 246²²; (= *ṇīryamāna*); *miyyamāna* M. III. 246²²; *jhāyamāna* 'burning' Ud. 93³. From Denominative-stem (§ 186. 1): *sukkhāyamāna* JāCo. II. 31⁴.—With reference to § 139: Only from uncontracted stems: *sārayamāna* JāCo. I. 50¹, *kārayamāna* JāCo. I. 149¹⁶ etc. From Denominative-stem (§ 187. 1.): *patthayamāna* JāCo. I. 279²⁰.—With reference to § 140 and 142 f.: *sayamāna* Th1. 95 and *semāna* Jā. I. 180¹, D. II. 24³, A. I. 139²¹; *saṇḍahamāna* DhCo. 113²; *dadamāna* S. I. 19⁵ (verse), JāCo. II. 154²² (Vedic *dādamāna*).—With reference to § 144-148: *bhūñjamāna* Th1. 12, Sn. 240; *jānamāna* Sn. 1064, JāCo. I. 168³; *parigaṇhamāna* JāCo. II. 2²⁸; *añhamāna* 'eating' Sn. 239 f. (from **añhāna* = *aśnānu*); *suṇamāna* JāCo. III. 215¹⁷, DhCo. III. 156³; *kubbamāna* Sn. 897

and *kurumāna* JāCo. I. 291¹⁵, Dpvs. 9. 17.—The suffix *-māna* has been pleonastically added to Past Participles in *paduṭṭhamāna* DhCo. I. 179⁹=*paduṭṭha*; *vibhātāmāna* DhCo. I. 165¹¹=*vibhāta*, *aladdhamāna* Rasav. I. 35¹⁰=*aladdha*. These are constructions of later age.

§ 192. Present Participles in *-āna*¹ are rarer. They belong to the Gāthā-language; occasionally some forms may be found also in the canonical prose. Examples are: *esāna* 'seeking, desiring' Dh. 131 (Skr. *eṣamāna*); *abhisambudhāna* 'attaining the highest knowledge' Dh. 46; *an-utṭhakāna* 'not getting up' Dh. 280; *a-heṭṭhāyāna* 'not injuring' S. IV. 179³ (verse); *patthayāna* 'begging for' Sn. 976, Vv. 84. 7; *sayāna* 'lying' Jā. III. 95¹⁷, D. I. 90¹³ (= *sayāna*); *saddahāna* 'faithful' S. I. 20²⁵ (verse) and *saṃādahāna* S. I. 169¹⁶ (verse) (= *-dadhāna*); *kubbāna* 'making' Dh. 217 (= *kurvāṇa*) and *a-saṃkharāna* S. I. 126²⁶ (verse), *purekkharāna* Sn. 910. From a Passive-stem: *paripucchiyāna* 'interrogated' Sn. 696. The root *ās* 'to sit' has *āsina* Dh. 227, 386, Jā. I. 363¹², 390¹², III. 95¹⁷, D. II. 212²¹ (verse) as in Skr. The form is however archaic.

§ 193. Very rare is the construction of Future Participle in *-nt* from the futural stem. Thus Sg. Acc. *marissaṃ*² (cf. § 97. 2) Jā. III. 214¹¹ for *marissantam* = *maṛiṣyantam* (Comm. *yo idāni marissati tam*); *paccessaṃ* 'one who will return' Vin. I. 255²⁴ (root *i+* *prati*).

2. Participles of the Preterite.

§ 194. The Past Participle in *-ta* has mostly a passive meaning in the case of transitive verbs, and an active meaning in the case of intransitive verbs. There are numerous forms of historical origin.

Thus from roots in *ṣ, ṣh*: *ita* 'gone' (*saṃita*, *atita*, *peta* etc.), *jita*, *nīta* as in Skr.; *suta*=*śruta*; *bhūta*=*bhūta*. As there is *suta* from *sunāti*, so there is *pariyāputa* D. III. 203⁶ from *pariyāpuṇāti* 'learns'. From roots in *ā*: *nāta*=*jñāta*, *sināta* Jā. V. 380³, M. I. 89¹=*snāta*; *gīta* 'sung' D. I. 99¹¹, JāCo. III. 61²⁵=*gīta*; *ṭhita*=*sthita*; *hita* (*ohita*, *pihita*, *vihita* etc.)=*hita*; *atta* 'seized' in *attadaṇḍa* Dh. 406=*ātta* (root *dā* with *ā*).—Roots in *r*: *kata*=*kṛta*, *mata*=*mṛta*; *saṃsita* 'one who has wandered about (in the existences)' Sn. 730, D. II. 91² (verse)=*saṃṣṛta*; *saṃvuta* 'restricted'=*saṃvṛta*; *nibbata* 'released'

¹ In Pkr. these Participles are quite rare; Pischel, § 562 (at the end).

² E. Müller, PGr. p. 123 quotes from Dāṭṭhāvs. 3. 80 the form *karissaṃ*. It is, however, clearly the 1. Sg.=*karissāmi*. The Sgh. paraphrase has *keremi*. On the Part. Future Active in Pkr. see Pischel, § 560.

Dh. 406, 414, Th1. 79, 96, Vin. I. 82⁴ (verse) = *nirvṛtu*¹; *hata* = *hṛta*; *aṭṭa* (§ 64) = *ārta* (root *ar* with *ā*). Also from root *star* 'to stretch out' we have *atthata*, *saṁthata*, *vitthata* as against Skr. *stirṇa*.—Roots in nasal: *hata*, *mata*, *tata*; *nata*, *gata*; *nikhāla* Sn. 28, Jā. III. 24², D. II. 171¹ as also in Skr. Similarly *santa* 'quieted' = *sānta* (root *śam*); *santa* 'tired' = *śrānta*; *kanta* 'dear, charming' = *kānta*; *nikkhanta* 'gone out', *pakkanta* etc. = *hrānta*; *jāta* 'born, originated' from *jan*.—Roots in surds and s-nants: *sitta* Th1. 110, JāCo. III. 144¹ = *sikta*; *vutta* = *ukta*, *durutta* = *durukta*; *bhutta* = *bhukta*; *yutta* = *yukta*; *puṭṭha* 'interrogated' = *prṣṭa*; *yiṭṭha* 'sacrificed' Jā. VI. 522², M. I. 82¹, A. II. 44³ (verse) = *iṣṭa* (root *yaj*); *saṁsaṭṭha* = *sṣṣṭa* (root *sarj*), *suddha* 'purified' = *śuddha*; *khitta* 'hurled' = *kṣipta*; *vutta* 'sown' JāCo. I. 340¹, III. 12² = *upta*; *sutta* 'one who has slept' Dh. 29, Th1. 22 etc. = *supta*. On *vatta*, *vaṭṭa* = *vṛtta*² see § 64. 1. —Roots in aspirates: *duddha* 'milked' Sn. 18 = *duḡdha*; *siniddha* 'oily, smooth' Th2Co. 139¹, JāCo. I. 89² (verse), 481¹ = *snigdha*; *daḍḍha* (§ 42. 3) = *daḡdha*; *vuḍḍha*, *vuddha* etc. (§ 64) = *vṛddha*; *laddha* 'attained' = *labdha*; *luddha* 'eager' Iv. 1¹⁰ (verse) = *lubdha*.—Roots in sibilants: *diṭṭha* = *drṣṭa*; *phuṭṭha* 'touched' = *spṛṣṭa*; *naṭṭha* 'destroyed' = *naṣṭa*; *kaṭṭha* 'ploughed' S. I. 173³ (verse) = *kṛṣṭa*; *saṭṭha* 'taught, commanded' Jā. II. 298², III. 3²⁴ = *śāṣṭa*; *saṁtatta* 'frightened' Jā. III. 77² = *trasta*.—Roots in *h* = Indo-Iranian *ḡh*: *vūḷha* (§ 35) = *ūḡha*; *mūḷha* 'foolish' Iv. 2¹⁶ (verse) = *mūḡha*; *saṁyūḷha* (*saññūḷha*) 'spoken, composed' D. II. 267¹, M. I. 386³ (*saṁvūḷha* DCo. I. 38³) = *saṁ-ūḡha* (root *ūh*); *abbūḷha* 'torn out' Sn. 598, 779, D. II. 283², M. I. 139¹ from *abbahati* = *ā-bṛhati*.

§ 195. Past Participles in *-ita* too have been handed down in large numbers in historical forms. Examples: *palita*, *carita*; *khāḍita*, *saṁdhāḍita* D. II. 90¹⁴ etc., as in Skr.; *sayita* 'laid down to rest' D. II. 353³, JāCo. I. 338²⁴, III. 33¹⁸ = *śayita*; *paritasita* 'thirsty' Milp. 253²⁶ = *trṣita* (root *larṣ*); *vasita* Th1. 258, D. II. 206¹⁰ (verse) (besides *vuttha* Jā. I. 188²² etc.) = *uṣita* from root *vas* 'to dwell'; *gahita* = *grhita*. Often from Causatives: *dassita* = *darsita*; *pesita* = *presita*; *hārita*, *codita*, *dāpita* as in Skr.; *addita* 'pained' Th2. 77, 328 = *ardita*³.

¹ But the users of the language felt that (*pari*)*mibbāyati* was the Past Participle of (*pari*)*mibbāyati*

² Instead of *kanta* 'spun' M. III. 253³ I should like to read *katta* = *kṛta*.

³ From the Causative of root *jān* we have *ñatta* Dh. 72 (cf. SBE. X 1, p. 22, note); *añatta* 'ordered' Dpvs. 6. 75, Mhvs. 5. 133, 10. 1; *paññatta* 'declared, fixed legally' Vin. I. 83²³, D. II. 74³ etc. = *jñāpta*, *ājñāpta*, *prajñāpta*.

Similarly from Desideratives: *jighacchita* 'hungry' M. III. 186², DhCo. III. 263¹³ = *jighatsita*; *jigucchita* 'scared' Mhvs. 6. 3 = *jugupsita*. From Intensives: *caṅkanita* Mhvs. 15. 208 = *caṅkramita*. From Denominatives, § 186: *cirāyita* DhCo. III. 305¹, *dhūpāyita* Th1. 448, *mamāyita* DhCo. I. 11¹⁰ (verse) as in Skr. With reference to § 187: *patthita* JāCo. I. 408²⁶, -II. 36¹⁶, DhCo. I. 112²⁶ = *prārthita*; *manṭita* Th1. 9, M. II. 105²¹ (verse) = *mantrita*; *dukkhita* Th2. 29 = *duḥkhita*.

§ 196. The type of Past Participle in *-ita* has moreover been very productive for the reason that new forms were evolved after it from every kind of Present stem¹. They are met with in every period of the language. With reference to § 130-133: *klāmita* 'tired' JāCo. III. 36²⁶ (besides *klānta* = *klānta*); *saṁtasita* (root *tras*) Milp. 92² (besides *-tatta*); *vasita* 'inhabited' Mhvs. 20. 14, 16 (besides *vusita* and *vuttha* § 195); *āharita* S. IV. 59²⁵, 60⁵ (besides *āhaṭa* § 194); *saṁsarita* Th2. 496, D. II. 90¹⁴ (besides *saṁsita* § 194); *jīnita* JāCo. II. 251²⁰ (besides *jita*); *gacchita* Th2Co. 126¹¹ (as explanation of *gata*).—With reference to § 134-135: *phusita* Th2. 158 (besides *phuṭṭha*); *pucchita* JāCo. II. 9¹⁸, Mhvs. 20. 8 (besides *puṭṭha*); *supita*, Sn. 331, S. I. 198⁷ (verse) (besides *sutta*); *icchita* Th2. 46, D. I. 120⁴, DhCo. IV. 5⁹, Mhvs. 7. 22 (besides *iṭṭha*); *saṁpaṭicchita* DhCo. III. 439³; *pamuñcita* Vv. 53. 8 (or *pamuccita* VvCo. 237¹¹?).—With reference to § 136-138: *gijjhita* 'desired' Th2. 152; *saṁāpajjita* D. II. 109²⁷ (besides *saṁāpanna*), *maññita* M. III. 246¹², S. IV. 21³², 22³. Even *chijjita* Jā. III. 389¹⁷ from the Passive-stem *chijja-* (Comm. *chinna*); *vāyita* 'woven' M. III. 253⁹ (besides *vāta*); *gāyita* 'sung' DhCo. III. 233¹⁷ (besides *gita*).—With reference to § 142-145: *jahita* JāCo. III. 32³⁴; *saddahita* M. II. 170³⁰; *paṭijaggita* DhCo. III. 138¹⁹; *saṁjānita* in the abstract noun *saṁjānitatta* Dhs. 4 (in elucidation of *saṁñā*).—Also *khādayita* 'fed' Vin. I. 278¹² (Caus. of *khād*), *paṭthayita* 'implored' Jā. III. 218²⁵ (Comm. *patthita*).

§ 197. Many Past Participles are formed also with the suffix *-na* as in Skr. Thus from roots in *d*: *chinna*, *bhinna*, *-panna*, *-sanna* (but *nisinna* = *niṣaṇṇa*); *pakkhanna* 'fallen into something' Th1. 95, 253, 342 = *praskanna*; *lunna* 'goaded' Th2. 162 and *nunna* 'pushed, propelled' A. II. 41¹³, JāCo. VI. 527²⁰, Mhvs. 34. 60, as in Skr. Also *ruṇṇa* 'bewailing' Jā. VI. 525⁴, 'wail, lamentation' Th1. 554, A. I. 261² (besides *roṇṇa* Th1. 555) as against Skr. *rudita* (root *rud*).²—

¹ Analogous constructions from the Present-stem also in Pkr., Pischel, § 565.

²—Also Pkr. *Māh. ruṇṇa*; Pischel, PkrGr. § 566.

Further from certain roots in *ā*, *i*, *ū*: *hīna* (JPTS. 1907. 163) from root *hā*, *sīna* 'frozen' M. I. 79²⁹ (verse), Milp. 117¹⁸ = *sīna* (root *śyā*); *līna* (*a-līna* 'unattached, passionless' Dh. 245, Sn. 68, 717, *nilīna* 'concealed' Vin. II. 35⁴, JāCo. III. 26⁴, *patisallīna* 'withdrawn' Vin. I. 4³³ etc.) = *līna* (root *lī*); *vikkhīna* 'destroyed' Th2. 22 = *vikṣīna*; *lūna* 'cut off' Th2. 107 = *lūna*.—From certain roots in *r*: *jīṇṇa*, *tiṇṇa*, *puṇṇa* = *jirṇa*, *tirṇa*, *pūrṇa*. Also *patthiṇṇa* 'spread out' Vin. I. 286³⁴ = *prastirṇa* (besides *patthata* § 194), as well as *ciṇṇa* 'done, performed' Sn. 181 f., Vin. II. 39¹⁵, JāCo. I. 300⁶ (*āciṇṇa* 'done, customary' M. I. 372¹⁰ etc., *pariciṇṇa* Th1. 178, M. III. 264²³) besides *carita* as Skr. *cirṇa* and *carita*.—From some roots in *j* and *g*: (*saṃ*)*bhagga* 'broken' Dh. 154, Th1. 184, S. I. 128²⁸ = *bhagna*; *nimugga* 'drowned' (§ 18) Vin. I. 6³¹, D. I. 75¹⁷, II. 324⁵, JāCo. III. 47¹ = *nimagga* (root *majj*); *saṃvigga* 'disturbed, anxious' D. I. 50¹, S. IV. 290³⁰, JāCo. I. 59¹⁰, *ubbigga* Jā. I. 486¹⁰, JāCo. I. 508¹³ = *vigna* (root *vij*); *olagga* 'bound fast' Th1. 356 = *avalagga*.—The root *dā* 'to give' has *dinna* = Pkr. *diṇṇa*, *dinna*¹ against Skr. *datta* (this, e.g., in *dattūpajivin* 'one who lives on what he receives as charity' DhCo. IV. 99¹⁸ as well as in proper names like *Brahmadatta*, as also in *atta* § 194).—Finally, there is the dialectal form *paṭimukka* 'bound down' Th2. 500, S. IV. 91²³, 92¹ (opposite of *ummukka* S. IV. 92⁷) as against Skr. *pratimukta*².

§ 198. Past Participle Active. 1. The meagre traces of the Participle in *-vas* have been discussed in § 100.—2. We do not find any large number of Participles formed by affixing *-vant* to Participles in *-ta*. Thus *vusitavant* 'he who has lived' in the transferred sense 'perfect, complete', Sg. Nom. *-vā* Sn. 514, Iv. 96¹⁴, M. I. 4²³, D. I. 90²⁰, Pl. Gen. *-vataṃ* D. II. 223¹⁹, 229¹³; *bhuttavant* 'he who has enjoyed' Sg. Gen. *-vato* VvCo. 244⁶. In Kacc. IV. 2. 6 (Senart, p. 489) we have moreover *hutavā*. Of analogous construction is the Sg. Nom. *ādinnavā* 'he who has seized, utilised'³ Mhvs. 7. 42.—3. The Participles in *-tāvin* (flexion according to § 95) are an innovation of Pāli: *bhuttāvin* 'he who has eaten', Sg. Acc. *-viṃ* D. I. 109³⁵, 227⁴, Gen. *-vissa* D. II. 195²²; *vijitāvin* 'he who has been victorious', Sg. Nom. *-vī* Th1. 5 ff., S. I. 110⁸ (verse), D. I. 88³⁴,

¹ Kieckers. IF. 32. 88 ff.

² As var. loc. to *mutta* we have *mukka* in M. III. 61¹⁵. The corresponding form in Pkr. is *mukta*; Pischel, § 566.

³ D. Andersen, PR. 112³¹ (PGI. sub voce) reads *ādiṇṇavā* 'he who has torn asunder', Skr. *dirga* from root *dar*.

Acc. -*vinan* Dh. 422, Pl. Gen. *vinan* A. III. 151²⁶; *katāvin* 'expert', Sg. Nom. -*vi* M. II. 69⁷; *kīlītāvin* 'he who has played', Sg. Nom. *a-nikīlītāvī* S. I. 9⁶, Pl. Nom. -*vino* S. IV. 110²⁷; *samītāvin* 'he who has come to rest', Sg. Nom. -*vi* S. I. 188⁴ (verse); *sutāvin* 'he who has heard and learnt, learned', Pl. Nom. *a-ssutāvino* Th1. 955.

3. Participles of Future—Passive.

§ 199. Of Future Passive Participles those in -*labba*¹ = -*tavya* are the most numerous. 1. The following are some of the historical forms. *dātābba* 'that which has to be given' Vin. I. 46⁶, JāCo. III. 52² = *dātavya*, *pahātābba* Sn. 558, M. I. 71¹ (root *hā* 'to forsake') = -*hātavya*; *saddhātābba* JāCo. II. 37²⁵ = *śraddhātavya*; *paccuttābba* 'he who is to be greeted by standing up' M. III. 205¹⁷ = -*sthātavya*; *netābba* = *netavya*; *soṭābba* = *śrotavya*; *gantābba* Vin. I. 46¹⁹ = *gantavya*; *vatthābba* Mhvs. 8. 12 (root *vas* 'to live') = *vastavya*; *daṭṭhābba* PvCo. 10¹⁸ (root *darś* 'to see') = *draṣṭavya*; *kaṭṭābba* Dh. 53, JāCo. I. 453²² and (§ 6. 1) *kātābba* Vin. I. 47²⁰, JāCo. II. 112¹⁵ = *kartavya*; *viḥātābba* (from *viharati*) M. III. 294²⁷ = -*hartavya*. Similarly also forms with *i*: *bhavitābba* JāCo. I. 440⁴ = *bhavitavya*; *tikicchitābba* DhCo. III. 264⁴ = *cikitsitavya*; *rakkhitābba* JāCo. III. 52² = *rakṣitavya* etc.—2. After this latter type are moreover constructed numerous new forms from Present-stems². With reference to § 180-182: *vasitābba* Sn. 678 from *vasati*; *pacitābba* Vin. I. 50⁵ from *pacati* as against *paktavya*; -*kamitābba* Vin. I. 50¹¹, D. I. 179¹⁰ from -*kamati*; *uddharitābba* Vin. I. 47⁶ from *uddharati*, *saṃharitābba* Vin. I. 46²⁹ (from root *har*); *jinitābba* DhCo. III. 313⁹ (from root *ji*); *nisiditābba* Vin. I. 47¹⁹ (from root *sad*). With reference to § 134-138: -*khīpitābba* Vin. I. 46²⁵, 47¹ as against *kṣeptavya*; *pucchitābba* Vin. I. 46³³ from *pucchati* as against *praṣṭavya*; -*visitābba* Vin. I. 47¹⁶ as against *veṣṭavya*; *ālimpitābba* Vin. II. 287¹ (from root *lip*); *āsiñcitābba* Vin. I. 49¹¹ (from root *sic*); -*pajjitābba* Vin. I. 164¹⁰, D. II. 141¹⁴ from -*pajjati* (root *pad*); *paṭṭi-vijjitābba* 'that which is to be comprehended' DCo. I. 20²⁵ from -*vijjhati* (root *vyadh*). From Passive -*bhijjati*: *bhijjitābba* JāCo. III. 56²³ as against *bhetṭavya*; from *jāyati* 'is born, originated': *jāyitābba* Th2. 455. With reference to § 142, 144: *vijahitābba* Vin. III. 200¹⁷ (from root *hā*); *nidāhitābba* Vin. I. 46²⁸, *saddāhitābba* Milp. 310²

¹ The suffix may occasionally be extended by *ka*. Cf. *khādītābba* DhCo. III. 137².

² As in Pkr.; Pischel, § 570.

from *duhati* (root *dhā*); *bhaññitabba* Vin. I. 74¹⁰ (from root *bhañ*, *bhaññi*), *bhuññitabba* Mhvs. 5. 127 (from root *bhuñ*).

§ 200. The Future Passive Participle of root *bhū* too may be constructed on the Present-stem¹. *hotabba* Vin. I. 46¹⁹, *paribhotabba* 'that which should be deprecated' S. I. 69², Sn. p. 91 from *hoti*, *-bhoti* (§ 131. 2). The *aya*-stems (Cl. X., Causatives, Denominatives) too derive it in the same way directly from the contracted *e*-stem². Examples are numerous: *codetabba* Vin. II. 2²¹ as against *coditavya*; *sāretabba* Vin. II. 2²² from *sāreti* (root *smar*); *pūñetabba* M. III. 205²⁰ (stem *pūjay-*); *lañchetabba* Vin. II. 267³ from *lañcheli* 'seals'; *nāpetabba* Vin. II. 2²³ from *nāpeti* (*jñā*); *ghaṇṣāpetabba* Vin. II. 266²⁸ from *ghaṇṣāpeti* 'causes to rub' (root *gharṣ*); *kotṭāpetabba* Vin. II. 266²⁹ from *kotṭāpeti* 'causes to hit'; *paṭiggahetabba* 'that which should be accepted' Vin. I. 46¹³ from *gaheti* (§ 139. 2) etc. A whole list of such forms is to be found in Vin. I. 46-50. I cite from there *otāpetabba* 'that which is to be heated', *paṭiyādetabba* 'that which is to be constructed' (root *yat*), *ṭhapetabba* 'that which is to be erected' (root *sthā*), *thaketabba* 'that which is to be clo-ed' (root *sthaḡ*, § 39. 1) etc. We have a curious form in *chedātabba* 'that which is to be cut off' Vin. I. 50¹⁵, the form expected being *chedetabba*. Besides it there is *chedāpetabba*³.

§ 201. Moreover there is in Pāli the Future Passive Participles in *-aniya* or *-aneyya*⁴ = Skr. *-aniya*. Thus *labhaniya* Th2. 513 (*alabbhaneyya* 'unattainable' Jā. III. 205⁹ is due to contamination of *labbha* § 202 with *labhaniya*); *pūjaniya* Sn. 259 or *-neyya* Th1. 186 = *pūjaniya*; *anathaneyya* 'what should not be striven after, useless' Th1. 1073 from *arthay-*; *dassaniya* 'that which is worth seeing, charming' Vin. I. 38²⁵, D. I. 47¹¹, JāCo. I. 509⁸ and *dassaneyya* Dps. 15. 39 = *durāniya*. These forms have very often a substantive meaning. Thus *karaṇiya* 'task, duty'; *mohaneyya* 'enchantment' Jā. III. 499¹⁰; *yāpunīya* 'sustenance' Jā. VI. 224¹³, Vin. I. 59¹⁰; *bhojaniya* 'liquid food' and *khādaniya* 'solid food' Vin. I. 18²⁹, D. I. 108⁷ etc., Skr. *karaniya*,

¹ As in Pkr. AMāg., JMāh *hoyavva*, Ś. Māg. *hodavva* besides Ś. *bhavovidavva*; Pischel, § 570.

² Cf. Pkr. AMāg. *paritāneyavva*, *dameyavva*.

³ Instead of *tuvaññetabba* Vin. II. 121¹¹ from *tuvaññeti* 'lies down' one would expect *tuvaññetabba*.

⁴ In Pkr. *-aniya* and *-aniya*. Cf. AMāg. *yūyanijja*, *daṇṣanijja* etc.; Pischel, § 571.

mohanīya etc.; *khamaṇīya* 'toleration' Vin. I. 59¹⁰, D. II. 99²², JāCo. I. 408¹¹ = *kṣamaṇīya*.

§ 202. The Future Passive Participles in *-ya* mostly belong to the two oldest periods of the language.¹ Hence, for instance, even *hañña* 'he who should be killed' Jā. IV. 273²⁷ is explained in the Comm. by *hanitabba*, *saddheyya* 'worthy of credence' Jā. III. 62¹⁸ by *saddhāttabba*. Examples from roots in vowel: *neyya* 'that which should be led' Sn. 803 = *neya* (root *nī*); *bhabba* 'capable of' Vin. I. 17¹⁸, A. III. 8³⁰ = *bhavya* (root *bhū*); *pameyya* 'that which is to be measured' A. I. 266¹⁸, Pu. 35³ = *prameya* (root *mā*); similarly *viññeyya* 'that which can be perceived' Vin. I. 184²⁰, D. I. 245¹⁷ etc., *deyya* Sn. 982, Vin. III. 11³, D. I. 87¹⁰, *peyya* 'drinkable' D. I. 244¹⁴, II. 89¹⁴, Milp. 2¹⁴ = *vijñeyya*, *deya*, *peya*. Also *suppahāya* 'that which should be easily forsaken' Sn. 772 (root *hā*) as Rv 10. 103 5 *vijñāya*. From roots in *r*: *a-kāṇīya* 'unfeasible' Dh. 176 = *kārya* (besides *kicca* 'that which should be done, task, duty' Dh. 276, Th1. 167 etc. = *kṛtya*), *a-saṃhāriya* 'indestructible' S. V. 219² = *hārya*, both with Svarabhakti. From other consonant roots: *khajja* 'masticable' and *bhojja* 'edible' Milp. 2¹⁴ = *khādya*, *bhojya*; *vajja* 'that which should be avoided, sin' Dh. 252, D. I. 63¹⁵ etc. = *varjya*; *vajjha* 'he who must be killed' Jā. VI. 528², JāCo. I. 439⁴ = *vadhya*; *a-bhejja* 'inseparable' JāCo. III. 51⁴ = *bhedya*; *lābbha* 'attainable, possible' D. II. 118²⁰, M. II. 220¹³ = *labhya*; *sayha* 'that which is to be borne' Sn. 258 = *sahya*. From root *lih* 'to lick' we have in Milp. 2¹⁴ *leyya* instead of **leyha* = *lehya*, due to attraction of *peyya* occurring at its side. Svarabhakti is in evidence in *a-sādhiya* 'incurable' Mhvs. 5. 218 = *sādhyā*. We have a new construction in *a-sakkuṇeyya* 'impossible' JāCo. I. 55³ from the Present *sakkuṇāti*, after the pattern of *deyya* from *dadāti*.

§ 203. The Future Passive Participles in *-tāya*, *-tayya* or *-teyya* are a peculiarity of Pāli². Examples out of the two oldest periods of the language: *ñātdyya*, *daṭṭhayya*, *pattayya* 'that which is to be known, seen, attained' S. IV. 93⁶⁻⁷, *ñāteyya*, *daṭṭheyya*, *pattēyya* S. I. 61²⁶⁻²⁷ (root *jñā*, *darś*, *āp* with *pra*); *a-tasitāya* 'where one need not

¹ The corresponding Prākṛit forms (cf. AMāg. *bhacca*, *pejja*, JMāh. *neya* = *jñeya*) in Pischel, § 572.

² R. O. Franke, PG. p. 35, N. 4, II; Trenckner, Notes 66, foot-note 27 (JPTs. 1908, p. 117).

fear' S. III. 57²⁷. From Causatives: *ghātetāya* 'to be killed', *jāpetāya* 'to be conquered', *pabbājetāya* 'to be banished' (root *vraj*) M. I. 281¹⁻³, II. 122¹⁻². Also *lajjitāya*¹ 'that of which one has to be ashamed' Dh. 316.

4. Infinitives.

§ 204. 1. The Infinitives in *-tave* = Ved. *-tave* or *-tavai*, as well as some forms in *-tāye*, *-tuye*² are confined to the Gāthā-language (and the artificial poetry). (a) Infinitives in *-tave*. From roots in vowel: *netave* Dh. 180, S. I. 107²⁴ (verse); *sotave* Kacc. IV. 2. 12 (Senart, p. 485); *dātave* Sn. 286, Jā. I. 190³, *yātave* Sn. 884, *hātave* Dh. 34, Sn. 817. Also *nidhetave* Jā. III. 17⁶ (Comm. *nidhānatthāya*) from the *e*-stem of root *dhā*. From other *e*-stems: *rajatave* Th1. 1155 from *rajeti* 'colours, paints', *lapetave* Ud. 21¹⁴ (verse) from *lapeti* 'speaks, addresses'. From roots ending in consonant: *gantave* 'to go' Th2. 32, Jā. IV. 221²⁸ (Comm. *gantum*), *vattave* 'to say' S. I. 205² (verse) = *gantave*, *vāktav*. (b) Infinitives in *-tuye*: *kātuye* Th2. 418 (root *kar*, Comm. *kātum*); *marituye* Th2. 426; *gaṇetuye* Bu. 4. 28 from *gaṇeti* 'counts'; *hetuye* Bu. 2. 10 from *hoti*. (c) Infinitives in *-tāye*: *dakkhitāye* D. II. 254⁷ (verse) = S. I. 26²⁵ from the new Present-stem *dakkha-* derived from the Future of *darś*; *jagghitāye* 'to laugh' Jā. III. 226¹⁰ (Comm. *hasitvā*, or *hasitena*), *pucchitāye* 'in order to ask' Jā. V. 137⁶ (Comm. *pucchitum*); *khāditāye* 'to eat' Jā. V. 38⁷ governed by *arahati*.—2. A rare and archaic Infinitive form is to be found in *etasse* Th2. 291 'to go' (Comm. *etum*, *gantum*), governed by *nāsakkhim*.—3. Finally, the Datives of Verbal Nouns are not seldom used as Infinitives: thus *savanāya* (governed by *labhati*) '(is fortunate enough) to hear' D. III. 80¹⁶; *dassanāya* (governed by *paṇhoti*) '(is in a position) to see' M. II. 131²⁴; *karaṇāya* (governed by *arahati*) '(can) do' Jā. III. 172²³⁻²⁴; *idhāgamanāya* (governed by *pariyāyamakāsi*) '(has made it possible) to come here' D. I. 179⁸ etc.; *vicakkhukammāya* 'in order to dazzle' S. I. 112¹³; *adubbhāya* (governed by *sapassu*) '(swear) not to injure' S. I. 225¹⁹ etc.

¹ Norman in his edition of DhCo. III. 490 wrongly divides the words into *alajjitāye* and *lajjitāye*. Cf the Commentary.

² In Pkr. there are corresponding Infinitives in *-ttae*, *-ittae*, such as AMg. *ittae*, *hottae*, *pucchitae*; E. Müller, Beitr. z. Pkr. Gr., p. 61; Pischel, PkrGr. § 578.

§ 205. The Infinitive which is most current in every period of the language is however that in *-tum*. The number of historical forms is very large. Thus from roots ending in vowels: *dātum*, *saddhātum*, *ñātum* from roots *dā*, *dhā*, *ñā*; *nibbātum* 'to die' Mhvs. 5. 219 (root *vā*); *vinetum* JāCo. I. 504¹⁸, III. 103⁴ (root *nā*); *etum* Th2Co. 224²⁹ (root *i*); *ketum* 'to buy' Jā. III. 282¹⁴, *vikketum* 'to sell' JāCo. III. 283¹²=(*vi*)*kretum*; *ocetum* 'to collect' Th1. 199=*avacetum*; *sotum* Sn. 384, D. II. 2⁷=*śrotum*. From roots in *r*: *kātum*=*kartum*; *uddhātum* 'to draw out' Th1. 88, *āhattum* M. I. 395⁸=*āhartum* from root *har*=*hartum*. From roots in nasal: *gantum*; in mute: *vattum* Sn. 431, S. I. 129²⁷ (verse)=*vaktum*; *puṭṭhum* Sn. 91, S. I. 15⁷ (verse)=*praṣtum*; *avabhottum* 'to enjoy' Jā. III. 272²³=*-bhoktum* (root *bhu*); *yaṭṭhum* 'to sacrifice' Sn. 461=*yaṣtum* (root *ya*); *chettum* Th1. 188=*chettum*; *pattum* 'to attain' DhCo. III. 399⁴=*prāptum*; *sottum* S. I. 111² (verse), apparently derived directly from *svaptum* 'to sleep'; *laddhum* 'to attain' JāCo. II. 352¹⁴, DhCo. III. 117¹⁴=*labdhum*. From roots in sibilant: *daṭṭhum*=*draṣtum*.—Also constructions with *i* are quite numerous: *ḥvitum* JāCo. I. 263³=*ḥvitum*; *kḷitum* JāCo. III. 188²⁸=*kṛḍitum*; *bhavitum* JāCo. IV. 137²⁵=*bhavitum*; *uddharitum* (besides *uddhātum*) JāCo. I. 313⁶ from root *har* (Skr. *haritum* besides *hartum*). From Desideratives: *tikicchitum* JāCo. I. 485¹¹=*cikitsitum*, *vimāṃsitum* Mhvs. 37. 234 (Colombo ed. 184)=*mimāṃsitum*. From a Causative: *dhārayitum* Anāgatavs., JPTS. 1886. 35²⁸=*dhārayitum*. From a Denominative: *gopayitum* DhCo. III. 488¹⁰.

§ 206. The Infinitive is very often derived directly from the Present-stem¹. Thus *pappotum* 'to attain' Th2. 60=S. I. 129¹⁶ from *pappoti*; *hotum* from *hoti*. Also in the case of *e*-stems (Causative etc.) the Infinitive may be directly derived from the Present-stem: *sodhetum* Vin. II. 34⁵, JāCo. I. 292¹⁴; *bhāvetum* DhCo. III. 171¹⁰; *vāretum* JāCo. IV. 2¹⁸; *gahetum* Vin. I. 92³⁷, JāCo. I. 222³¹, Mhvs. 8. 23 (cf. § 139. 2), *gāhetum* Mhvs. 33. 48 and *gāhāpetum* JāCo. I. 506²²; *ṭhapetum* Vin. II. 194³², D. II. 177⁷; *kārāpetum* Mhvs. 5. 80. The form *tārayetum* Sn. 319 is a double-construction,—a contamination of *tārayitum* and *tāretum*.—The type in *-itum* has been very productive. In later literature it has in many cases supplanted the historical forms in *-tum*. The form *bhottum*, for instance (see § 205), has been explained in the Comm. by *bhuñjitum*. Examples of new construc-

¹ Corresponding innovations also in Pkr. Cf. AMāg. *vāretum*, Māh. JMāb. *maritum*, Māh. *pucchiṇum*, S. *bhuñjitum*, *suṇidum* etc.; Pischel, § 573 ff.

tions: With reference to § 130-132: *cajitum* JāCo. III. 69⁴ as against *tyaktum* (root *tyaj* 'to forsake'); *maritum* D. II. 330^b as against *martum*; *abhivijñitum* M. II. 71³² (root *ji*); *nisīditum* Dpvs. 1. 55; *utthahitum* JāCo. II. 22¹⁷, *upaṭṭhahitum* DhCo. III. 269²⁰ from *ṭhahati*. With reference to § 134-135: *pucchitum* Sn. 510, Vin. I. 93²⁷; *ukkhīpitum* JāCo. I. 264⁹ as against *kseptum*; *phusitum* Th1. 945, DhCo. III. 199⁴ (verse) as against *spraṣṭum*; *pavisitum* JāCo. III. 26⁶ as against *veṣṭum*; *supitum* Th1. 193; *paṭicchitum* JāCo. IV. 187²⁶; *muñcitum* D. I. 96¹⁶; *siñcitum* JāCo. VI. 583²⁷; *nibbinditum* 'to feel disgust' D. II. 198²² from root *vid*, *vindati*. With reference to § 136-138: *naccitum* DhCo. III. 102⁷; *-pajjitum* Th1. 1140, A. III. 8¹⁸; *pamajjitum* Th1. 452; *urañjitum* 'to be free' D. II. 198²²; *vijñhitum* Mhvs. 6. 28; *passitum* JāCo. I. 222⁷, Mhvs. 4. 21. Also from a Passive stem: *pamuccitum* 'to free oneself' Th1. 258; *vimuccitum* D. II. 198²². Further: *smāyitum* M. I. 39⁶; *jhāyitum* 'to meditate' Vin. II. 147³⁴ (verse); *palāyitum* JāCo. II. 19²⁶; *sajjhāyitum* (§ 188. 1) DhCo. III. 445²¹. With reference to § 142: *jāhitum* JāCo. I. 138⁹, III. 94⁷; *saṃvidahitum* Vin. I. 287¹³ from root *dhā*; *paṭijaggitum* Th1 193 With reference to § 144-148: *bhañjitum* Th1. 488; *bhuñjitum* (see above); *chinditum* VvCo. 119⁷; *kñitum* JāCo. III. 282¹⁰ and *vikñitum* JāCo. III. 288²³ (in explanation of *vikketum*); *bandhitum* Th2. 299; *gañhitum* JāCo. II. 159⁴, III. 26²; *suñitum* Milp. 91¹⁶; *pāpūnitum* A. II. 49¹⁶, M. III. 167²⁰, JāCo. IV. 297⁶.

§ 207. As in Skr., in compounds with *-kāma* the Infinitive has the ending *-tu*¹: *jīvitukāma* 'he who desires to live' Dh. 123, D. II. 330⁸; *pabbajitukāma* 'he who desires to forsake the world' DhCo. III. 273⁸; *gantukāma* 'he who wishes to go' JāCo. I. 222¹³; *daṭṭhukāma* 'he who wishes to see' Sn. 685; *amaritukāma* 'he who does not wish to die' D. II. 330⁸ etc.

5. Gerunds.

§ 208. The Gerunds are formed with the suffixes *-tvā* and *-ya*, for the first of which there often appears, particularly in the Gāthā-language, also the suffix *-tvāna*. The suffix *-ya* appears particularly after compounds, but this rule is not so strictly followed in Pāli as in Skr. The suffix *-tvā*, *-tvāna* is clearly widening its sphere progress-

¹ Similarly also in Pkr.; Pischel, § 577,

ively, and is by no means confined only to the simplex. According to statistics prepared by me on the basis of a large section of the Jātaka-Commentary, the Gerunds in *-tvā* occur 8 to 9 times more frequently than those in *-ya*. In the canonical prose the difference is not so great. In the Commentaries too the forms in *-ya* are readily replaced by those in *-tvā*, as *saddhāya* Jā. V. 176⁸ by *saddahitvā*, *aññāya* Jā. I. 368²¹ by *aññānitvā*. The few forms in *-tūna*¹ are confined to the Gāthā-language, as also those in *-yāna*, which is evidently a new construction on the analogy of *-tvā*: *-tvāna*.

§ 209. There are many historical forms among the Gerunds in *-tvā*, *-tvāna*. From roots in vowel: *ñatvā*, *ñatvāna* = *ñātuvā*, *nāhatvā* = *snātuvā*, *datvā* = *dattvā* (in analogy with these forms also *pidhatvā* Th2. 480 from root *dhā* as against *(d)hītvā*, and *ṭhatvā* from root *sthā* as against *sthitvā*); *pītvā(na)* Dh. 205, Th1. 103, 710, Jā. II. 71⁶ = *pīuvā* (root *pā*); *hitvā(na)* Sn. 60, 234 etc. = *hitvā* (root *hā*). Also *ṇivā* Th1. 336 from root *ṇi*; *sutvā* = *śrutvā*, *hutvā* = *bhūtvā*. From roots in *r*: *katvā(na)* = *kṛtvā* (*purakkhatvā* D. II. 207²³, Jā. VI. 516¹⁹ or *purakkhivā* Vv. 84. 49). From roots in mutes: *mutvā* (§ 58. 3) Jā. I. 375⁵ = *muktuvā* (root *muc*); *vatvā* = **vaktvā*; *bhutvā(na)* Th1. 23, S. I. 8²⁵ (verse), Jā. III. 53¹⁷ = *bhuktuvā* (the *o* of *bhotvā* S. IV. 74⁷ (verse) is to be explained according to § 10. 2); *chetvā(na)* Dh. 283, 346, Vin. I. 88¹, JāCo. III. 396²⁵ = *chittvā* (*e* according to § 10. 2. or due to analogy of *jetvā*, *netvā*, § 210); *bhetvā(na)* Th1. 758 = *bhattvā*²; *patvā* from root *āp* (Skr. *āptvā*) with *pra*; *laddhā(na)* Sn. 67, 228 etc., *paṭiladdhā* Vv. 80.7 = *labdhvā*. From root *darś* the Gerund is *disvā(na)* = *dr̥ṣṭvā*³. The roots in *n*, *m* retain the nasal through the influence of forms like Skr. *śāntvā*. Thus we have also *hantvā* as against *hatvā*; *mantvā* Mhvs. 12. 50 (besides *mantā*⁴ Vv. 63. 6) as against *matvā*; *gantvā(na)* (*āgantvā* Sn. 415, JāCo. I. 151¹ etc.) as against *gatvā*.—Historical forms in *-itvā*: *patitvā*, *pacitvā*, *vanditvā*, *khāditvā* as in Skr.; *nikkhamitvā* JāCo. III. 26¹⁴, *akkamitvā* Vin. I. 188²⁸ etc. = *kramitvā* (besides *krāntvā*); *sayitvā* JāCo. II. 77¹⁴ = *śayitvā*

¹ The same suffix occurs also in Pkr. in the form *-tūna*, *-ūna*; Pischel, § 584, 586. The distinction made in Skr. between the use of *-tvā* and *-ya* is unknown also in Pkr.; *ibid.*, § 581.

² Also in Pkr. AMāg. *chettā*, *bhattā*; Pischel, § 582.

³ As AMāg. *disā*; *Ibid.* § 384. According to H. Kern (Toevogezelen op 't Woordenboek van Childers 7. 63) the form *dr̥ṣṭvā* is retained in a *diṭṭhā* (var. loc. of *adattā*) Jā. IV. 192⁸.

⁴ As AMāg. *hantā*, *mantā*.

(root *śi*). Similarly from Causatives¹: *bhojayitvāna* Jā. VI. 577²³ = *bhojayitvā*; *gāhayitvā* Mhvs. 10. 31 = *grāhayitvā*, *ghātayitvā* Milp. 219¹⁶ from *ghātetī* (root *han*), *janayitvā* Milp. 218²¹ as in Skr.; *ṭhapayitvāna* Mhvs. 19. 31 = *sthāpayitvā*, and in the same way from double-causatives: *gāhāpayitvā* Mhvs. 7. 49 etc. From Desideratives, Intensives and Denominatives: *a-jigucchitvā* JāCo. I. 422²⁰ = *jugupsitvā*; *vīmaṃsitvā* JāCo. VI. 368² = *mīmaṃsitvā*; *vavakkhitvāna* D. II. 250⁹ (verse) = *vivakṣitvā*; *cirāyitvā* Mhvs. Tī. 124²² etc.

§ 210. New constructions out of Present-stems are again quite frequent. Thus we have forms from Causatives, Denominatives etc. with the contracted *e*-stem, and in fact these forms are more frequent than those in *-ayitvā*. Examples: *desetvā* JāCo. I. 152¹⁰; *codetvā* Vin. II. 2²³; *sāretvā* (root *amar*) Ibid.; *bhāvetvā* A. V. 195¹⁵; *ghātetvā* Mhvs. 25.7; *ṭhapetvā* Dh. 40, D. I. 105²⁷ etc.; *gahetvā* (§ 189.2); *vandāpetvā* Vin. I. 82²³, *kārāpetvā* Ibid.; *āmantetvā* (§ 187. 1) Th1. 34, JāCo. II. 133²; *a-gaṇetvā* JāCo. II. 229¹¹ etc. occurring very frequently. The roots in *ṭ* too take after them: *jetvā* Sn. 439, Th2. 7 from *jetī* (root *ji*) as against Skr. *jītvā*; *netvā* (na) Sn. 295, Vin. II. 11¹¹ as against *nītvā*. Also *abhibhotvāna* Th1. 429, from an *abhibhoti* 'overcomes'.—The number of new constructions in *-itvā*² derived from Present-stems is extraordinarily large. With reference to § 180: *labhitvā* JāCo. I. 150²⁰ as against Skr. *labdhvā*; *vasitvā* JāCo. I. 273²⁶ as against *uṣitvā*; *uddharitvā* D. I. 234⁶, JāCo. III. 52¹⁴, *saṃharitvā* JāCo. I. 265²⁷ from *harati* as against *hṛtvā*; *otaritvā* JāCo. I. 223¹⁹, II. 19⁵ as against *ṭīrtvā*; *saritvā* Th2. 40 as against *ṣṭvā*; *ghaṃsitvā* JāCo. III. 226¹ as against *ghṛṣṭvā*. With reference to § 131: *vinayitvāna* Sn. 485 besides *netvāna*; *a-jīnitvā* Mhvs. 32. 18 besides *jetvā*; *-bhavitvā* Sn. 52 as against *bhūtvā*. With reference to § 132: *pīvitvā* JāCo. I. 419²⁹ besides *pītvā*; *niśḍītvā* passim; (v) *utṭhahitvā* Vin. I. 2²⁹, JāCo. I. 208¹ etc. Also *ghāyitvā* DhCo. III. 270³ as against Skr. *jighṛtvā*. With reference to § 133: *ārohitvā* Vin. I. 15¹⁶, *oroḥitvā* Vin. I. 15³³ as against *rūḍhvā*. With reference to § 134: *pakkhipitvā* JāCo. I. 265³ etc. as against *kṣiptvā*; *ādisitvāna* Th2. 311 from root *dis*; *pavisitvā* D. II. 331¹⁹ etc. from root *vis*; *gilitvā* Mhvs. 31. 52; *okiritvā* JāCo. III. 59¹⁴; *supitvāna* Th1. 84 as against *suptvā*. With reference to § 135: *icchitvā* JāCo. I. 256¹⁷ from root *iṣ*; *muñcitvā* JāCo. I. 375¹¹, in explanation of *mutvā*; *siñcitvā* Sn. 771; *vilimpitvā* JāCo. I.

¹ As AMāg. *uttāsaittā*, *vigovaittā*.

² Corresponding Pkr. forms, particularly in AMāg., in Pischel, § 592. Cf. *vasittā*, *jīṇittā*, *bhavittā*, *jāṇittā*, *kiṇittā*, *giṇhittā*, *karittā* etc.

265²⁰ as against *liptvā*. With reference to § 186: *niliyitvā* JāCo. I. 500²³, III. 26¹⁸; *kujjhitvā* Mhvs. 5. 141; *saṃnayhitvā* D. II. 175²⁵, M. II. 99⁶, JāCo. I. 129²; *-paññitvā* Th1. 158, JāCo. I. 138⁸, II. 70¹⁴; *sussitvā* JāCo. II. 5²⁸, 339¹⁰; *pamaññitvā(na)* Dh. 172, Th1. 871; *vijjhitvā* JāCo. I. 150¹⁸; *laggitvā* JāCo. II. 19²²; *passitvā* Th1. 510, JāCo. II. 155⁴; *chijjhitvā(na)* JāCo. I. 167²⁷, Mhvs. 17. 47; *namassitvā* S. I. 234³³ (verse); *ādiyitvā* JāCo. I. 430²⁶. With reference to § 188: *yāyitvā* Sn. 418; *nhāyitvā* Vin. III. 110¹⁶; *nahāyitvā* JāCo. II. 27⁸; *gāyitvā* DhCo. I. 15¹⁴; *saññhāyitvā* (§ 188. 1) DhCo. III. 447¹⁹. With reference to § 140 and 142 f. *hanitvāna* Jā. III. 185²⁰; *a-vijahitvā* Thūpava. 8³⁷; *-dahitvā* Vin. I. 287¹⁶, III. 53⁴, JāCo. V. 176¹³ from *dahati* (root *dhā*); *paṭijaggitvā* DhCo. III. 30¹⁰; *daditvā* Th1. 532, S. I. 174⁹ (verse). With reference to § 144: *chinditvā* D. I. 224¹⁴, JāCo. I. 222²⁹, II. 90¹⁵, and *bhinditvā* JāCo. I. 425⁴, 490²⁹ besides *chetvā*, *bhetvā*; *bhunñitvā* JāCo. III. 53²⁰ in explanation of *bhutvā*; *riñcitvā* Th2. 93 as against *riktvā*. With reference to § 146 f.: *jānitvā* Jā. I. 293¹⁴, JāCo. II. 246¹⁸ besides *ñatvā*; *kañitvā* Milp. 48¹⁸; *gañhitvā* passim, as against *ghitvā*; *nimminitvāna* Th1. 563; *bandhitvā* Vin. I. 46¹⁷, JāCo. I. 428²⁶ as against *baddhvā*. With reference to § 147-149: *vicinitvā* Vin. I. 133¹⁴ as against *citvā*; *sunitvā(na)* Th2. 44, Jā. V. 96⁹; *a-pāpūñitvāna* Th2. 494; *karitvā* Sn. 444, Jā. VI. 577³⁰, JāCo. I. 267³¹ besides *katvā*.

§ 211. Of examples of Gerunds in *-tūna* there occur in Kacc. IV. 3. 15, 4. 6 and 7 (Senart, p. 497, 503): *janitūna*, *kātūna* (*kattūna*), *gantūna*, *khantūna*, *hantūna*, *mantūna*. I quote here from literature: *hātūna* Jā. IV. 280¹⁷ from root *har* (Comm. *haritvā*), *apakiritūna* Th2. 447 (Comm. *chaḍḍetvā*), *nikkhamitūna* Th1. 73; *āpucchitūna* Th2. 426. Also *chaḍḍūna* Th2. 469 from **chardtūna*, Comm. *chaḍḍetvā* 'after throwing away.'

§ 212. Gerunds in *-ya*¹. From roots ending in vowel: *abhi-ññāya*², *aññāya*=*abhi-*, *ā jñāya*; *ādāya* (and other compounds of *dā*) = *ādāya*; *nidhāya* Dh. 142, 405 and other compounds of *dhā*=*nidhāya*; *utthāya* (*paṭṭhāya* as postposition 'starting from') = *utthāya*. From root *i* (Skr. *-itya*) we have *pecca* 'after dying' Dh. 15 ff., JāCo. II. 417¹ (verse)=*pretya*, *paricca* Th2. 71=*paritya*, *samecca* D. II. 273²⁰ (verse) = *sumetya*, *paṭicca* 'in consequence of' = *pratitya*. From root *bhū*: *abhibhuyya* Dh. 328, Sn. 45, Th1. 1242, D. II. 110⁸. In

¹ Corresponding Pkr. forms in Pischel, § 589 ff.
On the contraction of *-āya* into *-ā* see above § 27. 2.

analogy of *ābhībhoti* : *ābhībhuyya* there has been formed from *pappoti* a Gerund *pappuyya* Sn. 593, 829, Th1. 364, 876, S. I. 7²⁵ (verse), 212¹⁸ (verse). In Vin. II. 156²⁸ (verse) there is *appuyya* from the simplex **appoti* = *āpnoti*. From root *kar* : *nikacca* Vin. III. 90²⁴ (verse) = *nikṛtya*, *sakkacca* Vv. 11.6 (mostly *sakkaccaṃ*) = *sakṛtya*, *paṭigacca* (§ 38. 1). From roots in nasal : *āhacca*, *ūhacca* Jā. II. 71¹⁶, III. 206²², *nihacca* Th2. 109 = *hatya* (root *han*); *palikhañña* Sn. 968 or *palikhāya* S. I. 123⁶ (verse) from root *khan* with *pari* 'to dig out, exterminate' = Skr. *-khanya* and *-khāya*; *āgumma*, *saṃgumma* etc. = *-gumya*; *nikkhamma* Mhvs. 5. 221 = *niṣkramya*; also simplex *gamya* Jā. V. 31¹ (Comm. *gantvā*). From roots in mute : *āpucchā* Th2. 416 (Comm. *āpucchitvā*); *saṃpucchā* S. I. 176¹³ (verse), DhCo. IV. 9² = *-pucchya*; *pariccajja* 'after forsaking' Jā. III. 194²⁹ = *parityajya*; *pavibhajja* 'after separating' Th1. 1242 = *bhajya*; *saṃcicca* 'after deliberation' Vin. I. 97² = *saṃcītya*; *pabhijja* Th1. 1242 = *prabhidyā*; *-pajja* = *-padya*; *-sajja* = *-sadya*; *panujja* 'after frightening away' Sn. 359, 1055 = *prunudya*; *ativijja* 'after piercing through' M. II. 112¹ = *-vidhya* (root *vyudh*); *ārabba* 'beginning with' = *ārabhya*; *olubba* 'hankering after.....' Th2. 17, S. I. 118³, JāCo. I. 265¹⁴ = *-lubhya*. From a root in sibilant : *okkassa* 'by dragging after' (p. 79, foot-note 4) D. II. 74^{29,31} = *avakṣya*. From roots in *h* : *ā-*, *abhi-*, *o-ruhya* Th1. 147, JāCo. I. 438²⁴, II. 27⁵ = *-ruhya*; *abbuyha* 'after tearing out' Th1. 298, Th2. 15 = *ābṛhya*; *-gayha* = *-gṛhya*; *pasayha* 'forcibly' D. II. 74²⁹ = *prasahya*. Besides *-gayha* there is also *gahāya* 'after seizing' Sn. 791, *saṃuggahāya* Sn. 797, clearly from *gahāyati* (§ 186. 5). The proportion *gaheti* : *gahāya* has also led to the formation of *anvāya* (post-position) 'on account of, by means of' D. I. 13¹², JāCo. II. 39¹⁶ from *anveti*¹ (root *i* with *anu*) and *uñchāya* 'having searched' Jā. V. 90¹⁰ (Comm. *uñchitvā*).

§ 213. The Svarabhakti-vowel *i* appears not seldom before the suffix *-ya*. Thus in *pakiriya* 'letting (the hair) loose' D. II. 139³⁰ = *-prakīrya*; *līngiya* Th2. 398 = *-līngya* (Comm. *ālīngitvā*); *abhirūhiya* Th2. 27 (besides *-ruhya*); (*saṃ*)*avekkhiya* Sn. 115, Mhvs. 5. 195. *pekkhiya* Mhvs. 5. 194 = *-ikṣya*; *nikujjiya* Th2. 28, 30 from *nikujjati* (Skr. *kubj*) 'turns round, upsets'; *vivajjiya* Th2. 167 from *vivajjeti*; *virājiya* Th2. 18 from *virājeti* 'sends away from oneself, is displeased

¹ A double-construction with the suffixes *-ya* and *-tā* is to be found in *abhirūhītā* quoted in Facc. II. 6. 5 (Senart, p. 321), as against the usual *abhirūhita* and *abhirūhya*. Similarly *ogayhītā* from *ogāhati* 'dips in' Mhvs. 38, 102 (ed. Colombo).

with'; *cintiya* Mhvs. 7. 17 = -*cintya*; *kāriya* Mhvs. 3. 5 = -*kārya*. On the analogy of *kāretum*, *kāreti*: *kāriya* there has been formed a *nicchiya* 'after deciding' Mhvs. 37. 233 (Colombo ed. 183) to *niccheti* (= *nicchinati* § 131), *nicchetum* (§ 205).—A new type of Gerunds in -*iya* was originated in this way, and the new formations derived from the Present-stem took after this type. Thus with reference to § 130: *sumariya* Mhvs. 4. 66, as against -*smṛtya*; *atitariya* Sn. 219 as against -*tīrya*. With reference to § 135: *nisāciya* Mhvs. 7. 8 as against -*sicya*. With reference to § 136 *passiya* Th2. 399. With reference to § 144. *chindiya* Th2. 480 as against -*chidyā*. With reference to § 145 f. *avajāniya* Sn. 713 as against -*jñāya*; *bandhiya* Th2. 81 as against -*badhya*. With reference to § 147 ff. *suṇiya* Mhvs. 23. 102 as against -*śrutya*; *kariya* Th2. 402 as against -*kṛtya*. Also the new Present-stem *dakkha-* (§ 136. 3) has given rise to *dakkhiya* Th2. 381 f

§ 214. Examples of Gerunds in -*yāna* are: *uttariyāna* Jā. V. 204⁹ (Comm. *uttarivā*, *avattharivā*); *ovariyāna* Th2. 367, 369 (instead of it *ovadiyāna* Th2 Jo. 250²⁸ explained as *ovadivā*); *pakkhānādiyāna* Vv. 84. 11 (in VvCo. 338¹³ explained as *pakkhandivā*) from root *skand*.—Nasal ending is to be found in *khādiyānaṃ* 'having eaten' Jā. V. 24⁴, *anumodiyanaṃ* 'having been pleased' Jā. V. 143⁹, etc.

INDEX TO PART I, PĀLI LITERATURE

Figures refer to *paragraphs* in Part I, pp. 9-58 excepting where page is specifically mentioned.

A. NAMES OF AUTHORS

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>Aggavamsa 50
Attaragama Baṇḍāra Rājaguru 53.2
Anuruddha 26.7, 32.4
Ariyavamsa 42.1, 44.5, 53.2
Ariyālamkāra 47.7
Ānanda 25.2, 26.1, 44.5
Uttamaśikkha 47.7
Udumbāra 44.5
Upatissa 29.2
Upasena 26.2
Kaccāyana 19.1 & 2, 30, 45, 46, 47, 52.1
Kassapa 26.4, 29.1
Kyaçvā 47.8
Khema 26.6
Culla-Dhammapāla 26.1 & 6
Chapada 30, 38, 46.1
Jambudhaja 53.2
Nāṇavilāsa 47.8
Nāṇabhivamsa 43.5
Tīpīṭhakālamkāra 43.1
Tilokaguru 43.2
Dāṭhānāga p. 38 f.-n. 3
Dhammakitti 34.1—38—39.2, 46.5
Dhammadassin 53.2
Dhammapāla 25.3, 30, 44.5
Dhammavilāsa 33
Dhammasiri 27
Dhammasenāpati p. 38 f.-n. 2
Nāgita 46.6
Paññasāmin 44.6
Piyadassin 49.1
Buddhaghosa 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 30, 31, 44.5—42.6
Buddhadatta 25.1 & 3, 32.3, 34.3, 44.5
Buddhanāga 32.2
Buddhapiya 37, 39.1, 46.4
Buddharakkhita 34.3
Maṅgala 53.2</p> | <p>Mahākaccāyana 44.5
Mahākassapa 43.4
Mahānāma 26.3—28—42.6
Mahāmaṅgala (cf. Maṅgala) 40.3
Mahāyasa 32.1—47.7
Mahāvṛjitāvīra 47.11
Mahāsāmin 27
Medhampaka 34.4—40.1—49.2
Moggallāna (Moggallāyana) 45, 48, 49, 52, 2—51
Yamaka p. 38 f.-n. 1
Raṭṭhasāra 42.4
Rassathera 47.7
Rābula 46.4, 48, 49.1 & 3
Vajirabuddhi 26.5
Vanaratana Medhampaka = the third Medhampaka
Vācassara 32.3, 34.2 & 4, 46.5, 48
Vicittācāra 53.2
Vimalabuddhi 30
Vimalasāra 32.3
Vedehathera 36, 37
Saṃgharakkhita 32.1, 46.2, 53.1
Saddhammakitti 51
Saddhammapuru 53.2
Saddhammajotipāla <i>see</i> Chapada
Saddhammanandin 53.2
Saddhammapālasiri 42.2
Saddhammavilāsa 47.7
Saddhammasiri 46.3
Saddhammālamkāra 42.5
Sāradassin 43.3
Sāriputta 31—34, 43.1—<i>see</i> Dhammavilāsa
Siddhāttha 39.1
Sirisaddhammālamkāra 47.10
Sīlavamsa 42.3—52.1
Sumaṅgala 32.4, 42.1
Suvaṇṇarāsi 53.2</p> |
|--|---|

B. TITLES OF WORKS

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>Āṅguttara-Nikāya 8, 10.4, 22
Aṭṭhakathā 2 (end), 18, 21, p. 31 f.-n. 3, 35, 44.6
Attaṇagaluvihāravamsa 37
Atthasālini 22, 31, 42.1, 48.1
Anāgataṃ 29.1</p> | <p>Andhaṭṭhakathā 18
Apadāna 14.13, 22
Abhidhammatthagaṇṭhīpāda 43.4
Abhidhammatthavikāsaṇi 32.4
Abhidhammatthavibhāvaṇi 32.4, 42.1
Abhidhammatthasaṃgaha 26.7, 32.4</p> |
|---|--|

- Abhidhammatthasamgahasamkhepatīkā 33
 Abhidhamma-Piṭaka 1, 15-16, 22
 Abhidhammamūlatīkā *see* Mūlatīkā
 Abhidhammavāṭara 25.1; Tīkā thereon, 32.3 & 4
 Abhidhānappadīpikā 45, 46.6, 51; Tīkā thereon, 46.6, 51
 Abhinava-Cullanirutti 47.10
 Itivuttaka 11.4, 25.3
 Uttaravinicchaya 25.1; Tīkā thereon, 32.3
 Udāna 11.3, 25.3
 Ekakkharakosa 51 (end)
 Kaṅkhiṭvitaranī 22, 32.2
 Kaccāyanagandha *see* Kaccāyana vyākaraṇa
 Kaccāyanabheda (with Tīkā) 47.7 & 9
 Kaccāyanavaggaṇā 47.11
 Kaccāyanavyākaraṇa 30, 44.5, 49.2
 Kaccāyanasāra (with Tīkā) 47.7
 Kathāvatthuppakaraṇa 1, 16.3
 Kamavācā 7.2
 Kāyaviratigāthā 42.4
 Kārakapuppamañjarī 53.2
 Kārikā p. 38 f.-n. 2
 Kurandī 18, 44.5
 Khandhaka *see* Vinaya-Piṭaka
 Khuddaka-Nikāya 11-14, 19, 22, 25.3
 Khuddakapāṭha 11.1
 Khuddasikkhā 27; Tīkā thereon 32.1 & 3
 Khemappakarana 26.6; Tīkā thereon 32.3
 Gandhūṭṭhi 53.2
 Gandhavamsa 44.5
 Gandhasāra 33
 Gandhābharana 53.2
 Catusāmaparavattū 43.5
 Cariyāpiṭaka 14.15, 25.3
 Cullaniruttigandha 30, 44.5
 Cullapaccari 18
 Cullavagga *see* Vinaya-Piṭaka
 Cullasaddanīti 45
 Cūlavamsa 38
 Chakesadhātvamsa 44.2
 Jātaka 13.10
 Jātakatthavaggaṇā 23, 25.3, 42.3 & 4
 Jātakavisodhana 42.1
 Jinacarita 34.4
 Jinālamkāra 25.1, 34.3
 Jāṇodaya 22
 Tīpiṭaka 1 ff.
 Telakāṭṭhagāthā 41.2
 Thūpavamsa 34.2, 35
 Theragāthā, Therīgāthā 18.8 & 9, 25.3
 Dāṭṭhāvamsa 34.1
 Dīgha-Nikāya 8, 9.1, 22
 Dīpavamsa 18, 21, 28, 44.6
 Dhammapada 11.2
 Dhammapadatthakathā 24
 Dhammavilāsa-Dhammasattha 33, 42
 Dhammasaṅgani 16.1, 22
 Dhātukathā 16.5, Tīkāvaṇṇanā, -Anuṭṭikā-vaṇṇanā 43.2; -yojanā, 43.3
 Dhātupāṭha 45, 52.2
 Dhātumañjūsā 45, 52.1
 Dhātuvatthadīpanī 45, 52.3
 Nayalakkhana vibhāvanī 53.2
 Nalāṭṭhadhātuvamsa 44.1
 Nāmacārādīpa 33
 Nāmarūpapariccheda 26.7; Tīkā thereon 32.3
 Nidānakathā 23, 29.2, 42.3
 Niddesa 14.11, 26.2
 Niruttisāraṅgaḥa 53.2 e.
 Niruttisāramañjūsā p. 38 f.-n. 3
 Nettipakaraṇa 19.1, 30, 42.2, 43.5, 44.5
 Nettipakaraṇassa Attha-amvaṇṇanā 25.3
 Nettubhāvanī 42.2
 Nyāsa 30, 46, 47.11
 Nyāsapradīpa 30
 Paccayasamgaha 32.3
 Paṇṇamadhū 37, 39.1, 46.4
 Pañcagatidīpana 40.3
 Pañcappakarapaṭṭhakathā 22, 31
 Pañcīkā *see* Moggallāyanapañcīkā
 Paṭisambhīdāmagga 14.12, 26.3
 Paṭṭhānavaṇṇanāyā 33
 Paṭṭhānadīpanī 42.5
 Paṭṭhānappakarana 16.7, 42.5, 43.2
 Paṭṭhānavaggaṇā 43.2
 Paṇṇasāra 18
 Padarūpasiddhi *see* Rūpasiddhi
 Padasādhana 45, 49.1
 Papañcasūdanī 22, 31
 Payogasiddhi 45, 49.2
 Paramatthakathā 23
 Paramatthajotikā 22
 Paramatthadīpanī 25.3
 Paramatthappakāśinī 31
 Paramatthamañjūsā 25.3
 Paramatthavinicchaya 26.7
 Paritta 17
 Parivāra *see* Vinaya-Piṭaka
 Pātimokkha 7.1, 22
 Pātimokkhavisodhanī 33
 Puggalapariṇatti 16.4
 Peṭakālamkāra 43.5
 Peṭakopadesa 19.2, 30, 44.5
 Peṭavatthū 12.7, 25.3
 Bālappabodhana (with Tīkā), 47.9
 Bālāvatāra 45, 46.5, 49.1; Tīkā thereon; 46.5
 Buddhaghosupattī 40.3
 Buddhavamsa 14.14; 25.1
 Buddhālamkāra 42.3
 Buddhappasādanī = Padasādhana-Tīkā 49.1
 Bodhivamsa 29.2, 35
 Majjhima-Nikāya 8, 9.2, 22
 Maṇidīpa 42.1
 Maṇisāramañjūsā 42.1
 Madhuratthavilāsinī 25.1 & 3
 Madhusārattadīpanī 42.6
 Manuvaggaṇā 42.7
 Manusāra 42.7
 Manorathapūraṇī 22, 31
 Mahāṭṭhakathā *see* Atthakathā
 Mahāṭṭhikā *see* Paramatthamañjūsā
 Mahāniruttigandha 30, 44.5
 Mahāpaccari 18, 44.5
 Mahāpaṭṭhāna *see* Paṭṭhānappakarana
 Mahāparitta *see* Paritta
 Mahābodhivamsa *see* Bodhivamsa
 Mahāvamsa 23, 29.2, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 2, 44.6; Tīkā thereon, 35

- Mahāvagga *see* Vinaya-Piṭaka
 Mātikatthadīpani 33
 Mālālaṃkāra 43.5
 Milindapañhā 20
 Mukhamattadīpani *see* Nyāsa
 Mūlatikā 25 2, 42 6
 Mūlasikkhā 27; Tīkā thereon 32.3
 Moggallāyanapañcīkā 48 2
 Moggallāyanapañcīkāpadīpa 39 1, 46.4, 48.2, 49.3
 Moggallāyanavyākaraṇa 48.1
 Mohavicchedani 26 4.—42 6
 Yamaka 16.6, 43.2
 Yamakavāṇṇanā 43 2
 Yasavaḍḍhanavattthu 43.1
 Yegavinicchaya 32 3
 Rasavāhini 36
 Rājādhirājavilāsini 43.5
 Rājovādavattthu 43.5
 Rūpasiddhi 45, 46 4, 47.11, 49.2
 Rūpārūpavibhāga 32.3
 Līnatthapakāsani 25.3
 Līnatthavāṇṇanā 25.3
 Līnatthasūdanī 47 8
 Lokappadīpasāra 40 1
 Vamsatthapakāsini *see* Mahāvamsa-Tīkā
 Vaccaṇatthajotikā 53.1
 Vaccavācaka 53 2
 Vajrabuddhi 18, 26.5
 Vāṇṇanī 44.5
 Vācakopadesa 47.11-
 Vinayagāṇḍhi 26 5
 Vinayagūḷhatthadīpani 33
 Vinayatthamañjūsā 32.2
 Vinaya-Piṭaka 1, 7, 22, 24
 Vinayavinicchaya 25 1; Tīkā thereon 32.3
 Vinayasamgaha 31, 43.1
 Vinayasamuṭṭhānadīpani 33
 Vinayālaṃkāra 43.1
 Vibhaṅga 16 2, 22
 Vibhāṭṭikābhavāṇṇanā 53.2
 Vibhāṭṭyattha-Tīkā or -dīpani 53 2
 Vibhāṭṭyatthappakeraṇa 53.2
 Vimaticchedani 26.4
 Vimativinodani p. 35 f.-n. 3
 Vīmānavattthu 12.6, 24, 25.3
 Visuddhimagga 22, 25.3
 Visativāṇṇanā 43.1
 Vuttodaya 53.1
 Samyutta-Nikāya 8, 10.3, 22
 Samvāṇṇanāyadīpani 53.2
 Samkhepaṭṭhakathā 18
 Saccasamkhepa 26.1; Tīkā thereon 32.3 & 4
 Saddatthabhedacintā 46.3, 47.9
 Saddanīti 45, 47.11, 50, 52.3
 Saddabindu 47.8
 Saddalakkhaṇa *see* Moggallāyana-vyākaraṇa
 Saddavutti 53.2
 Saddasāratthajālīnī 46.6
 Saddhammaṭṭhūtikā *see* ppajotikā
 Saddhammappakāsini 26 3
 Saddhammapajotikā 26.2
 Saddhammasamgaha 39.2
 Saddhammopāyana 41.1
 Saṃdesakathā 44.3
 Samantakūṭavāṇṇanā 36
 Samantapāsādikā 18, 22, 26.5, 31, 44.6
 Saṃbandhacintā 46.2
 Saṃmohavināsinī 47.7
 Saṃmohavinodani 22, 31
 Sarvajñānyāyadīpani 53.2
 Sahassavattthapṭhakathā p. 43 f.-n. 4
 Sahassavattthuppakaraṇa 36
 Sādhuvilāsini 43.5
 Sāratthadīpani 18, 31
 Sāratthappakāsini 22, 31
 Sāratthamañjūsā 31
 Sāratthavikāsini 47.7
 Sārasamgaha 39.1
 Sāsana-vamsa 44.6
 Simālaṃkārasamgaha 32 3; Tīkā thereon 33
 Simāvivāḍavinicchaya-kathā 44.4
 Suttaniddesa 46.1
 Suttanīyāta 12.5, 24
 Sutta-Piṭaka 1, 8—14
 Suttavibhaṅga *see* Vinaya-Piṭaka
 Suttasamgaha 19.3
 Sudhīramukhamāṇḍana 53.2
 Subodhālaṃkāra 53.1
 Sumaṅgalappasādanī 32.3
 Sumaṅgalavilāsini 22, 31

INDEX TO PART II, GRAMMAR OF PĀLI

Figures refer to *paragraphs* in Part II, pp. 61-231 excepting where page is specifically mentioned.

A. INDEX OF CONTENTS

- Ablative, in *-to* 77.2, of *a*-st. in *-asmā*, *-amhā* 78.2. Abl = Instr 77.2, 82.3, 90.1.3, 91, 92, 95, 96
- Accent 4. Influence on vocalism 19 ff.
- Accusative, = Nom. 82.3, 88.3, 90.1, 92.1, 96.3, 105. Acc. Pl. of *a*-st. in *-e* 78.3.7 and *-ān* 79.5
- Adverbs 102
- Aorist 158 ff.; of Pass. 168.3, 177
- Aspirates, represented by *h* 87; in cons.-groups 60. Retention of original Asp. 37. Aspiration of sound-groups, 51.1. Appearance and disappearance of Aspiration, 40, 62
- Aspiration *h* in consonant-groups 49; from sibilants 50, 54.4, 59.1, 2; in place of Aspirate 37, 60
- Assimilation 51; progressive 52, 53.1.3, 55; regressive 53 ff.
- Augment in Conditional 157 (with fn. on p. 189), in Aorist 158
- Case, look under Nom., Acc. etc.
- Causative, stems 178 ff.; Present 139; Fut. 151, 154.3; Aor. 165.2, 168.4; Passive 176.1. Part., Inf., Ger. look under these.
- Cerebrals 35, 38.6, 42, 43.3, 63.2, 64
- Comparison 103; comparatives in *-yas* 100.3
- Compositional Sandhi 33, 51.2, 53.3, 54.6, 55, 57, 58.4, 67
- Conditional 157
- Consonants, in free position 35 ff.; Gemination 5, 6, 32, 33; Influence of double-consonance on vowels 9, 10, 15. Cf. Cerebrals, Dentals, Gutturals, Labials etc.
- Consonant-groups look under Assimilation; retained 48; with *h* 49; with sibilant 50, 56, 57
- Contraction 26, 27, 28, 139
- Dative, = Gen. 77.2; Dat. of *a*-st. in *-āya* loc. cit.
- Declension, *a*-st. 78 ff.; *ā*-st. 81, *ī*, *ū*-st. 82 ff.; diphth -decl. 88; decl. of radical st. 89; *r*-st. 90 ff.; *n*-st. 92 ff., *nt*-st. 96 ff.; *s*-st. 99. Transfer to other decl. 83.5, 6, 86.5, 88.2, 90.4, 92.2, 93, 94, 95, 96, 99, 100
- Denominatives, stems 186 ff.; Pres. 130.6, 136.4, 138, 139; Fut. 151, 154.3; Aor. 165.2, 168.3.4; Part., Inf., Ger. look under these.
- Dentals 41.2, 3, 63.3
- Desideratives, stems 184; Pres. 130.6, 136.4, 138, 139; Fut. 151, 154.3; Aor. 165.2, 168.3.4; Part., Inf., Ger. look under these.
- Diphthongs 15; Diphth *e* 3, 9, 10, 25.1, 26.1, 27.5.6; Diphth. *o* 3, 10, 25.2, 26.2, 27.3, 28.2
- Dissimilation 43.2, 45, 46.4, 47.1, 63.3
- Double-constructions 105.1.3, 115.3, 126, 143, 162, 165.1, 170, 176.3 (with fn. 1 on p. 206), 182, 201, 206
- Dual, wanting in Pāli 77.1, 130
- Elision, of initial vowels 66.1; of final consonants 65.2
- Enclitics 20
- Feminine formations 95.2, 98, fn. 2 p. 138
- Final sound 66.2
- Future 150 ff.; Periphr. Fut. 172; Fut. Exact. 173.3
- Gender of Subst 76; confusion in 76, 78.7
- Genitive used as Dat. 77.2
- Gerund, in *-toā* 208-210; in *-tāna* 211; in *-ya* 212 ff.; in *-yāna* 214. Ger. in periphr. constructions 174.3, 5, 6
- Glide-sound *b* 51.5
- Gutturals 63.1
- Haplogy 65.2
- Imperative, of Present, look under this.
- Imperfect preserved in Aorists 159.II, IV
- Indicative, of Present, look under this.
- Infinitives in *-tave*, *-tuye*, *-tāye* 204.1-2; in *-tum* 205 ff.; Dat. of verbal nouns as Inf. 204.3
- Initial sound 66.1
- Instrumental Pl. in *-bhi* 79.6, 83.7, 92.1, 95.3, 115.5; Sg. of *a*-stems in *-ā* 78.1, in *-asā* 79.1, Pl. in *-ehi* 78.4, in *-e* = Skr. *-ais* 79.6. Instr. used as Abl. 77.2, 82.3, 90.1.3, 91, 92, 95, 96

Intensive, stems 185; Pres. 180.6; Fut. 154.4; Aor. 166 (end); for Part., Inf., Ger. look under these.
 Labials 46.1, 51.4
 Law of mora 5 ff.
 Liquids 43.1, 2, 44, 45; in sound-groups 52.3, 5, 53.2, 54
 Locative, of *a*-st. in *-asi* 79.2; of *ī*-st. in *-o* 83.3, 86.5
 Māgadhism 66. 2a, 80, 82.5, 98.3, 105.2, 110.2
 Medium 120, 122.2, 126, 129, 131.2, 133.1, 3, 135.2, 137, 138, 139, 140.4, 145, 146, 149; Fut. 150, 154.2; Cond. 157; Aor. 159.II, III, IV.
 Metathesis 47.2, 65.1
 Metre, influence on vocalism 32
 Modes 120; see Ind, Imp., Opt, Subj.
 Mutes 35, 36, 38; in consonant-groups 52.3, 4, 53.1, 55. Nasalisation 6.3 (with fn. 1 on p. 64). Nasal presents 135.3
 Nominal stems 75
 Nominative, Sg. of *a*-st. in *-e* 80.1; Pl. of *a*-stems in *-āse* 79.4, Neut. in *ā* 78.6. Nom. used as Acc. 82.3, 88.3, 90.1, 92.1, 96.3, 105.4; as Voc. 80.2, 82.5, 84, 96.3
 Numerals, Card. 114 ff., 117; Ord. 118; Distrib. 119.1; Fractional 119.2; Num-Adv., -Subst., -Adj. 119.3-6
 Oromatopoetic verbs 186
 Optative of Present, look under this.
 Palatals 40.1 a, 41.1; Palatalisation of sound-groups 55, 57
 Participles of Pres. Act. 97, 190; Med. in *-māna* 191, in *-āna* 192; of Fut. Act. 193; of Perf. Act. 100.2, 198; of Perf. Pass. in *-ta* 194-196, in *-na* 197; of Fut. Pass. in *-tabba* 199, 200, in *-aniya* 201, in *-ya* 202, in *-tāya*, *-tayya*, *-teyya* 208. Part. in periphr. formations 173, 174
 Passive, stems 175 ff., Pres. 136.4, Fut. 155.3, Aor. 168.3, 177, Part. look under this.
 Perfect, vestiges of in Pāli 171; Periphr. Perf. 173
 Periphrastic formations 120, 172, 173, 174
 Pluperfect 173.3
 Present, stems 130 ff., Indic. 121 f., Imp. 124-126, Opt. 127-129, Subj. 120, 123
 Expansion of *a*-flexion 120, 140, 142.3; of *e*-flexion 120, 139.2, 142.2, 147.1
 Expansion of Pres.-stem 120, 155, 165.2, 167 f., 176.2, 179.5, 181.1, 196, 199 f., 202 (end), 206, 210
 Pretonic syllable weakened 21

Primary endings in Aor. 161, 162.3
 Pronoun, Personal 104 f.; *sa*, *eśa* 106, 107.1; *ena* 107.2; *tya* 107.3; *tuma* 107.4; *ayam* 108; *amu(ka)* 109; Rel. 110; Interrog. 111; Indef. 111.1; Poss. 112.1; Refl. 112.2. Derived stems 112.3; Pron. Adj. 113
 Pronominal declension penetrating into Nom.-flexion 78.2, 3
 Reduction of Vowels 19, 23
 Relationship, terms of 91
 Samprasāraṇa 25
 Sandhi 66 ff.; frozen forms 66; Compositional S. 67; External S. 68 ff.; Vowel S. 69, 70, 71; Organic Sandhi-consonants 72; Inorganic Sandhi-consonants 73
 Sibilant 3, 35; in consonant-groups 50, 52.2, 3; 54, 56, 57, 59.1, 2. *s* from *ch* 59.2
 Sonants, represented by surds 39; in place of surds 38. Old son asp. preserved 37
 Stem, expansion of *a*-st. among Subst. 75, among Verbs 120, 140, 142.3; of *e*-st. among Verbs 120, 139.2, 142.2, 147.1
 Subjunctive of Pres, look under this.
 Suffix *-are* 122.2; *-ittha* 159.IV; *-emase*, *-emasi* 129; *-ittha* 159.III; *-tha* (= *-ta*) 125; 129, 157, 159.II, III; *-mase* 122.2, 126, 129; *-mu* 125, 128; *-mha* 159.III; *-mhase* 122.2; *-rum* 126, 159.II; *-re* 122.2, 159.II; *-vhe* 60; *-vho* 126; *-ssu* 126
 Svarabhakti 29-31; in Law of Mora 8; strengthened 3
 Surds see Sonants
 Syncope 20
 Vedic forms in P. 78.1, 4, 159.II, IV, 160.4, 204
 Vocative, of *a*-st in *-e* 80.2, of *ī*-st. in *-e* 83.4 Cf. Nom.
 Vowels, *a* into *e* 9; *a* from *ī* 12; *a* as Svarabhakti 31.1; *ā* from *aya*, *āya* 27.1, 2, from *avā* 27.4.—*ī* into *e* 10.2, 11; from *ī* 12, from *e* 15.1, 2; from *u* 19.3; *ī* from *yā* 25.1; from *āyī* 27.6, from *-iya* 27.7; *i* as Svarabhakti 30.—*ū* into *o* 10.2, 11; *u* from *ī* 12, 14; from *a* 15.3, 4; from *a* 19.2; from *i* 19.3; *ū* from *vā* 25.2; from *upa* 28.1; *u* as Svarabhakti 31.2.—Vowel-assimilation 16, 17; Vowel influenced by Consonant 18; Reduction of 19, 23; Syncope 20; Shortening and Lengthening 8, 21, 22, 32, 33, 82.4, 83.8, 86.3.—Nasal vowels 6.3, 32.2.—*ṛ*, *ṝ* in P. 12, 13, 14.—Vowel-strengthening 3

B. INDEX OF WORDS

- akam, akamha, akaram, ukā etc. see kar
 akalu 39.1
 akilāsu 39.1
 akuppa 15.8
 akkocchi 164
 akkhāti, akkhissam see khyā
 akkhī, accchī 56.1, 85
 agaman etc. see gam
 agaru, agalu 34
 agga 20
 aggahim etc. see grah
 aggi 82
 aggyantarāya, aggyāgāra 58.3, 67
 acārim etc. see car
 accayanti etc. see :
 acci 101
 acceka 27.6
 accha 12.1, 56.1
 acchati, acchatam 126, 135.2
 accharā 57.100.4
 accchi, akkhī 56.1, 85
 accchi = acci 62.1
 accchidā, accchindi, accchecchi see chid
 accchera, accchariya 27.5, 47.2, p. 91 f.
 n. 4
 ajini, ajesi see ji
 ajjuka 19.2
 ajjhena 26.1
 añña, -tara, -tama 119, 3—5
 aññāsīm etc. see jñā
 añña (1) 64.1; (2) 64.1, 194
 aññiyati 186.4, 188.3, 191
 aññhā, -āsi etc. see sthā
 aññha, addha 55, 119.2
 aññhatiya 65.2, 119.2
 aññhuññha 61.1, 119.2
 aññri etc. 166
 aññi 177
 aññhona 27.3
 attā 194
 attan 92, 112.2, 118.4
 atrajñ 53.2
 atriccha, -atā, -ati 53.2
 adam, addāsīm etc. see dā
 adam Pron., 22, 66.2b, 109
 addakkhim, addā, addasam, addasāsīm
 see dars
 addiyati, addita 176.1, 195
 addha, aññha 119.2
 addhan, -unā etc. 19.2, 92.3
 addhāna 92.2
 adhosi 163.3
 anāna 12.4
 anubhomi etc. 131; see bhū
 anumodīyanam 214
 anelaka 43.2
 antaradhāyati 31.1, 138
 antarāratī 46.3
 antovana 67
 anvadeva 54.5
 anvaya, anveti 54.5, 67; see :
 anvāya 212 (end)
 apamau see pā
 apatipucchā 27.2
 apattha, -am 159.III 161.2
 apara 113.7
 aparagoyāna 36
 aparāṇha 49.1
 apāpessam 157
 apāruta 13
 apucchasi 161
 appuyya 212
 apphotā 42, p. 87 f. n. 3
 apphoteti 52.2
 abbhāti, abbhūti etc. see barh
 abbuyha 212; see barh
 abhikkanta 33.1
 abhikkhamam p. 102 f. n. 1
 abhinā 27.2
 abhinham, -haso 59.1
 abhittavati see stu
 abhinabbajjīyātha 123
 abhimatthati 53.1
 abhiruyhita p. 230 f. n. 1
 abhivāṇa 62.2
 abhedī 177; see bhid
 amaññam etc. see man
 amu ha) 109
 amba 51.5
 ambāfaka 42.1, 51.5
 ambila 51.5
 ambuni Loc. Sg. 85
 amma 81.2
 amhanā 50.2, 92.1
 amhi 50.6; see as
 amhe, -hehi etc. 50.6, 104.1-4
 ayam Pron. 108
 ayya, ayyo 52.5, 79.8
 arañjara 17.2b, 45
 arahant 98.1
 ardh root, Pres. 125, 136; Fut. 155
 arodhi 177
 alattha, -am 159.III, 161.2
 alabbhaneyya 201
 alāpu 39.6
 alikā 23
 alla 64.1
 aliyati see li
 avāṇa 38.5
 avacam, -cāsi etc. see vac
 avassam 54.4
 avāpurati, -puraṇa 38.5, 39.6
 avidvā 100.2
 avekkhi see ikṣ
 avocam etc. see vac
 avhayati, -eti 138
 as root 50.6; Pres. 141.1; Aor. 159.
 IV
 asam Sg. Nom. neut. 97.2, 98.3
 asakkhim see śak
 asayittha, -am see si
 asu(ka) see adum
 asmā 50.2
 asmi see amhi, asme see amhe
 assu 85
 assu(m), assosi etc. see śru

- ahāsi* (1) 163.1; see *hā*; (2) 163.4; see *har*
ahimkāra 19.1
ahum, *ahuvā*, *-vāsi*, *ahosi*, *ahesum* etc.
 see *bhū*
āgu p. 72 f.-n. 4, 160 4
ācera 27 5, p. 91 f.-n. 4
ājira 24
āṇā, *āṇatti*, *āṇāpeti* etc. 63.2; see *ṇā*
ātumānaṃ p. 130 f.-n. 1
ādinnavā 198
ādiyati 136 4, 175.1; see *dā*
ādu, *ādo* 83 3
ānaṅka 58.1
ānāpeti 180.2; see *nī*
ānubhāva 24
āp root with *pra* (*pāpuṇāti*) 31; Pres. 148.
 2; Fut. 156.3, Aor. 169.4; Caus. 157,
 168.4, 178.1, Part. 204; Inf. 205,
 206; Ger. 210, 212
āpā 75
āpo, *āpe*, *āpaṃ* p. 127 f.-n. 4
ābhanti see *bhā*
āyūhata 87
ārammaṇa 45, 48
āroga, *-gya* 24, 53.3
Ālavī 38.6
ālārika 47.2
ālāhana 42.3
ālinda 24
āvatta 64.1
āvudha 46.1
āvuso 46.1
āveṇiya, *-ka* 36
āveḷā 11, 35, 88.5
āveḷine 95 2
ās root, Pres. 126, 129, 140.1; Part. 192
āsado, *-dā* 161; see *sad*
āsādum for *āsādītum* 65.2
āsi etc. see *as*
āha, *āhu*, *āhamso* 171
āhañhi 153.2
i root, Pres. 125, 140.3; Fut. 19.1, 54.4,
 150 151.3; Inf. 204, 205; Part. 190,
 194; Ger. 212
ikka 12.2, 56.1, 62 2
-ikkhisam, *-ikkhiya* see *iḥ*
iñj = *iṅg* 41.1
icchati etc. see *iḥ*; = *ipsate* 57.1, 184
iṇa 12.3
itara, *itaritara* 113.6
ittth 8, 29, 87.1
idāni 66.1
idha 37
Indapatta 62.2
ima Pron. st. 108
iriya, *-yati* 30 1
irubbedā, *iruvēda* p. 67 f.-n. 1
iḥ root (1) 57.1, 97.1; Pres. 128, 135.1;
 Aor. 167 2; Caus. 181.1; Part. 190,
 196; Inf. 206; Ger. 210 — (2) 179.3
ise Sg. Voc. 83.4; Pl. Acc. 83.6
issariya 15.2
iḥ root, Aor. 166; Ger. 13
uggāharati 56.2, 58.4
ugghāta 52 1
ucchaṅga 57
ucchādāna 57
ucchiṭṭha 57
ucchu 16 1 a, 56.1
uju, *uju* 12 3
uṇha, *uṇhisa* 50.3
uttara 113.8
uttiṭṭha 63.3
udayabbaya 54.6
uddāhu 22, 38.3
udiyati 52 5
udukkhala 6 2
udūḍha 66.1
udda 53 2
udrabhata, *-hata* p. 96 f.-n. 3
udraya, *udriyati* 53.2
upakkilittṭha *-hikilesa* p. 79 f.-n. 4
upaṭṭhāka 27.2
upaṭṭhissam 151; see *sthā*
upathēyya 39.5
uposatha 26.2
ubbatteti, *ubbāsiyati* 53.3
ubbigga 53.1.3
ubbimaya 53.3
ubbilla 15 1, 53 3
ubbilāvita 38 5
ubbūḷha(vant) = *udūḍha* 66.1
ubbeṭṭar 53 3
ubbham 59.3
ubhaya, *ubho* 114.2
ubhinnaṃ 15 1
ummā 24
ummujjā etc. 18.1
ummūleti 52.4
umhayati 29
uyyāna, *uyyutta* 55
Uruvelā 10
ulāra 42 3
uḷuḷha 17.2a, 42.3
uḷumpa p. 64 f.-n. 1
usabha 12.3
usu 16.1a
usumā, *usmā* 31.2, 50.4
usūya, *usuyyā* 16.1b
usūyati 188 1
ussāhina 57
ussada, *ussanna*, *ussava* 57
ussaya 58.3
ussahati 57
ussāpeti 58.3, 180.2
ussāva 15.4, 46.1
ussāha, *ussāsaka* 57
ussita 58.3
ussukka, *-kkati* 15.4, 57, 188.1
ussussati 57; see *śuṣ*
ussūra 57
ṛ = *upa* 28.1
ṛi = *ā* 66 1
ṛm 52.3
ṛhadet: 29.1, 139.2

ūhanti p. 76 f.-n. 5
ūhasana 28.1

eka 114.1
ekacca, -*ciya* 113.9
ekārassa 43.1
ekodi 33.3
eta Pron. st. 107.1
etase Inf. 204
eti see :
ettaka 27.7, 111.6
ettha 9
edi, *edisā* etc. 11, 43.1
ena, *na* Pron. st. 66.1, 107.2
eyya 140.3; see :
erisa, *erikkha* etc. 43.1
ela 43.2
elanā 44
elamūga 38.1
esa 107.1
esanā 27.2
esāna 192
essāmi, *ehisi* etc. see :

o=*ava* 26.2; =*apa* 28.2
oka 20
okkasati, *okkassa* see *karṣ*
Okkāka p. 66 f.-n. 1, 56.1, 62.2
Okkāmuḥka 10
ogayhitvā p. 230 f.-n. 1
oggata p. 76 f.-n. 7, p. 79 f.-n. 4
ojavam 96.2
ojā 10
oṭṭha (1) 5; (2) 10, 53.3
ottappa etc. 28.2
odhi 26.2
opadhika 3
oma 26.2
orodha 26.2
ovaraka 28.2
ovariyāna 214
osakkati 28.2 p. 97 f.-n. 4, 62.2
ossajjati p. 79 f.-n. 4

ka Pron. st. 111.1
kakudha 40.1b
kakka 52.3
kakkhaḥa 38.6
kakkhā 58.1
kaccha 56.1
kañcinam 111.1
kañṇā 81
kaṭhita 42.2, 53.3
kaḍḍhati 130
kaṇeru 47.2
kaṇḍuvati 46.1, 138.2
kaṇḥa 12.4, 30.5, 50.3
katama, *katara* 111.2.3
katāvin 198.8
kati 111.4
katte Sg. Voc. 90.5
kanta p. 218. f.-n. 2
kapoti 40.2b
kappara 16.1d
kabala, -*likā* 46.1
kammapiya, -*ṇṇa* 55

kamman 19.2, 94
kammāsa 52.3
kayirā, -*rati* 47.2, 149, 175.1; see 1. *kar*
 (1) *kar* root 'to do', Pres. 125, 126, 128, 129, 149; Fut. 19.1, 54.4, 150, 153.1; Cond. 157; Aor. 58, 159.III, 162.1, 166; Pass. 175.1; Caus. 178.2, 182.2; Part. 97.1, 2, 190, 191, 192, 194, 195, 198, 199.1, 201, 202; Inf. 204, 205, 206; Ger. 38.1, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213
 (2) *kar* root 'to strew', Pres. 134; Aor. 167.2; Caus. 181.1; Ger. 8, 210, 213
kar root 144
karṣ root p. 79 f.-n. 4, 134, 161, 212
kalp root 14, 126, 182
kalla 54.5
kallahāra p. 92 f.-n. 4
kavi=*kapi* 38.5
kaviṭṭha 38.5, 64.3
kasata 29
kasati see *karṣ*
kasāyati 186.5
kasāva 46.1
kasina, *kasira* 59.2
Kasmira 50.2
kassa 111.1
kassam 153.1; see (1) *kar*
kassaka 52.3
kahāpaṇa 21
kākakcchati 185
kākaṇṇikā 17.2b
kāḷusiya 30.2
kāsam 153.1; see (1) *kar*
kasāva 46.1
kāhasi, *kāhisi* etc. 19.1, 54.4, 153.1; see
 (1) *kar*
kim 111.1
kiñcana p. 149 f.-n. 1
kiṇṇāti etc. see *kri*
kiṇṇa 54.5
kiṇṇa 12.4
kittaka, *kittāvatā* 27.7, 111.6
kipilla, -*likā* 47.1
kibbisa 52.3
kira 45
kirati etc. see (2) *kar*
kilaṇṇa 34
kilāsu 39.1
kissā, *kismim*, *kimhi* 34, 111.1
kilittum 205
kiva, -*vatikā* 46.1, 111.5
kukku 16.1a, 62.2
kukkusa 16.1 a, 19.2
kucchita 57
-kujjiya 213
kuḍḍa, *kuḍumala* 35
kuḍḍa (1) 53.3; (2) 62.2
-kuṇṇhita 39.1
kutia, *kuttaka*, *kutti* 14
kuthita p. 74 f.-n. 1
kunnadī 24
kup root 122.1
kubbati etc. see (1) *kar*
kubbara 6.2
kummagga 24

kummi, kuru, kurute see (1) *kar*

kurūṅga 17.2a

kurūra 31.2

Kusināra 36

kusita 39.4

kusubha, kuss- 24

kūṭaṭṭha 64.2

ke=ko 111.1

kevatṭa 64.1

ko=kva 25.2

koccha 10, 62.1

koṭṭha 27.8

kosajja p. 70 f.-n. 1, 39.4

Kosya 36

kram root, Pres. 130.1; Fut. 154; Cond.

157.1; Aor. 166; Caus. 178.2 c; Int.

185; Ger. 209, 211, 212

kri root, Pres. 21, 145; Fut. 156; Aor.

196.4; Inf. 205, 206; Ger. 210

kriḍ root, Inf. 205

kvaṇ, kvac 53.3

kṣar root 56.2

kṣā root 56.2, 191

kṣi root 136.4, 197

kṣip root, Pres. 134; Inf. 206; Ger. 210

khajja 202

khaya, chaya 56.1c

khattar 90.4, 5

-khattum 22.1, 33.1, 40.1a, 66.2b, 119.3

khan root, Part. 194; Ger. 212

-khanna, -khandiyāna see *skand*

Khandhapura 62.1

khamaṇiya 201

khamā, chamā 56.1c

khalati 52.2

khallāṭa 54.5

khād root, Pres. 122, 128, 130; Fut. 154;

Aor. 166; Part. 36, 190, 195, 201,

202; Ger. 209, 214

khāyita 36

khiḍḍā 62.1

khiyati 136.4; see *kṣi*

khīla 40.1a

khujja 40.1a

khuddā 40.2b, 56.1a

khudda (1) 15.4; (2) p. 100 f.-n. 1

kheṭṭa 38.6

kho 20

khyā root, Pres. 125, 140; Fut. 151.1; Part. 190

gacch- see *gam*

garh- see *grah*

gadrabha 65.1

gandha 61.1

gam root, Pres. 122.1, 128, 138.1; Fut.

65.2, 150, 155; Aor. 159 II, IV, 165.

I, 167; Caus. 178.2 b; Int. 185; Part.

190, 191, 196, 199.1; Inf. 204.1a,

205; Ger. 209, 211, 212

(1) *gar* root 'to devour', Pres. 134; Aor. 167; Ger. 210

(2) *gar* root 'to wake up', Pres. 20, 142.4; Fut. 156; Aor. 169.2; Part.

193, 191, 196; Ger. 206, 210

garaha, -hata 31.1

garu 34

gardh root, Pres. 130; Part. 196

galoci 11

gava 88.3

gahāya 212; see *grah*

gahāyati 146, 186.5; see *grah*

gahita, gaheti etc see *grah*

(1) *gā* root 'to go', Aor. 160.1

(2) *gā* root 'to sing', Pres. 138, Aor. 168.3; Caus. 181.1, Part. 194, 196; Ger. 210

gijjh- see *gardh*

gim 30.5, 66.1

gimha 50.4

gir-, gil- see (1) *gar*

gure=gurehi 79.7

gunnam 15.3, 88.3

gumba 51.5, 65.1

guh root 133.3

geruka 19.3

gelanā 3

go, goṇa 88.3

grah root, Pres. 125, 139.2, 146.2; Fut.

151.3, 156; Aor. 165.2, 166, 169.4,

Paas. 175.3, Caus. 178.2a, 181.1,

Den. 186.5; Part. 190, 191, 195, 200;

Inf. 206; Ger. 209, 210, 212

ghams see *ghars*

ghammati 37

gharṣ root, Pres. p. 95 f.-n. 2, 130.5;

Part. 200; Ger. 210

ghāṭeti see *han*

ghāyati see *ghrā*

gheppati 10

ghrā root, Pres. 132; Ger. 210

cakkavāṭa 38.6

cakkhu 101

cakkhumant 96

caṅkamati 185, 195

caccara 46.2

caṅcalati 185

catu 115.4

catukka 62.2, 119.6

candimas 19.1, 100.1

car root, Pres. 129, 130; Aor. 166; Part. 195, 197

carima 19.1

-calāyati 186.5

cāpāto 77

cī root, Pres. 131, 147.1; Fut. 151.3; Aor. 169.4; Part. 190; Inf. 205; Ger. 210, 213

-cikkicchatī 184, 190; see *cit*

cikkhalla p. 73 f.-n. 2

cisa 212; see *cit*

cicciṭṭayati, cīṭṭiṭṭi 20, 186.4

cīṇa 197; see *car*

cit root, Des. 41.2, 181.1, 184; Part. 180; Ger. 212

citra, citta 53.2

- cin- see *cī*
 cint root, Pres. 189.1; Aor. 165.2; Ger. 213
 cinha, cihana 49.1
 cirāyati 138, 186.1, 190, 195, 209
 cunda(hāra) 41.1
 cūla, culla 62.2
 ce- see *cī*
 Ceta, Ceti, Cetiya, Cecca 39.4

 cha 40.1a, 67, 72.1, 115.5
 chaka(na) 40.1a
 chakala 39.1
 chakka 52.1
 chaḍḍūna 211
 chaḍḍeti, -ḍḍāpeti 64.1, 178.1, 182.2
 chaṇa, khaṇa 56.1c
 chaddan 53.1
 chabbayṇa, chabbāṇi 53.3
 chamā 56.1c
 chāta 57
 chāpa(ka) 39.6, 40.1a
 chārīkā 56.1b
 chijji- see *chid*
 chid root, Pres. 144; Fut. 152, 156; Aor. 161, 164, 168.3, 169.3; Pass. 55, 176.3; Caus. 179.3, 181.1, 182.2; Part. 191, 196, 197, 200; Inf. 205, 206; Ger. 209, 210, 213
 chuddha p. 100 f.-n. 1
 checchan, -ati 152; see *chid*
 chejjapessāmi 181.1
 chedātabba 200
 cheppā 40.1a

 jagg- see 2. *gar*
 jagghitāye 204c
 jaṅgamati 185; see *gam*
 jaccā=jātiyā 55, 86.2
 jaṇṇam, -ā 145; see *jñā*
 jaṇṇuka 64.3
 jan root, Pres. 122.2, 129, 138; Aor. 168.4; Caus. 178.2b; Part. 194, 199.2; Ger. 209
 jambonada 11
 jammanam 94
 jay- see *jī*
 jar- root 52.5; Pres. 122.2, 137; Fut. 155; Aor. 159 IV, 168.3; Caus. 181.1; Part. 191, 197
 jalābu 46.1
 jalogi p. 88 f.-n. 7
 jalla, -kā 40.2a
 jah- see *hā*
 jāgarati see 2. *gar*
 jāti 86
 jān- see *jñā*
 jāno=jānam 97.2
 jāpeti 180.2; see *jī*
 jāy- see *jan*
 jī root, Pres. 26.1, 131, 136.4; Fut. 151.3, 154, 156; Aor. 163.2, 169.4; P. 175.1; Caus. 180.2; Des. 184; Part. 194, 196, 198, 199.2, 203; Inf. 206; Ger. 209, 210
 jiguerhā, -ati 18.2, 57, 184, 190, 195, 209
 jigīvati, -īvati 184; see *jī*
 jighacchati 184, 195
 jin- see *jī*
 jīmha 49.1
 jiyā 30.2
 jīyy- see *jar*
 jivhā 49.1
 jīy-, jīr-, jīrāp- see *jar*
 jīv root, Pres. 125, 127, 128, 130.1; Part. 190, 191; Inf. 205
 juhati, juhāmi 142.3
 jūhāti, -to p. 177 f.-n. 3
 je- see *jī*
 jotati 130
 jñā root 63.2; Pres. 128, 145; Fut. 151.1, 156; Aor. 163.1, 169.4; Pass. 136.4, 175.1, 176.1; Caus. 180.1, 181.1; Part. 97.2, 190, 191, 194, p. 218 f.-n. 3, 200, 202, 203; Inf. 205; Ger. 209, 210, 212, 213
 jval root 41.2; Caus. 178.2 c; Int. 185, 191.

 jhallikā 34
 jhāyati, jhāpeti, jhāma 56.2, 191; see *kṣā*
 jhāyati see *dhya*

 natti 53.1
 nāna 42.5
 nāya 55
 nāyati, nissam see *jñā*

 ṭhap-, ṭhass-, ṭhal-, ṭhāy- see *sthā*
 ṭhāti, ṭhāna etc. 64.2

 dāmsa 42.3
 das, dāms see *daś*
 dah see *dah*
 dāha 42.3
 ḍeti 131.1

 ta Pron. st. 105
 tam=tvām 104
 tamyathā 105.2
 takka 53.2
 takkara 62.2
 Takkasilā 62.2
 Takkāriye Sg. Voc. 80.2
 takkola, Takkola 47.1
 tacchati 56.1b
 taṇhā 80.5, 50.3
 tatiya 23, 118
 -tatta see *tras*
 tattaka 111.6
 tattha, tatra 53.2, 62.1
 tadam 105.3
 tadaminā 108.1
 tan root, Pass. 175.3, 177
 tabbhamsika 53.3
 tabbiparita 67
 tamba, Tambapanni 51.5
 tar root, Pres. 180.4; Aor. 166; Part. 197; Inf. Caus. 206; Ger. 210, 213, 214
 taruṇa, taluṇa 44
 tasāṇā 80.5, 50.3
 -tasita, tasitāya see *tras*
 tasmātiha 73.5

- tāy- see *tun* and *trū*
tārayetum 206; see *tar*
tāvattimsā 46.1
tālavayā 64.1
tī=*iti* 66.1
tī Numeral 115.3
tīkicchati 41.2, 126, 181.1, 184, 190, 205;
 see *cit*
tikkha, *tikkhā* 58.3
tiṭṭh- see *sthā*
tiṇṇanāṇ 115.3
tiṇṇa 59.1
tittikkhati 184
tiṇṇi p. 89 f.-n. 3
tipu 34
tipukkhalā 41
tippa 61.2
tiḍḍa 61.2
tiṇṇissā 18.1 c with i.-n. 6, p. 69
timbaru 34
tiṇṇayā 66.2 b
tiṇṇāṇṇa 46.1
tiṇṇa 25.1
tiṇṇi 66.2
tutta 15.3
tud root, Pres. 134; Part. 197
tuma Pron. st. 107.4
tumhe, -*hehi* etc 50.4, 104
tuyham 60
turita p. 74 f.-n. 1
tuṣ root 125
tekkicchā 34
tepiṭṭaka 3
terasa, *teṭasa* 26.1, 44, 116.2
teṭisa 26.1, 116.2
tyamhi 107.3
iras root, Part. 62.2, 194, 196, 203
trā root, Pres. 188
ivam 104

thaketi, *thakana* 39.1
thaneti, -*ita* 52.2
tharu 57
-thavati 140; see *stu*
thāmasā, -*mena* 94
thī=*stithi* 29, 87.1 c
thīna 25.1
thunāti, -*niṇṇsu* 149, 169.4
thusa 40.1 a
thera 27.5
theva 38.5

dakkh- see *dar*
dakkhina 56.1 a
dakkhineyya 10
dajj- see *dā*
daṭṭha 42.3; see *das*
daṭṭhā, *dāṭhā* 58.3
daḍḍha 42.3, 64.3; see *duh*
dattupajivin 197
dad- see *dā*
daddara p. 86 f.-n. 3
daddallati 41.2, 185, 191
daddula 44
dandha p. 84 f.-n. 1
Damā 46.4

dammi see *dā*
darś root, Pres. (*passati*) 125, 128, 136.2;
 Frq. 19.1, 32.2, 152, 155; Aor. 161.3,
 162.3, 164, 165.1, 166, 168.3, 170;
 Pass. 175; Caus. 6.3, 176.1; Part.
 97.2, 100, 190, 191, 194, 199.1, 201,
 203; Inf. 204, 205, Ger. 59.4, 209,
 210, 213
das (*ḍas*) root 42.3; Pres. 133.2; Caus.
 181.1
-dassivā 100
dasso=*dāsiyo* 86.2
dah (*ḍah*) root 42.3, 64.3; Pass. 175.2;
 Part. 191, 194
dah-, see *dha*
daha=*hrada* 47.2
dā root, Pres. 125, 143; Fut. 150, 151.1;
 Cond. 157; Aor. 159.1, 168, 169.2,
 170; Pass. 186.4, 175.1; Caus. 180.
 1; Des. 180.6, 184; Part. 190, 191,
 194, 197, 199.1, 202; Inf. 204, 205;
 Ger. 209, 210, 212
dāḥhā 42.3, 58.3
dāta 7
dāni 66.1
dābbi 7
dāya, *dāta* 46.1
dālemu 125
dāhi 150; see *dā*
dī, *dī-* 21
diḥucchati 41.2
dighañña 41.2
diṇṇhati, -*re* 130.6, 184; see *dā*
dindima, *deṇḍima* 41.3
dinna 197; see *dā*
diṇṇāḍḍha, *diṇ-* 46.1
diṇṇā 88.4
dis root, Pres. 134; Caus. 179.3; Ger. 210
disvā 59.4; see *darś*
dighāyu 101
du- 21
duka 119.6
dukkha p. 95 f.-n. 1
duccarita 62.2
dujvika 21
duṭṭiya 23
duttara 62.2
dubbuṭṭhika 52.5
dubbhati, -*dubbhika* p. 104 f.-n. 2
dubhaya 114.2
duyyati 49.1
dullabha 52.5
durannaya 54.5
duvidha 21
dūseti 179.5
deṇḍima, *dindima* 41.3
dehi etc. 143; see *dā*
dehani 45
dosa, *dosanīya* 25.3
dosno 59.2, 68.3
dohala, -*imi* 42.3
dvi-, *di-* 21
dvī Numeral 114.2
dvīdhā 58.4
dvinnam 15.1
dvīpadam Pl. Gen. 89

- doiha* 25.1
doejhu 55
dvēdhā 53.4
dvēdhā 42.4

dhāṇha 62.2
dhānta 53.8
dhamma 78
dhā root, Pres. 37, 123, 125, 112.2; Fut. 151.3, 156; Aor. 165, 169.2; Pass. 89.5, 175.1; Caus. 180.1, 181.1; Part. 190, 191, 192, 194, 196, 199.1, 2, 202; Inf. 204, 205, 206; Ger. 210, 212
dhātā 91.3
dhā root 125, 147.3
dhe- see *dhā*
dhenu 86
dhovati 34, 180
dhvā root, Pres. 138; Part. 190; Inf. 206

na Fron. sb. 66.1, 107.2
nagga 80.5
nangula 45
naṅguṭṭha p. 89 f.-n. 2
naṅgula 45
nacc- see *nari*
namakkāra 62.2
najjā, *jjo*, *-jjāyo* 55, 86.2
nattar 91.1
nadi 86
namassati 188.2; Pres. 136.4; Part. 190, 191
nāmeti 178.2c
nay- see *nā*
nari root, Pres. 126, 136.1; Aor. 168.3; Part. 190
nalāṭa 45
navati 19.2
naś root Pres. 125, 136.1; Cond. 157
nāhā-, *nāhāy-* see *snā*
nāhāna 50.5
nahāpita 90.4
nahāru, *nāru* 46.3, 50.5
nāvā 88.2
nekkha, *nekkha* 10, 52.2
nigrodha 21, 53.2
nighaṇṇasi 88.1
nighaṇḍu 61.1
ninika 25.1
niccala 62.2
nicchiya 213; see *ci*
nicchubhāti 53.4
niḍḍa 6.2
ninna 52.4
nippesika 62.2
nibbāy- see *vā*
nibbhiḍḍi, *nibbind-* see *2. vi*
nimujjā etc. 18.1
niya 86
niyy- see *nā*
niyyāti etc., *niyyāsa* 52.5
nisinna 17.2d, 197; see *sad*
nī root, Pres. 128, 131.1, 136.4; Fut. 151.3, 155; Aor. 168.2, 167.1; Pass. 175.1; Caus. 180.2; Part. 191, 194, 199.1, 202; Inf. 204, 205; Ger. 210

nulḥubhāti-, *hāti* 16.1 a, 37
nud root, Pres. 131; Part. 197; Ger. 212
ne see *nā*
nekkha, *nekkha* 10, 52.2
Nerāṇḍurā 43.2
nh- see *nah-*

pakiriya 8; see *2. kar*
pakkhata-, *ṭṭhita* 42.2 with f.-n. 6 on p. 87
pakkuttanta-, *ṭṭhita* p. 87 f.-n. 6
pakkhuma 58.3
pagecutaram 103.2
paggharati 56.2; see *har*
pac root 130.1, 175.3, 181.1, 199.2
paccosukhāti 28.2, p. 97 f.-n. 4, 62.2
-paṇ- see *pad*
Pojjunna 23
paññatta p. 218 f.-n. 3
paññavanti 28
paññā, *paññāya* 53.1
paññāsa 48
pañña, *paññipañṇi* 50.1
paṭamga 42.1
paṭi, *paṭi* 42.1
paṭikkamma 186.1
paṭikkhā 38.1
paṭigacca 38.1, 212
paṭivissa 46.1
paṭivissaka 15.1
paṭisennyati 188.3
paṭṭhāya 64.2
paṭhama 42.2
paṭhamatarāṇi 103.2
paṭhavi-, *paṭhari* etc. 12.4, p. 70 f.-n. 2, 42.2
paññarasa-, *paññāsa* 48, 63.2, 116.2
paññika-, *pāsāṇi* 55.2
patamga p. 87 f.-n. 4
patara 39.4
pati see *paṭi*
patitāmi 172
patibbata 54.6
patissallāna 27.1
patihay- 187.1, 191, 192, 195
patha 93.4
pathi Sg. Loc. 89
pad root, Pres. 125, 136.1; Fut. 155; Cond. 157; Aor. 161, 166, 168.3; Caus. 178.2a, 181.1; Part. 197, 199.2; Ger. 210, 212
padā Sg. Instr. 89
pana 34
pantha 93.4
pannarasa-, *paññ-* 48
pappuyya-, *pappoti* etc. see *āp*
papphāsa 34
pabbaja-, *babbaja* 39.6
pabbe-, *pabbesu* 94
pamādaṣṣam 170; see *mad*
pamādo 161.5; see *mad*
pamha 59.1
payirud- 47.2
par root, Pass. 52.5, 168.3, 175.2; Caus. 178.2 a, 182.2; Part. 197
para 113.7

- parinibbāyi*, -bbāhi: see *iā*
parippoheti, -saka 62.1
paribbaya 54.6
parima 19.1
pariāha 42.8
parisā 66.2
palasati, -pil- 31.1; see *plu*
palāpa 39.6
palāy, *pale-* p 74 f. n. 4, 139.1, 150, 206
palikunāhita, *palig-* 39.1
palikka, -gha 39.2
palikhanati 44
paligedha, -dhi 10
palissajati 44, 54.4
palujjati 44
pale- see *palāy*
palokine 95.2
pallaṅka 54.5
palattha 51.5
pavissāmi, -sissāmi 63.2, 155
paveccati p. 186 f. n. 2
pasada 12.1, 38.3
pasibbaka 15.1
pasuta 19.3
pass- see *darś*
passo = *passam* 97.2
pahamsati 37
pā root, Pres. 125, 132; Fut. 151.1, 155;
 Aor. 163.1, 165.1, 167.1; Caus.
 180.3; Des. 184; Part. 190, 202; Ger.
 209, 210
pākāṭa 33.1
pācittiya p. 75 f. n. 1
pāceti 39.3
pāṭibhoga 24
pāṭiyekka 24
pāṭihira 27.6
pāṇine 95.2
pātu 39.4
pātubhāva 67
pāto 66.2a
pāniya 23
pāpattha see *apattha*
pāpay- see *āp*
pāpiṭṭhatara 108.1
pāpiyas 100.3, 103.1
pāpissika 103.1
pāpuṇ- see *āp*
pāpuraṇa 19.2
pāmimsu 163.1; see *mā*
pāyāsi, -yimsu 163.1; see *yā*
pātāyika p. 83 f. n. 8
pāruta 13
pārupati, -pana 47.2
pārepata 34
pāvā 160.4
pāvacaṇa 38.1
pāvusa 12.3
pāvekkhi 164; see *viś*
pāsani 58.2
pāsati 151.1; see *pā*
pāketi, -si see *hi*
pi 66.1
piṇṇaka 55
piṇḍadāvika 46.1
pīlar 91
pitto 77.2, p. 129 f. n. 2
pihiyati 39.5; see *dhā*
pipatam 132; see *pā*
pipphala 62.1
pitakkhu 30.4
pilandhana, -ti 37, 43.2
pilar, *pilāp-* see *plu*
piv- see *pā*
pukhusa 17.2 a
pucck- see *prach*
puñjati 61.1
putṭha 194; see *prach*
puttāni 76
puttimā 19.1
puthu 22
puthujjana 17.2 a
puna, *puno* 34, 66.2
pubba (1) 46.1; (2) 113.8
pubbanha 49.1
puman 93.5
Puripādada p. 69 f. n. 1
purisa 29, 30.3
pure 66.2
pūjay- Pres. 139; Fut. 151.3; Aor. 168.4;
 Pass. 176.1; Part. 200, 201
pūr- see *par*
pūva 38.5
pekhuna 19.2
pettika 6.2
peḷā 35
poṇa 26.2
pothujjanika 3
posa 30.3
posatha 66.1
posāvanika, -ya 36
prach root, Pres. 122.2, 126, 128, 134;
 Fut. 155; Aor. 167; Pass. 176.2;
 Caus. 181.1; Part. 191, 192, 194, 196,
 199.2; Inf. 205, 206; Ger. 211, 212
plu root 31.1, 179.4
pharasu 40.1 a
pharusu 40.1 a
phala (*gaṇḍa*) 40.1 a
phalāphala 33.1
phalika 38.6
phalu 40.1 a
phass- see *sparś*
phassa 52.2
phārusaka 40.1 a
phāḷibhaddaka 40.1 a
phāsuka 62.1
phāsukā 40.1 a
phutṭha 194 see *sparś*
phulaka 40.1 a
phus- see *sparś*
phusta 40.1 a
phussa 40.1 a
pheggu 9
lattimsa 53.3, 116.2
bandh root, Pres. 146.4; Fut. 154.3; Aor.
 169.4; Pass. 175.3; Caus. 154.3;
 Inf. 206; Ger. 210, 213
bappa 62.2
babbu(ka) 62.2

- babbhara* 20.
barh root 6 2, 128, 167, 194, 212
bavhābādha, *bavhōdaka* 49. 1
bārāsa, *bāvīsati* 53. 3, 116. 2
bilāra, *-rikā*, *-la* 45
billa, *bella* 54. 5
buḥh- see *budh*
buddha, *vuddha* 46. 1, 64. 1
budh root 136 1, 181. 1, 192
bunda 62 2
bubbukkhati 184, see *bhuḥ*
bella, *billa* 54 5
brahant, *brahā* 13
brahman 19. 2, 92. 2, 3
brū root 125, 141. 2, 159. IV
brūheti 13

bhuḥ, *bhañj* root, Pres. 144; Fut. 156 2;
 Part. 197, 199. 2; Inf. 206
bhajeti 139. 2
bhaṇ root 126, p. 180 f.-n. 1
bhadda, *bhadra* 53. 2
bhante 98. 8
bhar root, Pass. 52. 5, p. 205 f.-n. 2, 191
bhavant 98 3
bhasia 40, 1 a, 52. 2
bhasiā 52. 2
bhasma 50. 6
bhassati 136. 1
bhā root 140. 2
bhākuṭṭika 3
bhātar 91. 2, 3.
bhāy- see *bhī*
bhās root 'to speak' 122. 2, 126, 128
bhāsare 122. 2
bhikkhu 82, *-ate* 82. 5
bhikkhāra, *-gāra* 61. 2
bhijj- see *bhid*
bhid root, Pres. 144; Fut. 152, 156 2; Aor.
 161. 1 b, 168. 3, 169. 3; Pass. 136. 4,
 177; Caus. 181. 1; Part. 190, 197,
 199. 2; Ger. 209, 210, 212
bhūdivāla 38. 5
bhiyyo 18. 2, 103. 1
bhisa 40. 1 a
bhisakka 63. 1
bhisi 40. 1 a
bhī root 138, 168. 3, 179. 4
bhīrati Pass. from *bhar*
bhuḥ root, Pres. 128, 144; Fut. 152, 156;
 Aor. 169. 3; Caus. 179. 1; Des. 184;
 Part. 190, 191, 198, 199. 2, 201, 202;
 Inf. 205, 206; Ger. 209, 210
-bhuṇāti 131. 2; see *bhū*
bhuvā Sg. Loc. 86 5
bhusa 40. 1 a
bhū root, Pres. 26. 2, 37, 39. 6, 122. 2,
 126, 131. 2; Fut. 27. 5, 151. 3,
 154. 2; Cond. 157; Aor. 162. 2,
 163. 3, 165. 1, 167, 170; Pass.
 175. 1; Caus. 179. 4; Part. 190, 191,
 199. 1, 200, 202; Inf. 204. 1 b, 206;
 Ger. 209, 210, 212
bhecchati 152; see *bhid*
bhokkham 152; see *bhuḥ*

bhotā etc. 98. 3
-bhoti, *-bhossam* etc. see *bhū*

makasa 47. 2
makkhikā 56. 1 a
maga 12. 4
maghavan 93. 3
manikuna 6. 3
macca 58. 2
maccharin 57
macchariya, *-era* 27. 5, p. 91 f.-n. 4
maji- see *mad*
majjhanta 62. 2
majjhima 19 1
maññ see *man*
maṭṭa, *maṭṭha* 62. 2
meta 42. 1
matameyya p. 176 f.-n. 1
-matthati 53. 1
matthaluṅgā 17. 2 c
mad root, Pres. 126, 136. 1; Aor. 161.
 1 b, 169. 3, 170; Inf. 206; Ger. 210
madhupā 66. 2 b
man root, Pres. 129, 136. 1, 139. 2, 149;
 Fut. 155; Aor. 159. II, 161, 168. 3;
 Des. 46. 4, 184; Inf. 205; Ger. 206
manam 66. 2 b
mantacho 126
manāy- 196. 3, 195
mamīṃkāra 19. 1
mayam 104. 2
mayūḥha, *mayūra* 27. 8 (with f.-n. 3 on
 p. 76)
mar root, Pres. p. 95 f.-n. 4, 122. 2, 137;
 Fut. 155. 3; Caus. 176. 1, 178. 2 a,
 182 2 a; Part. 191, 193; Inf. 204. 1 b,
 206, 207
marīyādā 30. 1
maruvā 31. 2
maṭṭa 54. 5
massu 50. 2
mahemase 129
mā root, Pres. 146. 3; Aor. 163. 1; Part.
 202; Ger. 210
Māgandīya 88. 1
mātar 91
māttito 77, p. 129 f.-n. 2
māmaka 112. 1
miga 12. 4
migarā 46. 1
miṇḍā p. 65 f.-n. 4, 18. 2
-mināti, etc. see *mā*
miyy-, *miy-* see *mar*
milakkha, *-kkhu* 34
milāca 62 2
Milinda 43. 2
missa 54. 4
mihita, *sita* 50. 6
mu root 18
-mukka 197; see *muc*
mukkhara 24
mugga 52. 1
-mugga 197
muc, *muñc* root, Pres. 128, 135. 3, 136. 4;
 Fut. 152, 155; Aor. 167. 2, 168. 3;

Pass. 175. 3; Caus. 181. 1; Part. 190, 196, 197; Inf. 206; Ger. 209, 210
mucalinda 84
muccati, muccheti 62. 2 with f.-n. 4 on p. 104
muta, muti 18. 1
mutiṅga 23, 39. 4
mudatā 19. 3
muddhan 92. 1, 2
muḷāla, -lā 12. 3 43 3
muh root 191, 201
mejati 136. 1
mettika 6. 2
meraya 23
mokkh- see *muc*
motabba, motar see *mu*
-modathavho 126
momuha, -hata 37, 185
mora 27. 8

ya Pron. st. 110
yakanam 94
yaj root 66. 1, 128, 194, 205
yajñam 205; see *yaj*
yam root 133
yasmātiha 73. 5
yā root, Pres. 138, 140. 2; Aor. 163. 1, 168. 3; Caus. 180. 1; Inf. 204. 1 a; Ger. 210
yāgū 27. 4
-yādeta, -yādāpeti 38. 3, 178. 2, 182. 2, 200
yāpeti, yāpaniya 180. 1, 201
yājñā 66. 1, 194; see *yaj*
yuj root, Pres. 144; Aor. 169. 3; Pass. 176. 2; Caus. 179. 3, 182. 2; Part. 194
yuvan 93. 2
ye=yam 110. 2
yeva 66. 1

raṁsi, rasmi 50. 2, 65. 1
racchā, rathiyā 55
rajete 204 1 a
rajati 136. 1, 206
ratto 86. 5
ratana 66. 1
-ratāyam 86. 5
ratyā -o 58. 3, 86. 2
randha 53. 1
ram root 126, 165. 1
-rasa=-dasa 43. 1
rasmi see *raṁsi*
rasa 49. 2
rahada 47. 2, 49. 2
rājan 92
rājula 19. 3
rāmaṇeyya 10
ric root 144, 156, 210
-risa, rikha 43. 1, 112. 3
ru root 140. 1, 169. 1
rukha 13
ruo root 122. 2, 128, 136. 2, 179. 3, 181. 2
ruṇṇa, roṇṇa 197
ruda, ruta 38. 3
rudda p. 88 f.-n. 6
-rumbhati, -rumhati, -rundhati 60, 144

rummavati 53. 1
rummavāsi, rummi p. 96 f.-n. 2
ruh root, Pres. 133. 3; Aor. 167; Caus. 180. 2, 182. 2; Ger. 210, 212 with f.-n. 1 on p. 230
ruhira 37
rūpa 78
roṇṇa see *ruṇṇa*

lakanaha, laketi 39. 1
lag root, Pres. 136. 2; Caus. 179. 5, 182. 2; Part. 197; Ger. 210
lacch- 150, 152; see *labh*
laṇṇāya 203
laddhā Aor. 159. III see *labh*
laṭṭhi(kā) 46. 3
lapetave 204 1 a
labh root, Pres. 121, 122. 2, 124, 126-129; Fut. 150, 152; Cond. 157, Aor. 159. III, 161. 2, 166; Caus. 178. 2 a; Part. 194, 201; Inf. 205; Ger. 209, 210
lahu(ka) 37
lākhā 5
lāpa, lāpu 39. 6
lālappati 185
lāyita, -tva 46. 2
Lāle 38. 6
lip, limp root, Pres. 135. 3; Caus. 181. 1; Part. 190, 199. 2; Ger. 210
li root 130. 5, 167
li root 136. 1, 163. 3, 197, 210
lujjati 44, 136. 4, 175. 3
ludda 15. 4, 44
ludda(ka) 62. 2
-lubbha 212
lūkha 44
lūna 197
leḍḍu 62. 2
loṇa 26. 2
lōda 44, 62. 2
loma, roma 44, 94
loluppa 185
lohita, rohita 44

va=iva, eva 66. 1
vaka 12. 1
vakkh- see *vac*
vac root, Pres. 136. 4; Fut. 152; Aor. 162. 4, 165. 1; Pass. 175. 3; Des. 184; Part. 66. 1, 191, 194; Inf. 204. 1 a, 205; Ger. 209
vacch see *vas*
vajira 30. 3
vajjam 143 e
vakketi' ammi p. 203 f.-n. 1, 173. 2
vaṭṭamsa(ka) 42. 1, 66. 1
vaṭuma 58. 2
-vaṭṭa 62. 2
vaṭṭa, vaṭṭati 64. 1; see *vatt*
vaḍḍh, see vaddh
vaḍḍhi, vuddhi 12. 4, 64. 1
vaṇṇibhaka 46. 1
vaṇṇa 64. 1
vata 54. 6
vatt- see *vatt*

rodh- see *śudh*
sapāku 25. 2
sapp- see *śrap*
soppa 25. 2, 53. 1
sobbha 25. 2, 53. 2
soruta p. 98 f.-n. 1
sovatthika, sosanika 3
sossati, sossam, sossā see *śin*
shand root 147, 214
stan root 149, 169. 4
star root p. 181 f.-n. 1, 194, 197
stu root 140
sthā root, Pres. 64. 2, 132; Fut. 151. 1, 155; Cond. 157; Aor. 160. 2, 163. 1, 167. 1; Caus. 21, 180. 1, 182. 2; Part. 190, 191, 192, 194, 199. 1, 200; Inf. 206; Ger. 209, 210, 212
snā root 50. 5, 138, 140. 2, 180. 1, 206, 209
snih root 186. 1, 179. 3
spas root, Pres. 134; Fut. 155; Aor. 167. 2; Den. 186. 5; Part. 194, 196; Ger. 206
smar root, Pres. (*sumarati, sarati*) 50.6, 122. 2, 125, 129; Caus. 178. 2 a; Part. 191, 200; Ger. 210, 213
smi root 29, 50. 6
srup root, Pres. 134, 136. 2; Aor. 167. 2; Part. 190, 194; Inf. 205, 206; Ger. 210
svākhātā 7, 54. 4
svāgata 54. 4
svājanāya p. 63 f.-n. 4, 54. 4
svē see *supe*
-ssu = svīd 22, 111. 1

hamsati, -eti 180. 5, 178. 1
hañkh-, hañch, haññ- see *han*
haṭa 42. 1, see *har*
hadaya 12. 1
han root, Pres. p. 76 f. n. 5, 60, 140. 1; Fut. 158. 2; Aor. 160. 1; Pass. 122. 2, 168, 8, 175.8; Caus. 179. 5, 181. 1,

182. 2; Part. 190, 202, 203; Ger. 209, 210, 211, 212
hammiya p. 102, f.-n. 1
har root, Pres. 127, 128, 129; Fut. 153. 1, 154. 1; Aor. 163. 4; Pass. 52. 5, 175. 2, 176. 2; Caus. 182. 2; Part. 42. 1, 194, 196, 199. 1, 2; Inf. 205; Ger. 210, 211
ha-āyati 31. 1, 186. 2
hassam etc. 153. 1 from root *har*
hassāmi etc. 151 from root *hā*
hā root, Pres. (*jahāti*) 126, 128, 129, 136. 4, 142. 1; Fut. 150, 151. 1, 156. 1; Aor. 163. 1, 169. 2; Pass. 175. 1; Caus. 180. 1; Part. 196, 197, 199. 1, 2, 202; Inf. 204, 206; Ger. 209, 210
hāpeti 39. 6, 179. 4
hāhisi 158. 1 from root *har*; 150 from root *hā*
hū root, Pres. p. 79 f.-n. 3, 131. 1, 147. 2, Aor. p. 79 f.-n. 3, 163. 2, 169. 4
himsati, sāpeti 144, 181. 1
-hiññati etc. see *hi*
Himavant(a) 96
hyyo 30.2
hū 8. 30. 8 87. 1
hīlāda 30. 4
-hissāmi 153. 1 from root *har*
-hīrati Pass. from root *har*. Lock under *har*
hiṭeti 35
hu root 142. 3
hupeyya 39. 6, see *bhū*
hetthā 9, 87, 66. 1
hetu 22, p. 122 f.-n. 4
hetuye 204. 1 b
heyya p. 168 f.-n. 2
hesati, hesā, hesita 49. 2
hessati 27. 5 from root *bhū*
-hessati 153. 1 from root *har*
hessāmi 151. 1 from root *hā*
hotabba, hoti, hotuṃ, hohisi, hohiti from root *bhū*

